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#### Abstract

Bromodomains are a family of epigenetic reader domains which recognize acetylated histone lysine residues, recruiting transcription factors to specific DNA locales. Aberrant bromodomain function is strongly implicated in a wide variety of diseases. Bromodomains are amenable to small-molecule inhibition, but in many cases either no inhibitor is known or a published inhibitor is only weakly selective.

The bromodomain and extra-terminal domain (BET) family of proteins are strongly linked to diverse cancers, autoimmune inflammation and other diseases, with several BET inhibitors in clinical trials. The BET family contains four proteins, Brd2, 3, 4, and T, which each contain two bromodomains, BD1 and BD2. BD2-selective BET inhibitors have received little attention, hindering the elucidation of the biological roles of the BD2 domains.

This work set out to develop potent BD2-selective BET inhibitors with drug-like properties. Using a strategy of late-stage synthetic diversity, parallel vector exploration, and structureand knowledge-based optimisation, diverse analogues were synthesised and screened. The structure-activity relationships (SARs) of the template were elucidated, and the binding mode and selectivity rationale were determined through X-ray crystallography. This optimisation resulted in low-nanomolar, cell potent BD2 inhibitors with excellent wider bromodomain selectivity and good drug-like properties, suitable for use as in vitro or in vivo tools for target validation.

The function of the BRPF1 bromodomain is unknown, and while selective inhibitors have been developed their chemical diversity is poor. Starting from moderately potent but promiscuous hits, a structurally diverse series of BRPF1 inhibitors was investigated, aiming to improve potency and selectivity. Diverse, novel substitution patterns of the hit template and similar scaffolds were synthesised, investigated and SAR generated. Though increasing potency proved challenging, selectivity over other bromodomains was improved and the binding mode was elucidated through X-ray crystallography. This work has generated useful leads for further optimisation, thoroughly investigated the template and gained insights into BRPF1-ligand binding.
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Abstract: The bromodomain and extra-terminal domain (BET) family of proteins bind acetylated lysine residues on histone proteins. BET bromodomain inhibition is a potential therapy for various cancers and immunoinflammatory diseases, but few reported inhibitors show selectivity within the BET family. Inhibitors with selectivity for the first or second bromodomain are desired to aid investigation of the biological function of these domains. This paper describes the discovery and optimization of a series of tetrahydroquinoxalines with selectivity for the second bromodomains of the BET family (BD2), culminating in potent BET inhibitors with BD2 selectivity.
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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Principles of Modern Medicinal Chemistry and Drug Design

### 1.1.1 The Medicinal Chemistry Process

Medicinal chemistry involves the design, synthesis and analysis of pharmaceuticals and bioactive compounds. No two medicinal chemistry projects are the same, as they employ a wide variety of biological targets, chemistries, technologies, and end goals, but all broadly follow a logical, iterative process (Figure 1.1). ${ }^{1}$


Figure 1.1. The processes of iterative medicinal chemistry.

The medicinal chemist designs potentially bioactive molecules based on previously known compounds which bind to the relevant biological target or produce a physiological effect. These are then synthesised, ideally as rapidly and efficiently as possible to expedite the process, and tested in a biological assay. Molecules typically progress through a screening cascade, with only compounds which meet a set of criteria at each level progressing to the next set of screens. Comparing the assay results for compounds of similar structure allows the formation of structure-activity relationships (SARs), which are then used to guide the design of further iterations. As a project progresses through multiple cycles, SAR becomes more complex and detailed as different areas of the molecule are explored, knowledge of the target grows and additional levels of the screening cascade are reached. The cycle continues until a compound is identified which meets all of the screening criteria (or is considered 'good enough'), or the goal is believed to be unobtainable and the series or project terminated.

Medicinal chemistry may use either phenotypic or target-based approaches. Phenotypic screening directly measures the biological effect of compounds in a relevant cellular system (e.g. the ability of an antibiotic to kill bacterial colonies) without precise knowledge of the molecular target. While this provides direct confirmation of the desired efficacy, the lack of knowledge around the compounds' binding mode makes analogue design more challenging and cellular assays are often less robust. Thus, even if a phenotypic approach is taken, there is often a subsequent move to understand molecular target and mechanism.

Target-based optimisation identifies a specific enzyme or protein which is known to be linked to a disease (e.g. a kinase which is upregulated in a particular cancer) and develops a compound which binds to that precise target. This often (but not always) allows the use of in silico modelling, X-ray protein crystallography and high-throughput biochemical assays, allowing the rapid development of highly potent inhibitors. However, should the target of interest not be the primary driver of disease or if alternative biological pathways exist, the compound may not be suitably efficacious in the clinic. To reduce this risk, target-based approaches usually utilise a phenotypic readout (e.g. cultivated cancer cell lines or xenograft models) alongside biochemical assays.

### 1.1.2 The Goals of Medicinal Chemistry - Drug Candidates and Probes

Traditionally, the end goal of medicinal chemistry has been the identification of a drug candidate; a molecule with suitable efficacy, pharmacokinetics and safety profile for progression into human clinical studies for a disease indication. The molecular target of the compound and its mechanism of action do not need to be known, and activity at multiple targets (polypharmacology) is acceptable provided the safety profile is uncomprimised. ${ }^{2}$ At the point of declaring a candidate, the structure of the compound (but not its salt form, particle morphology or formulation) becomes fixed. The discovery of a drug candidate is a lengthy, challenging, and expensive process, ${ }^{1}$ and so ideally should only be undertaken for targets with a strong disease rationale.

Successful target-based drug discovery relies on thorough target validation - proving that the protein of interest has a key role in disease. ${ }^{1}$ This may be accomplished by studying the upor down-regulation of particular genes, proteins or biomarkers in disease, to elucidate biological signalling pathways and find weak points that can be targeted. Deactivating genes using RNA interference is commonly used, but this completely removes entire multidomain proteins and scaffolds - not representative of reversibly blocking a single protein domain. ${ }^{3}$ Increasingly, medicinal chemistry groups are turning their attention towards chemical probes, small molecules which bind to a specific protein domain and can be used to determine its biological role. ${ }^{4}$ Chemical probes are often more simple to develop compared to drug candidates as facets such as in vivo pharmacokinetics, chronic toxicity and dosing are of lesser importance. Target validation with chemical probes improves confidence in the therapeutic potential of a target, and more importantly invalidates targets to prevent unfruitful further investigation. ${ }^{5}$

Many pharmaceutical companies promote or enforce a set of property guidelines to ensure drug candidates are of high quality. Chemical probes are frequently developed by academic groups unfamiliar with such approaches, and concern over poor quality probes has led to
publication of several sets of probe guidelines. ${ }^{4-7}$ While suggested values vary between publications, the spirit of these guidelines can be summarised as follows:

- High, robust in vitro potency against a known biological target
- High selectivity against other proteins of the same target family, and selectivity profiling against common off-targets (10-100-fold is commonly suggested) ${ }^{6,8}$
- Aqueous solubility and membrane permeability sufficiently high as to prevent assay interference and allow cellular studies
- Evidence of cellular penetration, target engagement and dose-dependent disruption of target protein activity in cells
- The availability of an inactive control analogue and additional probes from chemically distinct series

While suitability for in vivo experiments is not generally required, acceptable absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion and toxicity (ADMET) parameters and target engagement in animal models greatly increases the utility of a probe. ${ }^{7}$ Such examples must normally be of a higher quality overall. Conversely, lower probe quality is somewhat more acceptable if the target is particularly challenging to drug or no chemical tools currently exist. ${ }^{6}$ Many confidential and proprietary probes are developed by industrial groups for internal use, and never released to the wider community. However, academic groups and academic/industrial consortia are increasingly promoting a pre-competitive model whereby probes and associated data are published and made publicly available without restriction. ${ }^{6}$

### 1.1.3 Control of Physicochemical Properties

When designing drug candidates (and to a lesser extent, probes) their potential ADMET properties must be considered. Despite huge investment in drug discovery, only $11 \%$ of projects which enter human clinical trials are successful and approved as medicines, with pharmacokinetics being a major cause of failure (referred to as attrition). ${ }^{9}$ It has been noted that to increase in vitro potency and permeability, excess lipophilicity (non-polar, fat-soluble functionality) and molecular weight is often designed into molecules, defined as 'molecular 10 obesity' by Hann. High potency is often sought in order to lower the therapeutic dose, however as dose is dependent on ADMET properties, correlation between in vitro potency and the eventual therapeutic dose is poor. ${ }^{11}$ Excess lipophilicity also correlates with compound promiscuity, ${ }^{10,11}$ and a marked decrease in molecular weight is shown as compounds progress through clinical phases. ${ }^{12}$ Gleeson et al. assigned empirical ADMET property scores to a set of development compounds and found them to be far higher on average than those of marketed oral drugs. ${ }^{11}$ To reduce this risk, a variety of metrics and guidelines for physicochemical properties have been developed.

The well-known 'Rule of Five', developed by Lipinski in 1997, was the first widely recognised attempt to determine if a drug will be orally bioavailable ${ }^{13}$ (though it is often misused as a definition of 'drug-like' space ${ }^{14}$ ). The 'Rule of Five' states that to achieve oral bioavailability compounds should have: <5 hydrogen bond donors, <10 hydrogen bond acceptors, $M W<500$, and $\log P<5 .{ }^{13}$ As the 'Rule of Five' does not include toxicological risk, ${ }^{15}$ more refined physicochemical metrics have since been developed.

The intrinsic hydrophobicity of a compound, $\log P$, is the most widely used measure of lipophilicity. However, LogP is only valid for a compound bearing no charge, so is a poor indicator for compounds such as amines which are ionised at physiological pH . To correct for this the effective hydrophobicity at $\mathrm{pH}=x, \log \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}$, is often used. $\log \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}$ can be measured in an octanol/water shake flask system as with LogP; however this has been shown to be unreliable for highly lipophilic compounds due to poor solubility. An alternative chromatographic measurement of hydrophobicity, ChromLogD, has been developed and shows good agreement with computationally calculated values. ${ }^{16}$

Investigation of 245 Pfizer compounds with animal in vivo toxicity data found that compounds with cLogP $<3$ and topological polar surface area (TPSA, a measure of polarity ${ }^{17}$ ) $>75 \AA^{2}$ were 2.5 -times more likely to be non-toxic at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ doses. Compounds with cLogP $>3$ and TPSA $<75 \AA^{2}$ were 2.5 -times more likely to be toxic at the same dose, which correlated with increased promiscuity. This relationship was codified as the " $3 / 75$ rule". ${ }^{18}$

Aromatic rings provide rigid scaffolds that reduce the entropic penalty of binding to a receptor, are easily manipulated, and can bind strongly through hydrophobic interactions and $\pi$ stacking. However, MacDonald and Ritchie noted that the average number of aromatic rings decreases moving though development phases, with oral marketed drugs having an average 1.6 aromatic rings, compared to 3.3 for a selection of GSK preclinical candidates. ${ }^{19}$ Increasing aromatic ring count correlates with decreased aqueous solubility, increased plasma protein binding, higher cytochrome P450 activity and increased human ether-á-go-go (hERG) cardiac ion channel inhibition. Compared to carboaromatics, heteroaromatics have improved properties but are still detrimental overall compared to non-aromatic groups.

Heteroaliphatic rings, however, showed improved developability parameters. The authors therefore recommended a limit of three aromatic rings per molecule. ${ }^{19,20}$

Following this, Young et al. developed the Property Forecast Index (PFI = LogD7.4 + \#Ar), as a simple measure of developability that takes into account the effect of aromatic rings beyond their contribution to lipophilicity. Based on the trends in plasma protein binding, hERG binding, metabolic clearance, and promiscuity, a PFI limit of < 7 was recommended. ${ }^{16}$ In a similar vein, Lovering et al. demonstrated that the fraction of $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ carbons $\left(\mathrm{Fsp}^{3}=\# \mathrm{sp}^{3}\right.$ carbons/total
\#carbons) increases on moving through development and correlates well with increased solubility. ${ }^{21}$ Increasing the 3D character of a molecule impairs crystal packing, evidenced by a reduction in melting point, and so improves solubility. ${ }^{21}$

The appropriateness of the use of simple physicochemical property guidelines is disputed, particularly the use of marketed drug properties as a benchmark. Failed drug candidates (which may provide a better indication of risks) are usually not included in such analyses, and candidates fail for reasons other than developability. ${ }^{22}$ It has been suggested that neglecting molecular weight and the use of binned data inflates the correlation between PFI and ADMET properties, needlessly restricting the chemical space available for drug design. ${ }^{23} \mathrm{An}$ analysis of 150 AstraZeneca candidates found no correlation between preclinical success and the $3 / 75$ rule, $\mathrm{Fsp}^{3}$ or promiscuity, and noted that many recently approved drugs violate these guidelines. ${ }^{22}$ When attrition rates from four companies were collated, it was found that most fell within accepted drug-like space yet attrition remained at high levels. However, compounds failing due to safety in Ph1 were significantly more lipophilic than those which progressed. The authors recommend that tighter control of properties beyond the existing guidelines is unlikely to increase compound success. ${ }^{24}$

Compliance with metrics aside, all compounds intended for biological use must be sufficiently soluble in aqueous physiological environments and able to cross biological membranes to reach intracellular targets. A poorly soluble compound limits the maximum available concentration in a biological assay, and may form precipitates which affect the results. 100$200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ is generally considered a minimum for aqueous solubility. ${ }^{7,16}$ Highthroughput automated solubility measurements use very low amounts of compound, and often measure precipitation on aqueous dilution of DMSO stock solutions (thermodynamic solubility). After filtration, chemiluminescent nitrogen detection (CLND) pyrolises the sample and measures the nitrogen content with very low detection limits, which can be extrapolated to determine the compounds concentration. ${ }^{25}$ In later stage discovery kinetic solubility will often also be measured in simulated intestinal fluid, often using crystalline material.

Compounds may cross biological membranes through either passive permeation between the lipid molecules or active transport, whereby a transporter protein captures the compound and carries it through the membrane. The relative extent to which these processes govern permeability is disputed. ${ }^{26,27}$ Permeability is commonly measured in high-throughput assays using cultured monolayers of Caco-2 cells ${ }^{28}$ or wholly synthetic artificial membranes. ${ }^{29}$

It could be argued that, for a chemical probe not intended for the clinic, the control of physicochemical properties is unimportant compared to potency and selectivity. Probe design should not be needlessly restricted by such metrics, but as solubility, permeability and (lack
of) promiscuity are all important for high quality probes, this work will strive to maintain physicochemical properties within drug-like space where possible.

### 1.1.4 Ligand Efficiency

The concept of measuring how efficiently a ligand binds given its size was first proposed by Kuntz in 1999, who noted that as ligands get larger the binding contribution per atom decreases. ${ }^{30}$ Comparing potency and molecular size allows consideration of how efficiently a compound binds, and is a useful way to limit excess molecular weight and lipophilicity. Hopkins et al. defined the binding energy per heavy atom as ligand efficiency (LE), which can be calculated either using $K_{d}$ or $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ measurements (Equation 1). ${ }^{31}$

$$
L E=\frac{\Delta G}{N_{\text {Heavy Atoms }}}=\frac{-R T \ln K_{d}}{N_{\text {Heavy Atoms }}}=1.37 \times \frac{p I C_{50}}{N_{\text {Heavy Atoms }}}
$$

Analysis of the Pfizer compound collection gave an estimated value of 38 heavy atoms for a 500 Da compound. If this compound has a $K_{d}$ of 10 nM , a typical desired value for a medicinal chemistry project, then LE would equal 0.29 . Based on this, a suggestion of LE $\approx 0.3$ or higher is proposed as reasonable efficiency. ${ }^{31}$ Allegations that LE may be mathematically invalid have emerged, ${ }^{32}$ and been refuted. ${ }^{33}$ Although hard guidelines for LE values may be unhelpful, the model is a simple method for comparing hits and tracking increasing size during optimisation.

As LE only accounts for molecular size and not lipophilicity, Leeson and Springthorpe have developed the complementary measurement of ligand-lipophilicity efficiency (LLE), a measure of how effectively the lipophilicity of a molecule translates into potency (Equation 2). Based on average oral drug values, a target of LLE $=5-7$ is suggested. ${ }^{15}$

$$
L L E=p I C_{50}\left(\text { or } p K_{i}\right)-c \log P(\text { or } \log D)(2)
$$

LLE often cannot be used for fragments or initial hits, as their potency is sometimes too low to give sensible values. To counter this, LLE adjusted for heavy atom count (LLEAt, Equation 3) has been designed for use in fragment-based drug design and is scaled to be comparable with LE. ${ }^{34}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L L E_{A T}=0.111+\frac{1.37 \times L L E}{N_{\text {Heavy Atoms }}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A wide variety of other ligand efficiency and physicochemical property metrics and tools have also been developed ${ }^{35,36}$ - almost 40 according to a recent analysis. ${ }^{32}$ This has led to concern that the abundance of guidelines is confusing and could impede decision making. ${ }^{32}$

### 1.2 The Molecular Basis of Epigenetics

### 1.2.1 Histone Proteins, Nucleosomes and Chromatin

The science of epigenetics ('above genetics') examines heritable changes in gene expression and phenotype that do not alter the underlying DNA sequence of an organism. ${ }^{37}$ The human genome only contains around 20,000 - 25,000 protein-encoding genes, too few to account for the wide range of phenotypes observed in human cells, ${ }^{38}$ and this extra complexity is believed to arise from selective activation and deactivation of the genome. Epigenetics has become an important field in biological and medical research in recent years, in particular due to the potential to deactivate disease-associated genes without the risk of permanent DNA alteration.

The mechanisms of selective gene transcription are complex, but many revolve around DNA access and storage. Within the nucleus of a cell, the DNA double helix wraps around octamers of histone proteins to form nucleosomes, which consist of two sets of four histones $(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~B}$, H3, and H4) in a highly ordered octamer around which $145-147$ base pairs of DNA are accomodated. ${ }^{39} \mathrm{~A}$ fifth histone, H 1 , binds the DNA at the start and end of the turn to stabilise it. When H 1 is bound, the structure is referred to as a chromatosome (Figure 1.2). ${ }^{40}$ Each nucleosome is separated by a short length of unwrapped DNA, and the chain of chromatsomes pack further to form a chromatin fibre, which coils repeatedly to form the chromosomes. H 1 is required for the formation of these higher order structures, with the H 1 proteins linking together in the centre of the structure. ${ }^{40}$


Figure 1.2. Basic structure of the chromatosome.

### 1.2.2 Histone Post-Translational Modifications

Each histone contains an N -terminal 'tail' that protrudes from the octamer and past the bound DNA. Amino acids on these tails are subject to a wide variety of post-translational modifications (PTMs, also referred to as 'histone marks'). ${ }^{37,41}$ Over 60 amino acids within the histone tails are capable of being chemically modified in several different ways, giving a vast number of permutations. ${ }^{42}$ These combinations of PTMs are recognised by external proteins that mediate gene expression, leading to the hypothesis of a 'histone code' that directs precise control of transcription. 43,44

- Lysine residues can be acetylated and mono-, di-, or tri-methylated. Small proteins such as ubiquitin (which tags the protein for proteosomal degradation) or SUMO (small ubiquitin-like modifier, various functions) can be appended.
- Arginine can be mono- or di-methylated. The guanidine imine can be hydrolysed by protein arginine deiminase (PAD) enzymes to form citrulline.
- Serine, threonine and tyrosine can be phosphorylated.

PTMs may only persist due to positive maintenance and their heritability is unclear. ${ }^{45,46} \mathrm{~A}$ variety of enzymes dynamically add and remove histone marks, while certain PTMs are bound by reader domains to recruit protein complexes to chromatin (Figure 1.3, Table 1.1).


Figure 1.3. The roles of histone-modifying enzymes.

Table 1.1. Protein classes involved in histone post-translational modification.

|  | Writer | Eraser | Reader |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Methylated Lysine | Lysine methyltransferase (KMT) | Lysine demethylase <br> (KDM) | Tudor, MBT and PWWP domains, chromodomains, PHD-containing proteins |
| Acetylated Lysine | Histone acetyltransferases (HAT) | Histone deacetylase (HDAC) | Bromodomains |
| Methylated Arginine | methyltransferase (PRMT) | JMJD6, other erasers may exist but are unknown | Tudor domains |

## Histone Methylation and Demethylation

Methylation of histones is effected by $S$-adenosylmethionine (SAM)-dependant histone methyltransferases, with removal mediated by Jumonji 2-oxoglutarate-dependant demethylases ${ }^{47}$ and lysine-specific histone demethylase 1 and 2 . These erasers oxidise the methyl group to the methylene imine, which is then hydrolysed. ${ }^{48}$ Lysine methylation is not a general marker for either the transcription or silencing of genes, and the precise biological effect is dependent on the particular lysine being methylated and whether it is mono-, di, or trimethylated. Lysine methyltransferases (KMTs), in particular KMT4 and KMT6, are strongly linked to cancer with KMT inhibitors 1.01 and 1.02 currently in clinical trials (Figure 1.4). ${ }^{41,49}$

Methylated histones are read by chromodomains and tudor domains, which regulate gene expression and genome organisation. ${ }^{50,51}$


EPZ-5676 (1.01)
KMT4 inhibitor Ph1, Haematological malignancies


Romidepsin (1.03)
Class I HDAC inhibitor Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma


EPZ-6438 (1.02)
KMT6 inhibitor
Ph1, B-cell lymphoma


Vorinostat (1.04)
Class I, II, IV HDAC inhibitor
Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma

Figure 1.4. Drugs targeting histone-modifying proteins.

## Lysine Acetylation and Deacetylation

Lysine acetylation is generally associated with active transcription, ${ }^{52}$ with dynamic acetylation of histones involved in the assembly of nucleosomes during cell division. ${ }^{52}$ Acetylation of lysine residues neutralises their positive charge and reduces the ionic interactions between the histones and the negatively charged phosphate groups of the DNA backbone. This causes the tightly packed heterochromatin, associated with repressed genes, to open up and form transcriptionally active euchromatin. ${ }^{52}$ However, it is thought that this model may be too simplistic and other factors are involved, ${ }^{42}$ such as altering the conformation of the intrinsically disordered and flexible histone tails. ${ }^{53}$

Molecular dynamics simulations indicate that lysine acetylation has a dramatic effect on H 4 conformation, with cumulative acetylation increasing hydrogen-bond contacts and helical propensity. ${ }^{53}$ Monoacetylation of H 4 K 16 in particular has a unique and dramatic effect, causing a significant structural rearrangement. ${ }^{53}$ This may bring other acetylation sites into close proximity, creating 'recognition patches' to which transcriptional mediator proteins bind, ${ }^{53-55}$ or alter the accessibility of the nucleosome to transcription factors. Of the four lysines susceptible to acetylation on H 4 , only mutation of H 4 K 16 resulted in degraded function, ${ }^{56}$ while H 4 K 16 Ac plays a prominent role in chromatin compaction and euchromatin expression. ${ }^{52}$

Lysine acetyltransferases (KATs, or histone acetyltransferases HATs) utilise acetyl CoA as their cofactor. Hyperacetylation of histones affects transcription in a small number of genes, causing repression of nuclear receptor coactivators and expression of tumour suppressors. ${ }^{57}$ Acetyl marks are removed by Class I, II and IV histone deacetylases (HDACs), which contain a $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ ion in their active site, and by sirtuins (SIRT, or Class III HDACs) which do not contain Zn and use $\mathrm{NAD}^{+}$as a cofactor. HDAC inhibition causes diverse effects on multiple transcription pathways including anti-apoptotic signalling, disruption of the cell cycle, inhibition of mitosis, and immune modulation. ${ }^{58}$ HDAC inhibitors are of interest as anticancer agents, ${ }^{59}$ with the bacterial natural product romidepsin (1.03) and hydroxamic acid vorinostat (1.04) approved for the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (Figure 1.4). 1.03 acts through in vivo cleavage of the disulphide bond to give a thiol which binds to the catalytically active zinc ion. ${ }^{60-62}$ The hydroxamic acid moiety of 1.04 also chelates the zinc ion, leading to a build-up of acetylated histones and other acetylated proteins. ${ }^{57,63}$ Several additional HDAC inhibitors are in clinical trials. ${ }^{37,41,63}$ Acetylated lysine residues are read by bromodomains (Section 1.3).

### 1.3 Bromodomains

Bromodomains, first identified from the brahma gene of Drosophila fruit flies in 1992, are a family of reader domains which recognise acetylated lysine (KAc) residues on histone tails. ${ }^{64}$ Bromodomains act as scaffolds for the assembly of macromolecular protein complexes and their recruitment to acetylated nucleosomes, affecting transcription and chromatin remodelling. Bromodomains activate transcription factors, facilitating precise targeting of RNA polymerase II and chromatin-modifying enzymes such as HATs (which often contain reader domains in addition to their catalytic domains) to specific DNA loci. 65

Bromodomains are 110-amino acid proteins containing four left-handed $\alpha$-helices ( $\alpha Z, \alpha A, \alpha B$, and $\alpha \mathrm{C}$ ) (Figure 1.5a) with a well conserved structure between bromodomains and across species, despite significant changes in the amino acid sequence. Two loop regions, BC and ZA, link the helices and contribute to the structure of the binding site and its selectivity (Figure 1.5a). The loops create a hydrophobic pocket in which the KAc residue binds, with a water network at the base of the pocket and binding of the acetyl carbonyl by highly conserved asparagine and tyrosine residues of the BC loop (Figure 1.5b). ${ }^{42}$ The BC loop also contains a 'gatekeeper' residue that varies between bromodomains, providing a hydrophobic interaction that restricts entry to the cavity. Bromodomains are also capable of binding to propionyl-lysine marks, with some able to accommodate larger PTMs such as butyryl- and crotonyl-lysine, ${ }^{66}$ though these are much less abundant than KAc marks. ${ }^{67}$


Figure 1.5. a) Ribbon diagram of human bromodomain Brd4 BD1 (PDB code: 3MUK). b) X-ray crystal structure of human Brd4 BD1 with acetylated lysine (cyan) bound, showing key residues and interactions (PDB code: 2DVQ). ${ }^{42}$ Red balls indicate water molecules and green lines hydrogen bonds.

Variations in bromodomain sequence homology allow the construction of a phylogenetic tree (Figure 1.6), with closely homologous domains occupying closer branches and distinct subfamilies. To date, 46 human proteins have been found to contain one or more bromodomains, with 61 distinct bromodomains identified (Figure 1.6). ${ }^{55,65}$ The biology of bromodomains is a rapidly expanding field, with many bromodomains being only lightly investigated thus far. Due to the early discovery of their ease of inhibition by small molecules (Section 1.4.2), strong phenotype, and clinical relevance (Section 1.4.1) the majority of work has focussed on the bromodomain and extra-terminal domain-containing (BET) family of proteins (Figure 1.6, red branches). However, focus is beginning to shift towards non-BET bromodomains, with several chemical probes developed and phenotypic investigations underway (vide infra).


Figure 1.6. Phylogenetic tree showing structural similarities between bromodomains. ${ }^{68}$

### 1.4 BET Bromodomains

The widely studied BET family consists of four proteins; Brd2, Brd3, Brd4, and BrdT (Figure 1.7). These proteins each contain two bromodomains, BD1 and BD2, together with an extraterminal (ET) domain nearer the C-terminus. Across the BET family, the four BD1 domains show a high degree of structural similarity, as do the four BD2 domains (Figure 1.7). ${ }^{65}$ Motif A and Motif B contain nuclear localisation signals, which enable the protein to be recognised by nuclear transporters for active transport into the cell nucleus. ${ }^{69}$ The wellconserved SEED domain, consisting of serine, aspartic acid, and glutamic acid residues, is found beyond the C-terminal end of the ET domain. Brd4 and BrdT are substantially longer than Brd2 and Brd3 and end with a C-terminal domain (CTD) which assists in maintaining the higher order structure of chromatin. The CTD binds positive transcription elongation factor B (P-TEFb), a kinase which activates RNA polymerase II (Pol II) to induce RNA transcription of the DNA strand bound to the histone. ${ }^{70}$ The ET domain is also responsible for transcriptional regulation but is independent of $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{TEFb}$, interacting with multiple transcription proteins and causing transcriptional activation. ${ }^{71}$


Figure 1.7. Schematic structure of human BET proteins, domain positions are not to scale. ${ }^{69,70}$

BET proteins are vital for cell cycle function, with Brd4 directly required for the onset of mitosis $^{72}$ and BET knockout or mutation causing death or severe defects across several species. ${ }^{65}$ In addition to key biological roles in autoimmune responses, reproduction and metabolic processes (Section 1.4.1), Brd4 has been shown to be widely expressed in the brain and regulates the transcriptional processes involved in learning and memory. BET knockout or inhibition with the small-molecule BET inhibitor JQ1 (1.05, see Section 1.6.1) impaired memory consolidation, but also reduced susceptibility to seizures. ${ }^{73}$ The role of BET proteins in several disease areas have been probed through gene knockout studies or small molecule inhibition (See Section 1.4.2 for details of specific small molecule BET inhibitors), producing strong disease rationales.

### 1.4.1 BET Proteins in Disease

## Oncology

Studies of the rare but lethal cancer NUT (nuclear protein in testis) midline carcinoma (NMC) discovered a translocation of the NUT protein and Brd4, which fuse to form a Brd4-NUT oncogene. Knockdown studies confirmed that Brd4 attaches NUT to transcriptionally active chromatin and this association is instrumental in maintaining the tumour cells. ${ }^{74}$ When dosed to patient-derived NMC tumour cells, 1.05 caused rapid differentiation, growth arrest and apoptosis. 1.05 also proved able to inhibit tumour growth and dramatically increased survival rates in NMC xenograft models in mice (Figure 1.8), with the compound being well tolerated throughout the trial. ${ }^{75}$ The discovery of a direct link between Brd4 and NMC sparked significant interest into the BET family throughout the oncology field. BET bromodomain inhibition has been indicated as a potential therapy in a wide range of cancers, including Burkitt's lymphoma,76 acute myeloid leukaemia, ${ }^{77,78}$ mixed lineage leukemia, ${ }^{79}$ ovarian cancer, ${ }^{80}$ prostate cancer, ${ }^{81}$ medulloblastoma, ${ }^{82}$ glioblastoma ${ }^{83}$ and neuroblastoma. ${ }^{84}$ Several
small-molecule BET inhibitors (Section1.4.2) have entered human clinical trials in oncology. ${ }^{85-}$ 90


Figure 1.8. Tumour volumes and survival rates in mouse NMC xenograft models, using patient-derived 11060 (left) and Per403 (right) cell lines, treated with vehicle (black) or JQ1 (red). ${ }^{75}$ Reproduced with permission from Nature 2010, 468, 1067-1073.

The antitumor activity of BET inhibition is theorised to be partly, if not completely, due to suppression of the oncogene cMyc. ${ }^{76,77,91} \mathrm{Brd4}$, in combination with other transcriptional mediators, is recruited to enhancer regions of DNA to activate nearby genes. While the presence of Brd4-recruiting enhancers is ubiquitous, certain oncogenes, including cMyc, are activated by super-enhancer regions which are larger than normal enhancers and recruit significantly more Brd4. ${ }^{92,93}$ Treatment with 1.05 caused a disproportionate decrease in transcription for super-enhancer genes compared to typical enhancers. ${ }^{92}$ This may explain the relatively small number of genes affected by BET inhibitors and their generally good tolerability, despite the ubiquitous expression of Brd4. However, BET inhibition was also effective against primary effusion lymphoma (PEL), a non-Hodgkin's B-cell lymphoma where $c M y c$ is not influenced by super-enhancers and is only modestly overexpressed. ${ }^{94}$

Among the proteins recruited by Brd4 is the condensin II chromatin remodelling complex, which compacts the chromatin structure, reduces the effectiveness of the DNA damage response and was found to reduce cell survival following irradiation. ${ }^{95}$ The inhibition of damage response signalling provides another possible mechanism for the antiproliferative effects of BET inhibitors. However, treatment of some cancer cell lines with small-molecule BET inhibitors has been reported to cause upregulation of BET proteins and their accumulation in cells. ${ }^{96,97}$ This may be due to feedback loops in which BET proteins inhibit their own production, allowing oncogenes to rebound quickly when treatment is withdrawn and reducing the therapeutic effect of the inhibitor. ${ }^{76}$ Leukemia cells are able to develop resistance to BET inhibition, through increased recruitment of Wnt/ $\beta$-catenin signalling pathway proteins to cМyc. ${ }^{98,99}$

## Autoimmune Inflammation

When bone marrow-derived macrophages were stimulated with the bacterial endotoxin lipopolysaccharide (LPS), BET inhibition with another small-molecule inhibitor, I-BET762 (1.06), reduced the expression of multiple pro-inflamatory cytokines and chemokines (small signalling proteins, often involved in immune responses). Transcription factors involved in the initial expression of genes during an inflammatory immune response were also suppressed. ${ }^{100}$ Prophylactic and therapeutic small-molecule BET inhibition also proved highly effective in increasing survival rates in murine models of endotoxic shock, ${ }^{101}$ severe sepsis, ${ }^{100}$ and peritonitis. ${ }^{100}$ Unaltered levels of tumour necrosis factor (TNF) cytokines, which mediate the inflammatory response to sepsis, suggests that this effect is not due to TNF downregulation but rather disruption of TNF-induced production of cytokines and chemokines. ${ }^{100}$ However, parallel studies using an alternative inhibitor found that TNF- $\alpha$ levels were reduced both in vitro and in similarly performed in vivo models. ${ }^{101}$

Exposure of naïve T-cells to antigens causes them to differentiate into T-helper ( $\mathrm{TH}_{\boldsymbol{H}}$ ) cells, which produce cytokines - often generating a positive feedback loop (Figure 1.9). BET inhibition with 1.06 was shown to have an inhibitory effect on the production of proinflammatory cytokines IL-17 and GM-CSF (granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor) when administered to naïve T-cells before differentiation. ${ }^{102}$ Anti-inflammatory gene products were also upregulated and transfer of the differentiated T-cells into mice led to decreased recruitment of inflammatory T-cells to the central nervous system. No effect was seen on Tcells that had already differentiated. These effects were mimicked by inhibition of cMyc, indicating this oncogene also plays a role in inflammation and may be responsible for a portion of this anti-inflammatory phenotype. ${ }^{102}$


Figure 1.9. Effect of BET inhibitors on T-cell cytokine production.

Osteoclast cells, which reabsorb bone, are overexpressed in rheumatoid arthritis where their differentiation is promoted by Myc, GM-CSF and the TNF-family cytokine RANKL. BET
inhibition with I-BET151 (1.11) suppressed osteoclast differentiation in vitro and in vivo while also reducing arthritic inflammation and strengthening bones. The action of $\mathbf{1 . 1 1}$ is believed to be through Myc suppression, which downregulates production of the master osteoclast regulator NFATC1. ${ }^{103}$ BRD2, BRD3 and BRD4 have been detected in fibroblasts and macrophages in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) synovial tissue. Bromodomain inhibition with 1.11 again suppressed inflammatory gene products such as MMP1, CXCL10 and CXCL11, downregulating the majority of genes induced by TNF- $\alpha$ and IL-1 $\beta .{ }^{104}$

In LPS-stimulated human monocytes, the BET inhibitor 1.11 was able to suppress the transcription and expression of cytokines CXCL10 and CXCL11. When stimulated with IFN $\gamma$, 1.11 inhibited proinflammatory transcription in a gene specific-manner which was independent of Myc inhibition, ${ }^{105}$ indicating alternative autoimmune pathways may be operating. Overall, BET inhibitors show significant promise as anti-inflammatory agents.

## HIV Reactivation

The binding of P-TEFb by Brd4 and the role of the resulting complex in transcriptional regulation makes BET inhibition an attractive target for the reactivation of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) from latency. While active HIV is effectively treated with antiviral medication, reservoirs of inactive virions in CD4+T cells remain unaffected and reinfect the patient once the course of treatment ends. A therapy is sought that is capable of reactivating this latent virus in order to fully eliminate it from the body. The viral activator Tat recruits P TEFb to Pol II to promote HIV transcription, however Brd4 and Tat compete to bind P-TEFb, preventing activation of the HIV transcription complex. ${ }^{106}$ It is theorised that Brd4 inhibition will increase Tat-P-TEFb binding and hence recruitment of $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{TEFb}$ to HIV, increasing transcription (Figure 1.10).



Figure 1.10. Schematic of the relationship between Brd4, P-TEFb and HIV reactivation.

Knockdown of BET or treatment of HIV-infected T-cells and monocytes with 1.05 significantly activated HIV from latency across multiple cell types. ${ }^{107-109} 1.05$ showed low cytotoxicity in these models, but does suppress T-cell proliferation. ${ }^{107}$ While HIV reactivation is dependent on P-TEFb, use of cell lines that lack the Tat gene had no effect on the results, showing that reactivation can proceed by Tat-independent mechanisms. However, specific knockdown of Brd2, which is also inhibited by JQ1, was effective in reactivating HIV. ${ }^{109}$ Brd2 lacks a CTD and so cannot bind P-TEFb, as such the mechanism of Brd2-mediated HIV reactivation is currently unknown. It is theorised that Brd2 mediates recruitment of repressor complexes to the latent HIV activator complex, though how this involves P-TEFb is unclear. ${ }^{109}$

## Male Fertility

All BET proteins are required for spermatogenesis, with the expression levels of each of the four BET proteins highly dependent on the level of spermatozoa development. ${ }^{70} \mathrm{BrdT}$, which is only found in the testes and ovaries, ${ }^{110}$ is particularly essential. Human BrdT BD1 mutation is linked to male infertility, ${ }^{111}$ while knockout causes failures in sperm elongation and the development of structural deformities. ${ }^{70}$ Studies in Drosophila have identified two novel testesspecific BET proteins, tBrd-2 and tBrd-3, which only contain a single bromodomain and may form acetylation-dependant complexes. ${ }^{112}$

The vital role of BrdT in fertility has led to suggestions that a pan-BET or BrdT inhibitor could perform a contraceptive role. In mice, small molecule BET inhibition reduced testis size, sperm count and quality, with almost complete reversibility observed 4 months after dosing was halted. Hormone levels or behaviour were not affected, and offspring born after withdrawal and recovery showed no adverse effects. ${ }^{113}$ However, the strong systemic phenotypes of panBET inhibitors would likely lead to side effects that prevent human contraceptive use.

## Cardiovascular Disease

Several BET inhibitors have been shown to upregulate apolipoprotein A1 (ApoA1), ${ }^{100,114-117}$ a major component of high density lipoprotein (HPL) cholesterol. ApoA1 raises HDL levels, with increased HDL strongly linked to decreased risk of atherosclerosis. ${ }^{118}$ The mechanism by which BET inhibitors affect ApoA1 is unknown. In particular, RVX-208/apabetalone (1.20) has undergone several clinical trials in cardiovascular disease, ${ }^{119-122}$ being shown to increase ApoA1 and HDL levels in humans. ${ }^{123-125}$ The incidence of major adverse cardiac events was reduced, with improved responses in patients with higher systemic inflammation. ${ }^{125}$ This suggests that the anti-inflammatory effect of BET inhibition may play a significant role. However, when progressed to Phase II trials, 1.20 failed to show statistically significant ApoA1 or HDL induction compared to placebo, with no significant regression of atherosclerosis. ${ }^{126}$ The implications of this result for the role of BET proteins in cardiovascular disease are unclear, particularly as 1.20 was discovered through phenotypic screening and has only moderate BET potency, biased towards the four BD2 domains. ${ }^{17,127}$

### 1.4.2 Small Molecule BET Inhibitors

The discovery of the significant role of the BET proteins in several diseases has led several academic groups and pharmaceutical companies to investigate inhibition of BET function. While many epigenetic targets have been considered undruggable, the deep and welldefined KAc binding pockets of the BET family have enabled effective small-molecule BET inhibition with a wide variety of chemotypes (Figure 1.11). All feature a KAc mimetic which forms the same key interactions as the native peptide, and often includes a lipophilic group to mimic an acetyl $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$.
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Figure 1.11. Selected BET inhibitors. The KAc mimetics are highlighted blue.

## Triazolodiazepines

Several groups have reported triazolo-1,4-diazepines as BET inhibitors (Figure 1.11). Starting from a Mitsubishi-Tanabe patent which disclosed triazolo-1,4-diazepines as BET inhibitors, the University of Oxford and Harvard Medical School developed the freely available chemical probe JQ1 (1.05), which is highly potent and selective for the BET family. ${ }^{75}$ GSK carried out phenotypic screening for upregulators of apolipoprotein A1 (ApoA1) as a potential therapy for atherosclerosis, ${ }^{118}$ identifying I-BET762 (1.06). Subsequent chemoproteomics showed that 1.06 was a potent and selective BET bromodomain inhibitor. ${ }^{100,128,129}$ Clinical trials of 1.06 in NMC, solid tumour cancers and haematological malignancies are currently underway. ${ }^{85,90}$

Mitsubishi-Tanabe and OncoEthix have themselves developed OTX015 (1.07), also undergoing clinical trials in oncology, ${ }^{86-88}$ with 1.07 reported to show antiproliferative and apoptotic activity in B-cell lymphoma cell lines. ${ }^{130}$ In addition, the BET inhibitor CPI-0610 (structure not yet disclosed) has entered trials for the treatment of lymphoma. ${ }^{89}$

The binding mode of the triazolodiazepine scaffold is exemplified by 1.06 , shown bound to Brd4 BD1 in Figure 1.12. The triazole ring acts as the KAc mimetic, forming a hydrogen bond with the Asn140 residue and binding through the conserved water network to Tyr97. BET bromodomains contain a lipophilic region known as the WPF shelf, named after the tryptophan-proline-phenylalanine stack which neighbours it. ${ }^{131}$ The WPF shelf has been shown to increase the binding affinity of a diacetylated H 4 histone, with the second KAc residue and the histone tail lying across this region. ${ }^{54}$ The chlorobenzene group also binds to the WPF shelf and the lle146 gatekeeper through m-stacking and hydrophobic interactions, while the methoxyphenyl groups extends through the narrow lipophilic ZA channel on the other side of the WPF stack. ${ }^{75,100,128,129}$ The bulky ester (1.05) and amide (1.06) functionalities prevent binding to benzodiazepine receptors and the resulting psychoactive effects; only the $(S)$-enantiomers have significant BET activity. ${ }^{75}$ In the case of 1.06 , the amide is also capable of hydrogen bonding to Asn140. ${ }^{100}$


Figure 1.12. X-ray crystal structure of I-BET762 (1.06) bound to Brd4 BD1. PDB: 3P5O. ${ }^{100}$

## Dimethylisoxazoles

Several groups have independently discovered the 3,5-dimethylisoxazole moiety to be a suitable KAc mimetic. Observations that the solvent $N$-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP) bound weakly to KAc binding pockets led to a study of methylated heterocycles as BET inhibitors, culminating in the discovery of potent dimethylisoxazole-based ligand 1.08 (Figure 1.11), which was active in an isolated AML cell line. ${ }^{132-134}$ Both the nitrogen and oxygen of the isoxazole contribute to KAc mimetic binding, with the methyl groups occupying small lipophilic pockets. The secondary alcohol of 1.08 interacts with a water network on the outside edge of
the pocket, and the WPF shelf is occupied by the phenyl group (Figure 1.13a). Though almost inactive at most other bromodomains, 1.08 showed only 2-7 fold selectivity over the closely homologous cAMP response element binding protein (CREB) binding protein (CREBBP) bromodomain. ${ }^{134}$ Combining an alternative benzimidazole core with the dimethylisoxazole KAc mimetic to give 1.09 increased Brd4 potency and achieved 100 -fold selectivity over CREBBP. ${ }^{135}$

The dimethylisoxazole moiety has also been utilised by GSK, with a fragment-based approach affording 1.10, which utilises a sulphonamide linker to place a cyclopentyl group on the WPF shelf. ${ }^{136}$ This KAc mimetic was also used in the development of I-BET151 (1.11), which showed good potency against Brd2-4 and excellent selectivity over other bromodomains. ${ }^{115,137}$ A water molecule bound to Gln101 solvates the quinoline nitrogen and contributes further binding, while the pyridine sits on the WPF shelf and the quinoline ring is bound by the ZA channel (Figure 1.13b). I-BET151 showed similar therapeutic effects to I-BET762 (1.06) in mouse models of LPS-induced endotoxicity and caused cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in models of mouse and human leukaemia. ${ }^{79}$ Modification of the tricyclic core of $\mathbf{1 . 1 1}$ gave the carboline 1.12, which bound in a similar manner but showed improved activity relative to 1.11 in acute leukaemia cell lines. ${ }^{138}$


Figure 1.13. a) X-ray crystal structure of $\mathbf{1 . 0 8}$ bound to $\operatorname{Brd} 4$ BD1 (PDB 3SVG). ${ }^{133}$ b) X-ray crystal structure of I-BET151 (1.11) bound to Brd2 BD1 (PDB: 4ALG). ${ }^{137}$

## Tetrahydroquinolines

A fragment screen carried out within GSK by Chung et al. identified several promising fragments which bound to the BET bromodomain, including the highly efficient
tetrahydroquinoline 1.13. ${ }^{139}$ The acetyl group acts as the KAc mimetic, with the ring system occupying the lipophilic areas of the binding pocket. The C2 methyl group enters a small lipophilic pocket, with only the $(S)$-enantiomer binding. ${ }^{139}$ Subsequent screening of a series of tetrahydroquinoline ApoA1 up-regulators, which like 1.06 had been optimised using phenotypic screening without knowing their molecular target, identified them as BET inhibitors. ${ }^{114}$ The lead compound, I-BET726 (1.14), bound similarly to 1.13 with only the $(2 S, 4 R)$-enantiomer displaying significant activity (Figure 1.14). The WPF shelf was tolerant of most substitutions, with para-chloro displaying a good balance of potency and physicochemical properties. The 6 -position of the core projects through the ZA channel, and it was found that phenyl 6 -substituents had increased potency due to lipophilic contact with the ZA loop and edge-to-face $\pi$-interactions with Trp81 of the WPF stack. The placement of polar groups at the para position of this ring was beneficial for both potency and solubility. In addition to potent ApoA1 activity, $\mathbf{1 . 1 4}$ successfully reduced the secretion of the proinflammatory cytokine IL-6 in human macrophages and whole blood, and like 1.06 showed therapeutic efficacy in a LPS-induced murine sepsis model. ${ }^{114}$


Figure 1.14. X-ray crystal structure of I-BET726 (1.14) bound to Brd2 BD2 (PDB 4UYG). ${ }^{114}$

## Other Chemotypes

The fragment screen which discovered THQ 1.13 also identified indolizine 1.15, again binding through the acetyl group with the pyridyl group packing against the WPF stack. ${ }^{139}$ Other members of this series have been optimised into inhibitors of non-BET bromodomains (See

Sections 1.5.2 and 1.5.5). Thiazolidinones have also been utilised as KAc mimetics, despite not occupying the lipophilic warhead pocket as seen for most other inhibitors.

Discovered through an in silico fragment docking study, the thiazolidinone fragment was optimised to afford structurally diverse BET inhibitors, including 1.16, which showed antiproliferative activity in HT-29, MV4-11 and MM-1S cancer cell lines. ${ }^{140,141}$

A fragment-based approach was also utilised by Pfizer in the development of the methyl quinazolinone PFI-1 (1.17). ${ }^{142,143}$ The reverse sulphonamide creates a turn that allows the aryl group to access the WPF shelf, with electron-rich aryls favoured and methoxyphenyl 1.17 selected for further development on the basis of physicochemical properties and potency. PFI1 showed anti-proliferative and apoptotic effects on leukaemia cell lines, with cMyc expression being downregulated. ${ }^{143}$ However, $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$ is susceptible to oxidative insertion of nucleophilic solvents ${ }^{142,144}$ and in the design of the des-thiobiotinylated analogue 1.18 an alternative quinolinone core was used. $\mathbf{1 . 1 8}$ is capable of being captured by streptavadin beads, and underwent chemoproteomic profiling which showed 1.18 to bind endogenous BET proteins in THP-1 cells. ${ }^{144}$

Zhou et al. have developed a series of diazobenzene-based BET inhibitors with a novel orthomethyl phenol as the KAc mimetic, exemplified by MS436 (1.19). ${ }^{145}$ The rigid diazobenzene spacer interacts with the water network at the base of the pocket, while the second aromatic ring occupies the ZA channel and forms edge-to-face interactions with the WPF Trp. In contrast to the frequent occupation of the WPF shelf by BET inhibitors, the sulphonamide instead allows the pyridine ring to sit on top of the WPF stack and form $\pi$ stacking interactions with the WPF Trp. ${ }^{145}$

### 1.4.3 Domain-Selective BET Inhibitors

The majority of the BET inhibitors disclosed thus far show little or no selectivity between the four BET proteins or the BD1 and BD2 domains, and are referred to as pan-BET inhibitors (Figure 1.15). The development of more selective BET inhibitors is a logical next step in this field, to help fully elucidate the biological roles of BET proteins and potentially offer clinical advantages over pan-BET inhibitors in terms of safety, efficacy or disease scope.


Figure 1.15. Nomenclature and predicted druggability of selective BET inhibitors.
The bromodomain sequence homology of the four dual-Brd BET proteins is very high, with the four BD1 and four BD2 domains showing closer homology than between BD1 and BD2 domains on each protein. ${ }^{65}$ This will likely prevent the design of protein selective BET inhibitors, and make single-domain inhibitors extremely challenging to develop (Figure 1.15). However, significant differences exist between the BD1 and BD2 domains which allow selectivity to be obtained. Comparison of X-ray apo structures for the Brd4 BD1 and BD2 KAc binding pockets (Figure 1.16), shows that for the majority of the binding site the proteins are essentially identical. The 'gatekeeper' residue which restricts access to the WPF shelf is lle in BD1 and Val in BD2, with a Lys/Gln switch at the base of the ZA channel. The greatest differences lie in the BC loop, with an Asp in BD1 exchanged for a His in BD2 and an Asp/Glu switch of the adjacent residue. This concentration of differences in one location close to the binding pocket is advantageous for the design of domain-selective inhibitors.


Figure 1.16. Comparison of the BRD4 BD1 (orange, PDB: 2OSS) and BD2 (cyan, PDB: 2DWW) X-ray apo structures, showing the BD1 surface. Water molecules are omitted for clarity. Differing residues are shown in bold.

From Figure 1.16, several potential strategies for domain selectivity can be formulated. The base of the binding pocket is smaller in BD1 due to the differing gatekeepers, and as such inhibitors with smaller cores are expected to exhibit BD1-bias due to increased lipophilic contacts. Larger molecules may clash with the extra methyl group in BD1, and so be BD2biased. The BD2-specific histidine is the most significant difference, and may be critical for gaining BD2 selectivity either through ionic interactions, hydrogen bonding or $\pi$ -
interactions. BD1 selectivity may be gained by placing a large enough group onto the WPF shelf that it clashes with the His and Glu in BD2.
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Figure 1.17. Reported domain-selective BET inhibitors.

Several reported inhibitors utilise these differences to gain selectivity (Figure 1.17). RVX208/apabetalone (1.20, Figure 1.17) is currently in clinical trials for cardiovascular disease (Section 1.4.1). 1.20 was discovered through a phenotypic screen measuring ApoA1 upregulation and later shown to be an inhibitor of BET bromodomains with selectivity for BD2. ${ }^{117,127}$ Brd3 BD2 selectivity for this compound was measured at 170-fold (AlphaScreen) and 20 -fold (ITC), with lesser selectivity and weaker binding to other members of the BET family. The differences in selectivity between assays were attributed to differences in peptide affinity for the two domains. ${ }^{127}$ The molecular basis of selectivity is hypothesised to be packing of the BD2-specific His against the dimethylphenol ring (Figure 1.18).


Figure 1.18. RVX-208 (1.20) bound to Brd4 BD1 (pink, PBD: 4MR4) overlaid with 1.20 bound to Brd2 BD2 (blue, PBD: 4MR6) showing the BD1 surface (left) and BD2 surface (right). Solvent is omitted for clarity.

Interestingly, the related compound RVX-OH (1.21), which lacks the hydroxyethyl moiety, showed much reduced selectivity. In BD1 1.21 adopts an alternative binding mode, with the free phenol group acting as the KAc mimetic. RVX-208 1.20 was only able to weakly displace chromatin from Brd3, and in a HepG2 cell line only affected the expression of 46 genes compared to 754 when the pan-BET inhibitor 1.05 was used. BD2 inhibition was not sufficient to produce a strong transcriptional response compared to pan-BET in a subset of these genes. ${ }^{127} 1.20$ also had no effect on oligodendrocyte progenitor differentiation, in contrast to the pan-BET phenotype where differentiation was inhibited. ${ }^{146}$

The altered binding mode of RVX-OH 1.21 was exploited to develop MS611 (1.22), ${ }^{146}$ like 1.19 a member of the diazobenzene family of BET inhibitors, ${ }^{145}$ which exhibits $\sim 100$-fold selectivity for Brd4 BD1. Interestingly, this compound is significantly less selective at Brd2 and unselective at Brd3. The histidine clashing strategy was utilised to develop Olinone (1.23), the tricyclic system of which occupies the whole of the larger BD1 WPF shelf with a pendant KAc mimetic to bind to the Asn. Occupying the location of the BD2-specific His produced a compound with no detectable affinity for BD2. BD1 inhibition with $\mathbf{1 . 2 2}$ promoted oligodendrocyte progenitor differentiation, while pan-BET inhibitors inhibited it. ${ }^{146}$

Ciulli et al. used a alternative 'bump-and-hole' strategy to investigate the roles of individual domains. ${ }^{147}$ Mutating a leucine residue in the KAc binding site to alanine created an artificial lipophilic binding cavity, which could be occupied with high affinity by 1.24 , an alkylated variant of I-BET762 (1.06). 1.06 did not bind to the mutant Brd and the altered compound 1.24 was significantly less potent towards wild-type BET. The mutant Brd gene could be spliced into cells as either the BD1 or BD2 domain without loss of function, therefore allowing selective inhibition of either domain using 1.24 and showing that blockade of BD1 alone is able to prevent the binding of chromatin to Brd4. ${ }^{147}$ During the development of $\mathbf{1 . 2 4}$, it was observed that the indole 1.25 displayed moderate wild-type BD2 selectivity at Brd2, though this was reduced to $\sim 10$-fold at Brd4. The increased domain selectivity was attributed to improved edge-to-face $\pi$-stacking with the BD2-specific His. ${ }^{148}$

### 1.4.4 Dual Kinase-Bromodomain Inhibitors

Several historical kinase inhibitors have subsequently shown activity against the bromodomain family. This is perhaps unsurprising, given the ability of bromodomains to bind
a variety of chemotypes and the absence of bromodomain-containing proteins from crossscreening panels at the time of their development. In addition, the N -terminal region of Brd4 contains several kinase-like motifs and the protein has been shown to act as an atypical kinase, selectively phosphorylating RNA Poll II. ${ }^{149}$ Kinase-bromodomain inhibitors may derive some of their efficacy from disrupting this function in addition to their primary kinase inhibitory activity, and dual inhibitors may offer benefits over single target inhibitors. ${ }^{150}$ The serendipitous kinase inhibitor hits contain interesting SAR and novel KAc mimetics, which can benefit the design of bromodomain inhibitors (Figure 1.19).
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Figure 1.19. Structures and BET biochemical potencies of reported dual kinase-bromodomain inhibitors. For Dinaciclib, the alternative KAc mimetic observed for Brd4 is highlighted pink.

The cyclin-dependant kinase (CDK) inhibitor Dinaciclib 1.26, currently in Phase III clinical trials for lymphocytic leukaemia, was shown to bind to BrdT by X-ray crystallography, though with relatively low potency. The pyridine N -oxide (blue) acts as the KAc mimetic, with the piperidine occupying the WPF shelf and the hinge binder forming hydrogen bonds to residues of the ZA loop. ${ }^{151}$ Interestingly, when crystallised in Brd4 a change in binding mode was observed, with the kinase hinge binding motif (pink) instead binding to the conserved Asn. ${ }^{152}$ This binding mode was also seen for TG-101209 (1.27), one of a series of structurally similar Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitors with BET activity. ${ }^{150,152}$ The piperazine packs against the ZA loop, while the tert-Bu group occupies the WPF shelf. 1.27 was also selective for the BET family over other bromodomains, and intriguingly showed bias for BrdT BD1 within the BET bromodomains. ${ }^{152}$

Polo-like kinase 1 (PLK1) inhibitor BI-2536 (1.28) has been shown to be a highly potent BETselective bromodomain inhibitor, ${ }^{150,152,153}$ capable of suppressing c-Myc expression in vitro, ${ }^{150}$ with the methyl quinoxalinone lactam forming the KAc mimetic. Surprisingly, given the high potency observed, the WPF shelf is not occupied - the phenyl ring passes through the ZA channel and packs against the WPF stack, while the cyclopentyl and piperidine point into solvent. The ethyl group occupies a lipophilic pocket on the side of the binding site and the pyrimidine amine forms a bidentate water-mediated hydrogen bond to a backbone Glu
residue. ${ }^{150,153}$ The high unoptimised potency of 1.28 offers opportunities to develop extremely potent BET inhibitors if regions such as the WPF shelf can be effectively accessed. Fletcher et al. carried out SAR studies and optimisation on 1.28, and while little effort was made to access the WPF shelf, selectivity for PLK1 over Brd4 could be encouragingly reversed by simply changing the amine linker to an oxygen, giving 1.29.153

### 1.4.5 Small Molecule-Induced Degradation

The accessible KAc binding pocket of the BET bromodomains makes inhibitors amenable to linking to chemical biology tools. Parallel approaches by Crews ${ }^{97}$ and Bradner ${ }^{154}$ described linking of triazolodiazepine scaffolds to a thalidomide-based group, which itself binds to the E3 ubiquitin ligase cereblon. The resulting bifunctional molecules, dBET (1.30) and ARV-825 (1.31), simultaneously bind to BET proteins and cereblon and bring them into proximity, allowing cereblon to ubiquitinylate the BET protein and mark it for proteosomal degradation.


Figure 1.20. Chimeric BET inhibitors for small molecule protein degradation. The ligase-binding motif is shown in red.
1.30 was capable of significantly degrading Brd4 in MV411 cells, with up to $95 \%$ downregulation observed after 2 h . This degradation resulted in downstream reduction of MYC levels, and increased apoptosis significantly compared to treatment with JQ1. In a mouse MV411 xenograft model, 1.30 was effective at preventing tumour growth and downregulated MYC expression. ${ }^{154} 1.31$ acts through the same mechanism, with an $E C_{50}$ below 1 nM . In Namalwa or Ramos cells, 1.31 treatment caused rapid and complete degradation of Brd4, whereas treatment with conventional inhibitors 1.05 and 1.07 caused protein accumulation. MYC levels remained reduced for 24 h , compared to 4 h with 1.05 , while antiproliferative and apoptotic activity in Burkitt's lymphoma cells was also superior to 1.05 and 1.07.97

MZ1 (1.32), developed by Ciulli et al., instead targets the E3 ligase VHL. ${ }^{155}$ Intriguingly, 1.32 showed preferential degradation of Brd4 over Brd2 and Brd3, possibly due to more efficient ubiquitinylation of Brd4 or preferential interaction of the VHL-binding motif with Brd4. As a result, $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ showed a gene expression profile more consistent with siBrd4 knockdown than pan-Brd inhibition (1.05), ${ }^{155}$ and offers a mechanism for protein selective BET inactivation.

### 1.5 Non-BET Bromodomains

Despite extensive efforts in the development of BET bromodomain inhibitors and the elucidation of BET function, the remaining 56 human bromodomains have received comparatively little attention. ${ }^{156}$ Most bromodomain-containing proteins are known as components of transcriptional mediator complexes, but their therapeutic potential and the specific roles of their bromodomain modules are unclear. The rapid discovery of BET phenotypes resulting from the disclosure of the initial BET chemical probes (in particular JQ1) has encouraged research into chemical probes for non-BET bromodomains. This is aided by the close homology of the bromodomain family, which allows knowledge of existing effective KAc mimetics to be transferred to novel targets. Based on published X-ray structures, an in silico druggability analysis of the bromodomain family examined pocket volume, shape and hydrophobicity using SiteMap software. Around $50 \%$ of bromodomains were predicted to be highly druggable, with $25 \%$ intermediate and $25 \%$ difficult to drug (Figure 1.21). ${ }^{157}$ Nevertheless, potent chemical tools for several of the bromodomains classified 'difficult' in this analysis have subsequently been disclosed (vide infra).


Figure 1.21. Druggability analysis of human bromodomains. Red = druggable, yellow = intermediate, white $=$ difficult. Reproduced from J. Med. Chem. 2012, 55, 7346-7359 (open access). ${ }^{157}$

### 1.5.1 ATAD2

ATAD2 (ATPase family AAA domain-containing protein 2, also known as (AAA nuclear coregulator cancer-associated protein (ANCCA)) is a transcriptional regulator which catalyses the decomposition of ATP to ADP through its AAA domain, and also contains a single bromodomain which may be linked to ATPase function. ${ }^{158}$ ATAD2 is recruited by the oestrogen receptor and acts as a co-activator of oestrogen receptor genes ${ }^{158}$ and the oncogene Myc. ${ }^{159}$ Through activation of oestrogen and androgen receptors ATAD2 amplifies its own expression, leading to ATAD2 activation in diverse cancers where these receptors are dysregulated. ${ }^{158}$ ATAD2 overexpression is indicative of higher mortality in lung, ${ }^{160}$ breast, ${ }^{160}$ hepatocellular, ${ }^{161}$ and prostate ${ }^{162}$ cancers, with siRNA silencing of ATAD2 moderating disease progression and promoting apoptosis. ${ }^{159-161}$ The closely homologous paralogue ATAD2B is less closely studied but has no strong link to human cancers. ${ }^{158}$

ATAD2 is considered less druggable than other bromodomains, ${ }^{157}$ and finding hits and leads has proved troublesome due to its shallow, flexible KAc binding site with several negatively charged residues on the ZA and BC loops. ${ }^{163}$ Fragment screens have had some success; Fesik and co-workers used an NMR screen to identify diverse ATAD2-binding fragments with reasonable ligand efficiency, such as $\mathbf{1 . 3 3 - 1 . 3 5}$ (Figure 1.22). ${ }^{164}$ The tricycle 1.34 shows an intriguing binding mode, with the triazole acting as the KAc mimetic, while the aniline occupies a pocket deeper within the ATAD2 binding site and displaces three water molecules, postulated to be the cause of the high potency. Thiazole 1.35 binds to the conserved Asn1046 through the lactam, with the aminothiazole pointing into the same pocket as 1.34 . The amine displaces a water molecule and makes multiple hydrogen bonds to solvent and backbone carbonyls. ${ }^{164}$ However, 2-aminothiazoles can show highly promiscuous 'frequent hitter' behaviour, particularly in fragment screens, and hits which contain this moiety should be treated with caution. ${ }^{165}$
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Figure 1.22. Structures and biochemical potencies of reported ATAD2 bromodomain inhibitors. Knapp et al. investigated the use of nucleoside bases in order to bind the negatively charged loop regions. Thymidine 1.36 bound with low affinity but showed interesting binding features, with the imide forming the KAc mimetic interaction and the furanose oxygen atoms displacing water molecules and forming water-mediated interactions with the protein backbone. Investigation of SAR around the sugar moiety failed to improve potency. ${ }^{163}$

Concurrently with discovery of fragment 1.33 by Fesik, a fragment screen within GSK also identified quinolinones as ATAD2 bromodomain inhibitors, with initial investigation affording 1.37. ${ }^{166}$ The 8 -amino substituent forms a bidentate hydrogen bond with the conserved Asn1064, improving potency compared to 1.33 . Optimisation resulted in 1.38, which maintained the ligand efficiency of 1.37 and exhibited micromolar potency at ATAD2 but was equipotent at BET bromodomains, preventing the use of 1.38 as a chemical probe. ${ }^{166}$ Further structure-based optimisation resulted in 1.39, which showed improved ATAD2 potency and selectivity over the BET family. ${ }^{167}$ The pyridine nitrogen displaces a water molecule and forms a hydrogen bond to Asp1014 of the ZA loop, while the piperidine nitrogen targets an acidic cluster formed of three Asp residues (Figure 1.23). The sulphone binds in a region termed the RVF shelf, analogous to the WPF shelf in BET bromodomains but significantly more polar, displacing a water molecule and hydrogen bonding to at least one arginine residue. This also reduces Brd4 potency due to the unfavourable placement of the polar sulphone on the lipophilic WPF shelf. However, 1.39 showed significant off-target activity at the bromodomains of TAF1 (2) (2.5-fold selectivity) and BRPF2 (13-fold selectivity). ${ }^{167}$ While this limits the utility of 1.39 , as the only highly potent ATAD2 inhibitor known 1.39 is suitable for use as a probe until more selective examples can be discovered.


Figure 1.23. X-ray crystal structure of $\mathbf{1 . 4 0}$ bound to the bromodomain of ATAD2 (PDB: 5A83). ${ }^{167}$ 1.5.2 BAZ

The BAZ (bromodomain adjacent to zinc finger domain) family of bromodomain-containing proteins consist of a C-terminal bromodomain adjacent to a PHD finger (also known as a zinc finger), a region termed the WAKZ motif and a leucine-rich helical (LH) domain along with several other conserved motifs. ${ }^{168}$ Four proteins make up the family; BAZ1A, BAZ1B, BAZ2A and BAZ2B. BAZ2B is considered among the least druggable bromodomains due to its shallow KAc binding pocket and lack of a WPF shelf or ZA channel. ${ }^{157}$ In part due to the lack of a suitable chemical probe, the exact biological role of BAZ proteins is unclear, but they are thought to have a role in regulating the ISWI chromatin remodelling complex. ${ }^{168}$ BAZ2A is a key component of the nucleolar remodelling complex (NoRC), which is responsible for heterochromatin formation and rRNA silencing. ${ }^{169}$

Despite the low predicted druggability, several BAZ2 inhibitors have been developed (Figure 1.24). A fragment screen against BAZ2B revealed several weak hits, which were optimised to afford the potent tricyclic compound 1.41, which utilises an N -methyl urea as the KAc mimetic and shows good selectivity over BET and CREBBP. The chlorophenyl packs against the WPF stack (Figure 1.25), which is conserved in the BET and BAZ bromodomains. ${ }^{170}$

1.41

BAZ2B IC $5_{50}=9 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (AlphaLISA)

NC


1.15


GSK2801 (1.44)
BAZ2B IC ${ }_{50}=0.009-0.35 \mu \mathrm{M}$
(Alphascreen)

Figure 1.24. Structures and biochemical potencies of reported BAZ2B bromodomain inhibitors.

Optimisation of a virtual screening hit gave the potent and selective inhibitor BAZ2-ICR (1.42). ${ }^{171}$ Close analogue 1.43 was crystallised into BAZ2A and was seen to adopt an intriguing intramolecular stacking conformation between the phenyl and triazole rings, that allows it to efficiently occupy the wide, shallow KAc binding site. In comparison to the binding mode of 1.41 , the methyl and nitrile groups of 1.43 displace three water molecules from the binding site, which may account for the high potency observed (Figure 1.25).


Figure 1.25. Overlaid X-ray crystal structures of $\mathbf{1 . 4 1}$ (magenta, PDB: $4 X U B$ ) ${ }^{170}$ and 1.43 (cyan, PDB: 4NRA) ${ }^{171}$ bound to the BAZ2B bromodomain.

In addition to significant BET activity (Figure 1.11), indolizine 1.15 was also equipotent at BAZ2A. The indolizine series was optimised to give the potent and selective probe GSK2801 (1.44), with no detectable BET activity. The pendant aryls of 1.15 and 1.44 protrude through the ZA channel, which is narrower in the BET bromodomains - introducing ortho substituents twists the pendant phenyl ring out of plane of the core, causing a clash with the BET protein. ${ }^{172}$ Activity at BAZ1 was not discussed for any of the published inhibitors 1.41-1.44.

### 1.5.3 BPTF

The Bromodomain/PHD finger Transcription Factor (BPTF) bromodomain-containing protein contains two PHD fingers (one of which co-locates with the bromodomain) and an extensive glutamine-rich acidic region. ${ }^{173}$ BPTF forms part of the mammalian orthologue of the Drosophila NURF chromatin remodelling complex ${ }^{174}$ and is essential for murine embryonic development. ${ }^{175}$ The PHD fingers are involved in chromatin binding along with the bromodomain, with the co-located PHD binding to $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{4} \mathrm{Me}_{3}$ and directing the bromodomain to bind selectively to H4K16Ac. ${ }^{176}$ BPTF is recruited at higher levels to bladder cancer oncogenes, ${ }^{177}$ while overexpression is correlated with tumour progression in colorectal cancer. ${ }^{178}$ Melanoma patients with higher BPTF expression also show poorer survival and heightened resistance to BRAF inhibitor therapy. ${ }^{179}$ Inhibitors of the BPTF bromodomain have received little attention, with the only inhibitor thus far disclosed being AU1 (1.45, Figure 1.26), discovered using a ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR screen. ${ }^{180}$ W2824 of the BPTF KAc pocket (analogous to that of the WPF stack in BET bromodomains) was benignly replaced with 5fluorotryptophan, allowing simple measurements of compound binding by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR. A screen of publicly available GSK kinase inhibitors identified 1.45 as selective for BPTF over Brd4(1), with good potency by ITC and cellular activity in a luciferase assay. The binding mode of
1.45 to the BPTF bromodomain is not known, but the ester group was shown to be required for activity. ${ }^{180}$


AU1 (1.45)
BPTF K $_{\mathrm{D}}: 2.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (ITC)

Figure 1.26. Reported BPTF bromodomain inhibitor AU1.

### 1.5.4 BRPF

The BRPF (Bromodomain and PHD Finger-containing) family of proteins consists of BRPF1, BRPF2 (also referred to as Brd1) and BRPF3. The BRPFs act as scaffolds to assemble complexes of MYST (MOZ, YBF2, SAS2 and IIP60) family histone acetyltransferases. BRPF1 is involved in the assembly of the MOZ (monocytic leukemic zinc-finger) HAT complex, a quaternary tetrameric complex between itself, the MOZ catalytic subunit, ING5 (inhibitor of growth 5) and hEAF6 (human Esa1-associated growth factor) (Figure 1.27a). ${ }^{181}$ The MOZ HAT can control the expression of homeobox (HOX) genes, ${ }^{182,183}$ which control the body plan of a developing embryo, and plays a direct role in blood cell formation (haematopoiesis) and the development of haematopoietic stem cells. ${ }^{184}$ Mutation of BRPF1 affects skeletal development
and HOX transcription in zebrafish. ${ }^{185}$ Fusion of MOZ and CBP genes results in a rare form of acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) with poor prognosis, ${ }^{182}$ and disruption of MOZ HAT activity is linked to leukaemia development. ${ }^{181}$ BRPF1 also has a critical role during brain development, with loss causing abnormal morphology in the hippocampus. ${ }^{186}$ BRPF2 forms a tetramer with HBO1, another MYST family HAT, and the resulting complex acetylates H3K14 and may play a major role in the transcriptional activation of genes which regulate erythroid development. ${ }^{187}$ In addition, BRPF2 is widely expressed in brain tissue, with mutation linked to abnormal brain development, ${ }^{188}$ autism spectrum disorder, ${ }^{189}$ schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. ${ }^{188,190,191}$ Interestingly, the link to schizophrenia was observed only in European populations. ${ }^{190,192}$ The role of BRPF3 is currently unclear.


Figure 1.27. a Schematic of the quaternary MOZ HAT complex. b Sequence of BRPF1.
The sequence of BRPF1 is shown in Figure 1.27b. ${ }^{193}$ Two protein interaction domains, I and II, bind to MOZ and ING5/hEAF6 respectively to form the MOZ HAT tetramer. The bromodomain binds to acetylated histones and the PWWP domain binds histones independent of their acetylation marks, recruiting the MOZ complex to active chromatin. ${ }^{183} \mathrm{~A}$ double PHD and Zinc finger (ZnF) assembly (PZP) binds unmodified histones and DNA. BRPF1 has two isoforms, $1 A$ and $1 B$, of which only $1 B$ is capable of binding histones due to a residue insertion in the ZA loop of 1A. BRPF1 shows specificity in its binding to KAc residues, ${ }^{55,193}$ with strong binding to H2AK5Ac, H4K12Ac and H2K14Ac due to hydrogen bonds between the peptide and bromodomain in addition to the KAc binding interaction. Apart from H2AK5Ac, all peptides binding to BRPF1 contain either sterically small glycine or alanine residues on either side of the acetylated lysine. ${ }^{193}$


Figure 1.28. Reported BRPF family bromodomain inhibitors

BRPF1 is considered to be druggable (see Section 1.5), ${ }^{157}$ and several groups have independently discovered potent BRPF inhibitors based on a 1,3-dimethylbenzimidazolone motif (Figure 1.28). Work within GSK to develop a knowledge-based library of potential KAc mimetics identified 1.46 as a potent, selective inhibitor of the BRPF1 bromodomain (Figure 1.28). ${ }^{194}$ The imidazolone group acts as the KAc mimetic, with the aromatic amide resting on the ZA loop and binding to Glu661 (Figure 1.29). An intramolecular NH-OMe H-bond locks the amide in the bound conformation, also forcing the piperidine to twist out of plane and sit on a small lipophilic shelf region. BRPF bromodomains lack a WPF stack or shelf, with the gatekeeper being an aromatic Phe714 which forms m-stacking interactions with the benzimidazolone core. While potent and selective for BRPF1 over BRPF2/3 and other bromodomains, 1.46 is poorly soluble, which may limit its utility. ${ }^{194}$ Coincidentally, work by Pfizer and the SGC produced the highly similar inhibitor PFI-4 (1.47). ${ }^{195}$


Figure 1.29. X-ray crystal structure of $\mathbf{1 . 4 6}$ bound to the bromodomain of BRPF1 (PDB 4UYE). ${ }^{194}$
The benzimidazolone core was also optimised by the SGC into OF-1 (1.48), which was less potent at BRPF1 and showed lesser selectivity over BRPF2/3 compared to $\mathbf{1 . 4 6}$. This panBRPF profile is potentially useful in determining the relative biological roles of the BRPF family members. However, 1.48 displays only 40 -fold selectivity over the BET family. ${ }^{196}$ Additionally, the SGC and University College London have developed NI-57 (1.49), the only non-benzimidazolone BRPF inhibitor currently disclosed. ${ }^{197}$ The binding mode remains similar, with the $N$-Me quinoxalinone presumably acting as the KAc mimetic. Similar to 1.48, 1.49 displays a relatively pan-BRPF selectivity profile and moderate selectivity over other bromodomains. ${ }^{197}$

While 1.46-1.49 show good to moderate selectivity for BRPFs over other bromodomains, addition of a phenyl ring to the vector occupied by a methoxy group in 1.48 produced IACS9571 (1.50), ${ }^{198}$ and $1.51^{199}$ and which show high potency at both BRPF1 and the TIF1a (transcription initiator factor 1A, also known as tripartite motif 24, TRIM24) bromodomains. Both compounds adopt similar binding modes, with the pendant aromatic rings stacking against each other and occupying the regions on the edge of the KAc pocket.

In the case of 1.50, the butyl chain protrudes through the ZA channel and interacts with an 198 acidic Asp in TIF1a (and possibly a
Glu in BRPF1). $\mathbf{1 . 5 1}$ had no significant cytotoxicity against a panel of cancer cell lines. ${ }^{199}$ Interestingly, ATAD2 inhibitor 1.39 is the only compound disclosed with bias for BRPF2 over BRPF1, ${ }^{167}$ and no BRPF3-biased examples or BRPF3 X-ray structures are known.

### 1.5.5 Brd7 and Brd9

The closely homologous bromodomain-containing proteins 7 (Brd7) and 9 (Brd9) are believed to play a role in chromatin remodelling, and are known to form part of the SWI/SNF (SWItch/Sucrose Non-Fermentable) nuclear remodelling complex. ${ }^{200}$ The SWI/SNF complex as a whole is known to play a significant role in various malignancies, and has been posited as a potential oncology target, ${ }^{201,202}$ though the exact roles of $\mathrm{Brd7}$ and Brd 9 within the complex is unclear. Brd7 binds to KAc residues on histones H 3 and H 4 but lacks binding specificity. ${ }^{203}$ A link as been shown between polymorphism of $\mathrm{Brd7}$ and increased risk of pancreatic cancer, ${ }^{204}$ and $\mathrm{Brd7}$ is widely thought to act as a tumour suppressor. ${ }^{205,206} \mathrm{Brd9}$, however, is frequently overexpressed in cervical cancer, ${ }^{207}$ though its biological role is less well known compared to Brd7. Brd9 is also one of the few BRDs able to bind butyryl-lysine. ${ }^{66}$



Brd9 $K_{D}=68 \mathrm{nM}$ (ITC) $\mathrm{Brd} 7 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{D}}=368 \mathrm{nM}$ (ITC)

l-Brd9 (1.54)
$\mathrm{Brd} 9 \mathrm{pIC}_{50}=7.3$ (TR-FRET)
200-fold selectivity over Brd7 (BROMOScan)

Figure 1.30. Structures and biochemical potencies of reported Brd7 and Brd9 bromodomain inhibitors.
Diverse small-molecule inhibitors of these bromodomains have been developed (Figure 1.30). LP99 (1.52) is a potent Brd9 inhibitor, but shows only 10 -fold selectivity over Brd7. 1.52 binds to the conserved Asn and Tyr residues through its N -methyl quinolinone moiety, which also packs against the Tyr106 gatekeeper residue in Brd9. The piperidinone ring facilitates close shape complementarity with a more open portion of the binding site, while the sulphonamide NH and lactam carbonyl groups form hydrogen bonds to the protein backbone. When administered to LPS-stimulated THP cells, $\mathbf{1 . 5 2}$ downregulated the proinflammatory cytokine IL-6, indicating a possible immunological role for Brd7/9. 208

During the development of the BAZ2 probe 1.44 (see Section 1.5.2) molecules with Brd9 activity were identified, and this series was optimised to give potent Brd7/9 inhibitor 1.53. Selectivity is attributed to the imidazopyrimidine motif, which clashes with the BAZ and Brd4 KAc pockets and forms a $\pi$-stacking interaction with Tyr 222 in $\mathrm{Brd} 9 .{ }^{209} \mathrm{BI}-9564$, the structure of which has not been disclosed, is also available as a chemical probe with $\mathrm{Brd9}^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{D}=14 \mathrm{nM}$ (ITC) and reasonable selectivity ( $B r d 7 K_{D}=239 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{CECR} 2 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{D}}=258 \mathrm{nM}$ (ITC)). ${ }^{210}$

The thienopyridone I-Brd9 (1.54) also shows potent inhibition of Brd9, though with improved Brd7 selectivity compared to $\mathbf{1 . 5 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 5 3}$. The ethyl pyridone forms the KAc mimetic, with the amidine forming hydrogen bonds through both NH motifs to the key Asn100 and backbone lle53 (Figure 1.31). In addition, the amidine improves selectivity over the BET bromodomains due to the more lipophilic nature of the relevant region in the BET KAc pocket. Though capable of displacing endogenous Brd9 from HuT-78 cell lysates, no phenotypic data for 1.54 were reported. ${ }^{211}$


Figure 1.31. X-ray structure of I-Brd9 (1.54) bound to the Brd9 bromodomain (gray, PDB: 4UIW). ${ }^{211}$

### 1.5.6 Brd8

Brd8 (also known as p120), of which at least three isoforms exist, is a nuclear receptor coactivator which interacts with the thyroid hormone receptor through its bromodomain. ${ }^{212}$ Isoforms 1 and 2 are components of the NuA4 HAT complex, which coactivates diverse transcription processes and is critical for DNA repair. ${ }^{213}$ Brd8 is overexpressed in several metastatic colorectal cancer cell lines, with knockdown of Brd8 using siRNA slowing cell growth and sensitising cells towards spindle poisons. ${ }^{214}$ Brd8 also forms a chromatin remodelling complex with p400 which is required for fat cell differentiation. ${ }^{215}$ Given the ability of BET bromodomains to affect lipoprotein expression ${ }^{114-116}$ this increases evidence that bromodomain inhibition may be of relevance in metabolic diseases. No inhibitors of the Brd8 bromodomain have been reported.

### 1.5.7 BRWD

The bromodomain and WD repeat-containing protein family consists of BRWD1 (also known as WRD9), PHIP (pleckstrin homology domain interacting protein, or BRWD2) and BRWD3.

WD repeats are 40 -amino acid structural motifs which repeat up to 16 times, forming a circular $\beta$-propeller structure involved in protein complex assembly. ${ }^{216}$ Each family member contains several WD repeats followed by two bromodomain modules, but are otherwise diverse. ${ }^{217}$

As with BrdT, ${ }^{113}$ BRWD1 is required for spermatogenesis, ${ }^{217}$ but in general its function is unknown. PHIP is involved in insulin signalling pathways and enhances the production of insulin-secreting beta cells, ${ }^{218,219}$ and may be involved in protein degradation through association with E3 ubiquitin ligases. ${ }^{220}$ A role in DNA damage repair through interaction with damage-specific DNA binding protein 1 (Ddb1) has also been suggested. ${ }^{220}$ BRDW3 is strongly implicated in neurological disorders, with mutations of BRDW3 observed in cases of intellectual disability with macrocephaly ${ }^{221,222}$ and West syndrome, a form of epileptic encephalopathy. ${ }^{223}$ Despite PHIP being classed as druggable, ${ }^{157}$ no BRWD family inhibitors have been reported.

### 1.5.8 CECR2

CECR2 (cat eye syndrome chromosome region, candidate 2) forms part of the ATPdependant CECR2-containing remodelling factor (CERF) chromatin remodelling complex. ${ }^{224}$ The protein contains a single bromodomain, in addition to an AT hook motif which binds to

A/T rich regions of DNA. CECR2 is highly expressed in the neural tube (the precursor to the CNS) in developing embryos, ${ }^{224}$ in addition to roles in spermatogenesis, ${ }^{225}$ and DNA damage repair following double strand breaks. ${ }^{226}$ Along with Brd9, CECR2 is also capable of binding butyryl-lysine. ${ }^{66}$

NVS-1, a selective chemical probe for the CECR2 bromodomain with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}=80 \mathrm{nM}$ (ITC), has been developed by the SGC and Novartis. ${ }^{227}$ ATAD2 inhibitor $\mathbf{1 . 3 9}{ }^{167}$ and unpublished Brd7/9 inhibitor $\mathrm{BI}-9564{ }^{210}$ also show moderate CECR2 activity.

### 1.5.9 CREBBP and EP300

Cyclic-AMP response element binding protein (CREBBP or CBP) and E1A binding protein (EP300 or p300) are closely related lysine acetyltransferases (KATs) that each contain a single, highly homologous bromodomain in addition to their KAT domain. K382 of the tumour suppressor p53 (which is mutated in $50 \%$ of human cancers ${ }^{228}$ ) is acetylated in response to cellular stress, and binds to CREBBP to initiate cell cycle arrest or apoptosis. ${ }^{229}$ CREBBP inhibitors are of interest to probe this interaction, investigate additional biological roles of the CREBBP bromodomain, and as potential treatments for diseases involving aberrant p53.

Despite low bromodomain sequence homology, CREBBP activity is often observed as an offtarget for BET inhibitors. ${ }^{135,143,145}$ This has allowed a transfer of knowledge and chemotypes from BET inhibitor design, and has enabled the design of several CREBBP/p300-selective inhibitors (Figure 1.32). However, no strong CREBBP/EP300 phenotype or disease link has been disclosed thus far.



I-CBP112 (1.57)
CREBBP IC $_{50}=151 \mathrm{nM}$ (ITC) No Brd4(1) activity detected

$\mathrm{R}=2$-furyl 1.58
CREBBP K ${ }_{D}=170 \mathrm{nM}$
$\operatorname{Brd4}(1) \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}=10000 \mathrm{nM}$
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H} 1.59$
CREBBP $K_{D}=880 \mathrm{nM}$

Figure 1.32. Structures and biochemical potencies of reported CREBBP family bromodomain inhibitors. the KAc mimetic is highlighted in blue, the arginine-binding group in green.

CREBBP activity was observed during early development of the dimethylisoxazole-based BET inhibitor 1.09 (which itself lacks measurable CREBBP activity), ${ }^{135}$ and Hay et al. optimised the same template to obtain potent and selective inhibitor $1.55 .{ }^{230}$ Key to their strategy was interaction with a CREBBP-specific Arg1173 in the region analogous to the BET WPF shelf, with the pendant phenyl forming cation-m interactions with this residue. The morpholine occupies the ZA channel, being conformationally restricted by the chiral methyl. Intriguingly, selectivity over Brd4 BD1 (40-fold) was significantly lower than over Brd4 BD2 (250-fold). ${ }^{230}$

Rooney et al. designed dihydroquinoxalinone inhibitor 1.56, which was less potent and CREBBP/p300 selective but still capable of displacing the CREBBP bromodomain from chromatin. The amide and dihydroquinoxalinone form an intramolecular hydrogen bond which restricts the conformation of the side chain, allowing the tetrahydroquinoline to occupy an induced-fit pocket under Arg1173 and form a cation-m interaction (Figure 1.33). ${ }^{231}$ I-CBP112 (1.57), developed by the SGC and GSK, inhibited renewal of AML cells in vivo and enhanced the cytotoxic effects of both BET inhibitors and doxorubicin. ${ }^{232,233}$

Caflisch and Nevado carried out a high throughput fragment-based docking screen to identify CREBBP inhibitors, ${ }^{234}$ identifying acetylbenzenes such as $1.58,{ }^{235}$ which showed good CREBBP/p300 potency and excellent selectivity over the BET family and other bromodomains. The acetyl group acts as KAc mimetic, while the benzoic acid forms an ion pair with Arg1173. The amide carbonyl also forms a through-water hydrogen bond to this residue (Figure 1.33) Although this series was generally inactive when dosed to a panel of cancer cell lines, it is speculated this is a result of poor permeability due to the acid group. ${ }^{235}$


Figure 1.33. X-ray crystal structures of $\mathbf{1 . 5 6}$ (yellow, PDB: 4NYX) ${ }^{231}$ and 1.59 (magenta, PDB: 4TQN) ${ }^{235}$ bound to the CREBBP bromodomain, showing interaction with Arg1173. Water molecules omitted for clarity.

### 1.5.10 PB1 and SMARCA2/4

Polybromodomain-containing protein 1 (PB1, also known as BAF180) contains six bromodomain modules (BD1-6), two bromo-adjacent homology (BAH) domains, and a highmobility group (HMG) DNA-binding domain. ${ }^{236}$ The bromodomains bind to histone H3 preferentially, ${ }^{237}$ and may cooperate with the HMG domain to recruit PB1 to specific loci based on precise acetylation patterns. PB1 forms part of the polybromo/BRG1-associated factors (PBAF) complex, which is involved in cell cycle progression and mitosis. ${ }^{237,238}$ The PBAF complex and PB1 are essential for cardiac development, ${ }^{239}$ and PB1 is able to regulate the tumour suppressor p21, being mutated in breast ${ }^{236,240}$ and renal ${ }^{241}$ cancers.

The PBAF complex is one of the human analogues of the SWI/SNF nuclear remodelling complex, as is the BRG1- or HRBM-associated factors (BAF) complex. ${ }^{242}$ BRG1 and HRBM are more commonly known as SWI/SNF-related, Matrix-associated, Actin-dependent
$\underline{R e g u l a t o r ~ C h r o m a t i n ~(S M A R C)-A 2 ~ a n d ~ S M A R C A 4 . ~ T h e s e ~ c l o s e l y ~ r e l a t e d ~ p r o t e i n s ~ c o n t a i n ~}$ bromodomains (closely homologous to those of PB1) ${ }^{157}$ and ATPase domains, forming the mutually exclusive catalytic subdomains of the BAF/PBAF complexes. ${ }^{242}$ The SWI/SNF complex is mutated in various cancers, ${ }^{201,202,242}$ and inactivation of SMARCA2 is lethal to SMARCA4-deficient cancers. ${ }^{243-245}$

PFI-3 (1.60) is a potent inhibitor of the SMARCA2/4 and PB1(5) bromodomains with excellent selectivity over the other 5 PB1 bromodomains by $\mathrm{Tm}_{m}$ shift (Figure 1.34). ${ }^{246,247}$ Though the binding mode of 1.60 is unclear, crystallography of similar molecules in the PB1(5) bromodomain suggests that the salicylic acid motif acts as the KAc mimetic, binding deep within the KAc binding site and completely displacing the water network. ${ }^{248,249}$ However, in contrast to SMARCA2/4 knockdown, PFI-3 showed no effect when dosed to rhabdoid cancer or leukemia cells and was unable to displace the SWI/SNF complex from chromatin. ${ }^{246}$ This indicates that the bromodomain of SMARCA2/4 is not involved in

SWI/SNF-dependant cancers, and other domains also influence chromatin binding. ${ }^{246}$


PFI-3 (1.60)
PB1 (5) $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}=48 \mathrm{nM}$ (ITC)
SMARCA4 $K_{D}=89 \mathrm{nM}$ (ITC)

Figure 1.34. Structure and biochemical potencies of $\mathrm{PFI}-3$. The KAc mimetic is highlighted in blue.

### 1.5.11 PCAF

P300/CREBBP-associated factor (PCAF/KAT2B) is a transcriptional coactivator which contains HAT and E3 ubiquitin ligase domains, and a single bromodomain. Zhou's seminal work on the PCAF bromodomain was the first to solve the 3D structure of a bromodomain and identify KAc as the endogenous binding partner. ${ }^{250}$ PCAF and TAF selectively recognise H3K14Ac over other bromodomains, ${ }^{251}$ and PCAF is strongly implicated in HIV progression. The viral activator Tat is activated by p300-mediated K50 acetylation ${ }^{252}$ allowing recruitment to the PCAF bromodomain to enable HIV transcription. ${ }^{253}$

This disease link lead to early investigation of PCAF inhibitors (Figure 1.35). PCAF bromodomain inhibitor 1.61 was the first KAc mimetic described, with highly efficient binding in an ELISA peptide displacement assay. Interestingly, an NMR structure indicates the compound does not bind to the conserved Asn, with the nitro binding to Tyr802 and the terminal amine making electrostatic interactions with Glu750. ${ }^{254}$ Using in silico-guided design
to identify potentially displaceable water molecules, the series was optimised further to give 1.62, which was active in reducing Tat-mediated HIV-1 transcription. ${ }^{255}$ Further work identified the amino analogue 1.63 , which showed improved potency to 1.61 when dosed to C 8166 cells infected with HIV-1. ${ }^{256}$

1.61

PCAF $\mathrm{IC}_{50}($ LE $)=1.6 \mu \mathrm{M}(0.54)($ ELISA $)$
$E C_{50} \approx 10 \mu \mathrm{M}$
(Tat-mediated HIV-1 LTR-luciferase)
$\mathrm{EC}_{50}=2.76 \mu \mathrm{M}$
(HIV-1-infected C8166 cells)

1.62
$E C_{50}=1 \mu \mathrm{M}$
(HIV-1 LTR-luciferase reporter)

1.63
$E C_{50}=0.63 \mu \mathrm{M}$
(HIV-1-infected C8166 cells)

Figure 1.35. Structure and potencies of PCAF inhibitors.

### 1.5.12 SP100/110/140

The SP100/110/140 proteins contain chromatin-interacting regions such as bromodomains, PHD and SAND domains, ${ }^{257,258}$ and are found within pro-myelocytic leukaemia (PML) nuclear body complexes which act as tumour suppressors and regulate cellular function. ${ }^{259}$

The family appears to have a strong immunological role. SP100 represses viral replication during early stages of infection with human papmillovirus ${ }^{260}$ and cytomegalovirus. ${ }^{261}$ SP100/140 are common autoantibodies in primary biliary cirrhosis patients. ${ }^{262,263}$ SP110 functions as an transcriptional coactivator of nuclear hormones ${ }^{264}$ and as an immunoprotectant against infectious organisms, being expressed in lymphocytes, spleen and liver. Mutations cause immunodeficiency and decreased T-cell production and are linked to hepatic venoocclusive disease. ${ }^{265}$ SP140 is induced by the cytokine IFNy, ${ }^{257}$ and may also play a role in response to, and inactivation of, HIV-1 lacking the viral infectivity factor
(Vif). ${ }^{266}$ The SP140 gene locus has been identified as a susceptible region for Crohn's disease ${ }^{267}$ and for single nucleotide polymorphisms in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. ${ }^{268}$

The SP100 bromodomain (and presumably those of the closely related SP110/140) is atypical, with a significantly smaller binding pocket due to increased numbers of Phe and Tyr residues in the loop regions. ${ }^{269,270}$ It is unknown whether KAc binding is possible, and no small-molecule bromodomain inhibitors have been reported.

### 1.5.13 TAF1

TAF1 (Transcription initiation factor TFIID subunit 1, also known as TAF॥-250 or p250) is a component of the TFIID basal transcription factor, which binds to DNA start sites to initiate transcription. TAF1 contains two bromodomains, which specifically recognise the diacetylated H4 tail, ${ }^{271}$ and a TATA-box binding domain which recognises the TATA-binding protein, another component of TFIID which binds to DNA. ${ }^{272}$ TAF1(2) is the only bromodomain capable of binding the uncommon crotonyl-lysine mark. ${ }^{66}$ TAF1 has several testes-specific homologues with high sequence homology and similar function. ${ }^{12,273}$ TAF1 enhances the transcriptional activity of the androgen receptor (AR) and overexpression is linked to ARmediated progression of prostate cancer, ${ }^{274}$ with mutation observed in some severe intellectual disabilities ${ }^{275,276}$ and progressive movement disorders. ${ }^{277}$ Silencing of TAF1 induces production of the tumour suppressor p53, ${ }^{278}$ and TAF1 is frequently mutated in uterine serous carcinoma. ${ }^{279}$ Although no selective TAF inhibitors have been disclosed, ATAD2 inhibitor 1.39 shows high TAF1(2) activity (BROMOscan $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{i}}=7.3$ ). ${ }^{167}$

### 1.5.14 TIF1a

The TIF1 $\alpha$ (transcription initiator factor 1a, also known as tripartite motif 24, TRIM24) protein contains a dual PHD-bromodomain motif which is capable of combinatorial binding of both unmodified and acetylated histone lysines. ${ }^{280}$ TIF1a acts as a coactivator of the estrogen receptor ${ }^{280}$ and as a mediator of nuclear receptor-ligand binding to represses the activity of the retinoic acid receptor. ${ }^{281}$ TIF1a also contains a RING-type E3 ubiquitin ligase which ubiquitinylates and degrades the tumour suppressor p53, with TIF1a depletion in human breast cancer cells causing apoptosis. ${ }^{282}$ TIF1a is overexpressed in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, ${ }^{283}$ glioblastoma, ${ }^{284}$ gastric cancer, ${ }^{285}$ and non-small cell lung cancer ${ }^{286}$ with raised TIF1a expression levels correlated with poorer overall survival. Despite this strong disease link, the only TIF1a inhibitors reported to date are the dual BRPF-TIF1a inhibitors $1.50^{198}$ and $1.51^{199}$ (See Section 1.5.4).

### 1.6 The Future of Bromodomains as Small-Molecule Targets

Progress in the development of small-molecule bromodomain inhibitors continues to accelerate. Only a decade has elapsed since the first report of small-molecule bromodomain inhibitors, ${ }^{254}$ yet potent and selective inhibitors for the majority of human bromodomains have been discovered and several BET inhibitors have entered clinical trials.

The coming years are expected to see the maturation of the field. The plethora of BET inhibitors in oncology clinical trials will confirm or deny their potential as anti-cancer targets, and positive safety findings may see the launch of trials for more chronic conditions such as HIV and inflammation. Focus is beginning to shift towards non-BET bromodomains - the development of inhibitors for the remaining targets and discovery of probes with improved potency or selectivity is expected to continue. Reports are beginning to emerge of target validation/invalidation using these probes, ${ }^{233,246}$ and positive results may see non-BET bromodomain inhibitors enter clinical trials. Approaches such as designed polypharmacology, ${ }^{150}$ targeted protein degradation, ${ }^{97,154}$ antibody or gene therapy may also be further investigated. Currently, opportunity exists in four underexplored areas:

- The development of BET inhibitors with selectivity within the BET family, which may offer differentiated pharmacology compared to pan-BET inhibitors. The BD2 domains in particular are poorly served, with only weakly selective inhibitors reported. Single domain inhibitors are also unknown.
- The development of chemical probes for bromodomains where no inhibitor currently exists, to enable target validation studies and explore the druggability of the target.
- The development of additional probes for bromodomains where existing inhibitors are weakly potent, poorly selective or only a single chemotype is known. High quality chemical probes are required to prevent ambiguity in phenotypic assay results, and testing multiple chemotypes reduces the likelihood of off-target driven effects.
- Development of bromodomain inhibitors with novel, patentable structures. The intellectual property landscape around the BET family is highly competitive, and progress in the field is fast-paced. Probes with drug-like properties or fast-following leads will expedite the development of bromodomain inhibitor candidates.

This work will seek to develop, through small-molecule medicinal chemistry, chemical probes to meet these requirements.

## 2. Design and Synthesis of Tetrahydroquinoxalines as BD2Selective BET Inhibitors

### 2.1 Introduction

The tetrahydroquinoline (THQ) fragment 1.13 is a known efficient BET inhibitor (Figure 2.1) ${ }^{139}$ with high synthetic tractability. 287 The THQ core has been successfully optimised against ApoA1 upregulation (without knowledge of molecular target) to give IBET-726 (1.14), subsequently shown to be a potent BET inhibitor. ${ }^{114}$ However, in vivo cleavage of the C4-N bond of $\mathbf{1 . 1 4}$ (a potential metabolic route could involve hydrolysis of the acetamide and
oxidative elimination of the aniline to afford a quinoline) would produce a potentially mutagenic free aniline. ${ }^{288}$ The mutagenicity risk of 4-chloroaniline is ambiguous, with both negative and positive responses observed, ${ }^{289}$ and bacterial or rodent ex vivo assays may not give the full picture of mutagenicity. To fully discharge the risk the masked aniline moiety could be removed from the structure - a potential alternative is the use of a tetrahydroquinoxaline (THQx) core, which shares the KAc mimetic and general core structure of 1.14 but removes the pendant aniline. It should be noted that the THQx core still contains two embedded anilines, but these are less likely to be fully unmasked in vivo due to their higher degree of substitution and position within the centre of the molecule, protecting them from metabolising enzymes. Within GSK, $N$-acetyl tetrahydroquinoxalines have shown activity as upregulators of ApoA1, and subsequently found be active as BET inhibitors. When a selection of THQx compounds were tested against the Brd4 bromodomains, several showed BD2-biased profiles. ${ }^{290}$ The fragment 2.001 showed comparable Brd4 potency to 1.13 and a slight preference for BD2 over BD1, while the benzyl derivative 2.002 exhibited a significant increase in potency and an intriguing 30 -fold BD2 selectivity.




$\begin{array}{cc}\text { TR-FRET } \mathrm{plC}_{50} & \text { TR-FRET pIC } 50 \\ \operatorname{Brd4}(1): 3.5^{\mathrm{b}} & \text { Brd4(1): } 4.8 \times 32\end{array}$


Brd4(1): 8.5
Brd4(2): 9.4
$\operatorname{Brd4}(1): 3.5^{\circ}$
$\operatorname{Brd4}(2): 3.9^{\mathrm{c}}$
$\operatorname{Brd4}(1): 4.8 \times 32$
$\operatorname{Brd4}(2): 6.3$

2.003
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Figure 2.1. Published THQ BET inhibitors and initial THQx hits. FRET data are $n=2$ or greater unless otherwise specified. (a) $\mathrm{n}=2,<4.3$ on two additional test occasions; (b) $\mathrm{n}=1,<3.3$ on two additional test occasions, $<4.3$ on two further test occasions; (c) $n=3,<4.3$ on two additional test occasions.

An X-ray structure of the close analogue 2.003 bound to Brd4 BD2 was obtained (Figure 2.2). The acetyl group of 2.003 overlaid well with the acetyl group of a native RelA $\mathrm{K}_{310} \mathrm{Ac}$ peptide ligand, ${ }^{291}$ with the tolyl group placed on the WPF shelf between the WPF stack and the BD2specific histidine.


Figure 2.2 X-ray crystal structures of $\mathbf{2 . 0 0 3}$ bound to Brd4 BD2 (green, 1.83 Å), ${ }^{292}$ showing the 2.003 BD2 surface and solvent (red), overlaid with RelA $K_{310} A c$ peptide (orange, 2.0 Å, PDB 4KV4) ${ }^{291}$. Water molecules from the peptide are omitted for clarity.

THQx 2.003 adopted a very similar binding mode to THQ $1.14{ }^{139}$ (Figure 2.3), with the KAc mimetic, fused phenyl ring and WPF shelf-occupying tolyl group overlaying closely. However, the saturated ring of the tetrahydroquinoxaline core adopts a flatter conformation that alters the angle onto the WPF shelf. The close overlay of the core and KAc mimetic with the peptide and an established ligand indicates that they may be near optimal and poorly tolerate substitution. The 2-methyl group of $\mathbf{1 . 1 4}$ occupies a small lipophilic pocket, ${ }^{139}$ and the unsubstituted ring of $\mathbf{2 . 0 0 3}$ can be seen to pucker in order to fill this pocket. Placement of small alkyl substituents at this position may improve potency by occupying the pocket more effectively. The core 6-positions of 2.003 and 1.14 are also in very similar positions, indicating that substitution from the vector could allow access to the ZA channel.


Figure 2.3. X-ray crystal structures of $\mathbf{2 . 0 0 3}$ bound to Brd4 BD2 (green, 1.83 Å), 292 showing the 2.003 BD2 surface and solvent (red spheres), overlaid with 1.14 bound to Brd4 BD2 (yellow, 2.0 Å, PDB 4UYG). ${ }^{139}$

### 2.2. Aims

The promising potency and selectivity of the initial tetrahydroquinoxaline hits gave confidence that the series could be optimised to provide molecules with improved potency, selectivity and physicochemical properties. This work aimed to optimise the THQx series in order to identify a molecule which would be suitable for use as a BD2-domain selective in vitro tool to profile the biological role of BD2 in immune and cancer cells. Therefore, a molecule was desired which met the following criteria:

1) Brd4 BD2 plC $50>7$ and 50 -fold selectivity over BD1 (ideally 100 -fold).
2) $>50$-fold selectivity over other bromodomain families.
3) Acceptable physicochemical properties (CLND solubility>100 $\mu \mathrm{M}$, artificial membrane permeability (AMP)>100 $\mathrm{nms}^{-1}$, ChromLogD7.4 2-4). ${ }^{16}$ 4) Evidence of cellular target engagement.

In order to achieve these goals, the strategy to be followed was:

- Identify and explore the principal vectors for substitution from the THQx core and determine the optimal substituents for conferring potency and selectivity.
- Improve the physicochemical properties of the series by introducing polarity.
- Develop synthetic routes to facilitate the rapid and efficient synthesis of analogues, as single enantiomers if applicable.
- While an in vitro tool was initially desired, a compound suitable for in vivo experiments would also be highly beneficial. As such, compounds would be designed to minimise in vivo liabilities such as reactive groups, and adhere to the modern concepts of drug discovery and compound design. ${ }^{13}$


### 2.3. Results and Discussion

### 2.3.1 Previous GSK Work

The promising nature of the THQx hits motivated a GSK team to briefly investigate the series prior to this work. ${ }^{290}$ Plotting these previously developed compounds (Figure 2.4) showed that the template had moderate BD2 bias. Many of the previously synthesised examples contained a $6-\mathrm{Br}$ substituent, and a weak correlation was observed between BD1 potency and this substitution pattern, suggesting the $6-\mathrm{Br}$ causes a reduction in selectivity. The small number of compounds with an elaborated 6 -substituent showed encouraging selectivity and potency, and the data confirmed that an amide linker between the core and WPF-shelf group was detrimental to potency. At the outset it was realised that the loss of a hydrogen bond donor and decreased availability of the N lone pair compared to the analogous THQ gives the THQx
series an inherently higher lipophilicity, and it was encouraging to note that reasonably selective molecules with low lipophilicity could also be obtained.


Figure 2.4. Scatter plot of Brd4 BD1 vs BD2 FRET pIC ${ }_{50}$ for previously synthesised tetrahydroquinoxalines, showing lines of unity (blue) and best fit (dashed). Points are coloured by the 6substituent (of note are gold for Br and magenta for H ) and sized by ChromLogD ${ }_{7.4}$. Stars indicate amidelinked shelf groups, circles $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-linked.

Optimisation efforts were largely limited to the WPF shelf-binding group and failed to deliver significant BD2 selectivity or potency, with no THQx molecules identified with either 50 -fold BD2 selectivity or BD2 $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}>7$. Simple lipophilic phenyls (2.002-4) were found to give the highest selectivity (Figure 2.5). Only H and Me were tested at the 2 -position and a small number of 6-position groups were briefly investigated, with unsaturated heterocycles (2.005) appearing to reduce potency. ${ }^{290}$ Lipophilicity could be reduced by introducing an acid substituent (2.006), maintaining reasonable potency and selectivity. Heterocyclic WPF shelf groups were more polar but were poorly tolerated, as exemplified by pyridine 2.007.


2.005
$\mathrm{R}=\stackrel{\text { ~in }}{\mathrm{N}}\left[\begin{array}{l}4.7 \\ 6.0 \\ 6.0 \\ 5.1\end{array}\right.$

Figure 2.5. Current SAR knowledge for the THQx series, with key compounds from previous work. All potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$ or greater.

### 2.3.2 Optimisation Strategy

From the crystal structures (Figure 2.6), several main vectors were identified for optimization; a screen of small alkyl groups at the 2-position of the THQx ring to occupy the small lipophilic pocket, alternative substitution patterns on the phenyl ring interacting with the WPF shelf, and occupation of the ZA channel (Figure 2.2). ${ }^{293}$ The placement of $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ substituents at the 6position may allow access to this region and confer potency due to lipophilic binding and $\pi$ interactions with the WPF Trp. These vectors were planned to be optimised individually, before combining the optimal substituents to give final compounds. To make the synthetic program as efficient as possible, optimisation was carried out on racemic compounds, with the role of individual enantiomers investigated once potent and selective compounds were obtained (vide infra). All compounds were tested in separate biochemical FRET assays against Brd4 BD1 and Brd4 BD2, in addition to pysicochemical property assays and, later, in vivo phenotypic assays.


Figure 2.6. Strategy for optimisation of the THQx series.

### 2.3.3 The 2-Position

A strategy of late-stage diversity was chosen to enable rapid library synthesis. Pleasingly, the previous optimisation efforts generated a significant number of building blocks with varying C2 substitutions on multi-gram scale. ${ }^{290}$ The synthetic route used (Scheme 2.1 ) utilised a stepwise core construction to minimise regiochemical problems, synthesising intermediates as racemates for simplicity. It was envisioned that enantiopure compounds would be obtained by preparative chiral HPLC separation or single enantiomer synthesis when appropriate. An $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ reaction between fluorobenzene $\mathbf{2 . 0 0 8}$ and amino acids 2.009a-c gave (after esterification) the amino ester 2.011. Reduction of the nitro group and cyclisation with $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$ gave quinoxalinone 2.012, which could be reduced to the THQx 2.013 with borane-THF. The steric bulk of the 2-substituent and electron-withdrawing nature of the bromide allowed differentiation between the two amines, with Boc-protection affording 2.014 as the major product. Acetylation followed by Boc-deprotection gave 2.016a-c, with synthetic handles at the 4-and 6-positions, on multi-gram scale. ${ }^{290}$ Although this route was effective, several limitations were evident. The frequent use of harsh reagents and conditions (e.g. thionyl chloride, gaseous HCl ) was suboptimal from a safety and sustainability perspective, and the requirement for stoichiometric $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$ was concerning. In addition, the yields for the acetylation and Boc deprotection were variable and lower than expected for such rudimentary steps. These concerns would be addressed when synthesising future batches of intermediates (vide infra).


Scheme 2.1. Representative synthesis of building blocks 2.15a-c. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF, $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, MeOH , reflux; (iii) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$, EtOH , reflux; (iv) $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{THF}$, THF, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DMAP, Et ${ }_{3}$ N, DCM, rt; (vi) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}$, reflux; (vii) HCI, DCM, $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

To access compounds with no substituent at the 6-position and a variety of alkyl groups at the 2-position, the 6-Br moiety could be easily removed by hydrogenation under flow conditions to give 2.018b-c in high yield (Scheme 2.2). The flow conditions were operationally simple and highly scalable, removing the need to handle flammable hydrogen gas and catalyst
suspensions. Gram-scale batches of the 2-Me and $2-\mathrm{H}$ analogues 2.018a and 2.018d were available from the previous GSK work, ${ }^{290}$ 2.018d being synthesised from 1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinoxaline $\mathbf{2 . 0 1 7}$ according to literature procedures. ${ }^{290,294}$ Subsequent reductive amination with substituted benzaldehydes (a precedented method of THQx benzylation ${ }^{295}$ ) or $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ displacement of a benzylic bromide gave the target compounds 2.0192.021a-d. For examples bearing a benzoic acid, the coupling reaction was undertaken using the corresponding ethyl esters and the product hydrolysed using aqueous LiOH to afford the final compounds 2.022a-d. Yields for the coupling reactions were highly variable and at times low, due to incomplete reaction for some substrates and losses of material during HPLC purification.


Scheme 2.2 Representative synthesis of 6-H compounds. Reagents and conditions: (i) Flow hydrogenation, $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt; (ii) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $\mathrm{EtOH}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ - rt; (iii) Benzylic bromide, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}$, $90-110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iv) Benzylic aldehyde, $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}$, rt; (v) LiOH, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{THF}$, rt.

A small square array was performed, matching the three most promising WPF shelf aryls from the previous optimisation campaign ( $\mathrm{Ph}, m$-tolyl, and $o-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ) with varying C 2 alkyl substitutions (H, Me, Et, cPr) intended to probe the lipophilic pocket identified from the crystal structure (Table 2.1). Unfortunately, the high potency and selectivity shown by $m$-toluyl 2.003 was lost when the C 2 substituent was altered, and other substitutions of $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ tetrahydroquinoxalines $\mathbf{d}$ showed low potency and selectivity. This indicates that $\mathbf{2 . 0 0 3}$ is most likely an unreliable singleton, and the $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ series was not further investigated.

Table 2.1. WPF shelf/2-position square array of THQx compounds.


Data are $\mathrm{n}=3$ or greater unless otherwise specified. (a) $\mathrm{n}=1,<4.3$ on two additional test occasions; (b) $n=2,<4.3$ on one additional test occasion; (c) $n=3,<4.3$ on one additional test occasion.

The simple phenyls 2.019 showed reasonable potency and selectivity, especially phenyl cyclopropyl 2.019c, though no clear trend was evident. In contrast to the inconsistent SAR of the other groups tested, the acids $\mathbf{2 . 0 2 2}$ showed a consistent increase in BD2 potency and selectivity moving from $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{Me} \rightarrow \mathrm{Et} \rightarrow \mathrm{cPr}$. Cyclopropyl acid 2.022c had BD1 potency below the lower limit of the FRET assay, good BD2 potency and encouragingly consistent SAR. As such, 2.022c was selected as a lead compound for further optimisation.

In addition to monosubstitution, gem-dimethyl substitution at C2 was investigated (Scheme 2.3). 2-Bromoaniline 2.023 was benzylated using reductive amination conditions, before undergoing a copper-catalysed Ullmann-type coupling with 2,2 -dimethylglycine, followed by thermal cyclisation to give quinoxalinone 2.025. ${ }^{296}$ Installing the benzyl group prior to cyclisation provided definitive differentiation between the tetrahydroquinoxaline nitrogen atoms and prevented the need for protecting groups. While the Ullman coupling/cyclisation proceeded in only moderate yield, this method was significantly shorter and more efficient than the previous method (Scheme 2.1) due to the one-pot methodology and lack of protecting groups. Lactam reduction with borane-THF gave 2.026, before high-yielding acetylation to give the gem-dimethyl THQx 2.207 , which was poorly active against both BD1 and BD2. The methyl that occupies the lipophilic pocket most likely pushes the core too close to the opposite edge of the binding pocket to accommodate the second methyl group.


Scheme 2.3. Synthesis of gem-dimethyl THQx 2.027. Potency data are $n=3$. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{PhCHO}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}$, rt; (ii) 2,2-Dimethylglycine, $\mathrm{CuCl}, N, N^{\prime}$-dimethylethylenediamine, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, DMSO, $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{THF}$, THF, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iv) AcCl , pyridine, DCM , rt.

X-ray crystal structures of phenyl 2.019c and acid 2.022c bound to the Brd2 BD2 bromodomain were obtained and compared to that of 2.003 bound to Brd4 BD2 (Figure 2.7). For the $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$ compound $\mathbf{2 . 0 0 3}$ the saturated ring of the core twists to extend C 2 towards the lipophilic pocket region formed from Val380, Leu387, Tyr390, and Tyr432 (Brd4 BD2 numbering). The 2-cyclopropyl substituent fully occupies this region, and as a consequence the saturated ring adopts a flatter conformation with N4 being pushed back and the position of the benzyl group on the WPF shelf changing. This causes the phenyl rings of 2.019c and 2.022c to occupy a similar position to the toluyl methyl of 2.003, making the same lipophilic interactions. This offers an explanation as to the outlying activity of $\mathbf{2 . 0 0 3}$ and the nonadditive SAR.

The phenyl ring of 2.019c makes the same interactions with the BD2-specific His433 (Brd2) as 2.003. Although 2.019c and 2.022c overlay very closely, the acid group of 2.022c does not interact with His433, forming a through-water interaction with Asp434. In this structure His433 instead adopts an alternative position to that seen for phenyl 2.019c, being replaced by solvent. In Brd4 BD2 Asp343 is replaced by Glu438, so the observed interaction may not occur. In the structure of 2.019 c , a water molecule is seen close to the position of the acid group of 2.022c, indicating this area is a favourable location for a polar group. The highly conserved nature of the water network at the base of the KAc binding pocket can also be observed. From racemic samples, only the $(S)$-enantiomer crystallised in the bromodomain, consistent with the higher activity observed for (S)-1.14.114


Figure 2.7. 2.003 bound to Brd4 BD2 (green, $1.83 \AA$, solvent omitted for clarity) ${ }^{292}$ overlaid with 2.019c (cyan, $1.78 \AA$ Å) ${ }^{292}$ and 2.022c (pink, $1.78 \AA \AA^{292}$ bound to Brd2 BD2.

While these crystal structures explain the BD2 binding mode, it should be noted that the BD2 potency of most of the square array compounds is broadly similar, and the increased selectivity is due to reduced BD1 potency. To examine this, 2.019c was also crystallised into Brd4 BD1 (Figure 2.8). The binding mode is highly similar in both bromodomains, though in BD2 His433 packs against the phenyl ring, making edge-to-face $\pi$-interactions and improving the contact between the ring and the WPF stack. In BD1, the phenyl ring adopts a slightly flatter orientation on the WPF shelf, so contact with the WPF stack is poorer. In addition, the gatekeeper differs between BD1 (lle, lle146 for Brd4 BD1) and BD2 (Val, Val 435 for Brd2 BD2), making the BD2 KAc pocket larger and so more accommodating of the THQx core. This is accentuated by the $2-c \operatorname{Pr}$ motif, which pushes the core closer to the gatekeeper.


Figure 2.8. 2.019c bound to Brd2 BD2 (cyan, 1.78 Å), ${ }^{292}$ overlaid with 2.019c bound to Brd4 BD1 (blue $1.50 \AA$ A). ${ }^{292}$ Top: No surface, key residues are bolded. Bottom left: Showing the BD1 surface. Bottom right: Showing the BD2 surface. Surfaces are coloured by lipophilicity; green=hydrophobic, pink=hydrophilic.

From the crystal structure, it was theorised that introduction of a cis-fused ring at the 2-and 3-positions could better occupy the pocket where the $2-c \operatorname{Pr}$ sits and potentially pack against the underside of the His. This would also rigidify the saturated THQx ring and so reduce any entropy loss from conformational change and loss of degrees of freedom on binding. ${ }^{297}$ To this end, the ring-fused structure 2.028 (Figure 2.9) was designed, which is conformationally locked and has good shape complementarity with the BD2 binding site. The cyclopentylfused structure was chosen as larger rings clash with the edge of the binding pocket and are themselves flexible, while smaller rings were considered too strained to synthesise.


Figure 2.9. Ring-fused THQx 2.028, and docking into Brd4 BD2.
The synthesis of $\mathbf{2 . 0 2 8}$ (Scheme 2.4) was achieved by Beirut cyclisation ${ }^{298}$ of benzofuroxan 2.029 and commercially available enamine 2.030 , to give the di- N -oxide 2.031 in reasonable yield. ${ }^{299-301}$ Reduction with sodium borohydride ${ }^{302}$ gave exclusively the cis-regioisomer 2.032 in excellent yield, however acetylation proved difficult due to di-acetylation, with mono-acetyl product 2.033 isolated in poor but usable yield. Reductive amination gave the ring-fused product 2.028, which disappointingly proved slightly less potent and selective than the 2cyclopropenyl THQx 2.019c, though lipophilicity remained at a similar (albeit high) level. The reduced potency may be due to a minor clash with the binding site (Figure 2.9) or suboptimal occupation of the lipophilic pocket normally occupied by the cyclopropyl group.


Scheme 2.4. Synthesis and biological activity of ring-fused THQx 2.028. Reagents and conditions: (i) MeOH , rt; (ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt; (iii) AcCl , pyridine, DCM , rt; (iv) $\mathrm{PhCHO}, \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}$, rt. Potency data are $n=3$ or greater unless specified. * $n=3,<4.3$ on one additional test occasion.

### 2.3.4 The KAc mimetic

While the X-ray crystal structures of 2.003 and 2.019 c showed good overlay of the THQx acetyl group and those of the native peptide and published inhibitor 1.14, a brief investigation of the KAc mimetic was undertaken (Scheme 2.5). The amide of 2 -cyclopropyl compound 2.019c was removed by hydrolysis in quantitative yield using methanol and HCl , and the resulting amine 2.034 acylated to give 2.035-2.038. Acylations with propionyl chloride and methyl chloroformate effectively gave $\mathbf{2 . 0 3 5}$ and $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{. 0 3 6}$, and the urea $\mathbf{2 . 0 3 7}$ could be accessed using potassium cyanate under microwave irradiation, though in poor yield due to incomplete conversion and side reactions. However, the methyl urea 2.038 proved troublesome, with the common methyl isocyanate alternative N -succinimidyl
$N$-methylcarbamate ${ }^{303}$ giving no product. A two-step method with formation of an activated pnitrophenol carbamate before displacement with methylamine ${ }^{295}$ gave the desired product, but in low yield. This may be due to the volatility of methylamine at the reaction temperature.


Scheme 2.5. Synthesis and activity of alternative KAc mimetic THQx compounds. Reagents and conditions: (i) $35 \% \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) Propionyl chloride, pyridine, DCM, rt; (iii) methyl chloroformate, pyridine, DCM, rt; (iv) KOCN, $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mu \mathrm{W}$; (v) p-nitrophenol chloroformate, pyridine, DMAP, DCM, rt; (iv) 2 M MeNH 2 in THF, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$ or greater unless specified. *n=3, <4.3 on one additional test occasion.

The propyl analogue 2.035 and ester 2.036 were tolerated, but BD2 potency and selectivity were reduced slightly - most likely due to the KAc mimetic becoming too large. Urea 2.037 was not tolerated, unsurprising given the lipophilic nature of this region of the binding pocket, but was able to significantly reduce the lipophilicity of the template. Methyl urea 2.038 showed comparable potency and selectivity to the acetyl analogue 2.019 c, with a reasonable reduction
in ChromLogD ${ }_{7.4}$, but given its onerous synthesis and lack of a significant benefit the acetyl KAc mimetic was maintained as the preferred group moving forwards.

### 2.3.5 The WPF-Shelf Group

While 2.022c had good potency and selectivity, due to the acid moiety it was almost completely impermeable in an artificial membrane permeability assay (Table 2.2). A screen of WPF shelf groups was initiated, focussing on polar groups ortho to the benzyl linker. The compounds were synthesized from 2.018c in a similar manner to Scheme 2.2, using benzylic bromide displacement or reductive amination as appropriate based on reagent availability (Scheme 2.6). As before (Scheme 2.2), benzylation yields were variable and substrate dependant, with incomplete reactions or HPLC losses accounting for the majority of the low yields. The trisubstituted benzoic acid 2.041 was synthesised by Pd-catalysed carbonylationesterification of the corresponding bromide 2.039 with $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ under microwave conditions, ${ }^{304}$ followed by saponification of the resulting ester $\mathbf{2 . 0 4 0}$ to give $\mathbf{2 . 0 4 1}$. The carbonylation proceeded poorly and the use of stoichiometric $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ is disfavoured due to its high toxicity, expense and the generation of high pressures in a sealed system. However, the method was known to be tolerant of $N$-acetyl groups, ${ }^{304}$ and on small scale these risks were sufficiently manageable to obtain the final compound. The nitrile 2.047 was converted to the primary amide 2.048 using the very mild $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ conditions developed by Katritzky, ${ }^{305}$ and to the benzylamine 2.049 by flow hydrogenation over Raney Ni. Phenol 2.052 was alkylated with 2-bromoethanol to afford the hydroxyethanol analogue 2.052.


Scheme 2.6. Synthesis of WPF shelf array. Reagents and conditions: (i) Benzylic bromide, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}$, 90-110 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (2.042, 2.047, 2.056-2.058); (ii) Benzylic aldehyde, $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}$, rt, (2.039, 2.044, 2.045, 2.050-2.055, 2.059-2.062); (iii) Mo(CO) 6 , Herrmann's catalyst, DIPEA, 1-butanol, 1,4dioxane, $\mu \mathrm{W}$,
$150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iv) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{THF}$, rt; (v), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMSO}$, rt; (vi) Flow hydrogenation, Raney Ni, 50 bar $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, EtOH, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (vii) 2-Bromoethanol, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF, $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
These compounds were tested in the Brd4 FRET assay and their physicochemical properties (lipophilicity represented by ChromLogD ${ }_{7.4},{ }^{16}$ CLND solubility ${ }^{19,25}$ and artificial membrane permeability (AMP) ${ }^{29}$ ) were profiled using GSK's high-throughput automated profiling systems. The results are shown in Table 2. The corresponding ethyl ester of 2.022c (2.021c) displayed similar BD2 potency but increased BD1 potency, indicating that the polarity or charged nature of the acid is required for low BD1 potency. Combination of the tolyl and benzoic acid functionalities (2.041) was detrimental to BD2 potency, while addition of a $p-\mathrm{Cl}$ (2.043) or a methylene spacer (2.046) had no significant effect on binding. As with 2.022c, the acids showed poor permeability, though aqueous solubility (as measured by CLND) was good. Parachlorophenyl is a common WPF shelf-binding group, utilised in BET inhibitors such as $1.06^{129}$ and 1.14. ${ }^{114}$ However, the $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ analogue 2.044 was potent but less selective compared to 2.022c, again showing the role of the ortho acid in increasing selectivity, and while the increased lipophilicity improved permeability it decreased solubility. Though the nitrile 2.047 was poorly selective, the corresponding amide 2.048 maintained BD2 potency and was pleasingly selective with excellent physicochemical properties, indicating that the beneficial effect of the 2-substituent is not necessarily ionic in nature. Indeed, the basic compounds 2.049 and 2.050 showed high BD2 potencies and reasonable selectivities, as did the neutral phenols 2.051, 2.053 and methoxy 2.054. However, these examples generally showed higher ChromLogD, and as such were less soluble. Intriguingly, addition of a $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ substituent to the methoxy compound 2.054 gave $\mathbf{2}$.055, which showed a significant increase in selectivity at the cost of BD2 potency, increased lipophilicity and poor solubility. The ortho- and meta- phenols 2.051 and 2.053 were also well tolerated, though potency fell when the substituent was moved from the ortho to the meta position.

The ortho-benzylic alcohol 2.056 gave excellent selectivity and BD2 potency in excess of the original acid 2.022c, again with a drop in potency seen on moving substitution to the meta position (2.057). Separation and testing of the two enantiomers of 2.056 showed the biological activity is derived solely from one enantiomer, 2.056a, which was also highly permeable. The binding preference observed for the $(S)$-enantiomer in the crystal structures of 2.019c and 2.022c, and difference in enantiomer activity for 1.14, allows speculation that ( $S$ ) - 2.056 would be the more potent isomer. The ethylene glycol derivative 2.052 was tested, and found to maintain the high potency and selectivity of 2.056 with similar properties. The 3pyridyl 2.058 (the best shelf heterocycle from the legacy work ${ }^{290}$ ) exhibited excellent physicochemical properties, but was poorly potent and selective.

Table 2.2. Optimisation of the WPF shelf binding group.


| Cpd | Brd4 FRET pIC $_{50}$ | BD2 | Chrom- | AMP | CLND |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BD1 | BD2 | Sel. | $\operatorname{LogD}_{7.4}$ | $(\mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{s})$ | Sol. (uM) |


| 2.021c |  | 4.9 | 6.0 | x13 | 7.2 | 230 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.022c |  | $<4.3{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6.1 | >x63 | 2.2 | 36 | 399 |
| 2.041 |  | $<4.3{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5.6 | >x20 | 2.4 | 80 | 402 |
| 2.043 |  | $4.4{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5.9 | x32 | 2.9 | 33 | 321 |
| 2.044 |  | $4.8{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6.0 | x16 | 7.2 | 270 | 8 |
| 2.046 |  | $<4.3{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6.0 | $\times 50$ | 2.4 | 19 | 249 |
| 2.047 |  | 4.6 | 5.6 | x10 | 6.0 | 510 | 179 |
| 2.048 |  | $<4.3{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5.9 | >x40 | 3.9 | 620 | 363 |
| 2.049 |  | 4.7 | 6.2 | x32 | 2.7 | 540 | 279 |
| 2.050 |  | 4.7 | 6.3 | $\times 40$ | 7.5 | 120 | 39 |
| 2.051 |  | 4.7 | 6.3 | x40 | 5.2 | 560 | 131 |
| 2.052 |  | 4.6 | 6.5 | x79 | 4.7 | 450 | 184 |
| 2.053 |  | 4.8 | 6.2 | x25 | 4.9 | 600 | 237 |
| 2.054 | $y_{-2}$ | 4.8 | 6.4 | $\times 40$ | 6.9 | 430 | 30 |
| 2.055 |  | $<4.3{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6.0 | $\times 50$ | 7.5 | 410 | 2 |
|  |  | Brd4 F | pIC50 | BD2 | Chrom- | AMP | CLND |



All potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$ or greater unless specified. (a) Lower binding limit of the assay; (b) $\mathrm{n}=2,<4.3$ on one additional test occasion.

In order to gain quantitative insights into the role of the steric, electronic, and lipophilic properties of the shelf group, a Topliss analysis was undertaken. This QSAR method involves the stepwise application of a decision tree to rapidly access the most potent compounds from a series, based on whether the previous iteration increased, decreased or did not affect potency. ${ }^{306}$ Following this approach for the THQx WPF-shelf group (Scheme 2.7), moving from phenyl 2.019 c to $4-\mathrm{Cl} 2.044$ did not affect potency so the 4-Me analogue 2.059 was synthesised. This was also equipotent, as was the $3-\mathrm{Cl} 2.060$, and the remaining three compounds from this branch (3-Me 2.020c, 2-Cl 2.061, and 4-F 2.062) were tested. No increase in BD2 potency was observed throughout but this approach did lead to a moderate increase in selectivity over the course of the scheme. While encouraging, this selectivity was below that seen for 2.022c and 2.056a, indicating it is the result of specific interactions with the protein and not stereoelectronic factors.


Scheme 2.7. Topliss scheme (non-progressed braches omitted for clarity) and potencies of the relevant compounds. $M=$ more potent, $E=$ equipotent, $L=$ less potent. All data are $n=3$ or greater unless specified. (a) $n=3,<4.3$ on one additional test occasion; (b) $n=2,<4.3$ on one additional test occasion.

The origin of selectivity of these compounds is as yet unknown, with only subtle changes in potency and selectivity achieved through this optimisation. The relatively flat SAR may be due to the vertical alignment adopted by the aryl group in order to stack between the His and WPF stack in BD2, with substituents pointing into solvent and making no significant interactions to the protein, aside from certain polar ortho substituents such as those included in 2.056 and 2.052, which are encouragingly potent and selective.

### 2.3.6 Linker Substitution

The two benzylic centres present in 2.056 are potentially sites of oxidative metabolism by cytochrome P450 enzymes. ${ }^{307}$ It was theorised that moving the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ group to the benzylic linker position could prevent this, or open up a new vector for substitution and access to the crucial histidine residue. It was envisioned that these compounds could be accessed by regioselective ring-opening of epoxides, and as such a variety of conditions ${ }^{308-314}$ were examined for the synthesis of model substrate 2.063 (Table 2.3).

Table 2.3. Investigation of epoxide opening conditions

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Conditions | Conversion (\%) | 2.063 (\%) |
| 1308 | $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Sm}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$, DCM, rt, 24 h | 43 | 23a |
| 2309 | $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{InBr}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ | 52 | 33a |
| 3309 | $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{BiCl}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ | 15 | Oa |
| 4310 | $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}$, DCM, rt, 24 h | 26 | 13a |
| 5311 | $1.4 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\text {iPr }}\right.$ ) 4 , 2-MeTHF, $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 24 h | 66 | $20^{\text {b }}$ |
| 6312,313 | Trifluoroethanol, $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ | 90 | 60b |
| 7314 | Water, $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ | 23 | $18^{\text {b }}$ |
| 8314 | 1:1 Water/1,4-Dioxane, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ | $0^{\text {a }}$ | 0 |
| a By LCMS. Isolated yield |  |  |  |

Metal halides ${ }^{308,309}$ (Entries 1, 2, 4) all gave limited conversion to the desired product except for bismuth trichloride (Entry 3). ${ }^{309}$ The use of stoichiometric titanium(IV) isopropoxide ${ }^{311}$ with heating gave better conversion but a low isolated yield (Entry 5). However, simply refluxing the THQx 2.018d and styrene oxide in the weakly acidic solvent trifluoroethanol (TFE) ${ }^{312,313}$ gave excellent conversion and a good isolated yield (Entry 6). Ring opening of epoxides in hot water has been reported as a more sustainable procedure, ${ }^{314}$ and these conditions gave slow but clean conversion (Entry 7), with limited solubility of $\mathbf{2 . 0 1 8 d}$ hindering the reaction. Introduction of an organic co-solvent (Entry 8) gave no reaction.

With the TFE ring-opening conditions in hand, the 2-cyclopropyl derivatives were synthesised (Scheme 2.8). Given that $\mathbf{2 . 0 1 8} \mathbf{c}$ is a racemic mixture and the epoxide opening creates a new chiral centre, enantiopure epoxides were used to produce diastereomeric pairs. Ring opening gave the two diastereomers, which could easily be separated by HPLC, in $1: 1$ mixtures, and was assumed to proceed with inversion of stereochemistry. The absolute configuration of the products could not be assigned by 2D NMR and the compounds were tested with the C2 stereochemistry unknown, though ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra (Figure 2.10 ) clearly showed that $\mathbf{2 . 0 6 4 a / c}$ and 2.064b/d were the enantiomeric pairs. All the isomers 2.064a-d tested had very low potency and selectivity and compounds of this type were not further investigated. The higher
potency of 2.064d allows speculation this may be the $S, S$-diastereomer, where the cyclopropyl group occupies the lipophilic pocket as seen in Figure 2.7 and the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ group avoids a clash with the WPF stack. In addition, the amidelinked analogue 2.065 was obtained from the GSK compound collection and found to also exhibit BD2 potency and bias, though potency was significantly lower than for $\mathbf{2 . 0 0 2}$.


Scheme 2.8. Compound synthesis by epoxide ring-opening. Potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$ or greater. Reagents and conditions: (i): (R)-styrene oxide, TFE, $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii): (S)-styrene oxide, TFE, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 2.10. Overlaid ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of 2.064a-d, showing enantiomeric pairs.

### 2.3.7 6-Substitution

At the onset of this investigation, the 6-position of the THQx series was relatively unexplored, with examples limited to bromides and $N$-linked saturated heterocycles (see 2.005) which were generally less potent and selective compared to the unsubstituted compounds. ${ }^{290}$ The X-ray crystal structures of 6 -unsubstituted compounds (Figure 2.3 ) show that the 6 -position of the THQx core overlays closely with that of 1.14, from which an aryl group is attached to occupy the ZA channel. For 1.14 , the placement of $\pi$-character at this vector increased potency by
forming edge-to-face $\pi$-interactions with the WPF tryptophan, ${ }^{114}$ and so this vector was examined in the THQx series in the hope of eliciting a similar effect. High numbers of aromatic rings are associated with decreased aqueous solubility, p450 inhibition, and promiscuity, ${ }^{16,19,20}$ and the array was designed to minimise these potential liabilities. Heteroaromatics and some non-aromatic 6 -substituents were primarily targeted, as they have been shown to present a reduced developability risk compared to carboaromatics. ${ }^{20}$

Therefore, 6-aryl compounds were synthesised via benzylation of 2.016b to give 2.067, followed by Suzuki-Miyaura coupling to afford 2.068-2.088 (Scheme 2.9). Carried out under microwave conditions, the reactions were rapid and well-suited to parallel synthesis methods. Although most reactions proceeded well under $\mathrm{Pd}(d p p f) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ conditions, some electrondeficient examples (such as 2.078 and 2.081) coupled in poor yield due to competing protodeboronation and poor reagent stability. ${ }^{315}$ Ortho-substitution of the boronic acid or ester (2.077) was also detrimental to yield as a result of increased steric hindrance.


Scheme 2.9. Synthesis of 6-aryl compounds. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ or $\mathrm{ArBPin}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 1,4$-dioxane, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mu \mathrm{W}, 110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) $\mathrm{ArBPin}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$,

DIPEA, 1,4-dioxane, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mu \mathrm{W}, 110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iv) (1-(Boc)-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)boronic acid pinacol ester, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$, XPhos, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, 1-butanol, $\mu \mathrm{W}, 115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMSO, rt; (vi) TFA, DCM, rt. Due to competing protodeboronation, 5-membered heterocycles required modified conditions, specifically DIPEA as base for isoxazole 2.086 and $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ with XPhos for pyrazole 2.088. ${ }^{316}$ For the preparation of 2.088, the use of the Boc-protected pyrazole improved the stability of the boronic ester, with the Boc group being removed from the product under the reaction conditions. Amidopyridine 2.089 and amidopyrimidine 2.090 were synthesised by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ oxidation of the corresponding nitriles 2.074 and 2.083 , while the Boc group was removed from tetrahydropyridine 2.085 with TFA to give 2.091 .

The biochemical potencies and physicochemical properties of the 6 -substitued THQxs are shown in Table 2.4. In addition to Brd4 potency and selectivity, a 6 -substituent was desired that was not detrimental (and ideally beneficial) to the overall physicochemical properties of the molecule. In addition to ChromLogD ${ }_{7.4},{ }^{16}$ CLND solubility ${ }^{19,25}$ and AMP, ${ }^{29}$ human serum albumin (HSA) binding ${ }^{317}$ was measured. Compounds with $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}>6.8$ were progressed to the next level of the screening cascade, being profiled in cellular assays using human isolated peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) and whole blood (hWB). Treatment of the cells with the bacterial endotoxin lipopolysaccharide (LPS) causes the PBMCs (and other immune cells in the hWB) to elicit an immune response and release the proinflammatory cytokine MCP1. MCP1 production is reduced by treatment of the cells with pan-BET inhibitiors, ${ }^{101}$ allowing this assay to be used as a test for cellular penetration and the compounds' ability to mediate an immune response.

Table 2.4 Optimisation of the 6-aryl group.


| Cpd | R | Brd4 BD1/BD2 pIC $_{50}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BD2 } \\ & \text { Sel. } \end{aligned}$ | Chrom <br> $\log _{7.4}$ | CLND Sol. (uM) | $\begin{gathered} \text { AMP } \\ (\mathrm{nm} / \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ | HSA bind. (\%) | PBMC/hWB MCP1 pIC50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b | H | 5.0 / 6.2 | x16 | 6.5 | 171 | 620 | 97 | - |



| Cpd | R | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BD} 1 / \mathrm{BD} 2 \\ \mathrm{pIC}_{50} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BD2 } \\ & \text { Sel. } \end{aligned}$ | Chrom <br> $\log _{7.4}$ | Sol. <br> (uM) | $\begin{gathered} \text { AMP } \\ (\mathrm{nm} / \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ | bind. <br> (\%) | PBMC/hWB MCP1 pIC50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.073 |  | $5.9 / 7.0$ | x13 | 7.0 | 94 | 300 | 98 | $6.2 / 5.3$ |
| 2.089 |  | $6.2 / 7.2$ | x10 | 4.5 | 36 | 510 | 96 | $6.9 / 5.7$ |
| 2.075 |  | $6.1 / 7.2$ | x13 | 5.3 | 209 | 560 | 97 | 6.5 / 5.6 |
| 2.076 |  | $5.9 / 7.0$ | x13 | 5.4 | 145 | 630 | 97 | $6.7 / 5.3$ |
| 2.077 |  | $5.3 / 6.3$ | x10 | 5.4 | 205 | 530 | 98 | - |
| 2.078 |  | $5.7 / 6.9$ | x16 | 5.9 | 158 | 530 | - | $6.4 / 5.1$ |
| 2.079 |  | $5.5 / 6.8$ | x20 | 4.9 | 307 | 720 | 96 | $6.5 /<5$ |
| 2.080 |  | $5.6 / 7.0$ | x25 | 5.8 | 91 | 520 | 97 | $6.5 / 5.4$ |
| 2.081 |  | 5.2 / 6.3 | x13 | 3.0 | 65 | 92 | 94 | - |
| 2.082 |  | $5.4 / 6.4$ | $\times 10$ | 6.3 | 95 | 420 | 96 | - |
| 2.083 |  | $6.6 / 7.0$ | x3 | 6.3 | 27 | 340 | 97 | $7.4 / 6.1$ |
| 2.090 |  | 5.7 / 7.0 | $\times 20$ | 3.6 | 308 | 410 | 94 | $7.1 / 6.0$ |
| 2.084 |  | $5.8 / 6.8$ | $\times 10$ | 6.5 | 142 | 470 | 97 | 6.6/- |
| 2.085 |  | $5.8 / 6.8$ | x6 | 8.1 | 27 | 370 | 98 | $6.5 /$ - |
| 2.091 |  | 5.5/6.8 | $\times 20$ | 2.8 | 368 | 270 | 90 | 6.8/- |
| 2.086 |  | $5.8 / 6.9$ | x13 | 5.9 | 138 | 370 | 97 | $6.4 / 5.1$ |
| 2.087 |  | $6.2 / 7.1$ | x8 | 4.6 | 125 | 340 | 97 | 6.8 / 5.4 |
| 2.088 |  | $6.4 / 7.3$ | x8 | 4.2 | 113 | 570 | 97 | $7.3 / 5.4$ |

[^0]Phenyl 2.068, synthesised as a baseline compound, was around 3 -fold more potent at BD2 but over 15 -fold more potent at BD1 compared to the $6-\mathrm{H}$ analogue $\mathbf{2 . 0 1 9}$ - while it was expected that the ZA channel would not confer BD2 selectivity this apparent BD1 bias was surprising. The addition of the 6 -phenyl group produced a dramatic increase in lipophilicity and decrease in solubility. It was found that introducing a primary amide at the para (2.069) or meta (2.070) positions gave an increase in potency to nanomolar levels and a very slight increase in selectivity, also improving solubility through a drastic drop in lipophilicity compared to $\mathbf{2 . 0 6 8}$. Amides $\mathbf{2 . 0 6 9}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 0 7 0}$ were pleasingly active in the cellular assays, with both showing similar reductions in potency of $\sim 3$-fold in PBMCs and between 30 -100fold in whole blood. Pyridine 2.071 also exhibited good biochemical BD2 potency and another slight rise in selectivity, though with no detectable whole blood activity. Unlike the isolated PBMC assay the whole blood assay contains plasma proteins such as serum albumin, ${ }^{317}$ and so highly protein bound compounds such as these will display a reduction in hWB activity due to a reduced free fraction.

To introduce more polarity pyridone 2.072 was synthesised, which maintained BD2 potency but reduced ChromLogD7.4 by almost 100 -fold compared to $\mathbf{2 . 0 7 1}$. This increase in polarity caused a concomitant improvement in solubility, reduced protein binding and much improved cellular potency. Methoxypyridine 2.073 reversed these improvements, indicating that hydrogen bond donors or other highly polar groups may be beneficial. Combining the similarly selective 3 -pyridyl group (2.071) with the primary amide functionality of $\mathbf{2 . 0 6 9}$ gave $\mathbf{2 . 0 8 9}$, which showed improved BD2 potency, though the decrease in lipophilicity was not additive and solubility was poor. Mono-methylation of $\mathbf{2 . 0 8 9}$ to give $\mathbf{2 . 0 7 5}$ caused no significant change in potency or selectivity, with increased lipophilicity again adversely affecting whole blood activity. The isomer $\mathbf{2 . 0 7 6}$ showed no significant difference to $\mathbf{2 . 0 7 5}$. Ortho-substitution of the pyridine ring (2.077) was detrimental, potentially due to either a clash with the water network at the base of the ZA channel or from increasing the dihedral angle between the 6 -aryl and the core, leading to a poorer fit. Methylpyrazine $\mathbf{2 . 0 7 8}$ again showed good BD2 potency and selectivity, though with high lipophilicity due to the methyl group, and poor cellular activity.

To further increase polarity, pyrimidines were investigated. Unsubstituted pyrimidine 2.079 showed good potency and 20 -fold BD2 selectivity which translated well into PBMC potency. No whole blood activity was detected, an unusual response given the slightly lower lipophilicity and plasma protein binding of 2.079. The more lipophilic methoxypyrimidine $\mathbf{2 . 0 8 0}$ maintained potency and selectivity, with a slight increase in whole blood activity and a PBMC to hWB reduction around the expected 10 -fold. However, the solubility of $\mathbf{2 . 0 8 0}$ was poor and whole blood activity again was low. The uracil derivative 2.081 was highly polar and displayed low
protein binding. However, as seen with 2.077, ortho-substitution again lowered BD2 potency and selectivity. A similar effect was observed for the dimethoxy variant
2.082. Cyanopyrimidine 2.083 was poorly selective but displayed excellent PBMC potency, despite having similar BD2 potency and physicochemical properties to 2.080. The cellular potency may be driven by the very high BD1 potency of $\mathbf{2 . 0 8 3}$, as the exact roles of the BD1 and BD2 domains and the extent to which they each affect MCP-1 production are unknown. Indeed, one of the purposes of this work is to provide a domain-selective BET inhibitor to determine the relative roles of BD1 and BD2 in cytokine production and immunology. Oxidation of 2.083 to amide 2.090 gave a large boost in selectivity, maintaining good cellular potencies, while also significantly improving physicochemical properties. While the x-ray structure of $\mathbf{1 . 1 4}$ (Figure 2.3) indicates this amide is probably not making direct interactions with the protein, the formation of hydrogen bonds to the water network at the exit of the ZA channel is a potential rationale for this boost in selectivity.

Tetrahydropyran 2.084 showed greater selectivity than the 6 -phenyl analogue 2.068 , while the $N$-Boc tetrahydropyridine 2.085 had similar activity. Removing the Boc group gave 2.091, which had lower BD2 potency compared to the aryl analogues but very high selectivity. HSA binding may not give an accurate picture of total protein binding, as HSA is a generally neutral protein and the basic amine may also bind to acidic phospholipids. All partially saturated examples were highly permeable, but tetrahydropyran 2.084 was relatively lipophilic and so only moderately soluble. However, the inclusion of a hydrogen bond donor and basic centre in 2.091 gave a sharp reduction in lipophilicity, and hence an improvement in solubility, while maintaining good permeability. 5-Membered heterocycles were also briefly investigated oxazole 2.086 was potent and reasonably selective but was considered too lipophilic and insufficiently potent in cells. Pyrazoles 2.087 and 2.088 increased biochemical potency but selectivity, lipophilicity and solubility worsened. A pleasing increase in PBMC activity was seen but this did not translate into whole blood potency.

In summary, the pyrimidine amide 2.090 and the tetrahydropyran 2.091 appeared most promising and were carried forward for combination with the optimal substituents from the other vectors.

### 2.3.8 Aza Cores

Introduction of nitrogen atoms into the aromatic ring of the core has the potential to reduce the intrinsically high lipophilicity of the series. The 5-, 6-, and 8 -aza cores had been investigated in the previous optimisation campaign, ${ }^{290}$ and the 7 -aza was synthesised to complete the set. Intermediate 2.094 was synthesised using a method based on that developed by Hepworth
and Tittensor (Scheme 2.10). ${ }^{318}$ Substitution of chloropyridine 2.091 with 2hydroxypropylamine, followed hydrogenation under flow conditions to reduce the nitro group, gave aminopyridine 2.093. This catalytic reduction is significantly milder, more atomefficient and sustainable compared to the $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$-mediated reduction previously utilised for THQx core construction (Scheme 2.1). Heating to reflux in aqueous acid gave the cyclised core 2.094 in three high-yielding steps.


Scheme 2.10. Synthesis of 7-aza THQx 2.098. Reagents and conditions: (i) 2-Hydroxypropylamine, DIPEA, EtOH, $\mu \mathrm{W}, 150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$-Cube, MeOH ; (iii) HBr , reflux; (iv) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, pyridine, rt; (v) AcCl, DMAP, pyridine, DCM, rt; (vi) 4 M HCl in 1,4-dioxane, rt; (vii) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{DMF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Unfortunately, acetylation of $\mathbf{2 . 0 9 4}$ proved difficult - the highly polar core was poorly soluble, necessitating the use of neat pyridine as solvent. Despite the electron density on N4 being more readily delocalised into the pyridine ring, acetylation gave a mixture of 4-Ac and diacetylated products, with none of the desired 1-Ac product 2.097 isolated. Taking advantage of this regiochemical preference, Boc protection occurred solely on N4 and the N1 acetyl group was installed on the protected material, though more forcing conditions were required and yields were moderate. Deprotection of the Boc group gave 2.097 in a reasonable $50 \%$ yield from 2.094. Benzylation again proved problematic, with reductive amination or substitution under the previously developed conditions giving complex mixtures with none of the observed product observed, even when the $\mathrm{BnBr} / \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ substitution was carried out at rt. However, deprotonation with sodium hydride followed by addition of benzyl bromide gave the desired product 2.098 in acceptable yield.

Regrettably, 2.098 was poorly potent at Brd4 BD2, though BD1 potency was below the lower binding limit of the assay at the concentration tested. Of the alternative aza cores 2.0982.101, the 8 -aza THQx 2.101 showed the best potency and selectivity profile (Figure 2.11). ${ }^{290}$ This may be due to a reduced steric clash between the acetyl $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and the 8position of the ring when the $8-\mathrm{H}$ is removed, making adoption of the binding conformation (Figure 2.2) more favourable. Although the BD2 potency of $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 1}$ was still reduced significantly compared to 2.002, BD1 potency was also reduced to below the lower assay limit. The 5-aza 2.099 was slightly less selective and the 6-aza 2.100 had no measurable activity at either bromodomain. All four aza-isomers were less potent and appear to be less selective compared to the phenyl
core analogue 2.002, though a pleasing reduction in lipophilicity was achieved for all compounds and in particular 2.098 and 2.100. Nonetheless, the poorer potencies led to no further work being carried out on aza-core analogues.


Figure 2.11. Biochemical potencies of aza core molecules. Potency data are $\mathrm{n}=2$ or greater.

### 3.3.9 The 3-Position - Lead-Hopping From a Screening Hit

During a screen against Brd4 of compounds containing potential KAc mimetics, a series of benzoxazines (BOs), structurally similar to the THQx series, were identified which showed promising BD2 selectivity (Figure 2.12). ${ }^{316}$ These compounds exhibited interesting SAR, with the pendant heterocycles of $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 2}{ }^{319}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 3}{ }^{319}$ seemingly required for activity - truncation to sulphone $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 4}{ }^{319}$ removed almost all BD2 activity. Selectivity could not be accurately measured for $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 2}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 4}$ due to the assay concentration limit but $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 3}$ was screened at higher concentration to give an accurate BD1 potency. The ligand efficiency (LE) and lipophilic ligand efficiency (LLE) of these compounds were poor, though the addition of the morpholine maintained and improved efficiency due to the increase in potency and polarity it confered.


2.103

BD1: 3.7** $\times 25$
BD2 LE/LLE: 0.23/3.5

2.104

BD1: <4.3
BD2: 4.6
BD2 LE/LLE: 0.24/3.8

Figure 2.12. Structures and potencies of $B O$ hits 2.102-2.104. All potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$ or greater unless specified. * $\mathrm{n}=2,<4.3$ on three other test occasions.

It was theorised that these compounds may bind in a similar manner to the THQx series, with the acetyl group acting as the warhead and the amide pointing out of the binding pocket along
a cleft formed by the ZA loop and the BD2-specific His. Notably, this region is also occupied by the BD2-biased BET inhibitor RVX-208 (1.20, see Figure 1.18). ${ }^{117,127}$

These theories were confirmed by crystallography of 2.103 bound to Brd2 BD2 (Figure 2.13), ${ }^{292}$ which showed that the amide NH forms a bidentate hydrogen bond to the key Asp140 residue. The phenyl ring projects through the expected channel, packing against His433 and making lipophilic contact with Leu383. The sulphonamide provides a crucial $90^{\circ}$ turn, which orientates the thiazole to form edge-to-face $\pi$-interactions with His433. Given that the morpholine 2.102 is more potent than 2.103 despite being lacking m-character, the key interaction may in fact be hydrogen bonds to Asp434 and the backbone beyond His433, or simply lipophilic contact with His433. The THQx scaffold overlays reasonably well with the BO core, with the 3- and 4-positions of the respective cores in reasonably similar locations. It can also be seen that the region occupied by the thiazole of $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 2}$ contains two water molecules in the 2.019c structure, one in close proximity to the thiazole sulphur, which may be displaced by the binding of 2.102.


Figure 2.13. X-ray crystal structures of $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 3}$ (magenta, $1.63 \AA$ ) ${ }^{292}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 0 1 9}$ c bound to Brd2 BD2
(cyan, $1.78 \AA$ Å). ${ }^{292}$ Key residues are bolded. Top: No surface, some residues omitted for clarity. Bottom: Showing the 2.103 structure surface.
The good overlay of the cores indicated that replacing the BO oxygen with an $N$-benzyl group could enable access to the WPF shelf. Given the $>100$-fold increase in potency observed on accessing the WPF shelf (c.f. 2.001 and 2.002, Figure 2.1) and high selectivity of the BO hits, this lead-hopping approach had the potential to generate highly potent and selective compounds.

It was envisaged that compounds of this type could originate from a THQx with a carboxylic acid at the 3 -position, and to allow pairwise comparison with the BO series and simplify synthesis, compounds were synthesised with no 2-substituent. A high yielding, gram-scale synthesis of the 3 -substituted core (Scheme 2.11) was developed utilising known methodology ${ }^{290}$ and modified literature precedent. ${ }^{320}$


Scheme 2.11. Synthesis of acid intermediate 2.112. Reagents and conditions: (i) Serine methyl ester, DIPEA, MeCN, $\mu \mathrm{W}, 130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, reflux; (iii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt; (iv) ( $\pm$ )-serine, CuCl , $N, N^{\prime}$-dimethylethylenediamine, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) $\mathrm{PhCHO}, \mathrm{PhSiH}_{3}, \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SnCl}_{2}, 2: 1 \mathrm{THF}: \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}$; (vi) $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$.THF, THF, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (vii) AcCl , pyridine, DCM , rt.

An $S_{N} A r$ reaction between fluorobenzene 2.105 and serine methyl ester gave 2.106 in reasonable yield. Nitro reduction could be achieved in excellent yield with either $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$ reduction or a more sustainable catalytic hydrogenation. As before, cyclisation to $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 7}$ occurred spontaneously in the $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$ reduction or when the hydrogen reaction mixture was left to stand after catalyst removal. Alternatively, 2.107 could be constructed in one step from 2bromoanline 2.108 using the copper catalysed method developed by Tanimori, ${ }^{296}$ though this route was poorly scalable due the requirement for a sealed tube and difficulties in removing

DMSO from the polar product. At this stage the lower reactivity of the lactam nitrogen was exploited to selectively add a benzyl group to N4, however functionalisation of 2.107 by reductive amination proved difficult, with standard reductive amination conditions $\left(\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}\right)$ failing to produce any product. The electron-withdrawing lactam may deactivate the amine, or intermolecular hydrogen bonds may form with the OH which hinder reactivity. Alternatively, the boron hydride may be chelated by the carbonyl and alcohol, preventing reaction. The tin catalysed conditions utilised by Apodaca ${ }^{321}$ and Smil ${ }^{322}$ gave the desired product but conversion was slow, increasing the catalyst loading and adding DMF as a cosolvent ${ }^{323}$ gave the benzylated product in good yield. Lactam reduction with boraneTHF gave amine 2.110, which was acetylated with acetyl chloride to give $\mathbf{2 . 1 1 1}$ in good yield.

However, obtaining acid $\mathbf{2 . 1 1 2}$ proved extremely challenging (Table 2.5). Although oxidation for 2.111 to aldehyde 2.113 was facile under Swern conditions (Entry 1), a variety of stepwise and one-step oxidations to acid $\mathbf{2 . 1 1 2}$ or methyl ester 2.114 failed, giving either complex mixtures or lactam 2.115. The mechanism of this process is unknown, but the radical homolysis of amino alcohol C-C bonds is known to occur. ${ }^{324}$ It is possible that $\mathbf{2 . 1 1 5}$ arises from this homolytic cleavage followed by oxidative quenching of the resulting radical cation at C3. Alternatively, polar decarboxylation could occur, followed by hemiaminal formation and oxidation.

Table 2.5. Attempted oxidation conditions.


| Entry | Oxidation | Conditions | Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{2 . 1 1 1 ~} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{2 . 1 1 3}$ | DMSO, (COCl) $2_{2}$, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}$. | 85\% yield |
| 7 eq NaClO |  |  |  |
| 7 eq $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, 2-methylbut-2- |  |  |  |
| ene, $3: 1 \mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt |  |  |  |
| 3 " Oxone, DMF,rt |  |  | Complex mixture, major product 2.115 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $4^{325}$ | " | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{CuCl},{ }^{\text {t }} \mathrm{BuOOH}, \mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{rt}$ | " |
| $5^{326}$ | " | $4 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$, 3 eq $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{MeCN}$, rt | " |
| 6 | $2.113 \rightarrow 2.114$ | 4 Oxone, MeOH, rt " |  |
| 7 | " $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | CuCl, t'BuOOH, MeOH, rt " |  |



Small amounts of the desired product were obtained when TPAP oxidation was attempted (Entry 16), and an increase in the catalyst and reagent loading at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ giving a usable $22 \%$ yield of 2.112 (Entry 17). Attempts to isolate 2.112 by chromatography failed, with the acid being unstable to silica but isolable in usable purity by acid-base aqueous extraction. The methyl ester 2.114 could also be obtained in low yield directly from the alcohol 2.111 using 'borrowing hydrogen' catalysis (Entries 18, 19). ${ }^{332^{-334}}$ Interestingly, when the benzyl group was converted to a benzoyl (Bz) group (2.117), oxidation under the above conditions gave the acid product $\mathbf{2 . 1 1 8}$ cleanly with only a trace of the lactam byproduct, even after several hours at rt (Scheme 2.12). This indicates that the nitrogen lone pair may be involved in the formation of 2.115, potentially through charge stabilisation or formation of an intermediate imine.


Scheme 2.12. Oxidation with a benzoyl (Bz) protecting group. Reagents and conditions: (i) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, MeOH , rt, H-Cube flow hydrogenation reactor; (ii) BzCl , pyridine, $\mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) 6 eq $\mathrm{NMO}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ TPAP, MeCN, - $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ - rt, ( $75 \%$ by LCMS).

Despite the low yields, sufficient amounts of 2.112 were obtained to synthesise final compounds using HATU-mediated amide couplings (Scheme 2.13). The primary amide 2.119 had no measurable $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ at the concentration tested against either bromodomain of Brd4, whereas methyl amide 2.120 showed a weak but still measurable BD2 potency. Phenyl amide 2.121 gave no measurable BD1 plC50 and was weakly potent against BD2, as expected from comparison to the non-sulphonamide BO 2.104. Addition of the morpholine sulphonamide moiety found in $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 2}$ gave 2.122, albeit in very low yield, which was over 120 -fold selective for Brd4 BD2 over BD1, showed reasonable BD2 potency, and was pleasingly soluble.

2.112


Scheme 2.13. Synthesis and potency of lead-hopping final compounds. All potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$ or greater unless specified. *n=1, <4.3 on two additional test occasions. ** $n=2,<4.3$ on one additional test occasion. Reagents and conditions: (i) Amine or amine HCI salt, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt.

While this result was pleasing and validated the lead-hopping hypothesis, it should be noted that the addition of the shelf group gives a much smaller boost in BD2 potency from 2.102 to 2.122 (x16) when compared to 2.001 and 2.002 (x316). Ligand efficiency ${ }^{31}$ is much the same for 2.102 and $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 2}$, though it is below the desired minimal value of 0.3 . However, the related lipophilic ligand efficiency (LLE) ${ }^{335}$ value drops sharply, showing that the additional lipophilicity of the benzyl group is not well used. Comparison of these compounds to the 2-H, 4-Bn THQx 2.019d (BD1/BD2 pIC50: 5.0/5.6, BD2 LE: 0.38 ) shows that a 3 -amide substituent is a poorly efficient means of increasing potency and selectivity due to the large 3-substituents required to pack against the His and make this interaction significant. Due to this inefficiency, 3substitution was not further optimised.

### 2.3.10 Combination of Substituents

With the 2-, 3-, 4-, and 6- position investigations complete, the previously investigated substitution patterns were combined in the hope that SAR would be additive and afford potent and selective probe molecules. For the 2-position, the cyclopropyl group was preferred, and the $o-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ and $o-\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ phenyls were the most selective examples for the WPF-shelf group. The optimal 6-substituent was less clear as many of the compounds tested had similar profiles, so it was decided that both the pyrimidine 1-amide of 2.090 , which had the best physicochemical properties among the most selective aryls, and the promisingly selective but less potent tetrahydropyridine group of 2.091 would be utilised. From the crystal structures of 2.022c and 2.019c (Figure 2.7) and from comparison to I-BET726, ${ }^{114}$ it appears that the (S)enantiomer is the stronger binder and single enantiomer compounds would be required for definitive profiling, for synthetic ease the compounds were initially prepared as racemates pending a single enantiomer synthesis (vide infra).

Unfortunately, the 6-bromo substitution of 2.016 c slowed the rate of reductive amination or benzyl bromide displacement compared to the 6-H compounds described earlier, possibly due to inductive electron withdrawal. This resulted in diminished yields due to competitive side reactions of the aryl aldehyde or benzylic bromide with the alcohol group, and the alcohol group was introduced protected with TBS (2.123) to prevent this (Scheme 2.14). However, the TBS group proved to still be relatively labile under reductive amination conditions resulting in a poor yield. Following reductive amination, 2.124 underwent SuzukiMiyaura cross-coupling to 2.125 in good yield, followed by efficient deprotection with TBAF and mild nitrile oxidation using $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. The resulting phenol 2.127 could be alkylated with $2 b r o m o e t h a n o l$ to afford the final product 2.128, though reaction was slow and yield poor. In the case of 2.130 the use of NaH appended the benzyl group in excellent yield, a significant improvement on the previous reductive amination or benzylic displacement conditions. Following cross-coupling, affording 2.131, the TBS-groups were easily removed with TBAF, with nitrile oxidation giving 2.133.

Efforts to streamline the synthesis by installing the benzyl group as the final step were unsuccessful due to the poor solubility and reactivity of compounds containing the pyrimidine group. The Boc-protected tetrahydropyridine was introduced using identical Suzuki conditions, giving $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 4}$ which was doubly deprotected to afford 2.136.


Scheme 2.14. Synthesis of combination compounds. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}$, rt; (ii) Pyrimidine-2-carbonitrile-5-boronic acid pinacol ester, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 1,4$-dioxane, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mu \mathrm{W}$, $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) TBAF, THF, rt; (iv) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMSO}$, rt; (v) 2-Bromoethanol, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (vi) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (vii) tert-butyl 5,6-dihydropyridine-1 $(2 \mathrm{H})$-carboxylate-4-boronic acid pinacol ester, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 1,4$-dioxane, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mu \mathrm{W}, 110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (viii) TFA, DCM, rt.

All four combination compounds (2.127, 2.128, 2.133, 2.136) were profiled, and showed good BD2 potency and selectivity (Table 2.6). SAR was additive, with the BD2 potencies of $\mathbf{2 . 0 9 0}$ and 2.091 being maintained while the WPF-shelf groups reduced BD1 potency. The combination of substituents successfully brought physicochemical properties into more druglike space through the introduction of polar functionality (and a basic centre in 2.136). As expected from the earlier results (Section 2.3.3), phenol 2.127 was less selective, though active in the phenotypic PBMC MCP1 assay. Alkylation to give $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 8}$ improved selectivity and solubility at the cost of increased lipophilicity, though ChromLogD ${ }_{7.4}$ was still within the desired range. Benzylic alcohol 2.133 was also a promising lead, and though permeability and solubility were slightly lower than desired 2.133 had good cellular potency. Tetrahydropyridine 2.136 was also highly selective and had the highest LE of the combination set, with the basic centre producing a large decrease in ChromLogD. Solubility and permeability were both improved compared to 2.133 and no reduction in potency was observed between the FRET and cellular assays. From these data, the benzylic alcohol shelf group was selected for investigation as a single enantiomer with both 6 -substituents.

Table 2.6. Target potencies and additional profiling of combination compounds.

|  |  |   <br> 2.133 <br> 2.136 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | 2.127 | 2.128 | 2.133 | 2.136 |
| BRD4 BD1/BD2 <br> pIC50 (Selectivity) | $5.5 / 7.0$ (x32) | 5.2 / 6.9 (x50) | 5.2 / 7.0 (x63) | 5.0 / 6.8 (x63) |
| BRD4 BD2 LE/LLE | 0.29 / 4.34 | 0.26 / 4.48 | 0.28 / 4.72 | $0.30 / 3.64$ |
| ChromLogD7.4 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.2 |
| CLND Sol ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 41 | 344 | 130 | 312 |
| AMP $_{7.4}(\mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{s})$ | 500 | 200 | 86 | 120 |
| HSA binding (\%) | 96 | 92 | 91 | 90 |
| PBMC MCP1 plC50 | 6.7 | - | 6.8 | 6.8 |

All potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$ or greater.

The effect of separating the racemate into its constituent isomers was investigated for 2.136. Chiral HPLC separation ${ }^{336}$ afforded the two isomers (Scheme 2.15), the absolute configuration of which was confirmed by X-ray crystallography (Figure 2.15) and comparison with homochiral synthetic material (vide infra). As hypothesised, the S-enantiomer exhibited a slight
boost in potency and was pleasingly selective. However, unlike the $6-\mathrm{H}$ analogue $\mathbf{2 . 0 5 6}$, the $R$-enantiomer maintained a low level of unselective Brd4 potency. Preparative chiral HPLC conditions could not be developed for pyrimidine amide 2.133 due to poor solubility, and a synthetic solution was sought.


Scheme 2.15. Chiral separation of $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 6}$ and potencies of the single enantiomers. Potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$ or greater.

### 2.3.11 Single Enantiomer Synthesis

With historical and crystallographic data strongly suggesting that the $(S)$-enantiomer of the THQx series was the more active enantiomer, a synthesis of enantiopure products was desired. Though 2.136 could be separated into its constituent isomers by preparative chiral HPLC, poor solubility prevented this for the 6-pyrimidine compounds and separation earlier in the synthetic route would be required. To prevent this wasteful and poorly scalable method, and confirm the absolute configuration of the active isomer, a method of preparing enantiomerically pure final compounds was desired. Asymmetric hydrogenation of 2-alkyl quinoxalines ${ }^{337-341}$ was considered but the high $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ pressures often utilised were considered poorly practical and incompatible with an aryl halide substituent. The Ullmann-type cyclisations used in the synthesis of gem-dimethyl (Scheme 2.3) and 3-amido (Scheme 2.11) compounds were reported to proceed without racemisation of the amino acid and were tolerant of some aryl halides. ${ }^{296}$ Given these advantages, and to make use of the previously developed synthetic route, this method was utilised (Scheme 2.16).
(S)-Cyclopropylglycine (commercially available or accessible enzymatically from cyclopropylglyoxylic acid ${ }^{342}$ ) underwent Cu-catalysed coupling-cyclisation with bromoaniline 2.137 to give the quinoxalinone core 2.138 in high yield, which was efficiently reduced to THQx 2.139 using borane-THF. To determine enantiopurity, 2.139 was hydrogenated to give 2.140, which was compared with an available racemic sample by chiral HPLC and shown to be an acceptable $94 \%$ ee. 2.139 was acetylated and the WPF shelf aryl group added using the previously developed chemistry (Scheme 2.14), giving 2.143. Although this route is inherently
inefficient due to the protection and deprotection steps, installation of the substituted benzyl group earlier in the synthesis (as done for $\mathbf{2 . 0 2 7}$, Scheme 2.3) was not considered practical due to the harsh conditions of the Ullmann and borane reduction steps. However, yields for the protection/acetylation/deprotection were significantly improved over the initial route (Scheme 2.1). This route necessitated the use of a less reactive $6-\mathrm{Cl}$ substituent to avoid chemoselectivity issues in the Ullman coupling step and therefore a reassessment of the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling conditions was required. This proved to be non-trivial, and none of the pyrimidine product ( $S$ )-2.131 was observed under the previously competent $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-$ catalysed conditions.


Scheme 2.16. Single enantiomer synthesis of 2.143. Reagents and conditions: (i) CuCl, DMEDA, DBU, DMSO, $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{THF}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, rt; (iv) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM} \mathrm{rt}$; (v) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 2-\mathrm{MeTHF}, 9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (vi) TFA, DCM, rt; (vii) 2.129, NaH, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

A campaign of catalyst, ligand and condition screening was undertaken to identify a suitable method for this transformation (Table 2.7). Initial investigations of palladium catalysts and precatalysts with additional ligands gave only traces of the desired product $(S)$-2.131 (Entries 14). The NHC-stabilised pre-catalyst PEPPSI was marginally better (Entry 6), though yields remained low. Palladacycle pre-catalysts gave improved yields (Entries 7-11), though increased levels of the proto-dehalogenation product 2.144 were also observed. XPhos palladacycle catalysts gave good conversion which improved upon moving to the third generation catalyst, giving $>50 \%$ conversion to the desired product (Entry 11). A screen of solvents (Entries 12-15) and bases (Entries 16-20) did not improve on this yield, though pleasingly low levels of proto-dehalogenation were observed in DME (Entry 20). Alcoholic solvents completely inhibited the reaction (Entry 13), and the addition of water inhibited, but did not prevent reaction (Entries 11, 12, 18). Given the increase in efficiency seen on moving
from the first to the third generation palladicycle catalysts, BrettPhosPd G3 was tested and gave comparable conversion to XPhosPd G3 (Entry 21). The use of DME solvent with this catalyst again reduced dehalogenation (Entry 22), and these conditions allowed (S)-2.131 to be obtained in a useable 43\% isolated yield (Entry 23).

|  |  |  <br> nol\% Pd cat. eq Base ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mu \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{hr}$ |  <br> (S)-2.131 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Catalyst/Ligand | Base/ Additive | Solvent | 2.143 | \% by LCMS <br> (S)-2.131 | 2.144 |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Cs} 2 \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 10:1 1,4-dioxane: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  | No reaction |  |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 4:1 1,4-dioxane:EtOH |  | No reaction |  |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}, \mathrm{SPhos}$ <br> ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) | KOAc | 1,4-dioxane |  | No reaction |  |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, RuPhos (10 mol\%) | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 10:1 1,4-dioxane: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  | No reaction |  |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{XPhos}(10 \\ & \mathrm{mol} \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 5 \\ & \text { eq } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | THF, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 74 | 8 | - |
| 6 | Pd-PEPPSI-IPent | $\mathrm{Cs} 2 \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 1,4-dioxane | 75 | 10 | - |
| 7 | Xphos Pd G2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 5 \\ & \text { eq } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | 1,4-dioxane | 50 | 18 | 9 |
| 8 | Xphos Pd G2 | ${\mathrm{Cs} 2 \mathrm{CO}_{3}}$ | 1,4-dioxane | 49 | 23 | 6 |
| 9 | SPhos Pd G2 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 1,4-dioxane | 71 | 2 | - |
| 10 | BrettPhos Pd G1 | ${\mathrm{Cs} 2 \mathrm{CO}_{3}}$ | 1,4-dioxane | 42 | 31 | 17 |
| 11 | XPhos Pd G3 | ${\mathrm{Cs} 2 \mathrm{CO}_{3}}$ | 1,4-dioxane | 18 | 43 | 19 |
| 12 | XPhos Pd G3 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 10:1 1,4-dioxane: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 67 | 14 | - |
| 13 | XPhos Pd G3 | ${\mathrm{Cs} 2 \mathrm{CO}_{3}}$ | tBuOH |  | No reaction |  |
| 14 | XPhos Pd G3 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | Toluene | 41 | 29 | 12 |
| 15 | XPhos Pd G3 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DME | 40 | 30 | 2 |
| 16 | XPhos Pd G3 | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | 1,4-dioxane | 66 | 2 | 12 |
| 17 | XPhos Pd G3 | $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | 1,4-dioxane | 65 | 13 | 11 |


| 18 | XPhos Pd G3 | $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 5$ <br> eq H2O | 1,4-dioxane | 70 | 19 | 10 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | XPhos Pd G3 | KOtBu | 1,4-dioxane |  | No reaction |  |
| 20 | XPhos Pd G3 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 1,4-dioxane | 58 | 20 | 5 |
| 21 | BrettPhos Pd G3 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 1,4-dioxane | 33 | 41 | 8 |
| 22 | BrettPhos Pd G3 | $\mathbf{C s}_{2} \mathbf{C O}_{3}$ | DME | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ |
| 23 | BrettPhos Pd G3 | $\mathbf{C s}_{2} \mathbf{C O}_{3}$ | DME |  | $\mathbf{4 3}^{\text {a }}$ |  |

'Pd' = Palladacycle pre-catalyst. 'G1' = First generation, etc. alsolated yield

With these optimised conditions in hand, (S)-2.131 was desilylated and the nitrile was oxidised to give $(S)-\mathbf{2 . 1 3 3}$. The optimised Suzuki conditions were also applicable to the tetrahydropyridine substituent, which was coupled in improved yield to give ( $S$ )-2.134, followed by double deprotection affording (S)-2.136 (Scheme 2.17).


Scheme 2.17. Completion of the single enantiomer synthesis. ${ }^{\text {a Reagents }}$ and conditions: (i) Pyrimidine-2-carbonitrile-5-boronic acid pinacol ester, BrettPhos Pd G3, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DME, $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mu \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{hr}$; (ii) TBAF, THF, rt; (iii) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMSO, rt; (iv) tert-butyl 5,6-dihydropyridine-1 2 H )-carboxylate4-boronic acid pinacol ester, BrettPhos Pd G3, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DME, $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mu \mathrm{W}$, 2 hr ; (v) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt.

### 2.3.12 Profiling of Lead Molecules

With the single enantiomer products in hand, full profiling was undertaken (Table 2.8).

Table 2.8. Extended profiles of THQx probe candidates,

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (S)-2.133 | (S)-2.136 |
| Brd4 BD1/BD2 FRET plC 50 (xSel) | $5.7 / 7.3$ (x40) | 5.5 / 7.2 (x50) |
| Brd2 BD1/BD2 FRET plC50 (xSel) | 5.8 / 7.0 (x16) | 5.5 / 6.4 (x8) |
| Brd3 BD1/BD2 FRET plC50 (xSel) | $6.1 / 7.5$ (x25) | 5.9 / 7.3 (x25) |
| BrdT BD1/BD2 FRET plC50 (xSel) | 6.3 / 7.0 (x5) | 5.8 / 6.7 (x8) |
| MCP1 PBMC plC50 | 7.1 | 7.4 |
| MCP1 hWB plC 50 | 6.0 | 5.7 |
| BRPF1 FRET plC50 | <4 | 4.7 |
| hERG plC50 | <4.3 | <4.3 |
| Rat Hepatocyte Stability (mL/min/g liver) | 23.0 | 8.66 |
| Human Hepatocyte Stability (mL/min/g liver) | 1.57 | 1.81 |
| ChromLogD7.4 | 3.0 | 2.3 |
| AMP (nm/s) | 135 | 110 |
| CLND Solubility ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 87 | 418 |
| HSA Binding (\%) | 91 | 85 |
| AGP Binding (\%) | - | 84 |

All FRET and cellular potency data are $n=3$ or greater. Other data are $n=2$ or greater. The human biological samples were sourced ethically and their research use was in accord with the terms of the informed consents.

Mirroring the results seen for $(S)$-2.136, the single enantiomer $(S)$ - $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 3}$ was around half a $\log$ unit more potent than the racemate ( $\pm$ )-2.133 but was less selective - in contrast to 2.056 where the single enantiomer proved to be more selective. Both compounds were also potent at the other members of the BET family, Brd2, 3 and T, with poor selectivity observed at Brd2 and BrdT in particular. The KAc binding pockets of Brd4 and BrdT BD1 are highly homologous, with the only significant residue difference being exchange of a glutamine beyond the ZA channel in Brd4 for an arginine in BrdT, which may be capable of folding into the ZA channel and interacting with the pyrimidine amide. Potency in PBMCs was good, with (S)-2.136 showing particular efficacy but $\sim 100$-fold reduction in the whole blood assay, despite lower HSA binding. Binding to acidic A-glycoprotein (AGP) through the basic nitrogen was thought to be responsible, but was measured as moderate at $84 \%$. (S)-2.133 showed slightly improved whole blood potency, but it should be noted that cellular assays have a higher variability than
biochemical assays that use purified protein and both compounds are capable of inhibiting an immune response in hWB to a similar degree.

Both compounds showed minimal inhibition of the hERG cardiac ion channel, especially gratifying for (S)-2.136 as amines adjacent to lipophilic regions are a common hERG pharmacophore. The compounds had good ChromLogD ${ }_{7.4}$ and reasonable permeability, though $(S)-2.136$ was significantly more soluble and had marginally lower protein binding. Both compounds were weighable solids and bench stable at room temperature in air.

These data suggested advantages and disadvantages to both compounds as probes. (S)2.133 has marginally improved whole blood activity and permeability, with a more consistent profile across the BET family. However, (S)-2.136 was more selective at the primary target of Brd4 BD2, showed excellent potency in isolated cells, and had much improved solubility. On balance, it was decided to take forward $(S)-2.136$ as the lead compound from the THQx series.

To determine the wider bromodomain selectivity profile of $(S)-2.136$, the compound was screened against a panel of 35 bromodomains using DiscoveRx's BROMOscan ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ assay platform (Figure 2.14, Table 2.9). ${ }^{343}$ The screen showed low-nanomolar potency at each of the BET BD2 domains and good selectivity over BD1, especially for Brd4 where over 200fold selectivity was recorded. Domain selectivity was lesser against the other BET Brds, particularly Brd2 and Brd3, but was still at acceptable levels. In contrast to the FRET data, selectivity at BrdT was higher than Brd2 and 3. BROMOscan potency was generally higher than in the FRET assays, a phenomenon also seen for other BET ${ }^{114}$ and non-BET bromodomain ${ }^{167,194,211}$ inhibitors. The closest non-BET activity was BRPF1, the only significant off-target identified, with an $\sim 360$-fold window of selectivity compared to Brd4 BD2. A pIC 50 of 4.7 ( 316 -fold selectivity) was obtained when (S)-2.136 was screened in

GSK's BRPF1 FRET assay (Table 2.8). No BROMOscan data for RVX-208 or other BD2biased BET inhibitors have been reported, but these data will allow direct comparison to any inhibitors disclosed in the future.


Figure 2.14. DiscoveRx BROMOscan ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ bromodomain selectivity tree for ( $S$ )-2.136, showing $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ as circles. Targets with activity $<3000 \mathrm{nM}$ are shown. Targets with greyed out names were not screened.

| Table 2.9. DiscoveRx BROMOscan ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Kd values for (S)-2.136. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Target | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{n m})$ | Domain Selectivity |
| Brd4 BD1 | 1500 | x227 |
| Brd4 BD2 | 6.6 |  |
| Brd2 BD1 | 1300 | $\times 40$ |
| Brd2 BD2 | 32 |  |
| Brd3 BD1 | 910 | $\times 48$ |
| Brd3 BD2 | 19 |  |
| BrdT BD1 | 1900 | $\times 90$ |
| BrdT BD2 | 21 |  |
| BRPF1 | 2400 | x363 (vs Brd4 BD2) |
| TAF1(2) | 15000 |  |
| WRD9(2) | 15000 |  |
| ATAD2A/B, BAZ2A/B, BRD1, BRD7, BRD8, BRD9, BRPF3, |  |  |
| CECR2, CRE1.14P, EP300, FALZ, GCN5L2, PBRM1(2/5), | $>30000$ |  |
| PCAF, SMARCA2/4, TAF1L(2), TRIM24(Bromo/PHD), |  |  |
| TRIM33(Bromo/PHD), |  |  |

X-ray crystal structures of $(S)-2.133$ and $(S)$-2.136 bound to Brd2 BD2 were obtained ${ }^{292}$ and showed very similar binding to the KAc pocket (Figure 2.15). Core binding is as seen for the less elaborated THQx 2.019c (Table 2.1, Figure 2.7) with the cyclopropyl groups filling the lipophilic pocket and the core phenyls packing against the WPF stack. The benzyl alcohol points into solvent above the binding pocket and appears to be flexible, interacting with a water network above His433 in the (S)-2.136 structure but facing the opposite way in the (S)-2.133 structure. Both aryl groups adopt an approximately $36^{\circ}$ dihedral angle to the core (close to the energy minimised dihedral angle of $44^{\circ}$ ) that improves contact and $\pi$-stacking with $\operatorname{Trp} 370$ of the WPF stack. The pyrimidine nitrogen atoms and primary amide of $(S) 2.133$ make several interactions with the water network around the exit of the ZA channel, as does the amine of (S)-2.136


Figure 2.15. X-ray structure of $(S)-2.133$ (grey, $1.60 \AA)^{292}$ and $(S)$-2.136 (brown, $\left.1.59 \AA\right)^{292}$ bound to Brd2 BD2 showing the (S)-2.136 surface.

### 2.4 Conclusions

This work set out to develop BD2-selective BET bromodomain inhibitors with high BET family selectivity and good physicochemical properties. The SAR around the THQx template has been explored and robust, scalable methods suitable for analogue synthesis have been developed. Iterative, structure-guided design has successfully optimised the THQx series from
weakly potent, moderately selective and highly lipophilic initial hits to produce (S)2.136. (S)2.136 meets the majority of the aforementioned probe criteria, being potent, selective and showing excellent physicochemical properties. The compound also proved to be cell permeable and able to mediate an autoimmune inflammatory response in vitro (Figure 2.16).

(S)-2.136

Brd4 BD1: 5.5
Brd4 BD2: $7.2 \times 50$
Variable Brd2, -3 , and -T BD2 Selectivity
$>300$-fold BET Family Selectivity
ChromLog $\mathrm{D}_{7.4}: 2.3$
Artificial Membrane Permeability: $110 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{s}$
CLND Solubility: 418 uM
Cellular Activity in Autoimmune Inflammation Model

Figure 2.16. Profile of (S)-2.136.

One caveat is the lower BD2 selectivity at Brd2, 3 and T , which also varied between the inhouse FRET and DiscoveRx BROMOscan ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ assays. Some assay variability can be explained by the differing conditions under which the assays are run, but this should not affect the fold-selectivities within the same assay set. Both FRET and BROMOscan assays use recombinant protein truncates, and differences in their preparation and structure may be responsible. It should be noted that the instances of low BD2 selectivity are due to reduced BD2 potency, not increased BD1 activity, allowing a selectivity window for Brd4 BD2 to be exploited. Nonetheless, (S)-2.136 is significantly more potent and selective than reported BD2selective inhibitors and is suitable for use as an in vitro tool to examine BD2 inhibition.

Between the completion of this work and its publication, a patent was released describing a structurally similar series of tetrahydroquinoxalines as BD2-selective BET inhibitors. ${ }^{344}$ As potency data were binned, exact selectivities of these compounds are unknown, though no examples of $>200$-fold BD2 selectivity were reported. ${ }^{344}$ The majority of the substituents exemplified differed from those focussed on in this work.

### 2.5 Further Work

Although $(S)-2.136$ broadly meets the specified probe criteria, future work in this series could consist of:

- Further improvement in BD2 potency and selectivity. The 50 -fold selectivity window of $(S)$-2.136 may be too narrow to unambiguously assign biological activity to particular
domains, particularly for the strong and varied phenotypes of the BET proteins. Ideally, BD1 potency would be further reduced to inactive levels, or BD2 potency improved compared to BD1. Work in this or other chemical series could build upon the learnings from this work to develop more selective inhibitors.
- Further investigation of the BD2 selectivity of $(S)-2.136$ across the BET family. The use of alternative biochemical assays, ITC or biophysical measurements may allow definitive determination of the wider BD2-selectivity profile. Screening of $(S)$-2.136 against full-length endogenous protein would also be desirable.
- Investigation of the biological effect of (S)-2.136 in other cell lines, phenotypic assays and disease models, in an effort to elucidate the biological roles of the BD2 domains.
- Further exploration of the 3-substituted examples (Section 2.3.9), particularly through improvement of ligand efficiency, optimisation of the WPF shelf group, more thorough exploration of the pendant His-binding group and improvement of physicochemical properties. Although synthesis would be challenging, 2,3-disubstituted examples would also be of significant interest. Such efforts have the potential to further improve on the high selectivity of 2.122 and generate second-generation BD2-selective probes.


## 3. Design and Synthesis of BRPF1 Bromodomain Inhibitors

### 3.1. Introduction

Several BRPF1 bromodomain inhibitors (1.46-1.51) have been reported (See Section 1.5.4), ${ }^{194-199}$ but all except $1.49{ }^{197}$ share the benzimidazolone chemotype and $\mathbf{1 . 4 8 - 1 . 5 1}$ show poor selectivity among other bromodomains. ${ }^{198,199}$ The benzimidazolones 1.46 and 1.47, discovered by GSK and Pfizer, show the best potency and selectivity profile but are poorly soluble. To properly validate phenotypic data obtained with members of the benzimidazolone class and mitigate any potential series-specific liabilities, a selective inhibitor from an alternative chemotype is required.

During efforts to identify novel cores for the GSK bromodomain focussed set, several series' of 5,6 -fused heterocycles with a methyl amide KAc mimetic were identified. ${ }^{345}$ Of these, pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidines showed promise when docked into the BRPF1 bromodomain, and several examples were synthesised and screened in FRET assays against BRPF1, other bromodomains and in physicochemical property assays (Table 3.1). ${ }^{345}$

Table 3.1. Bromodomain FRET potencies, LE and physicochemical properties of initial pyrazolopyrimidine hits.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BRPF1 pIC 50 / LE | $6.6 / 0.48$ | 6.1 / 0.49 | $5.7 / 0.39$ | 5.4 / 0.39 | $6.0 / 0.43$ |
| BRPF2 $\mathrm{PIC}_{50}$ | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.2a | - | <4 |
| BRPF3 plC 50 | 4.4 | 4.4 | <4 | - | $4.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Brd4 BD1 / BD2 plC 50 | $4.4^{\text {b }} /<4.3$ | <4.3 / <4.3 | 4.6 / <4.3 | <4.3 / <4.3 | 4.5 / 4.4 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| BPTF plC ${ }_{50}$ | 5.1 | 4.7 | 5.4 | - | - |
| Brd7 $\mathrm{plC}_{50}$ | 5.1 | 4.8 | - | - | 5.0 |
| Brd9 plC 50 | 5.6 | 5.5 | - | - | 5.8 |
| CECR2 ${ }^{\text {pIC }} 50$ | 5.5 | 4.4 | - | - | 5.5 |
| PCAF $\mathrm{plC}_{50}$ | 5.2 | 5.2 | - | - | 5.1 |
| ChromLogD ${ }_{7.4}$ | 4.0 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 4.3 | 3.1 |
| CLND Solubility ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 545 | 467 | 500 | 588 | 504 |
| AMP ( $\mathrm{nm} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | 640 | 130 | 170 | 650 | 670 |

All potency data are $\mathrm{n}=2$ or greater unless otherwise specified. Dashes indicate no data available. a) $\mathrm{n}=1,<4$ on one other test occasion; b) $\mathrm{n}=3,<4.3$ on two other test occasions; c) $\mathrm{n}=2,<4.3$ on one other test occasion.

The pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine (PP) series showed micromolar potency against BRPF1, with high ligand efficiency for even the weaker inhibitors. Partially saturated rings (3.001) and secondary amines (3.002) at the 5-position of the core showed high potency and efficiency, while aromatics $(3.003,3.005)$ were tolerated but less potent. Reducing the double bond of 3.001 gave 3.004 , which was over 10 -fold less potent. Within the BRPF family, the series appeared to be BRPF1-selective, with the choice of 5 -substituent affecting the degree of selectivity over BRPF2, from 20 -fold (3.002) to $>100$-fold (3.005), with very low BRPF3 potency for all examples tested.

All examples tested had no significant activity against Brd4 (as a general measure of BET activity) - given the strong phenotype observed for BET inhibition this is a prerequisite for any non-BET bromodomain probe. Though TIF1a has been observed as an off-target for certain BRPF1 inhibitors of the benzimidazolone class, ${ }^{198,199} \mathbf{3 . 0 0 1}$ showed low TIF1a potency ( $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ $=4.7$ ). Aside from this, the pan-bromodomain selectivity of the series was poor. Relatively high potency was observed against Brd9 and CECR2, with lower but still significant activity seen for BPTF, Brd7 and PCAF. The high Brd9 potency is unsurprising, as Brd9 is closely homologous to the BRPF family (Figure 1.6) and BRPF1 was noted as a common off-target during the synthesis of Brd9 inhibitors. ${ }^{209,211}$ CECR2, BPTF and PCAF are much less closely
related to BRPF1, but share some key similarities in the KAc binding site (most notably an aromatic gatekeeper residue) which are the cause of the non-selective binding (See Section 3.3.10).

Interestingly, compared to cyclohexene 3.001 , amine 3.002 was over 10 -fold less potent at CECR2 but had lower selectivity over Brd9. These data indicated that optimisation of the 5substituent could potentially enable improved selectivity. Aromatic substituents at the 5 position proved even more promiscuous, with methylpyridine $\mathbf{3 . 0 0 3}$ almost equipotent at BPTF. To confirm that this was not due to the pyridyl nitrogen or methyl group, phenyl 3.005 was synthesised (See Table 3.2), and also showed poor selectivity over CECR2 and Brd9.

As well as high LE, the physicochemical properties of the series were generally good, with high aqueous solubility and both ChromLogD7.4 and artificial membrane permeability (AMP) within druglike space. However, it was noted that the addition of heteroatoms to the 5substituent ( 3.002 and 3.003 ) caused a large drop in lipophilicity and hence reduced AMP, though it remained in acceptable space. In addition, 3.001 showed poor chemical stability, with solid samples gradually degrading under bench conditions. It is thought the conjugated alkene may act as a Michael acceptor or oxidation site, and therefore removing this motif was a priority.

Docking of 3.001 into the BRPF1 bromodomain (Figure 3.1) ${ }^{345}$ suggested that the methyl amide functions as the KAc mimetic and is constrained by an intramolecular H -bond to the pyrimidine nitrogen. The planar core packs against the Phe714 'gatekeeper' residue, while an overlay with the X-ray structure of the benzimidazolone 1.46 showed that the 5cyclohexene may occupy much the same space as the piperidine of 1.46 , although the docking orientated the alkene in the plane of the PP ring system. Such an orientation would allow conjugation of the alkene $\pi$-system into that of the core and allow lipophilic contact with the ZA loop. Tuning the orientation and interactions in this region may offer the opportunity to improve BRPF1 potency and selectivity over other bromodomains. In addition, the 6- and 7-positions of the PP core may offer the opportunity to interact with the ZA loop, in a similar manner to the methoxyphenyl ring of 1.46 which forms cation-m interactions with Glu661 and increases potency.


Figure 3.1. Docking of $\mathbf{3 . 0 0 1}$ (green, Armelle le Gall ${ }^{345}$ ) into the X-ray structure of $\mathbf{1 . 4 6}$ bound to the BRPF1 bromodomain (orange, PDB:4UYE) showing the warhead binding (left, Phe714 omitted for clarity) and surface (right).

### 3.2. Aims

This work aimed to optimise the PP series, or related novel scaffolds, to develop a second generation BRPF probe. The criteria for this probe would be:

- $\quad$ BRPF1 $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}>7.0$.
- Defined BRPF1/2/3 selectivity profile (both pan-BRPF and single-bromodomain probes would be desirable)
- 100-fold selectivity over BET bromodomains, $>50$-fold selectivity against other nonBET bromodomains
- CLND solubility >100 $\mu \mathrm{M}$, AMP >100 nm/sec, ChromLogD7.4 2-4.
- Structurally differentiated from existing probe chemotypes

To achieve this, the following strategy was devised. The basic binding of the core would be investigated by synthesising various 5,6-fused fragment-like heterocycles. Although docking predicted the methyl amide with an internal hydrogen bond would likely be critical for activity, this would also be confirmed experimentally. Concurrently, the 5 -substituent would be investigated. Due to the apparently broad tolerability observed at this vector, synthetic tractability and the varied effects on selectivity, a wide range of substituents would be screened initially before focussing on the most promising. Substitution at the 6- and 7-positions, and disubstitution, would also be investigated.

### 3.3. Results and Discussion

### 3.3.1 Fragment Cores and Warhead Optimisation

Although methyl groups are most commonly used as the lipophilic portion of the KAc mimetic, ${ }^{156}$ larger alkyl groups are known to fulfil this role. ${ }^{211}$ To explore this, the postulated KAc mimetic was investigated by coupling the commercially available PP acid 3.006 with a small array of amines using HATU (Scheme 3.1). Only the methyl amide 3.007a showed any significant activity, maintaining the high ligand efficiency of the initial hits. All other amides 3.007b-e were inactive, even when tested at higher concentration. Reversal of the amide was achieved by subjecting 3.006 to a Curtius rearrangement, quenching with tert-butanol to form the Boc protected amine 3.008. Deprotection and acetylation gave 3.010, which showed no improvement over 3.007a. To test the contribution of the intramolecular hydrogen bond, pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridine 3.011 was amidated in a two-step procedure using oxalyl chloride and methylamine, giving 3.012. Although 3.012 was less potent than $\mathbf{3 . 0 0 7 a}$, the drop in potency was reasonably small. It is hypothesised that the desired trans amide, with the carbonyl in plane with the core and methyl group in the least sterically hindered position, is intrinsically the most stable conformation and the contribution of the intramolecular hydrogen bond is small. Additionally, while the LE of 3.007 a and 3.012 was relatively similar, the higher lipophilicity of $\mathbf{3 . 0 1 2}$ resulted in a much lower LLE $_{\text {AT }}$ - hence 3.007a is more efficient in terms of lipophilicity. As lipophilicity often increases during optimisation, ${ }^{10}$ leads with low LLE ${ }_{\text {AT }}$ are important in striving for optimal physicochemical property space.
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Scheme 3.1. Synthesis and activity of alternative KAc mimetics. a) $n=1,<3$ on two other test occasions; b) $n=2,<3$ on one other test occasion; c) $n=1,<4$ on three other test occasions; d) $n=2,<4$ on four other test occasions. LLEAT calculated using ChromLogD7.4. Reagents and conditions: (i) Amine, HATU,

DIPEA, DMF, rt; (ii) diphenyl phosphorazidate, Ets N , toluene, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOH}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) TFA, DCM, rt; (iv) AcCl, pyridine, DCM, rt; (v) (COCl) $)_{2}$, DMF, DCM, rt; (vi) $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}, \mathrm{THF}$, rt.
With the methyl amide and intramolecular hydrogen bond confirmed as optimal, alternative cores with these motifs were investigated. To probe tolerability towards substitution, a methyl group was also placed at each position in turn (Scheme 3.2). Commercially available acids 3.013-3.015a-c were amidated with HATU to give 3.016-3.018a-c. Indole 3.016 was subsequently methylated in good yield using phase-transfer alkylation, affording 3.019. ${ }^{346}$ Dimethyl PP 3.020 was obtained from the GSK compound collection.
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Scheme 3.2. Core modifications. All potency data are $n=2$ or greater unless specified. a) $n=1,<4$ on one additional test occasion. LLEAT calculated using ChromLogD7.4. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt; (ii) Mel, KOH, Bu4NSO44, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{DCM}$, rt.

Azaindole 3.016 was slightly more potent than 3.007 a with reduced LLE $_{\text {AT }}$ due to increased lipophilicity. Pyrazolopyridine 3.017 showed reduced potency and efficiency, potentially due to unfavourable placement of the N2 nitrogen lone pair in the lipophilic edge of the binding pocket. $N$-Methyl azaindole 3.019 showed a further improvement in potency compared to 3.016, with LE higher than the initial core 3.007a but LLE ${ }_{\text {AT }}$ substantially reduced, indicating the lipophilic methyl group is not an efficient addition. Methyl substitution in the 5- (3.018a) and 6- (3.018b) positions was tolerated but gave no significant benefit, whilst the 7-Me analogue $\mathbf{3 . 0 1 8}$ c gave a half log unit increase in activity compared to 3.007a. Of these three examples, 7 -Me 3.018 c showed the highest efficiency, with only a small drop in LLE ${ }_{\text {at }}$. However, this SAR did not prove additive in the case of the dimethyl 3.020. It is hypothesised
that introduction of the 5-methyl causes the core to adopt a subtly different orientation in the binding site which disrupts the beneficial contacts being made by the 7 methyl substituent. Other cores required de novo synthesis. The bicyclic esters 3.022 and 3.026 were generated by condensation of aminopyrrole 3.021 and aminopyrazole 3.025 with $1,1,3,3$ tetramethoxypropane under acidic conditions. Although pyrazolopyrimidine 3.022 was obtained in good yield using HCl , these conditions gave a poor yield for pyrrolopyrimidine 3.026, however switching to acetic acid improved the yield to a usable $17 \%$. This may be due to the greater nucleophilicity of pyrazoles due to the alpha-effect of the adjacent nitrogen, and the lower stability of pyrroles causing degradation during the reaction. Ester hydrolysis and amide formation gave the desired products 3.024 and 3.028 in $33-36 \%$ yield over the two steps.


Scheme 3.3. Core modifications. All potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$. LLE ${ }_{A T}$ calculated using ChromLogD7.4.
Reagents and conditions: (i) 1,1,3,3-tetramethoxypropane, $\mathrm{AcOH}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt; (iii) $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt; (iv) 1,1,3,3-tetramethoxypropane, $5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}, 9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iv) $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, THF, rt

Pyrrolopyrimidine 3.024 was tolerated but with no improvement in potency over 3.007a, and lesser activity compared to $N$-Me AI 3.019. Substitution from the 2-position (3.028) was detrimental, in accordance with computational modelling (Figure 3.1) where the methyl group of 3.028 would be predicted to clash with the protein. Overall, the SAR of these fragments supported the hypothesised binding mode, but only small and weakly efficient improvements in potency were obtained. The PP and $N$-Me azaindole (AI) cores were taken forward to further optimisation, while also noting the promise of the 7-position as a vector for increasing potency.

### 3.3.2 The Pyrazolopyrimidine 5-Position

## Initial Exploration

To further explore the SAR around the potent cyclohexene hit 3.001, a number of 5substituted compounds were synthesised. Whilst HATU is a highly effective amide coupling reagent, it is expensive and wasteful on scale, producing multiple byproducts which often must be removed using chromatography. Commercially available acid 3.029 was amidated in a two-step procedure using inexpensive oxalyl chloride, which produces only gaseous or inorganic byproducts, to give the 5-chloro intermediate 3.030 on gram scale (Scheme 3.4).


Scheme 3.4. Synthesis of 5-substituted pyrazolopyrimidines intermediate 3.030. Reagents and conditions: (i) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{DCM}$, rt; then $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$, rt.

The desired 5 -substituent was then appended using Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling (3.005, 3.031-3.040), Sonogashira coupling (3.041) or $S_{N} A r(3.042-3.044)$ (Table 3.2). While many of the Suzuki-Miyaura couplings proceeded in good yield, poor stability of the boronic acid reduced the yield for some of the alkene examples. Where the building blocks contained Bocprotected amine groups, these were deprotected with TFA in high yield after the coupling. 3.037 and 3.039 proved unstable as the free base and so were isolated as hydrochloride salts - this instability may explain the low yields observed but raised concerns around the suitability of these examples for use as chemical tools. Cyclopropyl 3.040 was synthesised in excellent yield using the corresponding trifluoroborate, using the conditions developed by Molander for the coupling of this reagent to heteroaryl chlorides. ${ }^{347}$ The chloroPP 3.030 proved highly amenable to $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ reactions, and it was noted (initially from side products observed during the synthesis of 3.030) that methylamine underwent this reaction at room temperature to give 3.043 in good yield. The room temperature reaction was not investigated for 3.042 and 3.044 . Aniline 3.045 was obtained from the GSK compound collection.

Table 3.2. Synthesis, structures and potencies of initial 5-substituted PPs.
3.2

All potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$ or greater. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{RB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ or $\mathrm{RBPin}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 2: 1: 1$ Toluene: $\mathrm{EtOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mu \mathrm{W}$; (ii) TFA, DCM, rt; (iii) cPrBF $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, CataCXium $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 10: 1$ Toluene: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mu \mathrm{W}$; (iv) cPrCCH, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Cul}^{\circ} \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mu \mathrm{W}$; (v) Amine, DIPEA, DMSO, $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mu \mathrm{W}$. *Reaction carried out at rt. **Obtained from the GSK compound collection.

Unfortunately, all of these examples proved less potent than cyclohexene 3.001 (BRPF1 plC ${ }_{50}$ $=6.6$ ). Even close analogues to 3.001, such as cyclopentene 3.031, showed a drop in potency, though ligand efficiency was maintained. Norborene 3.032 was synthesised to introduce 3D character to the otherwise flat molecule, but potency and LE were again lowered. The introduction of an oxygen atom ( $\mathbf{3 . 0 3 3}$ and 3.034 ) gave varying reductions in potency compared to 3.001 depending on its position. Methyl tetrahydropyridine 3.035 showed similar
potency, though the NH analogue 3.037 showed a 0.5 log unit increase in potency and LE compared to $\mathbf{3 . 0 3 5}$, indicating that the NH may be forming a hydrogen bonding interaction. Protonation of $\mathbf{3 . 0 3 5}$ could also allow it to act as a hydrogen bond donor. Bridged variant 3.039 was less potent, potentially due to an altered hydrogen bond vector or steric clash with the binding site. Cyclopropyl 3.040 was a relatively weak but highly efficient binder, while alkyne 3.041 was poorly potent, potentially due to the highly directional nature of the alkyne substituent. Given the efficient binding of isopropylamine 3.002, $N$-linked secondary amines were tested; while bulky alkyl substituents such as 3.042 were tolerated, moving to smaller alkyls (3.043) or anilines (3.045) was detrimental. However, methylamine 3.043 maintained high ligand efficiency, suggesting that the amine nitrogen plays a significant role in binding. Piperidine 3.044 was also reasonably potent, though LE was reduced compared to 3.043, again indicating that the alkyl section of the substituent contributes to binding with lower efficiency than does the nitrogen.

While offering no insight into the reduction in potency seen compared to 3.001, comparing the docking of 3.001 and the crystal structure of benzimidazolone 1.46 in BRPF1 (Figure 3.1) may rationalise the relatively flat SAR of the PP series compared to benzimidazolones such as 1.46. For 1.46, the piperidine is twisted out of the plane of the core and occupies a lipophilic shelf region, whereas for 3.001 the cyclohexene group is postulated to be in the plane of the core and contact with this region is poorer. While the introduction of a 6substituent may force the 5 -substituent to twist out of plane due to steric clashes, it was thought that increasing steric hindrance around the linker could have the same effect.

## $\alpha$-Methyl Substituents

To test this hypothesis, an $\alpha$-methyl substituent was appended to both the cyclohexene and piperidine analogues (Scheme 3.5). For the cyclohexene example, the enantiotopic aposition was chosen to introduce the maximum degree of 3D character. Reaction of methyl cyclohexanone 3.046 with LDA gave the kinetic enolate, which was trapped with phenyl triflimide to form the enol triflate $3.047 .{ }^{348}$ Purification of $\mathbf{3 . 0 4 7}$ proved problematic due to coeluting impurities, and the material was used impure in a Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling with 3.030 to produce racemate 3.049 in low but usable yield. While 3.049 showed an improvement in potency compared to other analogues (Table 3.2), it was still less potent and had lower LE than 3.001. Racemic and enantiopure 2-methyl piperidines 3.050 were synthesised by $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ in high yield. Although initially carried out with microwave heating, it was subsequently found that the reaction also proceeded at room temperature with longer reaction times. Racemate ( $\pm$ )-3.050 gave a boost in potency compared to 3.044 , with most activity residing in the $R$-enantiomer. Pleasingly, $(R)-3.050$ showed potency equal to the initial hit
3.001 and only a small reduction in LE. The methyl group may occupy a small pocket at the edge of the ZA loop (Figure 3.2).



Scheme 3.5. Synthesis of $\alpha$-Me 5-substituted pyrazolopyrimidines and their BRPF1 activity. All potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$ or greater. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{PhNTf}_{2}$, LDA, DME, $-78-0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{Pin}_{2}$, $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (dppf), KOAc, DMF, $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) 3.030, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, 2:1:1 Toluene: $\mathrm{EtOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mu \mathrm{W}$; (iv) 2-methylpiperidine, DIPEA, DMSO, rt or $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mu \mathrm{W}$.

While potency had not been improved relative to the initial hit 3.001, it was also important to examine the broader profiles of representative 5 -substituents to select areas for further optimisation (Table 3.3). Norborene 3.032 showed improved selectivity over CECR2 compared to 3.001, but Brd9 selectivity worsened. Tetrahydropyridine 3.037 had a much improved selectivity profile, with $>25$-fold selectivity over all other Brds tested, but was highly polar and completely impermeable in the AMP assay due to the basic centre. Piperidine 3.044 had good selectivity over Brd7 and CECR2 but Brd9 and Brd4 selectivity were relatively poor. Methyl cyclohexene 3.049 was poorly selective, being only 2 -fold less potent at Brd9 and less selective than the parent $\mathbf{3 . 0 0 1}$. Although relatively lipophilic, 3.049 maintained high aqueous solubility. Interestingly, the methyl group had the opposite effect in the piperidine series $((R)$ 3.050), slightly improving Brd9 selectivity compared to 3.049 and removing Brd4 activity. Piperidines 3.044 and 3.050 both showed excellent physicochemical property profiles, with removal of the alkene moiety and introduction of a heteroatom having a striking effect on lipophilicity compared to methylcyclohexene 3.049. The $N$-linked piperidines also removed the potentially unstable alkene moiety. BRPF2/3 potency was similar to the initial hits and was relatively unaffected by the choice of substituent, though alkenes 3.032 and 3.049 showed slightly lower selectivity over BRPF2.

Table 3.3. Bromodomain FRET potencies, LE and physicochemical properties of 5 -substituted PPs.

|  | R |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 3.044 |  |  |
| BRPF1 plC 50 / LE | 6.2 (0.42) | 6.4 (0.46) | 6.1 (0.44) | 6.4 (0.44) | 6.7 (0.46) |
| BRPF2 $\mathrm{plC}_{50}$ | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 4.7 |
| BRPF3 $\mathrm{plC}_{50}$ | 4.2 | 4.5 | $4.0{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| Brd4 BD1 / BD2 pIC50 | <4.3/<4.3 | <4.3 / <4.3 | $5.4^{\text {b }} / 4.6{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4.4 / 4.7 | $4.6{ }^{\text {c } / 4.5}$ |
| Brd7 pIC50 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 5.1 |
| Brd9 pIC50 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 6.1 | 5.8 |
| CECR2 $\mathrm{plC}_{50}$ | 4.9 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 4.8 |
| ChromLogD7.4 | 4.2 | -0.4 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 3.3 |
| CLND Solubility ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 456 | 405 | 441 | 513 | 610 |
| AMP ( $\mathrm{nm} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | 500 | <3 | 260 | 620 | 307 |

All potency data are $\mathrm{n}=2$ or greater unless otherwise specified. a) $\mathrm{n}=1,<4$ on one other test occasion; b) $\mathrm{n}=1,<4.3$ on two other test occasions; c) $\mathrm{n}=3$, $<4.3$ on two other test occasions; d) $\mathrm{n}=4,<4.3$ on one other test occasion.

## 2-Methyl N-Linked Heterocycles

From these data, it was decided that $N$-linked heterocycles with $\alpha$-Me substituents were a promising area for further investigation, as were substituents with free NH motifs analogous to 3.037 (provided the permeability could be improved). To thoroughly investigate this area, an array of cyclic $N$-linked heterocycles with $\alpha$-Me groups was undertaken (Table 3.4). Pleasingly, the $S_{N} A r$ reactions utilised to access these compounds were found to proceed in high yield at room temperature for all but the most hindered substrates, allowing efficient parallel synthesis. Where the amine was more sterically hindered or zwitterionic, the mass balance generally consisted of unreacted starting material. Where the products 3.051 contained a Boc protected amine, this was removed with TFA to give $\mathbf{3 . 0 5 2}$.

Table 3.4. Structures and potencies of amine array products 3.051a-ad and 3.052


| Cpd | Yield | 5-Amine | BRPF1 $^{\text {pIC }} 50$ | Cpd | Yield | 5-Amine | BRPF1 <br> pIC50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |




All potency data are $\mathrm{n}=2$ or greater. a) Heated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b) Separated from 2,3-dimethylpiperidine, mixture of isomers; c) With 7 equivalents of amine.; d) From the GSK compound collection.

Pyrrolidines were poorer than their piperidine analogues, with $(R)-3.051$ a around half a log unit less potent than $(R)-3.050$. The $R$-enantiomer was the more potent throughout this exploration. Though gem-disubstitution was tolerated (3.051d), substitution of the methyl group with a hydroxyl (3.051b) or exchange for a trifluoromethyl (3.051c) was detrimental. Trans-disubstituted pyrrolidine 3.051 e was highly potent, possibly as a result of increased steric clashes twisting the pyrrolidine out of plane of the core.

Moving the methyl group to the $3-(3.051 \mathrm{f})$ or 4 -position $(\mathbf{3 . 0 5 1} \mathrm{g})$ of the piperidine caused a reduction in potency, though 3.051 g had a similar potency to bare piperidine 3.044 , suggesting improved contact with the lipophilic surface of the pocket. Introduction of acids (3.051h, 3.051i) was highly detrimental, unsurprising given the lipophilic nature of this region of the binding pocket

In terms of the 2-position, an ethyl group (3.051j) was tolerated with little loss of potency, with isopropyl 3.051k also well tolerated. Hydroxyethyl 3.051I, however, was detrimental - as expected from comparison to pyrrolidine 3.051b. As seen with pyrrolidine 3.051e, piperidine 2,6-disubstitution (3.051m) was tolerated, though 3.051m did not improve on the monosubstituted analogues and the trans enantiomers were not tested due to monomer unavailability. The use of 2,4-dimethylpiperidine gave a mixture of isomers 3.051 n , which was separated by chiral HPLC to give $\mathbf{3 . 0 5 1 0 - q}$. Both 3.0510 and 3.051 q displayed equivalent potency to $(R)-\mathbf{3 . 0 5 0}$, and the observed potencies allow speculation that these are the $2 R$ enantiomers, with 3.051 p being the corresponding cis-2S-isomer. Following the pattern observed for $\mathbf{3 . 0 5 1}$ g and 3.044 , the 4 -Me group appears to add little additional potency in either configuration. Unfortunately, the alternative trans isomer could not be isolated, but as the $2 S$ isomer would be predicted to be less potent. The 2,3-dimethyl analogues were synthesised from a mixture of isomers to give separable diastereomers 3.051 r and 3.051 s , which also showed a reduction in potency compared to ( $\pm$ )-3.050.

Increasing the ring size to azepane 3.051t afforded a small potency improvement over piperidine 3.044, but no increase in potency was seen on addition of a methyl group (3.051u). Homopiperazines $3.051 v$ and 3.052 w were also less well tolerated. For 6 membered rings bearing an additional heteroatom, a general increase in potency of $\sim 0.4$ log units was observed
on addition of the 2-methyl group, exemplified by comparison of morpholines 3.053 (obtained from the GSK compound collection) and $3.051 x$. Once again, the addition of a second methyl group at the 6-position did not improve potency relative to 3.051 x , although of the two 2,6dimethylmorpholine isomers tested, $(R),(R)$-trans $3.051 z$ was the more potent compared to the cis isomer 3.051y. The $(S),(S)$-trans starting material was unavailable. Unsubstituted piperazine 3.051aa was more potent than 3.044 and similar to tetrahydropyridine 3.037 , but unfortunately addition of the 2-methyl group (3.052ab) gave a smaller gain in potency than for the piperidine examples. Gem-disubstitution was somewhat detrimental for 6-membered rings (3.052ac) due to the difference in ring size and conformation compared to the pyrrolidine 3.051d. Addition of a carbonyl group to the 3position of the piperazine ring was highly detrimental (3.051ad) and in all cases the $N$-Boc analogues $3.051 w, a b, a c$ were poorly tolerated, again unsurprising given the small size of the binding pocket these substituents occupy.

Unfortunately, none of these amines surpassed the potency of $(R)-3.050$ within statistical significance, with $3.051 \mathrm{e}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{q}$ and $(R)$-3.052ab being the most potent. The wider selectivity and physicochemical properties of these examples were investigated and compared to $(R)$ -
3.050 (Table 3.5).

|  | R |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  <br> (R)-3.052ab |
| BRPF1 pIC50 / LE | 6.7 (0.46) | 6.7 (0.46) | 6.8 (0.44) | 6.7 (0.44) | 6.7 (0.46) |
| BRPF2 $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ | 4.7 | 5.5 | - | 5.4 | 4.9 |
| BRPF3 plC 50 | 4.2 | 5.1 | - | 4.9 | 4.8 |
| Brd4 BD1 / BD2 plC50 | $4.6^{\text {a }} 4.45^{\text {b }}$ | 4.6 / 4.4 | $<4.3^{\text {c }} / 4.6^{\text {c }}$ | $4.5{ }^{\text {d }} /<4.3$ | $<4.3 /<4.3$ |
| BPTF pIC ${ }_{50}$ | 5.1 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.3 |
| Brd7 $\mathrm{plC}_{50}$ | 5.1 | 5.7 | - | 5.5 | - |
| Brd9 pIC50 | 5.8 | 6.6 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 5.4 |
| CECR2 $\mathrm{plC}_{50}$ | 4.8 | 5.0 | - | 4.9 | 4.8 |
| ChromLogD7.4 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 0.2 |
| CLND Solubility ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 610 | 491 | 467 | 452 | 532 |
| AMP ( $\mathrm{nm} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | 307 | 360 | - | 600 | <3 |

All potency data are $\mathrm{n}=2$ or greater unless otherwise specified. Dashes indicate no data available. a) $\mathrm{n}=3,<4.3$ on two other test occasions; b) $\mathrm{n}=4,<4.3$ on one other test occasion; c) $\mathrm{n}=1$; d) $\mathrm{n}=2,<4.3$ on one other test occasion.

For all examples, selectivity for BRPF1 was reduced compared to $(R)-3.050$, with 3.051 e and 3.051q in particular showing increased BRPF2 potency. The region in which the 5substituent binds is wider in BRPF2 (See Section 3.3.11), so larger substituents may occupy it more closely. The rationale for the increased BRPF3 potency of these examples is unclear. As expected, the nature of the 5 -substituent had a dramatic effect on wider bromodomain selectivity. Dimethylpyrrolidine 3.051 e and dimethylpiperidine $\mathbf{3 . 0 5 1 q}$ were almost equipotent at Brd9, with increases in Brd7 and BPTF potency also observed. Although 3.0510 showed a similar selectivity profile to $(R)-3.050$, the additional methyl group has no significant benefit. $(R)-3.052 \mathrm{ab}$ displayed marginally improved Brd9 selectivity and similar BPTF potency.
Despite the likely reduction in $p K$ a for 3.052ab vs tetrahydropyridine 3.037, the basic amine is still protonated at pH 7.4 , resulting in a very low ChromLogD ${ }_{7.4}$ and no measurable artificial membrane permeability.

Methylation of $(R)$-3.052ab under Eschweiler-Clarke conditions gave 3.054, which significantly improved physicochemical properties but reduced BRPF1 potency (Scheme 3.6). Calculation of pKa values for 3.052 ab and 3.054 showed that the methyl group of 3.054 reduced $\mathrm{p} K \mathrm{a}$ by around 10 -fold, due to steric disruption of ion solvation by the methyl group. The reduced ionisation level of 3.054 allows improved permeation through the neutral and lipophilic artificial membrane. However, the $\alpha$-methyl piperidine $(R)-3.050$ remained the optimal substituent moving forwards.


Scheme 3.6. Synthesis, potency and physicochemical properties of 3.054. Potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$. $\mathrm{p} K a$ calculated using ChemAxon. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CHO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Aminopiperidines

Modelling showed the presence of Glu655 and several backbone carbonyls at the edge of the pocket in which the 5 -substituent is theorised to bind (Figure 3.2). It was thought that the placement of amines in this region could form hydrogen bonds or ionic interactions with these residues, and this may explain the tolerability of piperazines such as 3.052ab. Several aminopiperidines were examined to attempt to optimise this putative interaction.


Figure 3.2. Docking of $\mathbf{3 . 0 0 1}$ in the BRPF1 bromodomain (green, Armelle le Gall ${ }^{345}$ ), with hydrogenbond acceptors near to the 5 -position vector highlighted dark green. The pocket where the methyl group was hypothesised to bind is highlighted.
Though the poor permeability of analogues such as $(R)$-3.052ab was a concern, pendant primary or tertiary amines were expected to be less basic, and so have improved permeability. These amino-substituted piperidines 3.051ae-ao were synthesised through $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ under the previously developed conditions, with reactions proceeding smoothly at rt.

Where the pendant amine was protected with a Boc group, this was again removed with TFA (Table 3.6). Yields were generally good to excellent, with fused tricycles 3.051al-am showing reduced yield due to chiral HPLC separation and poor separation of impurities.

Table 3.6. Structures and potencies of aminopiperidine substituted PPs.


All potency data are $\mathrm{n}=2$ or greater. a) Separated from the same reaction using racemic starting material by chiral HPLC. Yield is over 2 steps; b) See Scheme 3.7 for synthesis.

A 3-amino group (3.052ae) was tolerated but similar in potency to 3.044 , whilst combination with the 2-methyl group gave 3.052af, which exhibited a very slight increase in potency. The binding mode of the amine is not established, and if it were to prefer binding on the same face as the methyl group then the alternative 2,3-regioisomer may be favoured. However, this compound was not prepared as building blocks were unavailable.

In general, amines were tolerated at the 4-position of the piperidine. Primary amine 3.052ag had slightly improved potency relative to the parent piperidine 3.044 , while the tertiary amine variant 3.051ah was equipotent. When the amines were homologated one carbon out from the ring (3.052ai and 3.051aj), potency was essentially unchanged relative to 3.052ag and 3.051ah. Given that the formation of an electrostatic interaction typically produces large increases in potency ( $>1000$-fold in some examples ${ }^{235}$ ), an ion pair is most likely not being formed. The loss of potency on dimethylation (3.051aj) and removal of hydrogen bond-donor
capability suggests that weak and subtle hydrogen bonding interactions may be responsible for the observed potency.

Cyclisation of the amines into 5,6-bicycles 3.052ak and 3.052al somewhat reduced potency - the respective trans bicycles were not available. Combining the 4 -amino substituent with the 2-methylpiperidine motif resulted in 3.052am and 3.052an. Interestingly, 3.052am showed reduced potency compared to both ( $\pm$ )-3.050 and 3.052ag. The trans diastereomer of 3.051am was observed as a minor product in the $\mathrm{SNA}_{\mathrm{A}}$ reaction, but unfortunately in insufficient quantity for isolation. The trans-4-aminomethyl variant maintained the higher potency of 3.050 and 3.052ai. To further investigate the role of the amine substituent, amide 3.0510, which lacks basic character, was synthesised and showed slightly reduced potency compared to 3.052ai. Though the relatively flat SAR makes definitive explanations difficult, based on this result and the lower potency of 3.052aj it is suggested that any interactions being formed are not ionic in nature. It is important to note that the amide of $\mathbf{3 . 0 5 1 0}$ will also change the hydrogen-bonding vectors of the nitrogen, a possible explanation for reduced potency. Acetylation of 3.052ai with acetyl chloride (See Scheme 3.7) gave the amide 3.055, which showed reduced potency due to a suspected steric or electronic clash with the protein.

While the majority of amines used in this investigation were available from commercial sources, some building blocks required de novo synthesis (Scheme 3.7). To produce 3.052am, the corresponding piperidine 3.056 was purchased (majority cis, small amount of trans) as an unequal mixture of diastereomers. Protecting group manipulation gave 3.058 before coupling to the core and separation of the diastereomers. Although the deprotection proceeded in good yield (74\%), the poor separation of the resulting diastereomers by HPLC resulted in a low yield of the pure products 3.051am.



Scheme 3.7. Synthesis of 5-(aminopiperidine) PPs. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{CbzCl}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, THF , rt ; (ii) TFA, DCM, rt; (iii) 3.030, DIPEA, DMSO, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mu \mathrm{W}$; (iv) $\mathrm{HBr}, \mathrm{AcOH}$, rt then HPLC; (v) TosMIC, KOBu, DME, rt; (vi) $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot$ THF, THF, $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (vii) Phthalic anhydride, toluene, $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (viii) 3.030, DIPEA, DMSO, rt; (ix) $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mu \mathrm{W}$; (x) AcCl, pyridine, DCM, rt

The synthesis of homologated example 3.052an proved more challenging. Ketone 3.059 underwent Van Leusen cyanation to give nitrile 3.060, ${ }^{349}$ producing a single diastereomer which was inferred to be the trans product based on the confirmed stereochemistry of 3.063. The high stereocontrol was intriguing, as Van Leusen cyanation of the analogous 3methylcyclohexanone has been reported to give a mixture of diastereomers. ${ }^{350}$ Though KOtBu is an insufficiently strong base ( $p K a \sim 17$ ) to deprotonate the nitrile $\alpha$-position ( $p K a$ $\sim 33)^{351}$ and thus epimerise the stereocenter, the Van Leusen mechanism does proceed through anion $\mathbf{A}$ (Figure 3.3). ${ }^{352}$ As a completely diastereoselective protonation of $\mathbf{A}$ is unlikely, it can be hypothesised that $\mathbf{3 . 0 6 0}$ is in fact the thermodynamic product. The small nature of a nitrile group means an axial conformation is disfavoured relative to an equatorial conformation to a lesser extent. ${ }^{353}$ Additionally, placing the methyl group equatorial (B) may cause a clash with the Boc group - if the methyl adopts an axial orientation to avoid this then an equatorial nitrile (C) would be preferred over a bis-axial conformation (D).
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Figure 3.3. Intermediates and potential product conformations of the Van Leusen cyanation
Nitrile 3.060 was reduced to the primary amine 3.061 using borane-THF in good yield. Phthalimide protection of the amine and Boc deprotection with TFA gave 3.063 in high yield, which was determined to be wholly the trans diastereomer by NMR. Coupling to the core efficiently gave 3.051an, with the phthalimide group removed using methylamine (avoiding the use of toxic hydrazine) to produce the final compound 3.052an. Acetamide 3.055 was synthesised from 3.052ai by reaction with acetyl chloride and pyridine in good yield.

The extended profiles of selected aminopiperidines were investigated (Table 3.7). 4-Amino substitution reduced selectivity over BRPF2/3. The hydrogen bond-donor residues these compounds targeted are a significant area of difference within the BRPF family (See Section 3.3.11), and subtle interactions and effects on solvation may be responsible. All amines showed no Brd4 activity and were significantly more selective over Brd9 than 3.050. Wider selectivity profiling of 3.052an is ongoing. All amines were highly soluble but had very low ChromLog $D_{7.4}$, and similar to the piperazine 3.051 ab were highly impermeable in the AMP
assay. For this reason, and the loss of efficiency compared to 3.050 (indicating no significant interaction with the protein has been formed) aminopiperidines were not further investigated.

Table 3.7. Bromodomain FRET potencies, LE and physicochemical properties of 5(aminopiperidine)substituted PPs.

|  | R |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br> (R)-3.050 |  |  |  |
| BRPF1 pIC50 / LE | 6.7 (0.46) | 6.4 (0.44) | 6.5 (0.42) | 6.6 (0.41) |
| BRPF2 $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ | 4.7 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.0 |
| BRPF3 $\mathrm{PlC}_{50}$ | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.9 |
| Brd4 BD1 / BD2 plC 50 | $4.6^{\text {a }} / 4.5^{\text {b }}$ | <4.3 / <4.3 | <4.3 / <4.3 | <4.3 / <4.3 |
| Brd7 pIC50 | 5.1 | - | 5.0 | - |
| Brd9 pIC50 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.4 |
| CECR2 $\mathrm{plC}_{50}$ | 4.8 | - | 5.0 | - |
| ChromLogD7.4 | 3.3 | -0.3 | -0.2 | -0.1 |
| CLND Solubility ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 610 | 574 | 470 | 431 |
| AMP ( $\mathrm{nm} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | 307 | <10 | <10 | <10 |

All potency data are $n=2$ or greater unless otherwise specified. Dashes indicate no data available. a) $n=3$, $<4.3$ on two other test occasions; b) $n=4,<4.3$ on one other test occasion.

### 3.3.3 Azaindole (AI) Core

As part of the investigation of the core fragment, the $N$-methyl 4 -azaindole (AI) fragment 3.019 was shown to have superior BRPF1 potency to the PP core fragment 3.007a (Scheme 3.2). This increase in potency warranted further investigation, and 5 -substituted AI compounds were synthesised to compare against the PP series (Scheme 3.8).

5-Chloro-4-azaindole 3.064 was methylated with Mel in good yield to give 3.065 , which underwent Vilsmeier-Haack formylation to aldehyde 3.066. Pinnick oxidation gave acid 3.067, which was amidated with oxalyl chloride and methylamine to afford key intermediate 3.068. Attempts to directly amidocarbonylate 3.065 using Li's $\mathrm{I}_{2}$-mediated methodology ${ }^{354}$ were unsuccessful, possibly due to the volatility of methylamine. Due to the altered electronics of the core and inability to delocalise charge into the carbonyl group, $\mathrm{S}_{N} \mathrm{Ar}$ was unsuccessful in introducing the 5 -substituent. Pleasingly, Buchwald-Hartwig cross-coupling allowed access to elaborated compounds 3.069-3.071, with isopropylamine (3.069) giving the best yield.

Piperidines were less effective coupling partners, in particular hindered 2methylpiperidine (3.071) for which the yield was poor but usable.


Scheme 3.8. Synthesis of 5 -substituted AI compounds. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{MeI}, \mathrm{DMF}$, rt; (ii) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}$; (iii) $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}, \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NSO}_{3}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt; (iv) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$, DCM , rt; then $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{O}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ - rt; (v) Amine, $\mathrm{NaO}{ }^{\dagger} \mathrm{Bu}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, BINAP, 1,4-dioxane, $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The potency, selectivity and physicochemical property profiles of the Al compounds 3.0693.071 were investigated (Table 3.8). While BRPF1 potencies were good and LE was broadly maintained, the AI compounds failed to improve on their respective PP analogues 3.002,
3.044, and $(R)-3.050$. Notably, BRPF family selectivity was significantly worsened, with 3.070 only 10 -fold selective over BRPF2 (c.f. 3.044, 100-fold selective). A significant increase in off-target activity at the BPTF bromodomain was also noted, though Brd9 selectivity was slightly improved. The removal of a heteroatom and addition of a methyl group resulted in a 100-fold increase in lipophilicity, producing a decrease in aqueous solubility (though this remained within desired space) and increased permeability.

|  | (R)-3.050 | R |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| BRPF1 pIC50 / LE | 6.7 (0.46) | 5.5 (0.42) | 6.2 (0.42) | 6.7 (0.44) |
| BRPF2 ${ }^{\text {pIC }} 50$ | 4.7 | <4 | 5.2 | 5.7 |
| BRPF3 $\mathrm{plC}_{50}$ | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.8 |


| Brd4 BD1 / BD2 plC50 | $4.6^{\text {a }} 4.45^{\text {b }}$ | <4.3 / <4.3 | <4.3/<4.3 | <4.3 / <4.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BPTF $\mathrm{plC}_{50}$ | 5.1 | - | 5.4 | 5.9 |
| Brd7 $\mathrm{plC}_{50}$ | 5.1 | - | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Brd9 pIC50 | 5.8 | - | 5.2 | 5.4 |
| CECR2 $\mathrm{plC}_{50}$ | 4.8 | - | 5.0 | 5.2 |
| ChromLogD7.4 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 5.4 |
| CLND Solubility ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 610 | 416 | 378 | 356 |
| AMP ( $\mathrm{nm} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | 307 | 370 | 560 | 500 |

All potency data are $n=2$ or greater unless otherwise specified. Dashes indicate no data available. a) $n=3$, $<4.3$ on two other test occasions; b) $\mathrm{n}=4,<4.3$ on one other test occasion.

While the AI series did not improve potency or selectivity compared to the PP series, the methyl group is predicted to protrude into solvent and offers an alternative vector for substitution. It is possible that hydrogen bond donor groups projecting from this vector could form H -bonds to the key Asn708 residue, forming a strong bidentate interaction along with the methyl amide. To test this, a variety of H -bond donor substituents were synthesised (Scheme 3.9). The NH azaindole 3.016 could be readily alkylated in excellent yield with ethylene carbonate (3.072) or alkyl bromides (3.073), with the Boc protecting group removed from 3.073 with TFA in quantitative yield to give 3.074. Reaction with iodoacetamide gave primary amide 3.075, while for the synthesis of carbonyl linked amides the indole was first acylated with phenyl chloroformate to give 3.076 in excellent yield. Addition of amine nucleophiles to the activated carbamate proved difficult, with ammonium chloride and methylamine giving only the NH indole 3.016. However, cyclopropylurea 3.077 was isolated in usable yield. The indole urea moiety proved too unstable for successful screening, with 3.077 rapidly degrading in the DMSO stock solution, as a result carbonyl linkers were not further explored.


Scheme 3.9. Synthesis of $N$-substituted AI compounds. Reagents and conditions: (i) Ethylene carbonate or tert-butyl (2-chloroethyl)carbamate, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) TFA, DCM, rt; (iii) 2-lodoacetamide, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iv) Phenyl chloroformate, Et N , THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ - rt; (v) Cyclopropylamine, Et $\mathrm{t}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMSO}$, rt.

Regrettably, the $N$-substituted AI compounds lost all activity at BRPF1 (Table 3.9). It is possible that the angle of the core in the binding pocket would require the substituent to twist unfavourably out of plane in order to form a bidentate interaction, or that the substituents simply clash with the binding pocket when groups larger than methyl are introduced. Given that the 5 -substituted examples 3.069-3.071 were also suboptimal compared to the PP series, and the indole nitrogen appeared to be an unproductive vector for substitution, the azaindole core was not further investigated.

|  | R |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | H | Me | $\underset{z_{2}}{\mathrm{NOH}}$ | $\stackrel{Y-}{r_{2}} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ |  |
|  | 3.016 | 3.019 | 3.072 | 3.074 | 3.075 |
| BRPF1 ${ }^{\text {PIC }} 50$ | 4.5 | 4.9 | <4 | <4 | <4 |

All potency data are $n=3$.

### 3.3.4 5,6-Disubstituted Pyrazolopyrimidines

The 6-position of the PP core has the potential to interact with the ZA loop, in addition to twisting the 5 -substitent out of plane through steric repulsion. Substitution from this position has the potential to increase potency and selectivity, as the 6-position vector is similar to that of the amide vector of benzimidazolone $1.46{ }^{194}$ (Figure 3.1). Key learnings from the ZA loop binders of published BRPF1 inhibitors, such as linking through an amide (1.46, 1.47) ${ }^{194,195}$ or sulphonamide (1.48-1.51) ${ }^{196-199}$ and restraining the amide conformation, ${ }^{194,195}$ were to be applied during compound design while maintaining a distinct chemotype.


Figure 3.4. Modelled vector similarities and design strategy for disubstituted compounds.

The synthesis of these analogues was not predicted to be straightforward. No pyrazolopyrimidines with heteroatoms at both the 5- and 6-positions have been reported, but condensation of aminopyrazoles with malonates and chlorination of the resulting diol is common. ${ }^{355,356}$ Subsequent selective removal of the $7-\mathrm{Cl}$ with Zn in AcOH has been reported. ${ }^{357}$ To pursue this, nitromalonate 3.078 and aminopyrazole 3.079 were reacted to give 3.080, through long reaction times were required and the highly polar product was difficult to isolate (Scheme 3.10). Chlorination of the crude material with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ failed to give the dichloride 3.081, with the isolated product degrading to an unknown product on isolation.


Scheme 3.10. Attempted synthesis of disubstituted pyrazolopyrimidines. Reagents and conditions: (i) Na , $\mathrm{EtOH}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7$ days; (ii) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Where a carbon substituent is required at the 5-position, $\gamma$-(dimethylamino)enones have been used as the 1,3-dielectrophile. ${ }^{358}$ Given the ligand efficiency of the 5-cyclopropyl substituent and the difficulties encountered in the above steps, this method was investigated (Scheme 3.11). Cbz-glycine Weinreb amide 3.083 (commercially available, or obtainable from Cbzglycine ${ }^{359}$ ) was reacted with cyclopropylmagnesium bromide to give the cyclopropyl ketone
3.084, which underwent Knoevenagel-type condensation with DMFDMA ${ }^{358}$ to give 3.085 in good yield, as an inconsequential 3:1 mixture of geometric isomers. Acid-promoted cyclisation with 3.079 under microwave conditions was facile, but the use of alcoholic solvents (EtOH, iPrOH) led to transesterification of the carbamate, whilst the reaction did not occur in aprotic media (DMSO). The use of glacial acetic acid as the solvent gave the cyclised products in quantitative yield, but with the undesired regioisomer 3.086b as the major product ( $\sim 15: 1$ ). Cyclisations of aminopyrazoles with $\gamma$-(dimethylamino)enones have been reported to produce both the $5-360$ and 7 -substituted ${ }^{361,362}$ products, though only 7 -substituted examples are known for 3 -acylpyrazoles. ${ }^{362}$ The amine lone pair of 3.079 is delocalised into the ester, and so the pyrazole nitrogen generally reacts first and condenses with the ketone, generating intermediate $\mathbf{E}$ which undergoes Michael attack to cyclise.


Scheme 3.11. Attempted synthesis of 5-C, 6-N disubstituted pyrazolopyrimidines and elaboration of the $7-c P r$ analogues. Potency data are $n=3$ unless specified. a) $n=2,<4$ on one additional test occasion. Reagents and conditions: (i) cPrMgBr, THF, $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$-rt; (ii) DMF-DMA, $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) 3.07, $\mathrm{AcOH}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mu \mathrm{W}$; (iv) $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$, DCM , rt; then $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}, \mathrm{THF}$, rt; (vi) $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Although the undesired regioisomer was the predominant product, it offered an opportunity to explore the 7 -position. Ester hydrolysis of 3.086b gave acid 3.087, with the carbamate undergoing transesterification with MeOH . Throughout the synthesis, the 6 -carbamate was found to be highly labile. Amide formation gave 3.088, with carbamate hydrolysis giving amide 3.089 in low yield due to losses on purification. Unfortunately, despite the activity of 7Me PP 3.018c, 3.088 and 3.089 had very poor BRPF1 potency. The cyclopropyl substituent may be
too large and clash with the ZA loop, though the amine may also form an unfavourable interaction. Later results showed that a $6-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ was well tolerated (See Section 3.3.7), indicating the cyclopropyl substituent is likely the cause of the poor potency.

Returning to 5,6-disubstitution, it was envisioned that a cyclisation to place an oxygen at the 5-position followed by chlorination would generate a functional handle (Scheme 3.12). Formation of the dimethylenone ester 3.092 from Z-glycine methyl ester 3.090 with DMFDMA was sluggish, whereas the alternative reagent 3.091 gave 3.092 in excellent yield. ${ }^{363}$ Cyclisation with 3.079 under the previously developed conditions was again facile, but gave 3.093 as a single regioisomer with the carbonyl in the undesired 7-position. In the literature, only cyclisations to give 7-hydroxy products are reported for similar ring systems. ${ }^{363-365}$ The resulting product 3.093 was not converted to final products as other data suggested that a 7position carbonyl was detrimental to potency (See Section 3.3.7).


Scheme 3.12. Attempted intermolecular cyclisation using a dimethylenone ester. Reagents and conditions: (i) 3.091 , toluene, $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $3.079, \mathrm{AcOH}, 140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mu \mathrm{W}$.

To circumvent the regioselectivity issues, a two step cyclisation process was envisaged. Formation of the N5-C6 bond as an amide bond in the first step, followed by cyclisation, was expected to give the product as the desired regioisomer. However, amide coupling of Zglycine 3.094 with the aminopyrazole monomer 3.079 gave only the ring-acylated products 3.095b and 3.095c (Scheme 3.13). To circumvent this a protecting group strategy was devised, also protecting the 6 -amine with a robust phthalimido group due to the lability of the carbamates observed in previous attempts (Scheme 3.11). Commercially available benzylpyrazole 3.096 could be acylated with phthalimido glycyl chloride 3.097 to give 3.098, but attempts to remove the benzyl group with hydrogenolysis or $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ failed.



Scheme 3.13. Acylation of unprotected and protected aminopyrazoles. Reagents and conditions: (i) 3.079, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt; (ii) Pyridine, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, rt.

The protecting group was exchanged for a more readily removable Boc group (Scheme 3. 14). Pleasingly, Boc protection of 3.079 proceeded exclusively on the ring nitrogens, giving
3.100 as the major product, along with small amounts of 3.101 . Amidation of 3.100 with 3.097 and in situ deprotection gave the desired amide 3.099, but minor Boc isomer 3.101 did not react under these conditions. 3.099 could also be obtained via a one-pot sequence of protection, amidation and deprotection with TFA, with an improved overall yield. Whereas the two-step route involved two chromatographic purifications to reach 3.101, the one-pot route enabled purification by precipitation and trituration in a more scalable and efficient procedure. The enone was formed using 3.091, and after removal of solvent cyclised with AcOH to give 3.102. The highly polar and insoluble pyrimidone $\mathbf{3 . 1 0 2}$ proved difficult to purify, so was telescoped into the chlorination with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ to provide key intermediate 3.103.


Scheme 3.14. Stepwise formation of the PP bicyclic ring system. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{BoC}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, pyridine, DCM, rt. Yield is for 3.100; (ii) 3.097, pyridine rt, then TFA, rt; (iii) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, pyridine, DCM, rt, then 3.097 , rt, then TFA, rt; (iv) 3.091, toluene, $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $\mathrm{AcOH}, 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Chloride $\mathbf{3 . 1 0 3}$ proved extremely reactive, degrading under attempts to hydrolyse the ester and install the amide warhead with partial opening of the phthalimide also observed. It was decided to introduce the 5 -substituent first, then form the amide directly from the ester using DABAL-Me ${ }_{3}$ ( $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}$-DABCO adduct). ${ }^{366} \mathrm{~N}$-Linked 5 -substituents $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ were introduced through $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ in high yield, whereas $C$-linked cyclopropyl $\mathbf{c}$ required Suzuki-Miyaura coupling.

This proceeded in lower yield relative to cores lacking the 6-substituent, due to the less reactive and more sterically hindered chloride (Scheme 3.15). The chosen 5substituents were selected to accommodate and identify any significant differences in 5position SAR once a 6substituent was added, with piperidine $\mathbf{b}$ included to allow direct comparison to benzimidazolone 1.46 .

DABAL-Me ${ }_{3}$-mediated direct ester amination ${ }^{366}$ proceeded with complete deprotection of the phthalimide to give intermediates $3.105 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ in good yield. The 6 -substituent was readily functionalised with acyl chlorides and sulphonamides or by reductive amination to give a library of disubstituted compounds and form a small square array (Table 3.10).


Scheme 3.15. Synthesis of 5,6-disubstituted pyrazolopyrimidines. Reagents and conditions: (i)
Piperidine, DIPEA, DMSO, rt; (ii) Cyclopropylboronic acid, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) DABAL-Me3, $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}, 40-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iv) Acyl chloride or sulphonyl chloride, pyridine, DCM, rt; (v) Aldehyde, $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}, \mathrm{DCM}$, rt; then $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt.

Disappointingly, the theorised improvement in potency on disubstitution did not occur (Table 3.10). For the piperidines $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ the addition of a $6-\mathrm{NH}_{2}(\mathbf{3 . 0 1 5} \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ gave a slight drop in potency, with a large decrease occurring when an acetyl (3.106a), benzoyl (3.107a) or benznesulfonyl (3.109a) group was introduced. Constraining the aryl substituent with an internal hydrogen bond, as seen in the benzimidazolone BRPF1 inhibitors 1.46 and 1.47, improved potency relative to $\mathbf{3 . 1 0 7 a}$. However, the potencies of 3.108 a and $3.108 b$ were still below that of the initial monosubstituted leads $((R)-3.050,3.04)$ and 3.108 b was over a log unit less potent than the direct comparator 1.46. This discrepancy indicates that the PP and benzimidazolone series bind in different ways and SAR is not transferable between them. A benzyl group (3.110a) was tolerated but did not improve potency, with similar results seen on replacing the aryl group with a tetrahydropyran (3.111a). For the cyclopropyls c, an improvement in potency was observed when adding the $6-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$, ( $\mathbf{3 . 1 0 5 c}$ ) benzyl (3.110c) or THP (3.111c) groups, when compared to the unsubstituted analogue 3.040. Overall, cyclopropyl examples remained less potent compared to the methylpiperidines a. For piperidines $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ the large 6-substituent may force an unfavourable change in the
conformation of the piperidine ring, negating the increase in potency gained from binding to the ZA loop.


Benzyl and alkyl substitution of the 6-position was briefly investigated further (Scheme 3.16). Amine 3.105a was alkylated with electron neutral (3.112a), rich (3.113a) and deficient (3.114a) benzyl groups - methoxy benzyl 3.113a is capable of conformational restriction through an intramolecular hydrogen bond and also serves as a single-point comparator to 3.108a. While ortho-substitution was tolerated with no loss of activity, modification of the electronics of the ring had no significant effect on potency compared to 3.110a. Methylation of the amine with Mel proceeded in poor yield but gave both the monomethyl (3.115a) and dimethyl (3.116a) products. Monomethyl 3.115 a gave a small boost in potency compared to $\mathbf{3 . 1 0 5 a}$ which was lost on dimethylation (3.116a), though the potency of 3.115a was not significantly different to that of monosubstituted PP $(R)-\mathbf{3 . 0 5 0}$.


Scheme 3.16. Further 6-benzyl and 6 -alkyl substituents in the disubstituted PP series. All potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$. Reagents and conditions: a) i) Aldehyde, $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{DCM}$, rt; ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}$; b) Mel, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The selectivity and physicochemical property profiles of the most potent disubstituted examples were compared to ( $R$ )-3.050 (Table 3.11). In general, the 6-substituents improved selectivity over other bromodomains, though as expected BRPF1 ligand efficiencies were reduced. Selectivity over BRPF2/3 was generally maintained compared to ( $R$ ) -3.050, though appeared dependant on the substitution pattern of the benzene ring. Benzyl 3.110a showed only marginal improvements in Brd9 selectivity with a thousand-fold increase in ChromLogD7.4, resulting in poor aqueous solubility. Tetrahydropyran 3.11a was slightly less selective due to reduced BRPF1 potency but displayed improved solubility (though still reduced compared to (R)-3.050). Methoxybenzyl 3.113a and cyanobenzyl 3.114a had poorer ligand efficiency due to the additional atoms, but displayed particularly improved selectivity over Brd9, with 3.113a being $\sim 50$-fold selective. Activity at CECR2 and Brd7 was also significantly reduced. However, as with benzyl 3.110a, solubility was worsened due to increased lipophilicity, and 3.113a was very poorly permeable due to the additional hydrogen bond acceptor. The mono-methyl amine 3.115a was equipotent to $(R)-3.050$ with only a slight drop in LE and good physicochemical properties. BPTF and Brd9 potency were reduced by half a log unit compared to $(R)-3.050$, giving 25 -fold selectivity over Brd9, but remained lower than the high selectivity of the benzyls 3.113a and 3.114a.

Table 3.11. Bromodomain FRET potencies, LE and physicochemical properties of 5,6-disubstituted PPs.

|  | R |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} \\ (R)-3.050 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | NHMe <br> 3.115a |
| BRPF1 plC 50 / LE | 6.7 (0.46) | 6.7 (0.33) | 6.5 (0.32) | 6.6 (0.30) | 6.6 (0.30) | 6.7 (0.42) |
| BRPF2 $\mathrm{plC}_{50}$ | 4.7 | 5.1 | $4.5^{\text {a }}$ | 4.7 | 5.1 | 4.7 |
| BRPF3 $\mathrm{plC}_{50}$ | 4.2 | 4.9 | <4 | 4.2 | <4 | 4.3 |
| Brd4 BD1/BD2 plC 50 | $4.6{ }^{\text {b/4. }}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 4.4/4.7 | <4.3/<4.3 | 4.4/4.6 | <4.3/4.5 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | <4.3/<4.3 |
| BPTF plC 50 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 4.5 | - | - | 4.6 |
| Brd7 plC 50 | 5.1 | - | 4.5 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 4.7 |
| Brd9 pIC50 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
| CECR2 $\mathrm{plC}_{50}$ | 4.8 | - | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| ChromLogD7.4 | 3.3 | 6.3 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 5.3 | 4.3 |
| CLND Solubility ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 610 | 68 | 324 | 97 | 88 | 361 |
| AMP (nm/s) | 307 | 320 | 490 | <30 | 440 | 560 |

All potency data are $\mathrm{n}=2$ or greater unless otherwise specified. Dashes indicate no data available. a) $n=1,<4$ on one additional test occasion; b) $n=3,<4.3$ on two other test occasions; c) $n=4,<4.3$ on one other test occasion; d) $n=3,<4.3$ on one other test occasion; e) $n=1,<4.3$ on two other test occasions.

The rationale behind these disappointing results was initially unclear. The lack of a positive binding interaction from the 6 -substituent indicated that the predicted binding mode of the PP series may not completely accurate. Alternatively, small differences in vector position between the PP and benzimidazolone series' (as shown in Figure 3.1) may lead to pronounced differences in SAR.

### 3.3.5 Pyrazolopyrimidine 6-Substitution

To provide insights into the impact and role of the 6 -substituent, a series of 6 monosubstituted PPs were synthesised. $6-\mathrm{Br}$ pyrazolopyrimidine acid 3.117 was obtained from the GSK compound collection, and the warhead installed using the previously developed methodology. The resulting bromide 3.118 was utilised in metal-catalysed crosscouplings (Scheme 3.17). An attempted amidocarbonylation using CO gas gave three major products - the desired amide 3.119, the Buchwald-Hartwig amination product 3.120 and unexpected 7 -amino product
3.121. None showed a statistically significant improvement in BRPF1 potency over 6-H analogue 3.007a (BRPF1 pIC $50=4.4$ ).


Scheme 3.17. Synthesis and biological activity of 6 -substituted pyrazolopyrimidines. All potency data are $\mathrm{n}=2$ or greater unless otherwise specified. Reagents and conditions: (i) $(\mathrm{COCI})_{2}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{DCM}$, rt then $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$, THF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) Cyclohexylamine, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, Xantphos, Ets $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{CO}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The mechanism for the formation of 7-amine 3.121 is unclear. It is proposed that after oxidative addition (F) the amine attacks the 7-position, which is the most acidic and reactive to nucleophilic attack, ${ }^{367-369}$ giving $\mathbf{G}$. Following reprotonation at the 6 -position (H) aromaticity is restored by $\beta$-hydride elimination (I) (Scheme 3.18).


Scheme 3.18. Proposed mechanism for the formation of 3.121.

Since 6-amino Buchwald-Hartwig products were also observed, this chemistry was utilised to further investigate the SAR. Simply running the reaction under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ instead of CO gave amines 3.122 and $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 3}$, though aniline gave none of the desired product $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 4}$ and the 7amination product 3.125 was the sole product observed when ammonium chloride was used. Carbonylation could be successfully achieved using $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ as the CO source in the presence of DMAP, ${ }^{370}$ affording amides 3.126 and 3.127 in low but usable yield. No 7-amino product was observed under these conditions, however, only a trace of cyclopropyl amide 3.119 was obtained. Switching the catalyst to the highly efficient Xantphos $3^{\text {rd }}$ Generation palladacycle, ${ }^{371}$ and generation of CO ex situ using two-chamber glassware, ${ }^{372}$ allowed the reaction to be run under milder conditions with a much improved yield of 3.119. This method also simplifies the procedure, removing the need for gas cylinders or toxic metal carbonyls.


Scheme 3.19. Synthesis and biological activity of 6-substituted pyrazolopyrimidines. All potency data are $n=2$ or greater unless otherwise specified. a) $n=1,<4$ on two additional test occasions. Reagents and conditions: (i) Amine, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, Xantphos, $\mathrm{Et} 3 \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}, 60-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) Amine, $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, Xantphos, DMAP, DMF, $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) $\mathrm{cPrNH}_{2}$, Xantphos $\mathrm{Pd} \mathrm{G} 3, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CO}_{(\mathrm{g})}$ (generated ex situ using COware 2-chamber system ${ }^{372}$ ), 1,4-dioxane, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The BRPF1 data for these compounds is intriguing and supports the poor activity of the 5,6disubstituted examples previously described. The cyclopropyl amide 3.119 and amine 3.121 were weakly potent. Increasing the size of the 6 -substituent to benzyl $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 2}$ or morpholine 3.123 did give a small boost in potency, though with much reduced LE. Surprisingly, the larger amides 3.126 and 3.127 had no detectable potency at BRPF1, suggesting a steric or electronic clash with the binding site. The beneficial effect of the benzyl in $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 2}$ is consistent with the higher potency of disubstituted analogues bearing $6-N$-benzyl groups (3.110a,c, Section 3.3.4) Most notably, the unexpected 7 -amine product 3.125 was significantly more potent than 3.007a, and this was further explored (see Section 3.3.7).

Given the slightly higher potency of benzylamine 3.122, and to rule out the linker atom being the reason for low activity, the effect of an oxygen linker was investigated. To synthesise the oxygen-linked analogue, diol $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 8}$ was oxidised to the corresponding dialdehyde under Swern conditions, flowed by a one-pot cyclisation with pyrazole 3.129 to give the 6-OBn PP 3.130. ${ }^{373}$ Amidation of the crude acid gave $\mathbf{3 . 1 3 1}$ in low but usable yield, the ether linkage proved detrimental to BRPF1 potency compared to benzylamine $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 2}$ and oxygen linkers were not further explored.


Scheme 3.20. Synthesis and biological activity of 6-OBn pyrazolopyrimidine 3.131. Potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$. Reagents and conditions: (i) 3.128, (COCl) $2, \mathrm{DMSO}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then $6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}, \mathbf{3 . 1 2 9}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt.

### 3.3.6 X-Ray Crystallography

The poor potency of 6-substituted compounds indicated that the binding mode of the PP series differed from the initial in silico modelling, and these speculations were confirmed when an Xray crystal structure of $(R)-3.050$ bound to BRPF1 was obtained (Figure 3.5). The warhead and core bound as predicted, while Glu661 was seen to pack over the top of the pyrimidine ring, which may explain the poor tolerability of 6 -substitutents. The methyl piperidine was observed to bind in an unexpected configuration, with the ring parallel to the core and the methyl group failing to occupy the pocket at the edge of the ZA channel as expected. Instead, the methyl group adopts an axial orientation, being mostly solventexposed but making slight lipophilic contact with the Phe714 'gatekeeper' residue and lle652 of the neighbouring lipophilic shelf. This area, which we term the 'Phe-lle region', is an intriguing vector for further investigation. Given the boost in potency observed on addition of the chiral methyl, these interactions (or the effect it has on the conformation of the piperidine ring) must be significant. It might be expected that the methyl group prefers an equatorial conformation, and so pay an energy penalty on binding in a lower energy state, which reduces affinity for the protein. This energy penalty is $\sim 1.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for N -acetyl-2-methyl piperidine ${ }^{374}$ though will be dependent on the nature of the N -substituent. However, as for the Van Leusen cyanation result (Section 3.3.2, Figure 3.3) it is possible that for bulkier N -substituents (such as the PP core) the axial conformation is in fact lower in energy as it avoids clashes with the methyl group.


Figure 3.5. X-ray crystal structure of $(R)-3.050$ (magenta, $1.95 \AA \AA^{292}$ bound to the BRPF1 bromodomain, showing the water network at the base of the pocket.


Figure 3.6. X-ray crystal structure of $(R)-\mathbf{3 . 0 5 0}$ (magenta, $1.95 \AA$ ) ${ }^{292}$ bound to the BRPF1 bromodomain, showing the protein surface.

Comparison of the original in silico modelling of 3.001 and the X-ray structure of $(R)$-3.050 (Figure 3.7) showed excellent agreement in the binding mode and position of the core. Although most residues are in identical places in the docking and X -ray structures, the flexibility of the ZA loop and movement of Glu661 to pack onto the core is clearly visible.


Figure 3.7. Overlaid docking of $\mathbf{3 . 0 0 1}$ (greens, Armelle le Gall ${ }^{345}$ ) and X-ray crystal structure of ( $R$ )-3.050 (magenta, 1.95 ${ }^{2}{ }^{292}$ bound to the BRPF1 bromodomain.

The crystal structure presented intriguing new vectors for exploration. The proximity of Glu661 to the 7 -position may allow interactions to be formed with appropriate 7 -substituents. Extension of the methyl group may enable improved occupation the lipophilic pocket, the formation of $\pi$-interactions with the Phe 714 residue, and altered bromodomain selectivity.

### 3.3.7 The 7-Position

Several 7-substituted PPs have shown an improvement in potency compared to the unsubstituted core 3.007a (Figure 3.8). In summary, although the addition of 7-methyl
3.018c efficiently increased BRPF1 activity by half a log unit, the larger 7-cyclopropyl group 3.089 had no measurable potency. This is not thought to be due to the $6-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ motif as this was well-tolerated for other PPs (c.f. 3.105, Table 3.10). Cyclopropylamine 3.120, however, maintained potency, while primary amine $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 5}$ showed high potency and a significant increase in LE and warrants further investigation. Pyrrolidine $\mathbf{3 . 1 3 2}$ was obtained from the GSK compound collection and showed poor potency, again indicating that larger substituents at the 7 -position were not tolerated. The amine NH motifs may be forming interactions with Glu661 or influencing the electronics of the core; cyclopropyl groups have some $\pi$-character which may disrupt subtly beneficial electronic effects. Larger 7substituents may clash with

Glu661 or other residues of the ZA loop, with flexible substituents such as $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 1}$ able to rotate away from the clashing residue.


Figure 3.8. Biological activity of 7 -substituted pyrazolopyrimidines. Potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$ or greater.

Given that the amine of 3.125 may be acting as a hydrogen bond donor or acceptor (or neither), an oxygen at the 7-position was investigated. Pyrimidone acid 3.133 was obtained from the GSK compound collection and the warhead amide installed using HATU to give
3.134. However, the BRPF1 potency of 3.134 was below the lower binding limit of the assay.
3.134 exists in equilibrium between the hydroxypyrimidine $(\mathbf{J})$ and pyrimidone $(\mathbf{K})$ tautomers; while $\mathbf{J}$ adopts the required amide conformation for BRPF1 binding (c.f. Figure 3.7) in $\mathbf{K}$ the 4NH may hydrogen-bond to the carbonyl and cause the amide to rotate. Investigation of the IR spectrum of 3.134 in an attempt to elucidate the preferred tautomer was inconclusive, with multiple absorbencies in the amide/lactam regions (See Experimental).


BRPF1 $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}<4$


Favourable BRPF1 binding conformation


K
Unfavourable KAc mimetic orientation

Scheme 3.21. Synthesis of pyrazolopyrimidone 3.134 and its potential conformations. Potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt.

To investigate the effect of the $7-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ in more elaborated compounds, 5,7-disubstituted examples were desired. Commercially available dichloropyrazoloprimidine 3.135 was brominated in the 3-position with NBS, before undergoing a 7 -selective $S_{N} A r$ with ammonia. Subsequent bis-SEM protection gave 3.138 (Scheme 3.22). ${ }^{375}$ For 5,7-disubstituted PPs, the 7-position is the most electron deficient due to the electronegative diazo-motif adjacent to it and $S_{N} A r$ occurs there preferentially. ${ }^{355,356,376}$ The favoured 2-methylpiperidine was introduced
in a second $S_{N} A r$, affording 3.139 in high yield with complete regiocontrol. Lithium-halogen exchange and quench with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ afforded the 3 -acid. The SEM protecting group was partially removed during the reaction, so the mixture was stirred with HCl to effect complete deprotection, giving 3.140 in low but usable yield. Amide coupling with HATU provided final compound 3.141, though the yield was diminished by incomplete reaction of acid 3.140 and losses on purification.


Scheme 3.22. Synthesis of 7 -amino PPs. Potency data are $\mathrm{n}=2$ or greater unless otherwise stated. a) $\mathrm{n}=1$; b) $\mathrm{n}=1$, $<4.3$ on three other test occasions. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{NBS}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, rt; (ii) $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) SEM-CI, DIPEA, DCM, $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iv) (R)-2-methylpiperidine, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, $\mathrm{NMP}, 110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) ${ }^{n} B u L i, C_{2}$, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ - rt then $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt; (vi) $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt.

Unfortunately, the potency contribution of the 5-(2-methylpiperidine) group to the potent 7amine 3.125 did not prove to be additive, with 3.141 only equipotent with $6-\mathrm{H}$ analogue $(R)$ 3.050 at BRPF1. However, while selectivity over Brd9 did improve to 40 -fold (with the caveat that this is $\mathrm{n}=1$ data) and CECR2 potency was further reduced compared to $(R) \mathbf{3 . 0 5 0}$, interestingly high and domain selective Brd4 BD2 activity was also observed, in sharp contrast to $(R)$-3.050. The kinase inhibitor Dinaciclib (1.25, Section 1.4.4) contains a similar 7-amino pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine scaffold, and has been reported to bind to BET bromodomains (but not to BRPF1). ${ }^{151}$ The amine and pyrazole nitrogens are capable of acting as a KAc mimetic, ${ }^{152}$ and it is possible that $\mathbf{3 . 1 4 1}$ binds to Brd4 in a similar manner with the amide or piperidine conferring domain selectivity.

The effect of the 7-amine was also investigated in the Al series (Scheme 3.23). 7-Chloro4azaindole 3.142 was methylated to 3.143 , followed by Vilsmier-Haack formylation and telescoped Pinnick oxidation to give acid 3.144 in reasonable yield. Amide coupling produced the key 7-chloro intermediate 3.145. Attempted Buchwald-Hartwig coupling with ammonium chloride gave no product, so the reaction was instead carried out with
paramethoxybenzylamine and the PMB group removed from the crude coupling product with TFA to give primary amine 3.146 in good yield. Chloride 3.145 was pleasingly potent but showed poor selectivity over Brd4, while in contrast to PP 3.125, amine 3.146 showed poor BRPF1 potency. The 7-amine appeared to be detrimental compared to the parent AI 3.019 and chloride 3.145. Additionally, no Brd4 activity was observed for 3.146; given that 7-amino PP 3.125 also showed no measurable potency at Brd4 it was theorised that the 5 -substituent of
3.141 also contributes to its Brd4 activity.


Scheme 3.23. Synthesis of 7 -amino Als. Potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$ or greater. (i) Mel, $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$, DMF, $0-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}, \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NSO}_{3}$, THF, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ - rt; (iv) $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}, \mathrm{HATU}$, DIPEA, DMF, rt; (v) $\mathrm{PMBNH}_{2}, \mathrm{NaO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{BINAP}, 1,4$-dioxane, $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then TFA, rt.

As no improvement in potency had been obtained, and off-target selectivity worsened, the 7amine was not further investigated.

### 3.3.8 Targeting the Phe-Ile Region

With the X-ray structure of $\mathbf{3 . 0 5 0}$ (Figure 3.5) in hand, we aimed to generate an edge-to-face $\pi$-interaction with the Phe714 gatekeeper. Various aromatics were investigated at the 5 position of the pyrazolopyrimidine, and given that directly 5 -linked aromatics (3.003, 3.005) or anilines (3.045) were detrimental to potency, extending the linker was also explored.

Benzylamine 3.147 was obtained from the GSK compound collection and shown to have moderate BRPF1 potency and reduced LE. In an attempt to constrain the conformation of the benzyl group the racemic $\alpha-M e$ benzylamine 3.148 was synthesised, but exhibited reduced potency and LE, potentially due to a clash with the binding site. Tertiary benzylamine $\mathbf{3 . 1 4 9}$ was synthesised and showed a marginal improvement in potency compared to 3.147 , but the lack of a large increase in potency indicated an interaction was not occurring. Sulphonamides have a $90^{\circ} \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angle which would place the phenyl ring in the ideal position for contact with the Phe714 gatekeeper. The acidity of the sulphonamide NH allows them to participate in $S_{N} A r$ reactions, ${ }^{376}$ and so phenyl sulphonamide 3.149 was readily synthesised. However, the BRPF1 potency of 3.149 was below the lower limit of the assay, most likely due to the
sulphonamide oxygen lone pairs clashing with the Glu661 which packs onto the top of the core.


Scheme 3.24. Benzylic and phenyl groups. Potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$ or greater unless otherwise stated. a) $\mathrm{n}=1,<4$ on two other test occasions. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\alpha$-Methylbenzylamine or Nmethylbenzylamine, DIPEA, DMSO, rt; (ii) $\mathrm{PhSO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DME}, 9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

With flexible benzyl substituents proving unfruitful, conformationally restricted examples and bridged substituents were investigated, again through facile $S_{N} A r$ of the corresponding amine onto 5-chloro intermediate 3.030 (Scheme 3.25). The aza-bridged tetrahydronapthalene 3.151 was synthesised in an attempt to place a conformationally restricted phenyl group in the Phelle region, but showed only moderate potency and low LE. Isoindoline $\mathbf{3 . 1 5 2}$ showed similar potency and slightly higher LE, indicating the bridge and resulting conformational restriction contributed little to the binding of 3.151. Bicycloheptane 3.153 and nortropine derivative 3.154 proved relatively potent and efficient, potentially due to improved contact with the 5-position pocket. The similar potency of 3.153 and 3.154 , and higher LE of 3.153 , indicates that the alcohol of $\mathbf{3 . 1 5 4}$ does not form positive interactions with the protein. This group also had a detrimental effect on permeability.


Scheme 3.25. Bridged and bicyclic 5 -substituents. Potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$. Reagents and conditions: (i) Amine, DIPEA, DMSO, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mu \mathrm{W}$; (i) Amine, DIPEA, DMSO, rt.

Attention turned to placing the aromatic ring at the $\alpha$-position of the piperidine ring, in the hope that the ring would again adopt the desired axial conformation. The $A$ value for an Nsubstituted 2-methylpiperidine is estimated at $1.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol},{ }^{374}$ not dissimilar to that of cyclohexane ( 1.74 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}),{ }^{353}$ enabling comparison to the $A$ value for phenylcyclohexane ( $2.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ). ${ }^{353} \mathrm{As}$ such it was noted that a significantly greater energy penalty would be paid for phenylpiperidine PPs on adopting the required axial conformation.

Phenylpiperidines underwent $S_{N} A r$ reaction with 3.030 in good yield, although heating was required due to greater steric hindrance around the nitrogen (Scheme 3.26). Encouragingly, the racemate $( \pm)-3.155$ a showed good BRPF1 potency. The enantiomers $(R)-3.155 \mathrm{a}$ and $(S)$ 3.155a were readily synthesised using commercially available enantiopure phenylpiperidines. As predicted from the crystallography, $(S)$-3.155a was the more potent, with $(R)-3.155 a$ significantly less active as it cannot adopt the most favourable vertical/axial binding conformation and engage the same pocket of the protein. The beneficial effect of constraining the phenyl ring can be seen through comparison to benzylamine 3.147 , with addition of the piperidine ring maintaining LE. However, the LE of $(S)$-3.155a is still below that of the methyl analogue $(R)-3.050$, possibly indicating that beneficial hydrophobic or minteractions are being counteracted by the energy penalty of adopting the axial phenyl conformation. It was thought that different linker rings might improve binding through reduced $A^{1,3}$-strain; phenyl piperazine 3.157 (via Boc derivative 3.156 ) and pyrrolidine 3.158 were synthesised in a similar manner but both were less potent and efficient than $( \pm)-3.155$ a. Extending the phenyl ring out by one atom, in the form of 2-benzylpiperidine 3.159, was relatively well tolerated but gave a slight reduction in potency compared to $( \pm)-3.155 a$. The 2phenylpiperidine appears to be optimal in terms of the phenyl ring vector angle and the contact between substituents and the Phe-lle region.


Scheme 3.26. Phenyl groups at the 2-position of heterocycles. Potency data are $n=3$. Reagents and conditions: (i) Amine, DIPEA, DMSO, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mu \mathrm{W}$; (ii) TFA, DCM, rt.

The nature of the aromatic ring was investigated with an array of 2-phenylpiperidines (Table 3.12). Monomers were chosen to probe the effect of changing the electronics of the ring and tolerability towards substitution at different positions. The $S_{N A r}$ reactions to form these products proceeded smoothly and in good yield under microwave heating. A methoxy substituent was tolerated at all positions of the ring ( $3.155 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ ), with para-methoxy 3.155d being the most potent out of this set of analogues. However, $3.155 d$ was only marginally more potent (within assay error limits of 0.3 log units) than unsubstituted phenyl 3.155a. Fluorine was tolerated at the meta (3.155e) and para (3.155f) positions, but showed reduced potency compared to para-methoxy 3.155d. Para-chloro ( $\mathbf{3 . 1 5 5 g}$ ) and para-methyl (3.155h) were also active but did not improve on the potency of $\mathbf{3 . 1 5 5 a}$ or 3.155 d . The relatively flat SAR suggests that the electronics of the phenyl ring are unimportant and no specific interactions with the protein are being made by the substituents.

Pyridyl substitution was unfavourable, with racemic 2-pyridyl 3.155 i significantly less potent than 3.155a. The 3-pyridyl (S)-3.155, obtained from the natural product (-)-anabasine, was slightly more potent than $\mathbf{3 . 1 5 5 i}$ (accounting for the expected $0.2-0.3$ log increase in potency for the $(S)$-enantiomer compared to the corresponding racemate, c.f. ( $\pm$ )-3.155a and $(S) 3.155 a)$. 5 -Membered heterocycles proved more potent than the pyridine analogues, with oxadiazole 3.155 k and thiazole 3.155 showing reasonable potency. In an attempt to form hydrogen bonding interactions with the backbone carbonyls on the side of the pocket opposite the Phe, imidazole 3.155 m was synthesised (Scheme 3.27). However, 3.155m was poorly potent, indicating a hydrogen bond donor is not tolerated in this region.
Table 3.12. Structures and potencies of phenylpiperidine products 3.155a-m


Potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$. a) For synthesis see Scheme 3.27.

To synthesise imidazole 3.155 m , hydroxymethyl piperidine 3.051 l was oxidised to the corresponding aldehyde 3.160 with DMP, followed by thermal cyclisation with aqueous ammonia and glyoxal to give $\mathbf{3 . 1 5 5 m}$ in reasonable yield. Amides could potentially also form $\pi$-interactions with Phe714, without the detrimental effects of an aromatic ring on developability and physicochemical properties (Section 1.1.3). Acid 3.161 was synthesised by $S_{n} A r$ (in low yield due to poor solubility and the electron-deficient piperidine) and the ethyl amide 3.162 formed using HATU. The potency of 3.162 was very poor, potentially as a result of the hydrogen bond donor not adopting the required conformation, or the amide being too far from the Phe to interact. Amides were not further investigated.


Scheme 3.27. Synthesis of imidazole 3.155m and amine 3.162. Potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$. Reagents and conditions: (i) Dess-Martin periodinane, DCM, rt; (ii) $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, glyoxal, $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt; (iii) piperidine2carboxylic acid, DIPEA, DMSO, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mu \mathrm{W}$; (iv) EtNH2, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt.

Given the promising potency and selectivity profile of 5,6-disubstituted PP 3.115a, the Nmethyl 6 -substituent was combined with the (S)-2-phenylpiperidine 5 -substituent to test whether these benefits would be additive (Scheme 3.28). The phthalimide intermediate 3.103 was reacted with (S)-2-phenylpiperidine under the previously developed $S_{N} A r$ conditions to give 3.104d in good yield. Amidation/deprotection under the previously facile DABAL-Me ${ }_{3}$ conditions proceeded poorly, giving only $11 \%$ of the desired amide product 3.105d (unoptimised yield). The amine/ester product 1.63, resulting from phthalimide deprotection
without reaction of the ester, was also isolated in $65 \%$ yield. Sufficient quantities of 3.105d were obtained to alkylate with methyl iodide, giving 3.115d in poor yield - the reaction was sluggish with the formation of multiple byproducts from alkylation at other sites of the molecule. 3.115d showed very poor potency - the 6-substituent may prevent rotation of the phenylpiperidine into the preferred axial binding conformation. Given that the poor BRPF1 potency of 3.115 d would also be expected for other 6 -substituents, no attempt was made to improve the low synthetic yields or investigate alternative substitution.


Scheme 3.28. Synthesis of 5,6-disubstituted pyrazolopyrimidine 3.115d. Potency data are $\mathrm{n}=3$. Reagents and conditions: (i) (S)-2-Phenylpiperidine, DIPEA, DMSO, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mu \mathrm{W}$; (ii) DABAL-Me3, MeNH2, THF, $40-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) Mel, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mu \mathrm{W}$.

The potency and selectivity profile of the phenylpiperidine $(S)-3.155$ a was compared to (R)3.050 (Table 3.13). (S)-3.155a showed much reduced ligand efficiency as a result of the additional phenyl ring, with an essentially unchanged selectivity profile. The additional phenyl was not overly detrimental to physicochemical properties, raising the ChromLogD ${ }_{7.4}$ by 1.4. Solubility was reduced but remained in good space, while permeability increased. However, overall (S)-3.155a offered no benefit compared to $(R)-\mathbf{3 . 0 5 0}$.

Table 3.13. FRET potencies, LE and physicochemical properties of $(R)-\mathbf{3 . 0 5 0}$ and $(S)-\mathbf{3 . 1 5 5 a}$.

|  |  |  <br> (S)-3.155a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BRPF1 pIC50 / LE | 6.7 (0.46) | 6.6 (0.36) |
| BRPF2 ${ }^{\text {pIC }} 50$ | 4.7 | 4.6 |
| BRPF3 plC 50 | 4.2 | 4.4 |


| Brd4 BD1 / BD2 pIC 50 | $4.6^{\mathrm{a}} / 4.5^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $4.6 / 4.4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd7 pIC $_{50}$ | 5.1 | 5.3 |
| ${\text { Brd9 } \text { pIC }_{50}}^{\text {CECR2 } \text { pIC }_{50}} \mathrm{5.8}$ | 5.6 |  |
| ChromLogDD.4 | 4.8 | 4.7 |
| CLND Solubility ( $\boldsymbol{\mu M}$ ) | 3.3 | 4.7 |
| AMP (nm/s) | 610 | 464 |

Potency data are $n=2$ or greater unless otherwise stated. Dashes indicate data not available. a) $n=3,<4.3$ on two other test occasions; b) $\mathrm{n}=4,<4.3$ on one other test occasion.

An X-ray crystal structure of $(S)$ - 3.155 a bound to the BRPF1 bromodomain was obtained (Figure 3.9). The core binds as before with Glu661 packing onto the top of the pyrimidine ring, though slightly further away compared to $(R)-3.050$. This underlines the flexibility of this residue and the subtle effects it may have on binding affinity. The piperidine again adopts an axial conformation to place the phenyl in the Ph-lle region at a $90^{\circ}$ angle to the core and Phe714. No edge-to-face interaction with Phe714 is made, only edge-to-edge contact, and the ring sits too high above lle652 for meaningful lipophilic contacts. This could explain the lack of an increase in potency between methyl piperidine $(R)-3.050$ and the phenylpiperidine analogues, in addition to the energy penalty required to adopt the axial bound conformation. Disappointingly, occupying this region failed to improve selectivity despite it being more crowded in Brd9 - again, the ring may bind too far away for a significant steric clash.


Figure 3.9. X-ray structure of phenylpiperidine $(S)$ - $\mathbf{3 . 1 5 5 a}$ (cyan, $1.9 \AA)^{292}$ bound to the BRPF1 bromodomain.

To further explain this binding mode, the relative potential energies of the rotational conformations of (S)-3.155a were calculated (Figure 3.10). The protein atoms and solvent
were removed and the potential energy of the bound conformation (L) was measured using a MMFF94x forcefield to be $59.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The corresponding vertical phenyl conformation, M, has a higher potential energy due to increased steric clash with the axial protons at the 2 - and 4-positions of the piperidine, but could compensate for this to some degree through the formation of an edge-to-face interaction with Phe714. However, in order to rotate from $\mathbf{L}$ to $\mathbf{M}$ (with no change in conformation of the piperidine chair) the molecule must pass through the high energy structure $\mathbf{N}$, with eclipsed protons. This forms a high energy barrier to rotation which reinforces the preference for the low-energy bound conformation.


Figure 3.10. Structures, dihedral angles and MMFF94x potential energies (calculated using Molecular Operating Environment, MOE) for phenylpiperidine (S)-3.155a.

### 3.3.9 6-Aza Cores

Although the protons of the core 6-position and the piperidine $\alpha$-position do not clash in the crystal structures, they remain in close proximity and the $6-\mathrm{H}$ proton may affect the rotational freedom of the 5 -substituent. This is of particular concern for larger 5 -substituents such as phenylpiperidines, and indeed it was observed that a larger 6-substituent dramatically reduced BRPF1 activity (3.115a). Replacing the 6-position with a heteroatom could reduce this and improve potency, and the effect of introducing a lone pair close to Glu661 was considered worthy of investigation. Two alternative cores, the pyrazolo[1,5-a]-1,3,5-triazines (3.164) and pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidines (3.165) were proposed to explore this (Figure 3.11).



Figure 3.11. Alternative N6 cores.

The pyrazolo[1,5-a]-1,3,5-triazine (PT) core is precedented in medicinal chemistry, $356,377,378$ with one compound containing this structure progressing to late-stage development. ${ }^{379}$ However, almost all syntheses involve a 7-substituent, ${ }^{356,377-379}$ with known methods for synthesising 7-H PT analogues incompatible with heteroatom 5 -substituents. ${ }^{380}$ As such, the synthesis of 3.164 was considered to be challenging but achievable. In contrast, pyrrolo[3,2d]pyrimidines with 5-N, 7-H substitution are known, ${ }^{381}$ while 5 -chloro building blocks are commercially available and compatible with the previously developed azaindole chemistry (Section 3.3.3). Given that $6-\mathrm{N}$ cores have the potential to be detrimental should a Ionepair/lone-pair clash with Glu661 occur, the pyrrolopyrimidine core was chosen in order to rapidly investigate this series and hypothesis.

The pyrrolopyrimidine series was synthesised using the previously developed AI synthetic route (Scheme 3.29). Methylation of commercially available 3.166 gave 3.167 , which was formylated to give 3.168 and the aldehyde then oxidised under Pinnick conditions to give acid 3.169 in high yield. Considering the lower yield obtained for amidation of AI 3.067 with oxalyl chloride, 3.169 was instead reacted with HATU and methylamine to produce the amide 3.170, with a significant improvement in yield. The additional electronegative nitrogen in the core compared to the Al series made $\mathrm{S}_{N} \mathrm{Ar}$ a viable route for the synthesis of $5-\mathrm{N}$ derivatives, though high temperatures and long reaction times ( $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3$ days) were required. The derivatives 3.171-3.174 were obtained in moderate to good yields.


Scheme 3.29. Synthesis of 5-substituted pyrrolo-pyrimidine compounds. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{Mel}, \mathrm{DMF}$, rt, 1 hr ; (ii) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$, DMF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{hr}$; (iii) $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}, \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NSO}_{3}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt, 18 hr ; (iv) $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt; (v) Amine, DIPEA, DMSO, $90-160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mu \mathrm{W}(\mathbf{3 . 1 7 1}, \mathbf{3 . 1 7 2}$ ) or thermal (3.173, 3.174).

When screened against the BRPF1 bromodomain, the pyrrolopyrimidine core proved to be potent (Table 3.14). Isopropylamine 3.171 was less potent than the initial PP hit 3.002, but
showed a marginal improvement in potency over AI analogue 3.069 and improved solubility and permeability compared to $\mathbf{3 . 0 0 2}$ despite a small increase in ChromLogD7.4. Piperidine 3.172 was very similar in potency and properties to AI 3.070, though selectivity over Brd7 and CECR2 was reduced compared to 3.070 and PP analogue 3.044. Methylpiperidine $(R) 3.173$ was slightly more potent than the PP analogue $(R)-3.055$ (though still within assay error limits) but showed a more marked increased in lipophilicity. However, solubility remained high and permeability improved. Similar to the PP series, phenylpiperidine (S) 3.174 showed good activity but was slightly less potent than $(R)-3.173$, with significantly worsened solubility. Although $\mathbf{3 . 1 7 2}$ and $(R)-3.173$ showed very low BET activity, $(S)-\mathbf{3 . 1 7 4}$ showed higher activity, in particularly against BD1. As seen for the AI series (Table ${ }^{1} .8$ ), BRPF family selectivity was worse than for the PP series, though to a lesser extent. Brd9 potency was significantly increased, producing a lower selectivity window compared to the PPs. Given the high potency observed from the pyrrolopyrimidine series, the pyrazolotriazine series will also be investigated (see Section 3.5).


### 1.3.10 Insights Into Wider Bromodomain Selectivity

The wider bromodomain selectivity profile of methylpiperidine $(R)$-3.050 is shown on the bromodomain phylogenetic tree (Figure 3.12). Good selectivity over the BETs and more distant branches of the phylogenetic tree are observed, with higher activity at Brd9 and Brd7 which can be rationalised by their close sequence homology. However, the higher potencies observed at BPTF, PCAF and CECR2 are less intuitive due to their lower homology.

| CECR2 pIC $_{50}$ | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ChromLogD $\mathbf{7 . 4}^{4}$ | 2.7 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 6.1 |
| CLND Solubility $(\boldsymbol{\mu M})$ | 552 | 357 | 535 | 128 |
| AMP $(\mathbf{n m} / \mathbf{s})$ | 480 | 570 | 630 | 680 |

Potency data are $\mathrm{n}=2$ or greater unless otherwise stated. Dashes indicate data not available. a) $\mathrm{n}=1$; b) $\mathrm{n}=1,<4.3$ on two other test occasions; c) $\mathrm{n}=2,<4.3$ on one other test occasion.


Figure 3.12. FRET bromodomain selectivity profile of $(R)-\mathbf{3 . 0 5 0}$, plotted as circles onto the human bromodomain phylogenetic tree.

These differences can be rationalised from examination of the crystal structures (Figure 3.13). Brd9 and CECR2, though quite separate on the phylogenetic tree, both have a similar KAc binding pocket to BRPF1 with an aromatic 'gatekeeper' (Phe714 in BRPF1, Tyr in Brd9 and CECR2) which forms m-stacking interactions with the PP core. However, in Brd4 the gatekeeper is an lle which cannot form this interaction and causes the binding pocket to adopt a significantly different shape. In addition, the WPF stack of Brd4 blocks the region in which the methylpiperidine binds.

The gatekeeper is also non-aromatic in ATAD (lle), BAZ2A (Val), TIF1a (Val) and CREBBP (Val), at which the PP series shows low activity, indicating that the gatekeeper-core interaction is a significant driver of potency for this series. In TAF1 the gatekeeper is a Tyr, but is held
away from the binding pocket by a movement of the BC loop. The only other bromodomains with aromatic gatekeepers which have not been screened against are KAT2A/GCN5 (Tyr), and the SP100/110/140 family (Phe). Binding to KAT2A/GCN5 is expected to be similar to the homologous PCAF, BPTF and CECR2, while the SP family are atypical bromodomains with significantly altered KAc pockets which may prevent binding. In BAZ1A, PB1(1) and TIF1 $\beta$ the gatekeeper is an Asp or Glu and may be able to form cation- $\pi$ interactions with the core, however no assays for these targets are currently available.

The majority of changes between the bromodomains are in the ZA loop, with only BRPF1 containing the crucial Glu661 which packs onto the top of the core. The ZA loop of BRPF1 is more similar to that of Brd9 than CECR2, which contains a Tyr which projects down into the pocket. The presence of this residue rationalises why the PP 5-substituent has a significant effect on CECR2 potency, with planar substituents containing $\pi$-character (e.g. cyclohexene 3.001 and phenyl 3.005) forming $\pi$-stacking interactions with the Tyr, while bulky nonaromatic groups such as isopropylamine 3.002 clash with it.


Figure 3.13. Apo X-ray crystal structures of the bromodomains of BRPF1 (orange, PDB: 4LC2) overlaid with Brd9 (dark green, PDB: 3HME), CECR2 (dark cyan, PDB: 3NXB) and Brd4 BD1 (gray, PDB: 2OSS), showing the KAc binding pocket. Crystallised solvent is shown in a lighter hue.

For gaining selectivity over Brd9, the main areas of difference are the ZA loop in the region of Glu661 (which is a bulkier Ile in Brd9) and the Phe-lle region (where lle652 is a Phe in Brd9). The large 6-substituents of the most selective examples such as 3.113a most likely clash with the lle of the ZA loop on Brd9. Overlay of the X-ray structure of $(S)-3.155$ a with the apo structure of Brd9 showed that Phe44 is oriented away from the binding site and only minor clashes are predicted (Figure 3.14). This may explain why phenylpiperidine substitution (Section 2.2.8) failed to produce a significant reduction in Brd9 potency.


Figure 3.14. Overlay of the X-ray structure of ( $S$ )-3.155a bound to the BRPF1 bromodomain (cyan, 1.9 $\AA$ ) ${ }^{292}$ and the apo structure of Brd9 (dark green, PDB: 3HME), showing the Brd9 surface (solid) and ligand surface (mesh).

### 3.3.11 Selectivity Within the BRPF Family

To examine BRPF family selectivity, the respective potencies of the entire series were plotted (Figures 3.15, 3.16), showing all exemplars were selective for BRPF1 over BRPF2 (x2-x158) and BRPF3 (x10-x398). Some of the highest selectivities over BRPF2 were observed for 0 nitrile benzyl 3.114a (x158), methylpiperazine ( $R$ )-3.052ab (x126) and 6NHMe 3.115a (x100). Intriguingly low selectivity was seen for $5-\mathrm{cPr}, 6-\mathrm{NHSO}_{2} \mathrm{Ph} 3.109 \mathrm{c}$ (x3) and 5-(2methylpiperidine), 6-NHBz 3.107a (x2). The bulky groups of 3.109c and 3.107a probably make close contact with the more open BRPF2 binding site. 3.109c also displayed low selectivity over BRPF3 (x20), while the 5-NHiPr AI 3.069 was the least selective ( x 10 ) of the compounds discussed in this work. Most compounds with the highest BRPF1 potency showed $>100$-fold selectivity over BRPF3, with 3.114a being the most selective (x398).


Figure 3.15. BRPF1 vs BRPF2 pIC50. Orange diamonds are the PP series, gold crosses are the Al and pyrrolo-pyrimidine series'. Lines of fold selectivity are shown. *Compound not disclosed in this work.


Figure 3.16. BRPF1 vs BRPF3 $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$. Orange diamonds are the PP series, gold crosses are the Al and pyrrolo-pyrimidine series'. Lines of fold selectivity are shown. *Compound not disclosed in this work.
The selectivities can be somewhat rationalised by comparing the X-ray crystal structures of BRPF1 and BRPF2. No X-ray structure of the BRPF3 bromodomain is known, but the
sequence homology can also be compared (Figure 3.17). The KAc binding-region is highly conserved, as is the edge of the ZA loop adjacent to the crucial Asn708, however, several residue differences exist on the far side of the binding pocket.


Figure 3.17. Left: Overlaid Apo structures of BRPF1 (blue, PDB: 4LC2) and BRPF2 (pink, PDB: 3RCW) bromodomains, key differing residues are bolded and labelled with BRPF1 numbering. Right: Sequence homology of key KAc binding site residues for the BRPF family, coloured by amino acid type.

The major difference between the bromodomains is the change of Pro658, against which the 5-substituent makes lipophilic contact, for a Ser in BRPF2 and an Asn in BRPF3. This makes this region of the BRPF2 binding pocket wider and more polar, reducing binding affinity. While the preferred orientation of the Asn in BRPF3 is unclear, it will make this region of the pocket smaller and so prevent compound binding, rationalising the low BRPF3 potencies observed.

Glu655 is maintained in BRPF3 but is a glutamine in BRPF2; compounds forming ionic interactions here would show increased selectivity over BRPF2. Indeed, it was observed that amines 3.052ag and 3.052al (Table 3.7) showed increased BRPF3 potency (but still too low
for an electrostatic interaction). The other residue changes in this region (Ser654, Asn651, Gly650) are somewhat further away from the compound binding region and likely do not significantly affect selectivity.

The lack of residue changes around the KAc-binding region complicates explanation of why the AI and pyrrolopyrimidine series' are less BRPF1 selective compared to the PP series. However, the methyl group and altered electronics of the core likely cause a subtle change in binding conformation, which changes the position of the 5 -substituent into a more favourable location for BRPF2 binding.

### 3.4. Conclusions

The potency and selectivity profiles of the most promising phenylpiperidines to date were compared (Table 3.15). This work identified ( $R$ )-2-methylpiperidine as the best substituent at the 5 -position of the bicyclic core, as exemplified by $(R)-3.050$, which displayed good BRPF1 potency and high ligand efficiency with an excellent physicochemical property profile. Although Brd4 potency was low and CECR2 potency was reduced compared to the initial hit 3.001, Brd9 selectivity remained poor. Selectivity over other bromodomains was largely unchanged compared to the hits.

A screen of amine and amide substituents at the 6-position revealed methoxybenzyl 3.113a and methyl amine 3.115 a, which maintained the potency of $(R)-3.050$ (though with a large loss of ligand efficiency for 3.113a) while improving selectivity. 3.113a is the most selective example of this series over all other bromodomains tested, with the next closest activity being at BAZ2A (40-fold selectivity). However, the ChromLogD ${ }_{7.4}$ of 3.113 a is outside the desired parameters, solubility is relatively low, and permeability is very poor, potentially restricting the use of 3.113a in cellular experiments.

| Table 3.15. Bromodomain FRET potencies, LE and physicochemical properties of lead compounds. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br> (R)-3.050 |  |  <br> 3.115a |  <br> 3.173 |
| BRPF1 pIC50 / LE | 6.7 (0.46) | 6.6 (0.30) | 6.7 (0.42) | 6.9 (0.45) |
| BRPF2 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 5.4 |
| BRPF3 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.6 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Brd4 BD1 / BD2 } \\ \text { pIC }_{50} \end{gathered}$ | $4.6{ }^{\text {a }} / 4.5^{\text {b }}$ | $4.4{ }^{\text {c }} / 4.6$ | <4.3 / <4.3 | 4.4 / 4.5 |
| BPTF pIC50 | 5.1 | - | 4.6 | - |
| Brd7 $\mathrm{plC}_{50}$ | 5.1 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 5.8 |
| Brd9 pIC50 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 6.2 |
| CECR2 $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ | 4.8 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 5.6 |
| PCAF plC ${ }_{50}$ | 5.2 | - | 5.0 | - |
| BAZ2A plC 50 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 4.9 | - |
| ChromLogD ${ }_{7.4}$ | 3.3 | 6.3 | 4.3 | 5.0 |
| CLND Sol. ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 610 | 97 | 361 | 535 |
| AMP ( $\mathrm{nm} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | 307 | <30 | 560 | 630 |

Potency data are $n=2$ or greater unless otherwise stated. Dashes indicate data not available. a) $n=3$, $<4.3$ on two other test occasions; b) $n=4,<4.3$ on one other test occasion; c) $n=1,<4.3$ on two other test occasions; d) $\mathrm{n}=1$.

Methyl amine 3.115 a shows good potency, ligand efficiency and physicochemical properties in addition to reasonable ( 25 -fold) selectivity over Brd9. Selectivity over other bromodomains was also reasonable. Pyrrolopyrimidine 3.173 is the most potent compound developed in this work and exhibits good physicochemical properties. Selectivity profiling is ongoing, but initial results show a smaller BRPF1 selectivity window over Brd7 and CECR2 compared to ( $R$ ) $\mathbf{3 . 0 5 0}$ and high Brd9 potency. BRPF family selectivity was also altered, with increased BRPF2 potency.

These examples (with the exception of $\mathbf{3 . 1 7 3}$, for which some data is not currently available) showed low but consistent potency against PCAF and BAZ2A, and $\sim 100$-fold or greater selectivity for BRPF1 within the BRPF family.

These data indicate that although the PP series and similar chemotypes are promising BRPF1 inhibitors, no compounds have been identified which fully meet the specified probe criteria (Section 3.2). Given that the AMP assay is an artificial model and not fully representative of a biological system (e.g. does not account for active transport), methoxybenzylamine 3.113a may be sufficiently cell-permeable for use as a probe molecule. Alternatively, methyl amine 3.115a may be sufficiently selective to provide meaningful data in biological experiments, depending on dose levels and the strengths of various target and offtarget phenotypes. Overall, the potency of the series is at a usable level but does not improve on existing inhibitors, with the most BRPF1-selective inhibitors also being less potent. While final SAR investigations may yield examples with improved selectivity and physicochemical properties (Section 3.5), if more potent inhibitors are required then an alternative chemotype should be sought.

### 3.5. Future Work

Further work in this area will seek to complete the selectivity profiles of many of the examples described thus far. In addition, further compounds are of interest (Figure 3.18).

3.175

3.176

3.177

Figure 3.18. Future work.

- A thorough investigation of disubstituted PPs with a $6-N$-benzyl group (3.175) including investigation of other substitution sites on the phenyl ring. The effect of substitution patterns on BRPF1 potency is expected to be relatively neutral based on previous data (Scheme 3.16), but have subtle and unpredictable effects on the bromodomain selectivity profile.
- Closer examination of the methoxyphenylpiperidine $\mathbf{3 . 1 5 5 d}$, including synthesis or separation of the single enantiomers, alternative ethers (3.176) and inclusion into the pyrrolopyrimidine core to test if potency gains are additive. Again, the wider selectivity profile of these compounds also warrants investigation.
- Synthesis of the pyrazolo[1,5-a]-1,3,5-triazine (PT) core and substituted examples (Scheme 3.30). Such a synthesis could stem from commercially available bicycle 3.178, the $7-\mathrm{Cl}$ of which could potentially be selectively removed as seen for the PP series. ${ }^{357}$ Bromination of PT scaffolds is known to occur at the 3-position, ${ }^{378}$ allowing amide synthesis through carbonylation or lithiation and trapping. Oxidation of the methyl thiol, and use of the resulting sulphone 3.180 in $S_{N} A r$ reactions, is well precedented. ${ }^{356,378}$


Scheme 3.30. Proposed synthesis of pyrazolo[1,5-a]-1,3,5-triazine compounds.

## 4. Experimental

### 4.1 General Chemistry Experimental

Unless otherwise stated, all reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of nitrogen in heat or oven dried glassware and anhydrous solvent. Solvents and reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used as received.

Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) or LCMS. TLC was carried out on glass or aluminium-backed 60 silica plates coated with $U^{254}$ fluorescent indicator. Spots were visualised using UV light ( 254 or 365 nm ) or common staining methods as appropriate. Flash column chromatography was carried out using Biotage SP4 or Isolera One apparatus with SNAP KP or SNAP ULTRA pre-packed silica cartridges. Ion exchange chromatography was carried out using Biotage Isolute cartridges and extracted organic mixtures were dried using Biotage PTFE hydrophobic phase separator frits.

NMR spectra were recorded at rt (unless otherwise stated) using standard pulse methods on any of the following spectrometers and signal frequencies: Bruker AV-400 ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}=400 \mathrm{MHz}, 13$
$\qquad$
$\mathrm{C}=101 \mathrm{MHz}$ ), Bruker AV-600 ( $\mathrm{H}=600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}=150 \mathrm{MHz}$ ), Bruker DPX-250 spectrometer at 250 MHz or Varian INOVA spectrometer at 300 MHz . Chemical shifts are referenced to trimethylsilane (TMS) or the residual solvent peak, and are reported in ppm. Coupling constants are quoted to the nearest 0.1 Hz and multiplicities are given by the following abbreviations and combinations thereof: $s$ (singlet), $d$ (doublet), $A B q$ (AB quartet), $t$ (triplet), $q$ (quartet), quin (quintet), sxt (sextet), m (multiplet), br. (broad). Where products were isolated as single unknown enantiomers, this is indicated by an asterisks following the stereochemical assignment.

IR spectra were obtained on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum 1 FTIR apparatus, with major peaks reported. Optical rotation of chiral products was measured using a Jasco P1030 polarimeter. Melting point analysis was carried out using a Stuart SMP40 melting point apparatus, melting points are uncorrected.

Liquid chromatography high resolution mass spectra were recorded on a Micromass Q-Tof Ultima hybrid quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometer, with analytes separated on an Agilent 1100 Liquid Chromatograph equipped with a Phenomenex Luna C18(2) reversed phase column ( $100 \mathrm{~mm} \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ packing diameter). LC conditions were $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate, $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, injection volume $2-5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. Gradient elution with (A) water containing $0.1 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$
formic acid and (B) acetonitrile containing $0.1 \%$ ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) formic acid. Gradient conditions were initially $5 \%$ B, increasing linearly to $100 \%$ B over 6 min, remaining at $100 \%$ B for 2.5 min then decreasing linearly to $5 \%$ B over 1 min followed by an equilibration period of 2.5 min prior to the next injection.
LCMS analysis was carried out on a Waters Acquity UPLC instrument equipped with a BEH or CSH column ( $50 \mathrm{~mm} \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ packing diameter) and Waters micromass ZQ MS using alternate-scan positive and negative electrospray. Analytes were detected as a summed UV wavelength of $210-350 \mathrm{~nm}$. Two liquid phase methods were used:

Formic $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate. Gradient elution with the mobile phases as $(A)$ water containing $0.1 \%$ volume/volume ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) formic acid and $(\mathrm{B})$ acetonitrile containing $0.1 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ formic acid. Gradient conditions were initially $1 \%$ B, increasing linearly to $97 \%$ B over 1.5 min , remaining at $97 \%$ B for 0.4 min then increasing to $100 \%$ B over 0.1 min .

High pH $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate. Gradient elution with the mobile phases as (A) 10 mM aqueous ammonium bicarbonate solution, adjusted to pH 10 with 0.88 M aqueous ammonia and (B) acetonitrile. Gradient conditions were initially $1 \% \mathrm{~B}$, increasing linearly to $97 \%$ B over 1.5 min, remaining at $97 \%$ B for 0.4 min then increasing to $100 \%$ B over 0.1 min .

Mass directed automatic purification (MDAP) preparative HPLC was carried out using a Waters ZQ MS using alternate-scan positive and negative electrospray and a summed UV wavelength of $210-350 \mathrm{~nm}$. Three liquid phase methods were used:

Formic MDAP - Sunfire C18 column ( $100 \mathrm{~mm} \times 19 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ packing diameter, 20 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate) or Sunfire C18 column ( $150 \mathrm{~mm} \times 30 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ packing diameter, $40 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate). Gradient elution at rt with the mobile phases as (A) water containing $0.1 \%$ volume/volume ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) formic acid and (B) acetonitrile containing $0.1 \%$ (v/v) formic acid.

High pH MDAP - Xbridge C18 column ( $100 \mathrm{~mm} \times 19 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ packing diameter, 20 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate) or Xbridge C18 column ( $150 \mathrm{~mm} \times 30 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ packing diameter, $40 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate). Gradient elution at rt with the mobile phases as (A) 10 mM aqueous ammonium bicarbonate solution, adjusted to pH 10 with 0.88 M aqueous ammonia and (B) acetonitrile.

TFA MDAP - XSELECT CSH column ( $150 \mathrm{~mm} \times 30 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ packing diameter, 40 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate). Gradient elution at rt with the mobile phases as $(A) 0.1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ solution of TFA in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and (B) $0.1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ solution of TFA in acetonitrile.

Appropriate MDAP fractions were concentrated under a stream of nitrogen in a blowdown apparatus or evaporated in vacuo to afford the products.

### 4.2 Compound Synthesis and Characterisation - Design and Synthesis of Tetrahydroquinoxalines as BD2-Selective BET Inhibitors

## General Procedure 1 - Reductive amination

To a solution of amine (1 equiv.) and benzylic aldehyde (2 equiv.) in DCM ( 0.05 M ) at rt under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$ (4 equiv.). The mixture was stirred at rt until complete by LCMS (24-96 h). Sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was added and the phases vigorously mixed. The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM, the combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit, and the solvent was concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography or MDAP to afford the pure product.

## General Procedure 2 - Amine benzylation

A solution of amine (1 equiv.) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3 equiv.) in DMF ( 0.3 M ) was treated with a benzylic bromide (2 equiv.) and stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until complete by LCMS (12-40 h). The reaction mixture was cooled, diluted with MeOH ( 0.3 volumes), and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was purified by MDAP to afford the pure product.

## General Procedure 3 - Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling with aryl bromides

The aryl bromide, aryl boronic acid or boronic acid pinacol ester (1.5-2 equiv.), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (4 equiv.), and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were placed in a microwave vial and suspended in 1,4dioxane and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10: 1,0.1 \mathrm{M})$. The vial was sealed, evacuated and refilled with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction was heated in a Biotage Initiator microwave reactor at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture was cooled, filtered through Celite, and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography or MDAP to afford the pure product.

## General Procedure 4 - Nitrile oxidation with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.

To a stirred solution of aryl nitrile in DMSO ( 0.08 M ) was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2 equiv.), followed by $35 \%$ aq. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (10 equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h , then filtered, concentrated and the residue purified by MDAP to afford the pure products.

## General Procedure 5 - HATU-mediated amidation

To a solution of the carboxylic acid (1 equiv.) and HATU (1.5 equiv.) in DMF ( 0.15 M ) was added the respective amine ( 2 equiv.) and DIPEA (3 equiv., 5 equiv. if using the amine HCl
salt). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 30 min , then filtered and purified by MDAP to afford the products.

## 1-(2-Cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone



A solution of 1-(6-bromo-2-ethyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1 $(2 \mathrm{H})$-yl)ethanone hydrochloride 2.016b ( $260 \mathrm{mg}, 0.918 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(23 \mathrm{~mL})$ was passed through a Thales H-cube Flow Hydrogenator with a $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ CatCart in full $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ mode at a rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Two passes of the reaction mixture through the CatCart were required for full conversion. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue purified by ion exchange chromatography (sulphonic acid (SCX), 5 g , sequential solvents: $\mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH} 3 / \mathrm{MeOH})$. The appropriate fractions were combined and evaporated in vacuo to give 1-(2-ethyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1 $(2 \mathrm{H})$-yl) ethanone ( 181 mg , $0.886 \mathrm{mmol}, 97 \%$ ) as a yellow oil.

LCMS (Formic, $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.79 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$205.1, ( $98 \%$ pure).
1H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ): $\delta 0.85$ (t, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.16-1.44 (m, 2H), 2.15 (s, 3 H), 3.11-3.37 (m, 2H), 4.48-4.65 (m, 1H), $5.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{td}, J=8.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{td}, J=8.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 1-(2-Cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone



A solution of 1-(6-bromo-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 H) y l)$ ethanone 2.016c (352 mg, 1.193 mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was passed through a Thales H -cube Flow Hydrogenator with a $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ CatCart in full H 2 mode at a rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Two passes of the reaction mixture through the CatCart were required for full conversion. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue purified by ion exchange chromatography (sulphonic acid (SCX), 5 g , sequential solvents $\mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH} 3 / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ). The appropriate fractions were combined and evaporated in vacuo to give 1-(2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{yl})$ ethanone ( $256 \mathrm{mg}, 1.184 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%$ ) as an off-white solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): tR $=0.84 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 217.1$, ( $100 \%$ pure). $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.33-0.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.83$ (br. s., 1 H ), 2.27 (s, 3H), $3.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.62 (dd, $J=8.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68$ (ddd, $J=8.1,7.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01$ (ddd, $J=8.1,7.6,1.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

C NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.8,4.2,11.9,22.9,46.0,50.7,113.9,116.3,122.8,125.7$, 126.1, 137.6, 169.0. M.pt.:114-120 C. $v_{\max }(n e a t): 3361,2843,1622,1517,1467,1439,1383$, $1364,1313,1283,1234,1123,1070,1019,952,905,831,762,740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 217.1335, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$217.1342. 1-(4-Benzyl-2-methyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone


Prepared from 2.018a ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.131 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzaldehyde $(0.027 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.263 mmol ) according to General Procedure 1. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(4-benzyl-2-methyl-3,4dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.057 \mathrm{mmol}, 43 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES+ ): tr = $1.16 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}^{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$281.3, (100\% pure). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.14$ (d, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.27 (s, 3H), 3.17 (dd, $J=11.4$, $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.17-5.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65-$ 6.73 (m, 2H), 6.99-7.10 (m, 2H), 7.23-7.38 (m, 5H).

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 281.1648, found 281.1651.
 $3 H$ ), 3.16 (dd, $J=11.4,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.26(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
6.65-6.73 (m, 2H), 6.98-7.12 (m, 5H), $7.23(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl 2-((4-acetyl-3-methyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)benzoate

2.021a

Prepared from 2.018a (35 mg, 0.18 mmol$)$ and ethyl 2(bromomethyl)benzoate ( $0.096 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.552 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 2. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded ethyl 2-((4-acetyl-3-methyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)benzoate ( 11 mg , 0.031 mmol, 17\%) as a yellow oil.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.23 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 353.1$, ( $100 \%$ pure).
H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.19$ (d, $\left.J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
$2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1$
Hz, 2H), $4.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3$, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{td}, J=7.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31$
(d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 2-((4-Acetyl-3-methyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)benzoic acid


2.022a

A solution of 2.021a ( $8.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and LiOH. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 0.45 mL ), $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.23 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.45 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at rt for 24 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was purified by Formic MDAP to afford 2-((4-acetyl-3-methyl-3,4dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 \mathrm{H})$ - yl )methyl)benzoic acid ( $5.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol}, 68 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (Formic, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.93 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 325.2$, ( $100 \%$ pure).
H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD-d4): ס 1.16 (br s, 3H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 3.23 (d, J=11.0 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.31 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. The exchangeable acid proton was not observed.

## 1-(4-Benzyl-2-ethyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone



Prepared from 2.018b ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzyl bromide ( $52 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.44$ mmol ) according to General Procedure 2. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(4-benzyl-2-ethyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 H) y l)$ )ethanone ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 66 \%$ ) as a brown oil.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.23 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$295.2, (100\% pure). 1
2.019b

H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.26$ (d, $J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57$ (dd, $J=11.5,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-$ 7.40 ( $\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$ ).

## 1-(2-Ethyl-4-(3-methylbenzyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone


2.020b Prepared from 2.018b ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-methyl benzylbromide ( $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 2. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(2-ethyl-4-(3-methylbenzyl)3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1 $(2 \mathrm{H})$-yl)ethanone (43 mg, 0.15 mmol, 63\%) as a brown oil.

LCMS (High pH, ES+ ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.30 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$309.3, (100\% pure). 1 H NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}$,
$3 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl 2-((4-acetyl-3-ethyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)benzoate


Prepared from 2.018b ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ethyl 2(bromomethyl)benzoate ( $0.096 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.552 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 2. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded ethyl 2-((4-acetyl-3-ethyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)benzoate ( 30 mg , $0.082 \mathrm{mmol}, 37 \%$ ) as a yellow oil.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.29 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 367.3$, (100\% pure). 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30$ (s, 3H), $3.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=35.0,18.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.99 (t, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{td}, J=$ $7.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07$ (dd, $J=7.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).

## 2-((4-Acetyl-3-ethyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)benzoic acid



A solution of 2.021b ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and LiOH. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 0.82 mL ), $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.41 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.82 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at rt for 24 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and purified by Formic MDAP, followed by silica chromatography ( $0-10 \% 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ). Appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 2((4-acetyl-3-ethyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1 $(2 \mathrm{H})$-yl)methyl)benzoic acid $(6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}$, $22 \%$ ) as a brown solid.

LCMS (Formic, $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$): $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.98 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 339.3$, ( $100 \%$ pure). $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(400} \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61$ (dd, $J=10.0,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=34.0,19.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.035 .08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Acid proton was not observed.

## 1-(4-Benzyl-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone


2.019c

Prepared from 2.018c ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzyl bromide ( $55 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.462$ mmol ) according to General Procedure 2. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(4-benzyl-2-cyclopropyl-3,4dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 H)$-yl)ethanone ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \%$ ) as a yellow oil.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.24 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 307.1$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.30-0.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.42-0.57(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87-0.97$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.28
$(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59$ (d, $J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.64-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-$ 7.37 ( $\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$ ).

13
C NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.0,4.1,12.5,22.8,52.0,53.3,54.4,111.2,115.7,123.3,125.6$, $126.5,126.5,127.2,128.7,138.0,139.1,169.0 . v_{\max }(n e a t): 2895,2835,1638,1599,1510$, $1372,1359,1321,1256,1238,1089,1022,964,939,910,831,750,728,693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 307.1805, found 307.1803.

## 1-(2-Cyclopropyl-4-(3-methylbenzyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone

Prepared from 2.018c ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-methyl benzylbromide (62 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.462 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 2. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(2-cyclopropyl-4-(3methylbenzyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone (55 mg, 0.17 mmol, $74 \%$ ) as a yellow oil.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.31 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 321.1$, ( $89 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.32-0.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.43-0.59(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34$ (s, 3H), 3.47 (dd, $J=11.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56$ (d, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.63-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl 2-((4-acetyl-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)benzoate


Prepared from 2.018c (50 mg, 0.23 mmol ) and ethyl 2(bromomethyl)benzoate ( $80 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.462 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 2. The reaction was not complete after 40 h so further ethyl 2(bromomethyl)benzoate ( $98 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium carbonate (118 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the reaction stirred at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded ethyl 2-((4-acetyl-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)benzoate ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095 \mathrm{mmol}$, $41 \%$ ) as a yellow oil.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.30 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 379.2$, ( $94 \%$ pure). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(400} \mathrm{MHz}$,CDCl 3 ): $\delta 0.32-0.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.43-0.63(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.45 (dd, $J=11.2,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$4.30(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
6.69 (ddd, $J=7.8,7.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99$ (ddd, $J=8.1,8.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11$ (br s, 1H), 7.327.41 (m, 2H), 7.45 (ddd, $J=8.1,8.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

2-((4-Acetyl-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)benzoic acid

2.022c A solution of $\mathbf{2 . 0 2 1 \mathrm { c }}$ ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and LiOH. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(57 \mathrm{mg}, 2.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 1.3 mL ), MeOH ( 1.3 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at rt for 4 days. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and purified by Formic MDAP to afford 2-((4-acetyl-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 H$ ) yl)methyl)benzoic acid ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.031 \mathrm{mmol}, 40 \%$ ) as a brown solid. LCMS (Formic, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{tt}=1.01 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$351.3, ( $100 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.32-0.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.46-0.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.45$ (dd, $J=11.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.66 (dd, $J=11.2,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01$ (d, $J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.52$ (dd, $J=8.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71$ (ddd, $J=7.6,7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-7.06(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H), 7.13 (br s, 1H), $7.35-7.47$ (m, 2H), 7.51 (ddd, $J=7.6,7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19$ (dd, $J=$ $8.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). Acid proton was not observed.

## 1-(4-Benzyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone


2.019d Prepared from 2.018d ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzyl bromide ( $68 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.567$ mmol ) according to General Procedure 2. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(4-benzyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin1(2H)-yl)ethanone $(46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 61 \%)$ as a brown oil. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.11 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 267.2$, (100\% pure). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.30$ (s, 3H), 3.48 (t, J = $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.98 (t, J $=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.60-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24$ (d, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## Ethyl 1-((4-acetyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)ethanone



Prepared from 2.018d (50 mg, 0.28 mmol ) and ethyl 2(bromomethyl)benzoate ( $98 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 2. Reaction was not complete after 40 h so further ethyl 2(bromomethyl)benzoate ( $98 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium carbonate (118 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the reaction stirred at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h .

Purification by High pH MDAP afforded ethyl 1-((4-acetyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin- $1(2 \mathrm{H})$-yl)methyl)ethanone ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095 \mathrm{mmol}, 33 \%$ ) as a yellow oil.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.18 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 339.0$, ( $85 \%$ pure). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 1.44(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2$ Hz, 2H), 4.96 (s, 2H), 6.50 (dd, $J=8.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67$ (td, $J=7.6,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{td}, J$ $=7.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s} 1 \mathrm{H}),, 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$7.36(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 2-((4-Acetyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)benzoic acid


2.022d

A solution of 2.021d ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and LiOH. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(38 \mathrm{mg}, 1.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 0.5 mL ), $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at rt for 24 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated and purified by Formic MDAP to afford 2-((4-acetyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)benzoic acid (18 mg, 0.058 mmol, 73\%).

LCMS (Formic, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.88 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$311.2, ( $100 \%$ pure).
H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD-d4): ס 2.28 (s, 3H), 3.49 (br s, 2H), 3.98 (t, J = $5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.95 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Acid proton was not observed.

## N-Benzyl-2-bromoaniline



2-Bromoaniline 2.023 ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.81 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DCM ( 8 mL ) at rt, then benzaldehyde ( $0.59 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.81 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h , then acetic acid ( $0.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.81 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, followed by sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $1.97 \mathrm{~g}, 9.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 16 h . The reaction mixture was diluted
with DCM $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM $(2 \times 20$ mL ). The combined organics were dried, evaporated to dryness and purified by silica chromatography ( $0-30 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane). Collected fractions were combined and concentrated to give $N$-benzyl-2-bromoaniline ( $650 \mathrm{mg}, 2.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 41 \%$ ) as a clear oil. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.33 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{MeCN}]^{+} 303.2$, ( $100 \%$ pure). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.43$ (d, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.78 (br. s., 1H), 6.56-6.66 (m, 2H), 7.15 (ddd, $J=7.7,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.46$ (dd, $J=7.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Analysis consistent with literature. ${ }^{382}$

## 1-Benzyl-3,3-dimethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-one



2-Amino-2-methylpropanoic acid ( $412 \mathrm{mg}, 4.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), N -benzyl2bromoaniline 2.024 ( $524 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), copper(I) chloride ( $9.90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100$ mmol ), $N 1, N 2$-dimethylethane-1,2-diamine ( $43.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.400 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium phosphate tribasic ( $849 \mathrm{mg}, 4.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were placed in an ovendried microwave tube which was sealed and placed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Anhydrous, degassed DMSO ( 7 mL ) was added by syringe and the reaction evacuated and placed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ three times. The reaction mixture was heated to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oil bath for 40 h , then $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
for 40 h . The reaction mixture was cooled, diluted with EtOAc ( 10 mL ) and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was washed with water $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined aqueous phases were extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried, evaporated, and the residue was purified by silica chromatography ( $0-40 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane) to afford 1-benzyl-3,3-dimethyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-one (343 mg, $1.159 \mathrm{mmol}, 58 \%$ ) as an off-white oil which solidified on standing.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.09 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$267.1, ( $100 \%$ purity).
${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 1.46$ (s, 6H), 3.77 (s, 1H), $5.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.66-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80$ (dd, $J=8.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88$ (ddd, $J=7.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## 1-Benzyl-3,3-dimethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline



To a solution of 1-benzyl-3,3-dimethyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-one $2.025(160 \mathrm{mg}, 0.601 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at rt was added $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{THF}(1.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.802 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) dropwise. The reaction mixture was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to rt , quenched with $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stood overnight. The mixture was basified with 1 M NaOH and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried, evaporated to dryness and the residue was purified by silica chromatography (040\% EtOAc/cyclohexane) to afford 1-benzyl-3,3dimethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline (123 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.439 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%$ ) as an off-white gum.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.03 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 253.1$, ( $81 \%$ pure). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59-6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.26-7.34 (m, 1H), 7.34-7.42 (m, 4H). NH not observed.

## 1-(4-Benzyl-2,2-dimethyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone



To a solution of 1-benzyl-3,3-dimethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline 2.026 $(120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.476 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridine ( $77 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.951 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at rt was added acetyl chloride ( $51 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.713 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 30 min . The reaction mixture was cooled to rt, quenched with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with DCM $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organics dried and evaporated to dryness. The
residue was purified by silica chromatography ( $0-40 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane). Appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 1-(4-benzyl-2,2-dimethyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 \mathrm{H})$-yl)ethanone ( $133 \mathrm{mg}, 0.452 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$ ) as a white solid
 $\delta 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94$ (dd, $J=8.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.05 (ddd, $J=7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.29-7.35 (m, 1H), 7.367.41 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ). 13

C NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 25.1,26.2,54.6,61.1,64.0,111.9,118.1,125.1,125.3,127.4$, $127.9,128.6,129.4,137.6,143.9,171.5$. M.pt.: $94-95^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ C. $v_{\max }($ neat $): 3012,2960,2841,2813$, $1645,1595,1500,1454,1365,1319,1268,1237,1176,1138,1028,914,831,794,747,702$, $768 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 295.1805, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$295.1786. 2,3-Dihydro-1 H cyclopenta[b]quinoxaline 4,9-dioxide

2.031

To a solution of benzofuroxan 2.029 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 2.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at rt was added 4-(cyclopent-1-en-1-yl)morpholine 2.030 ( $0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). A deep red colour developed. The reaction mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , then cooled to rt and evaporated in vacuo.

The residue was recrystallised from methanol to afford 2,3 -dihydro- 1 H cyclopenta[b]quinoxaline 4,9-dioxide 2.031 ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 38 \%$ ) as black crystals. LCMS (Formic, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.42 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$203.2, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.38$ (quin, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.60-8.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. $v_{\max }$ (neat): 3076, 2965, 1547, 1603, 1423, 1339, 1292, 1098, 1038, 870, 788, $697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. M.pt. (EtOH): $159{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec})$. Analysis consistent with literature. ${ }^{299,300}$

## Cis-2,3,3a,4,9,9a-Hexahydro-1 H-cyclopenta[b]quinoxaline



To a solution of 2,3-dihydro-1 H-cyclopenta[b]quinoxaline 4,9-dioxide 2.031 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at rt was added sodium borohydride ( $168 \mathrm{mg}, 4.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) portionwise over 1 h . The reaction mixture became a deep red colour, with an exotherm and gas evolution observed. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h and the red colour faded to dark yellow. The reaction was quenched with water, concentrated in vacuo and partitioned between ethyl acetate ( 25 mL ) and water ( 10 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( 2 x 20 mL ) and the combined organics dried and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica chromatography (0-40\% EtOAc/cyclohexane). Appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford cis-2,3,3a,4,9,9a-hexahydro-1 Hcyclopenta[b]quinoxaline 2.032 ( 110 mg , $0.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.85 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}^{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 175.2$, ( $92 \%$ purity). $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): б 1.59-1.72 (m, 3H), 1.83-2.02 (m, 3H), 3.56-3.62 (m, 2H), 3.66 (br. s., 2H), 6.50-6.56 (m, 2H), 6.57-6.63 (m, 2H).
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3341, 2961, 2866, 1595, 1508, 1473, 1307, 1284, 1251, $732 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. M.pt.:
102-104 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Analysis consistent with literature. ${ }^{302}$

## ( $\pm$ )-1-(cis-3,3a,9,9a-Tetrahydro-1 H-cyclopenta[b]quinoxalin-4(2H)-yl)ethanone



To a solution of cis-2,3,3a,4,9,9a-hexahydro-1 H -cyclopenta[b]quinoxaline $2.032(90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added acetyl chloride ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The reaction was warmed to rt and further acetyl chloride $(40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction was stirred for 30 min , then diluted with $\mathrm{DCM}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organics were dried and evaporated to dryness.

The residue was purified by silica chromatography ( $0-100 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford ( $\pm$ )-1-(cis-3,3a,9,9a-tetrahydro1 Hcyclopenta[b]quinoxalin- $4(2 \mathrm{H})$-yl)ethanone 2.033 ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%$ ) as a yellow oil. LCMS (High pH, ES+): tr = $0.82 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 217.3,(100 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}, 393 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 1.14-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14$ (s, 3H), $3.69-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{td}, J=8.8,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27$ (br. s, 1H), 6.54 (ddd, $J=7.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65$ (dd, $J=8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.89 (ddd, $J=8.1,7.5,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.21 (dd, $J=7.5,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## ( $\pm$ )-1-(cis-9-Benzyl-3,3a,9,9a-tetrahydro-1 H -cyclopenta[b]quinoxalin-4(2H)-yl)ethanone



To a stirred solution of $\pm$ )-1-(cis-3,3a,9,9a-tetrahydro1 Hcyclopenta[b]quinoxalin-4(2H)-yl)ethanone $2.033(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzaldehyde ( $0.019 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 2 mL ) at rt under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $59 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at rt for 80 h . Further benzaldehyde ( $0.019 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $59 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added after 24 h . The reaction was diluted with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DCM $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the aqueous phase was extracted with DCM ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic phases were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by High pH MDAP to afford ( $\pm$ )-1-(cis-9-benzyl-3,3a,9,9a-tetrahydro-1 H -cyclopenta[b]quinoxalin4(2H)yl)ethanone 2.028 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 71 \%$ ) as a brown gum. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): tr $=1.23 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 307.3$, ( $91 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.25-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.51-1.64 (m, 1H), 1.68-1.83 (m, 1H), 1.942.08 (m, 1H), $2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.8,6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41-5.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81$ (ddd, $J=7.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ),
7.01-7.05 (m, 1H), 7.09 (ddd, $J=7.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.25-7.38 (m, 5H). ${ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 22.5,22.7,28.7,30.1,52.4,57.7,63.5,114.8,118.3,125.7,126.8,127.2,127.7$, 128.6, 129.1, 137.6, 142.8, 169.5.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3031, 2954, 2866, 1651, 1599, 1502, 1452, 1389, 1332, 1287, 1255, 1155, 1113, 1030, 984, 921, 842, 741, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 307.1805, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$307.1800.

## 1-Benzyl-3-cyclopropyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline



To a solution of 1-(4-benzyl-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{yl})$ ethanone $2.019 \mathrm{c}(106 \mathrm{mg}, 0.346 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added conc. $\mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{~mL}, 65.8 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h , then evaporated to afford 1-benzyl-3-cyclopropyl-1,2,3,4-
tetrahydroquinoxaline hydrochloride ( $106 \mathrm{mg}, 0.338 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%$ ) as a white solid.

H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD-d4): $\delta$ 0.47-0.58 (m, 1H), 0.67-0.88 (m, 3H), 0.99-1.12 (m, 1H), $3.06(\mathrm{td}, J=9.1,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.66 (dd, $J=44.5,16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.81(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.40$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 7 \mathrm{H}$ ). Exchangeable protons were not observed.

## 1-(4-Benzyl-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)propan-1-one To a


2.035 solution of 1-benzyl-3-cyclopropyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline hydrochloride 2.034 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.083 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine ( $0.020 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.249$ mmol ) in DCM ( 2 mL ) was added propionyl chloride ( $11 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.125 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was stirred at rt under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 2 h , then diluted with water ( 2 mL ) and DCM ( 5 mL ) and extracted. The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit, evaporated and purified by High pH MDAP. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to afford 1-(4-benzyl-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)propan-1-one (19 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.059 \mathrm{mmol}, 71 \%)$.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.33 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 321.2,(100 \%$ purity $) .{ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : б 0.31-0.40 (m, 1H), 0.41-0.56 (m, 3H), 0.84-0.98 (m, 1H), $1.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.57$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.59-2.74 (m, 1H), $3.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.17-4.35 (m, 1H), $4.59(d, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.64-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04$ (ddd, $J=8.5,7.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 7.12 (br. s., 1H), 7.23-7.39 (m, 5H).

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 321.1961, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$321.1970.

Methyl 4-benzyl-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxaline-1(2H)-carboxylate To a
 solution of 1-benzyl-3-cyclopropyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline hydrochloride 2.034 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.083 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine ( $0.020 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.249$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 2 mL ) was added methyl chloroformate ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.125 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was stirred at rt under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 2 h , then diluted with water ( 2 mL ) and DCM $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted. The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organics were dried
through a hydrophobic frit, evaporated and purified by High pH MDAP. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to afford methyl 4-benzyl-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxaline$1(2 H)$ carboxylate ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.056 \mathrm{mmol}, 67 \%$ ).
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): tr $=1.35 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 323.2$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.33$ (dd, $J=4.8,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.46-0.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.01-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 6.65-6.75 (m, 2H), 6.97 (ddd, $J=8.3,7.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.24-7.39 (m, 5H), $7.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 323.1754, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$323.1764. 4-Benzyl-2-

cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxaline-1(2H)-carboxamide To a solution of 1-benzyl-3-cyclopropyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline hydrochloride 2.034 $(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.083 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(0.083 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.083 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added potassium cyanate ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.416 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction vessel was sealed and heated in a Biotage Initiator microwave reactor to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with

EtOAc ( 5 mL ) and water ( 2 mL ) and extracted. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by High pH MDAP. The solvent was evaporated to afford 4-benzyl-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxaline$1(2 H)$ carboxamide ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.13 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 308.4$, ( $100 \%$ purity). $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): б 0.27-0.42 (m, 1H), 0.42-0.62 (m, 3H), 0.83-0.99 (m, 1H), $3.46(d d, J=11.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.62 (dd, $J=11.2,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.03$ (br. s., 2H), 6.61-6.78 (m, 2H), 7.01 (ddd, $J=8.4,7.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.227 .42(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

solution of 1-benzyl-3-cyclopropyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline hydrochloride 2.034 ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.096 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine ( $0.023 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.289$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 2 mL ) was added 4-nitrophenyl carbonochloridate ( 29 mg , $0.145 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was stirred at rt under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 2 h . DMAP ( 6 mg , $0.048 \mathrm{mmol})$, pyridine $(0.023 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.289 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-nitrophenyl carbonochloridate ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.145 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the reaction stirred for a further 3 days. The reaction mixture was diluted with water ( 2 mL ) and DCM (5 $\mathrm{mL})$ and extracted. The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit, evaporated and purified by silica chromatography ( $0-100 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane). Appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in methanamine ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) and stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . Every 8 h , further methanamine ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) was
added. The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness and purified by High pH MDAP. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to give 4-benzyl-2-cyclopropyl-N-methyl-3,4dihydroquinoxaline-1 $(2 H)$-carboxamide ( $1.5 \mathrm{mg}, 4.67 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 20 \%$ ) as a yellow gum.

LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.19 \mathrm{~min},[(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CONHMe})+\mathrm{H}]+$ 265.3, (100\% pure). 1 H NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.31-0.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.44-0.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.84-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3 H ), 3.44 (dd, $J=11.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.57 (dd, $J=11.1,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.01 (ddd, $J=10.0,4.4$, $1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{q}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.99 (ddd, $J=7.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.21 (dd, $J=7.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.25-7.37 (m, 5H). 1-(4-(2-


Bromo-5-methylbenzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)yl)ethanone Prepared from $2.018 \mathrm{c}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-bromo5methylbenzaldehyde ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.370 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 1. The reaction was not complete after 18 h so further $2 b$ bomo-5-methylbenzaldehyde ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $118 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 24 h. Purification of the crude product by silica
chromatography ( $0-40 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane) and High pH MDAP afforded 1-(4-(2-bromo5 methylbenzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$, $54 \%$ ) as an off-white gum.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.43,\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 399.3,401.3$, ( $96 \%$ pure). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.37-0.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.46-0.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.47$ (td, $J=11.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.62 (dd, $J=11.2,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.37$ (m, 1H), 4.56 (d, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.95-7.00 (m, 1H), 7.01-7.07 (m, 2H), 7.08-7.16 (m, 1H), 7.49 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H).

## Butyl 2-((4-acetyl-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)4methylbenzoate





A mixture of 2.039 ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMAP ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), Herrmann's catalyst ( $4.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0045 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), butan-1-ol ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.35$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were sealed into a microwave vial and placed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. 1,4Dioxane (1 mL ) was added and the reaction was heated to $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for

6 h in a Biotage Initiator microwave reactor. Further Hermann's catalyst ( $4.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0045 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the reaction was heated to $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by High pH MDAP to afford butyl 2-((4acetyl-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)-4-methylbenzoate ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.023 \mathrm{mmol}$, 26\%).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.51 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 421.5$, (91\% purity). 1

H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.33-0.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.44-0.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94-1.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.99$ (t, J=7.5 Hz, 3H), 1.42-1.56 (m, 2H), 1.71-1.81 (m, 2H), $2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.95 (s, 2H), 6.49 (dd, $J=7.8,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67$ (ddd, $J=7.0,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98$ (ddd, $J=7.8$, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .2$ 2-((4-Acetyl-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1 $(2 \mathrm{H})$-yl)methyl)-4-methylbenzoic acid
 A solution of 2.040 ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and LiOH. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 1.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 1 mL ), $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at rt for 72 h . Further $\mathrm{LiOH} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction stirred at rt for 72 h . The reaction mixture was evaporated and the residue partitioned between ethyl acetate ( 5 mL ) and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$.

The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organics dried and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by Formic MDAP afforded 2-((4-acetyl-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)-4-methylbenzoic acid (5 mg, $0.014 \mathrm{mmol}, 58 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.
LCMS (Formic, $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.07 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 365.3$, ( $87 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta$ 0.35-0.44 (m, 1H), 0.45-0.59 (m, 3H), 0.92-1.06 (m, 1H), $2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.44$ (dd, $J=11.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.234 .38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.7,7.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.7,7.7$, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Acid proton was not observed.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 365.1860 , found 365.1855 .

Methyl 2-((4-acetyl-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)5chlorobenzoate


Prepared from 2.018c ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methyl 2-(bromomethyl)5chlorobenzoate ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 0.370 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 2. Reaction was not complete after 18 h so further methyl 2(bromomethyl)-5-chlorobenzoate ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium carbonate ( $77 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the reaction stirred at 100 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . Purification of the crude product by silica chromatography (050\% EtOAc/cyclohexane) afforded methyl 2-((4-
acetyl-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1 $(2 \mathrm{H})$-yl)methyl)-5-chlorobenzoate ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 49 \%$ ) as a yellow oil.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.35,\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 399.3$ ( $100 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 0.32-0.43 (m, 1H), 0.44-0.61 (m, 3H), 0.89-1.01 (m, 1H), $2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.2,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
6.45 (dd, $J=8.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.07-7.17 (m, 1H), 7.31 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42$ (dd, $J=8.4$,
$2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. 2-((4-Acetyl-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-

chlorobenzoate 1(2H)-yl)methyl)-5-chlorobenzoate A solution of $2.042(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{LiOH} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 1.5 mL ), and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1.5 mL ) was stirred at rt for 72 h . Further LiOH. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction stirred at rt for 72 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated and purified by Formic MDAP to afford 2-((4-acetyl-3-cyclopropyl-3,4dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)-5-
(17 $\mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.044 \mathrm{mmol}, 71 \%$ ) as an off-white solid.
LCMS (Formic, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.12 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 385.3$, ( $94 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 0.33-0.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.46-0.61(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.3,1.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.65 (dd, $J=11.3,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.32(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72$ (ddd, $J=7.8,7.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.01 (ddd, $J=7.8,7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12$ (br s, 1H), $7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Acid proton was not observed.

## 1-(4-(4-Chlorobenzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone


2.044 Prepared from 2.018c ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.116 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-chlorobenzaldehyde ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.231 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 1. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(4-(4-chlorobenzyl)-2cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1 $(2 \mathrm{H})$-yl)ethanone (14 mg, 0.041 mmol, 36\%).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.32 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 341.2$, ( $100 \%$ pure). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.31-0.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.81-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.44$ (dd, $J=$ $11.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.64 (dd, $J=8.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70$ (ddd, $J=7.4,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.03 (ddd, $J=8.3,7.4$, $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{CIN}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 341.1415, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 341.1415$.

## Methyl 2-(2-((4-acetyl-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin- <br> 1(2H)yl)methyl)phenyl)acetate


2.045

Prepared from 2.018c ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methyl 2-(2formylphenyl)acetate ( $85 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.555 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 1. The reaction was not complete after 24 h so further methyl 2(2formylphenyl)acetate ( $85 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.555 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction stirred at rt for 24 h . Purification of the crude product by silica chromatography (0-50\% EtOAc/cyclohexane) and High pH MDAP afforded
methyl 2-(2-((4-acetyl-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)phenyl)acetate ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%$ ) as a yellow oil.

LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.19,\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 379.3$ (100\% pure).
${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 0.31-0.42 (m, 1H), 0.43-0.58 (m, 3H), 0.88-1.03 (m, 1H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 3.41 (dd, $J=11.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.56 (dd, $J=11.1,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 4.28 (br s, 1H), 4.61 (s, 2H), 6.59 (dd, $J=8.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.70 (ddd, $J=$ $7.6,7.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.03 (ddd, $J=7.8,7.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.06-7.15 (m, 1H), 7.21-7.31 (m, 4H).

2-(2-((4-Acetyl-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)phenyl)acetic acid

2.046 A solution of 2.045 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and LiOH. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 2.5 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at rt for 24 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the residue partitioned between ethyl acetate ( 25 mL ) and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organics were dried and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by Formic MDAP afforded 2-(2-((4-
acetyl-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)phenyl)acetic acid (32 mg, 0.09 mmol, $83 \%$ ) as a yellow oil.

LCMS (Formic, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.00 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 365.3$ ( $100 \%$ purity). $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): б 0.28-0.37 (m, 1H), 0.40-0.56 (m, 3H), 0.86-0.97 (m, 1H), $2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.0,2.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.4,7.4,1.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.04 (ddd, $J=8.2,7.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.07-7.15 (m, 1H), 7.20-7.32 (m, 4H). Acid proton was not observed.

13
C NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.9,4.1,12.8,22.7,38.2,52.6,52.8,53.3,111.6,116.4,123.8$, $125.6,126.8,126.9,127.6,127.9,131.3,132.0,135.8,139.7,169.8$, 175.2. M. pt.: 157-160 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$V_{\max }$ (neat): $3005,1724,1600,1514,1392,1343,1323,1247,1168,1085,910,732 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 365.1860, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$365.1860.

## 2-((4-Acetyl-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)benzonitrile



Prepared from 2.018c ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-(bromomethyl)benzonitrile ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.407 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 2. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 2-((4-acetyl-3-cyclopropyl-3,4dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)benzonitrile ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%$ ) as a light yellow solid.
2.047 LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.15 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 332.3$ (100\% pure).

H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.30-0.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.83-0.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=11.1,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.56$ (dd, $J=8.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73$ (ddd, $J=8.1,8.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03$ (ddd, $J=7.9,7.9$, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{td}, J=7.9$, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 332.1757, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$332.1746.

## 2-((4-Acetyl-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)benzamide



Prepared from 2.047 ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 4. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 2-((4-acetyl3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)benzamide (22 mg, $0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.91 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 350.3$ ( $100 \%$ pure). 1
H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.30-0.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.41-0.57(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.84-$ $0.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 11.1, $4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.92-6.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08-6.26$ (m, 1H), 6.64 (dd, $J=8.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70$ (ddd, $J=7.6,7.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.01 (td, $J=8.0$, $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. 13
C NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.0,4.1,12.6,22.8,52.1,53.0,53.5,111.5,116.2,123.6,125.6$, 126.6, 127.2, 127.3, 127.7, 131.0, 133.8, 137.2, 139.1, 169.0, 171.1. M.pt.: 194-196 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $v_{\max }$ (neat): $3338,3188,1626,1513,1383,1322,1256,1086,909,733 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 350.1863, found 350.1853.

## 1-(4-(2-(Aminomethyl)benzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone


2.049 A solution of $2.047(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was hydrogenated over Raney Ni using a H-Cube ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ hydrogenation flow reactor (Raney Ni CatCart 30, $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 50 \mathrm{bar}, 1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate, 2 H ). The reaction was concentrated and purified by ion exchange chromatography (1 g SCX cartridge, $\mathrm{MeOH} / 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH ) followed by High pH MDAP to afford 1-(4-(2-(aminomethyl)benzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)yl)ethanone ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 32 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.95 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 336.3$ (100\% pure). 1

H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): ס 0.24-0.53 (m, 4H), 0.78-0.91 (m, 1H), 2.16 (s, 3H), 3.343.41 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=34.0,17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.53-6.63 (m, 2H), 6.86-6.97 (m, 1H), 7.09 (dd, $J=7.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.14 (td, $J=7.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.17-7.29 (m, 2H), 7.42 (dd, $J=7.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). Amine protons were not observed.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 336.2070, found 336.2072. 1-(2-Cyclopropyl-4-(2-(dimethylamino)benzyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone

2.050 Prepared from 2.018c ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2(dimethylamino)benzaldehyde ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 1. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(2-cyclopropyl-4-(2-(dimethylamino)benzyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{yl})$ ethanone ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 74 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES+): tr = 1.33 min, $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 350.4$ (100\% pure). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.32-0.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=11.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 6.62 (dd, $J=8.3,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18$ (dd, $J=8.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 350.2227, found 350.2233 .

1-(2-Cyclopropyl-4-(2-hydroxybenzyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone


Prepared from 2.018c ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 1. Further 2hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added after 24 and 48 h and the reaction stirred at rt for a further 24 h . Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(2-cyclopropyl-4-(2-hydroxybenzyl)3,4-
dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 51 \%$ ) as a white solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.08 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 323.3$ ( $100 \%$ pure). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): б 0.28-0.37 (m, 1H), 0.39-0.58 (m, 3H), 0.79-0.93 (m, 1H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 3.28 (dd, J=11.1, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (dd, J = 11.1, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 4.23-4.41 (m, 1H), 4.56 (s, 2H), 6.81-6.96 (m, 4H), 7.05-7.25 (m, 4H), 7.32-7.51 (m, 1H).

1-(2-Cyclopropyl-4-(2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)benzyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)yl)ethanone

2.052 A solution of $2.051(23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 1 mL ) was treated with 2-bromoethanol ( $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . The reaction mixture was cooled, diluted with MeOH and filtered. The filtrate was purified by High pH MDAP to afford 1-(2-cyclopropyl-4-(2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)benzyl)-3,4dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 H)$-yl)ethanone ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ ) as a
beige solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.07 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 367.3$ ( $90 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): б 0.28-0.39 (m, 1H), 0.40-0.55 (m, 3H), 0.84-0.97 (m, 1H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 3.42 (dd, J = 11.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (dd, J = 11.2, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 3.91-4.00 (m, 2H),
4.13 (dd, $J=5.1,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.19-4.32 (m, 1H), 4.61 (dd, $J=43.8,17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65-6.73$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.89-6.95 (m, 2H), 7.00-7.13 (m, 2H), $7.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.30(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H). Alcohol proton not observed.

13
C NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.0,4.1,12.5,22.8,50.0,51.9,52.8,61.5,69.4,111.1,111.3$, 115.5, 120.9, 123.2, 125.5, 125.7, 126.5, 127.6, 128.3, 139.1, 156.5, 169.1.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3397, 2928, 1625, 1600, 1513, 1452, 1384, 1341, 1322, 1240, 1089, 1048, 918, $749 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 367.2016 , found 367.2011 .

## 1-(2-Cyclopropyl-4-(3-hydroxybenzyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone


2.053

Prepared from 2.018 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-hydroxybenaldehyde ( 25 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 1. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(2-cyclopropyl-4-(3-hydroxybenzyl)3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 21 \%$ ).
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.05 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 323.3$ ( $100 \%$ pure). 1
H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 0.27-0.39 (m, 1H), 0.41-0.58 (m, 3H), 0.78$1.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $11.2,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.57-5.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65-6.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-$ $6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.06-7.12 (m, 1H), $7.20(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

1-(2-Cyclopropyl-4-(2-methoxybenzyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone


Prepared from $\mathbf{2 . 0 1 8} \mathbf{c}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2-methoxybenzaldehyde (28 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 1. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(2-cyclopropyl-4-(2methoxybenzyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1 $(2 \mathrm{H})$-yl)ethanone ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.27 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 337.3$ ( $100 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.32-0.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-1.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.48$ (dd, $J=11.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.62 (dd, $J=11.3,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58$ (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.6,7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89$ (ddd, $J=7.4,7.4,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01$ (ddd, $J=8.4,7.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

13
C NMR (101 MHz $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.0,4.1,12.5,22.9,49.9,51.9,53.3,55.2,110.1,111.2,115.4$, $120.5,123.0,125.4,125.5,126.5,126.6,128.0,139.2,157.2,169.0$. M. pt.: $125-126{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} v_{\max }$ (neat): 2837, 1650, 1601, 1512, 1490, 1461, 1376, 1320, 1238, 1088, 1027, $745 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 337.1911, found 337.1915 1-(4-(4-Chloro-2-methoxybenzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)yl)ethanone


Prepared from 2.018c ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-chloro2methoxybenzaldehyde ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 1. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(4-(4-chloro-2-methoxybenzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 H)$-yl)ethanone ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.049 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%$ )

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.35 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 371.2$, ( $100 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.33-0.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.85-0.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 11.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (dd, J = 11.2, $4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.88 (s, 3H), 4.21-4.35 (m, 1H), 4.51 (d, J $=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63-6.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 371.1521, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 371.1521$.

1-(2-Cyclopropyl-4-(2-(hydroxymethyl)benzyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone

2.056 Prepared from 2.018c ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (2 (bromomethyl)phenyl)methanol ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.231 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 2. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded

1-(2-cyclopropyl-4-(2-(hydroxymethyl)benzyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{yl})$ ethanone ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ ) as a light yellow solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.02 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 337.2$, ( $98 \%$ pure). $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.30-0.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.41-0.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.83-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 11.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), $3.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.2$, $6.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Alcohol proton not observed.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 337.1911, found 337.1907.
The racemic mixture ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-2.056 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was separated into individual enantiomers by chiral HPLC ( $15 \%$ EtOH/Heptane, $f=25 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}, 30 \mathrm{~mm} \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ Chiralcel ODH), affording the individual isomers 2.056a ( $1^{\text {st }}$ eluting isomer, $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \% e e, 100 \%$ LC purity) and 2.056b (2nd eluting isomer, $9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \% e e, 100 \%$ LC purity).

## 1-(2-Cyclopropyl-4-(3-(hydroxymethyl)benzyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone

 HO $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prepared from 2.018c } \quad(20 \quad \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.09 \quad \mathrm{mmol}) \text { and (3- } \\ & \text { (bromomethyl) phenyl)methanol ( } 56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.277 \mathrm{mmol} \text { ) according to General }\end{aligned}$ Procedure 2. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(2-cyclopropyl-4-(3-(hydroxymethyl)benzyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{yl})$ ethanone ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 42 \%$ ) as a light yellow solid.LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.01 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 337.3(100 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.30-0.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.41-0.59(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.84-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.27 (s, 3H), 3.47 (dd, $J=11.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.59 (dd, $J=11.2,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.20-4.32 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59$ (s, 2H), 4.68 (s, 2H), 6.65-6.72 (m, 1H), 6.68 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (td, $J=7.7$, $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Alcohol proton was not observed.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 337.1911, found 337.1900.

## 1-(2-Cyclopropyl-4-(pyridin-3-ylmethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone


2.058

Prepared from 2.018 c ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-(bromomethyl)pyridine hydrobromide ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 2. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP and silica chromatography ( $0-10 \% 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ) afforded 1-(2-
cyclopropyl-4-(pyridin-3-ylmethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 32 \%$ ) as a white solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES+): tr = $0.93 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 306.1$ ( $91 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.28-0.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.40-0.59(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s} ., 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.1,1.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.60 (dd, $J=11.1,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.27 (br. s., 1H), 4.60 (d, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.66 (dd, $J=8.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71$ (td, $J=7.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04$ (td, $J=8.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10$ (br. s., 1 H ), 7.26 (dd, $J=8.6,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
Prepared from 2.018c (20 mg, 0.09 mmol ) and 4 -methylbenzaldehyde ( 22 dihydroquinoxalin- $1(2 \mathrm{H})$-yl)ethanone ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.078 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ ) as an off-white gum. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.31 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 321.3$, ( $99 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): б 0.31-0.40 (m, 1H), 0.42-0.56 (m, 3H), 0.82-0.99 (m, 1H), $2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.46$ (dd,
$J=11.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.164 .35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47-4.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.63-6.75 (m, 2H), 7.03 (ddd, $J=8.4,7.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ),
7.06-7.12 (m, 1H), 7.13-7.20 (m, 4H).

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 321.1961, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$321.1967. 1-(2-Cyclopropyl-4-(3-chlorobenzyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone


Prepared from 2.018c ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-chlorobenzaldehyde (26 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 1. After 48 h further

3-chlorobenzaldehyde ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $58.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.277 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 24 h . Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(4-(3-chlorobenzyl)-2-cyclopropyl3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1 $2 H$ )-yl)ethanone ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ) as an off-white gum.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.31 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 341.2$, 343.2 , ( $99 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.31-0.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.82-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.60 (dd, $J=11.1,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{ABq}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71$ (ddd, $J=7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04$ (ddd, $J=8.3$,
7.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.07-7.14 (m, 1H), 7.15-7.19 (m, 1H), 7.23-7.31 (m, 3H).

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{CIN}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 341.1415, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 341.1416$.

## 1-(2-Cyclopropyl-4-(2-chlorobenzyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone


2.061 Prepared from $\mathbf{2 . 0 1 8} \mathbf{c}$ ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-chlorobenzaldehyde ( 20 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 1. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(4-(2-chlorobenzyl)-2-cyclopropyl3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1 $2 H$ )-yl)ethanone ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.032 \mathrm{mmol}, 47 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.33 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$341.1, ( $96 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl $)_{3}$ : $\delta 0.33-0.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.89-1.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.47 (dd, $J=11.1,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71$ (ddd, $J=7.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02$ (ddd, $J=$ 8.4, 7.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.06-7.16 (m, 1H), 7.17-7.27 (m, 3H), 7.40-7.46 (m, 1H).

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{CIN}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 341.1415, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 341.1416$.

## 1-(2-Cyclopropyl-4-(4-fluorobenzyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone

 Prepared from $\mathbf{2 . 0 1 8} \mathbf{c}$ ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-fluorobenzaldehyde (15 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 1. After 24 h further 4fluorobenzaldehyde (15 $\mu \mathrm{L}, \quad 0.139 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium triacetoxyborohydride $(44.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.208 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 24 h . Purification of the crude product by HighpH MDAP afforded 1-(2-cyclopropyl-4-(4-fluorobenzyl)3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone $(17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.052 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%)$ as a beige solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.24 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 325.3$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.31-0.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.41-0.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s} ., 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.1,1.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.58 (dd, $J=11.1,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56$ (dd, $J=22.5,16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.64-6.74 (m, 2H), 6.98-7.30 (m, 6H).

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FN} 2 \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 325.1711, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 325.1713$.

## 1-(4-(2-Hydroxy-1-phenylethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone


2.063

A mixture of 1-(3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone 2.018d (30 mg, 0.170 mmol ) and 2-phenyloxirane ( $0.021 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.187 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in trifluoroethanol $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at rt for 3 h , then heated to $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The reaction mixture was evaporated and the residue was purified by silica chromatography ( $0-100 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractons were evaporated in vacuo to afford 1-(4-(2-hydroxy-1-phenylethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1( 2 H )-yl)ethanone ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ ). LCMS
(High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.90 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$297.3, (100\% purity).
${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.55$ (m, 1H), 3.63 (ddd, $J=12.4,8.1,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.23$ (m, 3H), 5.13 (dd, $J=8.6,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67$ (td, $J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## 1-(2-Cyclopropyl-4-((S)-2-hydroxy-1-phenylethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin1(2H)yl)ethanone



A mixture of 1-(2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone 2.018c ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.139 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $R$ )-2-phenyloxirane ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.180 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in trifluoroethanol ( 2 mL ) was stirred at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 hr . The reaction mixture was evaporated and the residue purified by MDAP (High pH). The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to afford the products as separate diastereomers-relative stereochemistry could not be assigned by NMR.
2.064c 1-(2-Cyclopropyl-4-((S)-2-hydroxy-1-phenylethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{yl})$ ethanone ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.042 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.00 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 337.2$, ( $95 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta$ 0.34-0.63 (m, 4H), 0.78-0.92 (m, 1H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 3.13 (dd, J=11.4, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.44 (dd, $J=11.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73$ (td, $J=7.5,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.98-7.05 (m, 1H), 7.05-7.17 (m, 2H), 7.23-7.39 (m, 5H). OH not observed.
2.064d 1-(2-Cyclopropyl-4-((S)-2-hydroxy-1-phenylethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{yl})$ ethanone ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.054 \mathrm{mmol}, 39 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.06 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 337.3$, ( $92 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): б 0.20-0.29 (m, 1H), 0.31-0.48 (m, 3H), 0.69-0.81 (m, 1H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 3.54-3.63 (m, 2H), 4.11-4.27 (m, 3H), 5.14 (dd, $J=8.3,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.04 (ddd, $J=8.5,7.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
7.27-7.42 (m,5H). OH not observed. 1-(2-cyclopropyl-4-((R)-2-hydroxy-1-phenylethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin- $1(2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{yl})$ ethanone


A mixture of 1-(2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone 2.018c ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.139 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (S)-2-phenyloxirane ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.180 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in trifluoroethanol ( 2 mL ) was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 hr . The reaction mixture was evaporated and the residue purified by silica chromatography
(25-100\% EtOAc/cyclohexane). The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to afford the products as separate diastereomers-relative stereochemistry could not be assigned by NMR. NMR spectra matched 2.064c and 2.064d respectively.
2.064a 1-(2-Cyclopropyl-4-((R)-2-hydroxy-1-phenylethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{yl})$ ethanone ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol}, 24 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.01 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 337.4$, ( $95 \%$ purity).
2.064b 1-(2-Cyclopropyl-4-((R)-2-hydroxy-1-phenylethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{yl})$ ethanone ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.056 \mathrm{mmol}, 41 \%$ ).
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.06 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 337.4$, ( $84 \%$ purity).
 organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography ( $0-40 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 1-(4-benzyl-2-ethyl-6-bromo-3,4dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)yl)ethanone ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 56 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.33 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$373.3, 375.3 (100\% pure). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.86$ (t, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.32-1.44 (m, 2H), $2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.24$
(d, $J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=34.5,16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.91-$
$5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 10.4,22.9,23.5,47.5,51.8,54.2,113.7,118.5,120.0,121.6,126.5,126.7$, 127.5, 128.9, 137.1, 140.2, 169.5. M.pt. (Et $\left.\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): 112-11 \mathrm{I}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 2928, 1639, 1595, 1510, 1451, 1395, 1361, 1335, 1318, 1253, 1112, 832, 803, 725, $694 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 373.0910, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$373.0914. 1-(4-Benzyl-2-ethyl-6-phenyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone


Prepared from 2.067 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phenyl boronic acid (16 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 3. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(4-benzyl-2-ethyl6-phenyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1 $(2 H)$-yl)ethanone ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$, 61\%).

LCMS (High pH, ES+ ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.39 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 371.4$ (100\% purity)
H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 0.92$ (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.33-1.63 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92-5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 7.25-7.43 (m, 8H), 7.46-7.52 (m, 2H).

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 371.2118, found 371.2124 .

## 4-(1-Acetyl-4-benzyl-2-ethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)benzamide


2.069

Prepared from 2.067 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (4-
carbamoylphenyl)boronic acid ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 3. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 4-(1-acetyl-4-benzyl-2-ethyl-1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)benzamide $(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03$ mmol, 40\%) as an off-white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.02 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 414.5$, ( $96 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 0.91$ (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 1.35-1.60 (m, 2H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 3.32 (d, J = $11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.62 (dd, $J=11.7,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.73-6.00 (m, 1H), 6.04-6.31 (m, 1H), 6.89 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.90-6.95 (m, 1H), 7.05-7.14 (m, 1H), 7.24-7.40 (m, 5H), 7.53 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), $7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
13
C NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 10.4,23.1,23.6,47.9,52.3,54.5,109.8,114.8,122.5,126.0$, $126.5,127.1,127.4,127.8,128.9,131.7,137.8,138.2,139.3,144.8,169.0,169.7$. M.pt.: 153$156{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3532, 3352, 3171, 2977, 2928, 2836, 1674, 1624, 1571, 1504, 1437, 1380, 1325, 1253, 1114, 1068, 1014, 865, 838, 809, 709, $692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 414.2176, found 414.2180 .

## 3-(1-Acetyl-4-benzyl-2-ethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)benzamide


2.070
mmol, 68\%).

Prepared from 2.067 ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (3carbamoylphenyl)boronic acid ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 3. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 3-(1-acetyl-4-benzyl-2-ethyl-1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)benzamide (18 mg, 0.04 LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.05 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 414.5$ ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61$ (dd, $J=11.6,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{ABq}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.93-5.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79-6.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08-$ $6.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-$ 7.39 (m, 5H), 7.45 (t, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.62 (ddd, $J=7.7$, $7.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.7,7.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 1-(4-Benzyl-2-ethyl-6-(pyridin-3-yl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone

Prepared from 2.067 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridin-3-ylboronic acid ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 3.

Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(4benzyl-2-ethyl-6-(pyridin-3-yl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 H)$ yl) ethanone ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.12 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$372.4, ( $98 \%$ purity).
H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 0.92$ (t, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.46 (br s, 2H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 3.33 (d, $J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94-5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.23-7.40 (m, 6H), 7.74 (td, $J=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.54 (dd, $J=4.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72$ (d, $J=$ $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 372.2070, found 372.2077.

1-(4-Benzyl-2-ethyl-6-(6-hydroxypyridin-3-yl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone


Prepared from 2.067 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (6-hydroxypyridin3yl)boronic acid pinacol ester ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 3. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(4-benzyl-2-ethyl-6-(6-hydroxypyridin-3-yl)3,4-dihydroquinoxalin- $1(2 \mathrm{H})$-yl)ethanone ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 55 \%$ ). LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.91 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 388.4$ ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.90(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{ABq}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.94-5.07(\mathrm{~m}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.21-7.39 (m, 5H), $7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 13.43$ (br. s, 1H). HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 388.2020, found 388.2014. 1-(4-Benzyl-2-ethyl-6-(6-methoxypyridin-3-yl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone


Prepared from $2.067(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2-methoxypyridine-5-boronic acid pinacol ester ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 3. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(4-benzyl-2-ethyl-6-
(6-methoxypyridin-3-yl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)yl)ethanone ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.037 \mathrm{mmol}, 47 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.29 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 402.5$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=11.3,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{ABq}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-5.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.03-7.12 (m, 1H), 7.23-7.39 (m, 5H), 7.67 (dd, $J=8.7,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 402.2176, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 402.2169$.

5-(1-Acetyl-4-benzyl-2-ethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)picolinonitrile

2.074

Prepared from 2.067 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 5picolinonitrileboronic acid pinacol ester ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 3. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 5-(1-acetyl-4-benzyl-2ethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)picolinonitrile (26 mg, 0.066 $\mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES+): tr = $1.21 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 397.7$, ( $97 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.93$ (t, J = $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.49 (br. s., 2H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 3.37 (d, $J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.67 (dd, $J=11.5,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.94-5.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84$ (dd, $J=8.1,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 397.2023, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 397.2028$.

5-(1-Acetyl-4-benzyl-2-ethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)picolinamide

2.089

Prepared from 2.074 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 4. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 5-(1-acetyl-4-benzyl-2-ethyl-1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)picolinamide ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05$ mmol, $79 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.02 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 415.4$ (100\% 1 purity). H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.34$
(d, $J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{ABq}, J=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.94-5.12$ (m, 1H), 5.73-5.87 (m, 1H), $6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.19$ (m, 1H), 7.23-7.41 (m, 5H), 7.76-7.85 (m, 1H), 7.89 (dd, $J=8.1,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 415.2129, found 415.2129 .

## 5-(1-Acetyl-4-benzyl-2-ethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)picolinonitrile



Prepared from 2.067 ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.064 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), (6-(methylcarbamoyl)pyridin-3-yl)boronic acid ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.129 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 3. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 5-(1-acetyl-4benzyl-2-ethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)-Nmethylpicolinamide ( 22 mg , 0.051 mmol, $80 \%$ ) as a yellow
solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): tr $=1.10 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 429.5$, ( $94 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 0.92(\mathrm{t}, ~ J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{ABq}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.97-5.10(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.87$ (dd, $J=8.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94-8.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }_{13}$ C NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 10.4,23.1,23.6,26.1,47.8,52.4,54.6,109.7,114.7,122.0$, 123.1, 126.2, 126.5, 127.5, 128.9, 135.2, 135.3, 137.5, 139.0, 139.4, 146.4, 148.5, 164.9, 169.5.
M.pt.: 78-81 ${ }^{\circ}$ C $v_{\max }$ (neat): 3391, 2931, 1649, 1601, 1517, 1468, 1374, 1323, 1238, 1111, 1014, 840, 790, 729, $696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 429.2285, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 429.2279$.

4-(1-Acetyl-4-benzyl-2-ethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)-N-methylpicolinamide


Prepared from $2.067(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ and (2(methylcarbamoyl)pyridin-4-yl)boronic acid (42 mg, 0.16 mmol ) according to General Procedure 3. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 4-(1-acetyl-4benzyl-2-ethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)-Nmethylpicolinamide (33 mg, $0.077 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.12 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 429.5$, ( $100 \%$ purity). $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 0.91$ (t, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.56$ (m, 2H), $2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{ABq}, J=$
$16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.96-5.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.96-6.99 (m, 1H), 6.99-7.03 (m, 1H), 7.08-7.16 (m, 1H), 7.24-7.39 (m, 5H), $7.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01-8.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31-8.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50$ (d, $J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 429.2285, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 429.2272$.
1-(4-Benzyl-2-ethyl-6-(3-methoxypyridin-4-yl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone

2.077 Prepared from 2.067 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (3-methoxypyridin$4 \mathrm{yl})$ boronic acid ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 3. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-
(4benzyl-2-ethyl-6-(3-methoxypyridin-4-yl)-3,4dihydroquinoxalin1 $(2 \mathrm{H})$-yl)ethanone ( $6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 17 \%$ ). LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.11 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 402.4$ ( $100 \%$ purity). H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 0.91$ (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{ABq}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.94-5.11 (m, 1H), $6.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 402.2176, found 402.2166 .

## 1-(4-Benzyl-2-ethyl-6-(5-methylpyrazin-2-yl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone

Prepared from 2.067 ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (5-methylpyrazin$2 \mathrm{yl})$ boronic acid pinacol ester ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h according to General Procedure 3. Further (5-methylpyrazin$2 \mathrm{yl})$ boronic acid pinacol ester ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the reaction heated to 120
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(4-benzyl-2-ethyl-6-(5-methylpyrazin-2-yl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)yl)ethanone ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 18 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.17 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 387.3$ ( $100 \%$ pure). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(400} \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.90(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,1.3$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{ABq}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.91-5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-$ $7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 8.40-8.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 387.2179, found 387.2189 .

## 1-(4-Benzyl-2-ethyl-6-(pyrimidin-5-yl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone

Prepared from 2.067 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyrimidin-5-ylboronic acid ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 3.

Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(4benzyl-2-ethyl-6-(pyrimidin-5-yl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)yl)ethanone ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.05 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 373.3$ ( $100 \%$ purity).
H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.86-0.99(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97-5.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{td}, J=8.2,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.19(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 373.2023, found 373.2028.

1-(4-Benzyl-2-ethyl-6-(2-methoxypyrimidin-5-yl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)yl)ethanone
 Prepared from 2.067 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (2methoxypyrimidin5 -yl)boronic acid ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.161 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), according to General Procedure 3. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(4-benzyl-2-ethyl-6-(2methoxypyrimidin-5-yl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol}, 43 \%$ ). LCMS (High pH, ES+): tr = $1.15 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 403.4$, ( $98 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): б 0.87-0.99 (m, 3H), 1.40-1.58 (m, 2H), $2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $11.5,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{ABq}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.945 .13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80$ (dd, $J=8.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.21-7.40 (m, 5H), $8.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 403.2129, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 403.2117$.

## 5-(1-Acetyl-4-benzyl-2-ethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine2,4(1H,3H)dione

 Prepared from 2.067 ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.169 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (2,4-dioxo-1,2,3,4tetrahydropyrimidin-5-yl)boronic acid ( $79 \mathrm{mg}, 0.506 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 3 with heating for 4 h . Further (2,4-dioxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidin-5-yl)boronic acid (2 eq) and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the reaction was heated to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Purification of the crude
product by High pH MDAP afforded 5-(1-acetyl-4-benzyl-2-ethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol}, 7 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.82 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$405.4, ( $100 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO$\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 0.70-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-4.66(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73-4.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-$
7.17 (m, 1H), 7.21-7.29 (m, 3H), 7.30-7.37 (m, 2H), $7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.09$ (br. s, 2H). 1-(4-benzyl-

6-(2,4-dimethoxypyrimidin-5-yl)-2-ethyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)yl)ethanone


Prepared from $2.067(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ and (2,4dimethoxypyrimidin-5-yl)boronic acid ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), according to General Procedure 3. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(4-benzyl-6-(2,4dimethoxypyrimidin-5-yl)-2-ethyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 H) y l)$ )ethanone ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.055 \mathrm{mmol}, 69.0 \%$ ).
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.22 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 433.4$, ( $98 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 0.91(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=11.5,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=39.4,16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.93-5.10$ (m, 1H), $6.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.39$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 433.2234, found $\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 433.2231$.

## 5-(1-Acetyl-4-benzyl-2-ethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine-2-carbonitrile

 Prepared from 2.067 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (2-cyanopyrimidin5yl)boronic acid ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 3. Purification of the crude product by silica chromatography (0-50\% EtOAc/cyclohexane) afforded 5-(1-acetyl-

4-benzyl-2-ethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine2carbonitrile ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES+): tr = $1.22 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 398.3$ ( $94 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.94(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=11.6,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.96-5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}$,
$J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-$ 7.40 (m, 3H), 8.86 (s, 2H).

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 398.1975, found 398.1979.

## 5-(1-Acetyl-4-benzyl-2-ethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine-2carboxamide



Prepared from 2.083 ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 4. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 5-(1-acetyl-4-benzyl-2-ethyl-1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine-2-carboxamide (11 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.92 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 416.4$ (100\%
pure).
${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40$ (d, $J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{ABq}, J=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.99-5.14$ (m, 1H), $6.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.21(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.33$ (m, 3H), 7.35-7.40 (m, 2H), 7.80 (br s, 1H), 8.90 (s, 2H).
13
C NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 10.5,23.1,23.7,47.7,52.7,54.8,109.5,114.5,123.9,126.5$, $126.3,127.6,129.0,131.4,135.5,137.3,139.5,155.1,155.6,164.4,169.4$. M.pt.: $106-109{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $v_{\max }$ (neat): 3464, 3254, 2965, 2932, 2873, 2235, 1699, 1649, 1601, 1571, 1518, 1451, 1380, $1326,1254,1112,910,841,730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 416.2081, found 416.2075 .

## 1-(4-Benzyl-6-(3,6-dihydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)-2-ethyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 H) y l)$ ethanone


2.084

Prepared from 2.067 ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.121 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (3,6-dihydro-2Hpyran4 -yl)boronic acid pinacol ester ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 3. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography ( $0-50 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane) to afford 1-(4-benzyl-

6-(3,6-dihydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)-2-ethyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{yl})$ ethanone ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.090 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%$ )..
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{tR}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.25 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 377.3,(100 \%$ purity $) .{ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.89(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{q}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.57 (ABq, $J=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.98 (br. s, 1H), 5.98 (br. s., 1H), 6.68-6.75 (m, 2H), 6.94-7.02 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
tert-Butyl 4-(1-acetyl-4-benzyl-2-ethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)-5,6dihydropyridine-1(2H)-carboxylate

2.085

Prepared from 2.067 ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.121 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (1-(tertbutoxycarbonyl)-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridin-4-yl)boronic acid pinacol ester ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 3. The crude product was purified by
tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)-5,6-dihydropyridine-1(2H)-carboxylate ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.069 \mathrm{mmol}, 58 \%$ ) LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.46 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+476.4$ ( $95 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s} ., 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56$ (t, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{q}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{ABq}, J=16.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.91-5.02 (m, 1H), 5.84-5.91 (m, 1H), 6.63-6.71 (m, 2H), 6.89-6.98 (m, 1H), 7.197.29 (m, 3H), 7.30-7.36 (m, 2H). 1-(4-Benzyl-2-ethyl-6-(1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridin-4-yl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)yl)ethanone


To a solution of $2.085(29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.061 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 0.6 mL ) was added TFA ( $94 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.219 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction was stirred at rt for 6 h. The reaction mixture was purified by ion exchangce chromatography (sulphonic acid (SCX) 1 g , sequential solvents $\left.\mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$. The appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 1-(4-benzyl-2-ethyl-6-(1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridin-4-yl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.059 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%$ ) as a brown gum. LCMS (High pH, ES+ ): tr $=1.09 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+376.2$, ( $94 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 0.86(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s} ., 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.05(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,4.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.53 (ABq, $J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.96 (br. s., 1 H ), 5.97 (br. s., 1H), 6.66-6.72 (m, 2H), 6.93 (br. s., 1H), 7.20-7.28 (m, 3H), 7.29-7.35 (m, 2H). NH was not observed.

mixture of 2.067 ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.064 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), isoxazole-4-boronic acid pinacol ester ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.096 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $34 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0064 \mathrm{mmol})$ were placed in a microwave vial and suspended in 1,4-dioxane ( 0.58 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.12 \mathrm{~mL})$. The vial was sealed, evacuated and refilled with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction was heated in a Biotage Initiator microwave at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was cooled, filtered through Celite and evaporated to dryness. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(4-benzyl-2-ethyl-6-(isoxazol-4-yl)-3,4dihydroquinoxalin-1 $2 H$ )-yl)ethanone ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 43 \%$ ) as a yellow gum

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.16 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 362.4$, ( $96 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 0.91$ (t, J = $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62$ (dd, $J=11.5,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{ABq}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.91-5.10$
$(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.41(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 8.39$ (s, 1H), 8.51 (s, 1H).

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 362.1863, found 362.1861.

## 1-(4-Benzyl-2-ethyl-6-(1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone


solution of 2.067 ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.064 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ( 1 H -pyrazol-3-yl)boronic acid ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.129 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $34 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.193 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5$ $\mathrm{mg}, 6.43 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in water ( 0.15 mL ) and 1,4-dioxane ( 0.75 mL ) was sealed in a microwave vial, placed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and heated in a Biotage Initiator microwave to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and evaporated to dryness. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(4-benzyl-2-ethyl-6-(1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1 $(2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{yl}$ )ethanone ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.044 \mathrm{mmol}, 69 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.03 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 361.4$, ( $99 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.56 (dd, $J=11.5,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-$ $5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.22-7.37 (m, 5H), $7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. NH not observed. ${ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 10.4, 23.0, 23.5, 48.0, 52.0, 54.3, 102.6, 108.3, 113.5, 122.4, 126.0, 126.7, 126.8, 127.3, $128.8,133.1,137.8,139.4,148.5,169.7$. M.pt.: $88-93{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} v_{\max }$ (neat): 3195, 2964, 2929, 1619, $1514,1542,1395,1321,1251,1114,1048,965,925,848,765,731698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 361.2023, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 361.2023$.

1-(4-Benzyl-2-ethyl-6-(1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone A

mixture of 2.067 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), (1-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-1Hpyrazol-4-yl)boronic acid pinacol ester ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(3.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.004 \mathrm{mmol})$, XPhos ( $3.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.008 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (34 mg, 0.16 mmol ) were suspended in 1-butanol ( 1 mL ) in a microwave vial. The vial was sealed, evacuated and placed under
$\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction was heated in a Biotage Initiator microwave at $115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction mixture was cooled, filtered through Celite and evaporated to dryness. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(4-benzyl-2-ethyl-6(1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol}, 38 \%$ ).
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.98 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 361.4$, ( $99 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): б 0.82-0.96 (m, 3H), 1.39-1.49 (m, 2H), $2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $11.5,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79-$ 6.86 (m, 2H), 7.00 (br s, 1H), 7.22-7.41 (m, 5H), 7.72 (s, 2H).

NH proton not observed.
HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 361.2023, found 361.2014.

## 1-((3-Nitropyridin-4-yl)amino)propan-2-ol



A solution of 4-chloro-3-nitropyridine 2.091 ( $780 \mathrm{mg}, 4.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1aminopropan-2-ol ( $399 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $902 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ heated to $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a Biotage Initiator microwave for 15 min . After cooling, the reaction was evaporated and purified by silica chromatography (75-100\% $\mathrm{EtOAc})$ to afford 1-((3-nitropyridin-4-yl)amino)propan-2-ol ( $846 \mathrm{mg}, 4.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 87 \%$ ) as a bright yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.55 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$198.2, ( $100 \%$ pure). 1
H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 1.38$ (d, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.94-2.16 (m, 1H), 3.31 (ddd, $J=13.3$, $7.8,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.45 (ddd, $J=13.3,6.1,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.05-4.32 (m, 1H), 6.76 (d, $J=$ $6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.1,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37-8.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 1-((3-Aminopyridin-4-yl)amino)propan-2-ol



A solution of 1-((3-nitropyridin-4-yl)amino)propan-2-ol 2.092 ( $825 \mathrm{mg}, 4.18$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(8.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was passed through a Thales H-cube Flow Hydrogenator with a $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / C$ CatCart in full $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ mode at a rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to afford 1-((3-aminopyridin4-yl)amino)propan-2-ol ( $695 \mathrm{mg}, 4.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%$ ) as a beige solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES+ ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.40 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$168.1, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 1.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{sxt}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57$ (br. s., 2H), $5.32(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. OH not observed.

## 3-Methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrido[3,4-b]pyrazine



A solution of 1-((3-aminopyridin-4-yl)amino)propan-2-ol 2.093 ( $668 \mathrm{mg}, 4.00$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in HBr ( 48 wt . \% in water) ( $25 \mathrm{~mL}, 221 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was heated to $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was purified by silica chromatography $\left(0-20 \% 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}\right)$. The product was purified by ion exchange chromatography (sulphonic acid (SCX), 20 g , sequential solvents $\mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 3-methyl1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrido[3,4-b]pyrazine ( $437 \mathrm{mg}, 2.93 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%$ ) as a brown gum. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{tR}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.42 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$150.1, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.9,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ 10.9, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 5.36 (br. s., 1H), 6.23 (br. s., 1H), 6.28 (d, $J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.45 (d, $J=5.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
13
C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 19.7,44.0,47.3,107.1,130.5,133.9,139.6,140.2$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3231, 2965, 2926, 2853, 1597, 1524, 1348, 1297, 1274, 1180, 1074, 1053, 891, $862,810,691 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
tert-Butyl 3-methyl-3,4-dihydropyrido[3,4-b]pyrazine-1(2H)-carboxylate To a solution of 3-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrido[3,4-b]pyrazine 2.095 ( 300 mg , $2.011 \mathrm{mmol})$ in pyridine $(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added ditert-butyl dicarbonate ( $1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in DCM) dropwise. The reaction mixture was gradually allowed to warm to rt and stirred for 1 h . The reaction was concentrated, then azeotroped with toluene. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (EtOAc), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford tert-butyl 3-methyl-3,4-dihydropyrido[3,4-b]pyrazine-1(2H)carboxylate ( $381 \mathrm{mg}, 1.528 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.94 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$250.3, ( $100 \%$ purity). $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09$ (dd, $J=12.7,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{NH}$ not observed. 13

C NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 19.3,28.3,45.9,48.0,82.0,116.5,131.1,133.0,136.8,138.6$, 152.6.
tert-Butyl 4-acetyl-3-methyl-3,4-dihydropyrido[3,4-b]pyrazine-1(2H)-carboxylate To

a solution of tert-butyl 3-methyl-3,4-dihydropyrido[3,4-b]pyrazine$1(2 \mathrm{H})$ carboxylate 2.095 ( $380 \mathrm{mg}, 1.524 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pyridine ( $740 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 9.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMAP ( $186 \mathrm{mg}, 1.524 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 14 mL ) stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added acetyl chloride ( $434 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 6.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 3 days. The reaction was quenched with water ( 20 mL ) and extracted. The organic layer was washed with water ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (0-100\% EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford tert-butyl 4-acetyl-3-methyl-3,4-dihydropyrido[3,4-b]pyrazine-1 (2H)carboxylate ( $285 \mathrm{mg}, 0.978 \mathrm{mmol}, 64 \%$ ) as a brown oil. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.89 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$ 292.2, (100\% purity).

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl $)_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.04$ (d, J = $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.56 (s, 9H), 2.25 (s, 3H), 3.69 (dd, J $=12.7,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13-5.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34-8.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 1-(3-Methyl-2,3-dihydropyrido[3,4-b]pyrazin-4(1H)-yl)ethanone



A suspension of tert-butyl 4-acetyl-3-methyl-3,4-dihydropyrido[3,4b]pyrazine1 $(2 \mathrm{H})$-carboxylate 2.096 ( $285 \mathrm{mg}, 0.978 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{M}$ in 1,4dioxane) ( $20 \mathrm{~mL}, 78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred at rt for 18 h . The solvent and excess HCl were removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by ion exchange chromatography (sulphonic acid (SCX), 5 g , sequential solvents $\mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{M}$
$\left.\mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$. The appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 1-(3methyl-2,3-dihydropyrido[3,4-b]pyrazin-4(1H)-yl)ethanone ( $183 \mathrm{mg}, 0.957 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%$ ) as a brown solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.48 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$192.2, (94\% purity).
${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.97-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.45 (dd, $J=12.0,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09-5.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20-5.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05-8.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 1-(1-Benzyl-3-methyl-2,3-dihydropyrido[3,4-b]pyrazin-4(1H)-yl)ethanone



A mixture of 1-(3-methyl-2,3-dihydropyrido[3,4-b]pyrazin-4(1H)-yl)ethanone 2.097 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium hydride ( $60 \%$ in mineral oil, 17 mg , $0.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min then treated with benzyl bromide ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min . The reaction was quenched with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was combined with that from an identically performed reaction with 11 mg 2.097. The residues were purified by silica chromatography ( $0-$ $20 \% 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ), fractions were evaporated to dryness and further purified by High pH MDAP to afford 1-(1-benzyl-3-methyl-2,3-dihydropyrido[3,4-b]pyrazin$4(1 H) y l)$ ethanone ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 49 \%$ based on combined reactions) as a yellow oil.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.85 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 282.2$, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.7,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
5.18-5.33 (m, 1H), $6.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-$ 7.37 (m, 2H), 8.06 (d, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08-8.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .13$

C NMR (151 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 16.8,22.7,40.7,53.3,53.7,105.3,119.3,126.6,127.8,129.0$, 136.2, 143.5, 145.3, 147.1, 168.7.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3381, 3030, 2973, 2931, 2871, 1651, 1593, 1522, 1453, 1379, 1324, 1241, 1203, $1113,1064,942,805,732,701 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 282.1601, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$282.1600.

## Methyl 3-hydroxy-2-((2-nitrophenyl)amino)propanoate



A solution of 1-fluoro-2-nitrobenzene 2.105 ( $3.82 \mathrm{~mL}, 36.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DLserine methyl ester hydrochloride ( $8.44 \mathrm{~g}, 54.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $15.78 \mathrm{~mL}, 90$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( 41 mL ) was divided between three 20 mL microwave vials, placed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, the vessels sealed and heated in a

Biotage Initiator microwave at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 min . The reaction mixtures were combined, evaporated and purified by silica chromatography (0-100\% EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford methyl 3hydroxy-2-((2-nitrophenyl)amino)propanoate ( $4.36 \mathrm{~g}, 18.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ ) as a bright yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.79 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$240.1, ( $100 \%$ purity).
${ }_{1}$ H NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.23$ (br. s, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 4.04-4.17 (m, 2H), 4.38-4.46 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77$ (ddd, $J=8.4,7.1,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48$ (ddd, $J=8.5,7.1,1.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $\left.8.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .13 \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(126MHz,CDCl}_{3}\right): ~$ б 53.0, 57.4, 62.8, 113.8, 116.7, 127.1, 133.2, 136.2, 143.8, 171.0.
M.pt.: $138-141^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . v_{\max }$ (neat): $3490,3359,1730,1621,1567,1509,1350,1311,1258$, 1218, 1149, 1064, 1037, 964, 863, 784, 749, $695 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 241.0819, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$241.0815. Analysis consistent with literature. ${ }^{320}$
(Hydroxymethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-one

2.107

## Hydrogenation method

A solution of methyl 3-hydroxy-2-((2-nitrophenyl)amino)propanoate 2.106 (3.648 g, 15.19 $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(0.646 \mathrm{~g}, 3.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(250 \mathrm{ml})$ was stirred under an atmosphere of hydrogen at rt . The reaction was stirred for 3 h then filtered through Celite and stood overnight. The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness to afford (hydroxymethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-one ( $2.59 \mathrm{~g}, 14.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%$ ) as a brown gum.

## $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$ Reduction method

To a solution of methyl 3-hydroxy-2-((2-nitrophenyl)amino)propanoate 2.106 (60 mg, 0.250 mmol ) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}(237 \mathrm{mg}, 1.249 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness and partitioned between EtOAc ( 10 mL ) and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DCM $(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica chromatography (50-100\% EtOAc/cyclohexane) to afford 3-(hydroxymethyl)-3,4dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-one ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.237 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$ ) as a brown-red gum.

## Copper-catalysed coupling/cyclisation method

DL-serine ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-bromoaniline 2.108 ( $172 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), copper(I) chloride $(4.95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}), N 1, N 2$-dimethylethane-1,2-diamine ( $22 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium phosphate tribasic ( $425 \mathrm{mg}, 2.000 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were placed in an oven-dried tube which was sealed and placed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Anhydrous, degassed DMSO ( 3.5 mL ) was added and the reaction was evacuated and placed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ three times. The reaction mixture was heated to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oil bath for 40 h . The reaction mixture was cooled, diluted with EtOAc ( 10 mL ) and filtered
through Celite. The filtrate was washed with water $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined aqueous phases extraced with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and chloroform $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product could not be fully extracted from the aqueous phase - the aqueous and organics were evaporated to dryness and the residue was purified by silica chromatography (0-100\% EtOAc/cyclohexane) to afford 3-(hydroxymethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-one (110 mg, $0.617 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \%$ ) as a yellow oil that solidified on standing.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.48 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$179.2, ( $72 \%$ purity).
1H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD-d4): ס 3.72-3.82 (m, 2H), 3.93 (dd, $J=7.1,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.67 (ddd, $J=7.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
Exchangeable protons were not observed. Analysis consistent with literaure. ${ }^{296}$

## (Hydroxymethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-one



To a solution of 3-(hydroxymethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-one $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 7}$ ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 1.964 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzaldehyde ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.964 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF (5 mL ) and DMF ( 2.5 mL ) was added dibutyldichlorostannane ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.098$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction was stirred for 2 h before adding phenylsilane
$(266 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.161 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 40 h , then concentrated and purified by silica chromatography ( $0-100 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane). Appropriate fractions were evaporated to afford 4-benzyl-3-(hydroxymethyl)-3,4dihydroquinoxalin-2( 1 H ) -one ( $490 \mathrm{mg}, 1.826 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{tR}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.85 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$269.1, ( $100 \%$ purity).
1 H NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ): $\delta 3.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{t}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82-5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55-6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71-6.76(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~m}, ~ J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 10.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

13C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 52.4,61.0,64.9,112.7,115.0,117.8,123.2,127.1,127.4$, 127.6, 128.9, 134.4, 138.7, 166.5. M.pt.: 199-201 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . v_{\max }$ (neat): 3327, 3215, 3156, 3099, 2927, 2869, 1680, 1509, 1415, 1348, 1311, 1251, 1165, 1127, 1061, 855, 788, 731, $692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 269.1285, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$269.1279.

## (1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-2-yl)methanol



To a solution of 4-benzyl-3-(hydroxymethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin2(1H) one $2.109(3.96 \mathrm{~g}, 14.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 200 mL ) stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at rt was added $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot$ THF ( $44.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 44.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) over 10 min . The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 3 hr then heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to rt, quenched with $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 1 M HCl $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 30 min . The mixture was basified with 1 M NaOH and extracted with

EtOAc (3 x 100 mL ). The combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica chromatography (40-100\% EtOAc/cyclohexane) to afford (1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-2-yl)methanol (3.31 g, $13.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%$ ) as a clear oil, which solidified on standing.

LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.99 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 255.2$, ( $98 \%$ purity). $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(400} \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): б 3.28 (br. s, 2H), 3.34 (dd, $J=11.0,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.47 (dd, $J=11.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.49-3.54$ (m, 1H), $3.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 H), ~ 6.56-6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 6.66-6.72 (m, 1H), 7.24-7.32 (m, 1H), 7.32-7.40 (m, 4H). 13

C NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 41.5,55.0,58.4,63.5,112.8,114.7,117.3,120.2,126.8,127.0$, 128.7, 133.0, 134.6, 138.8. M.pt.: 96-98 ${ }^{\circ}$ C. $v_{\max }$ (neat): 3273, 3059, 2936, 2873, 1602, 1507, $1450,1351,1296,1238,1171,1032,912,788,743,721,695 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 255.1492, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$255.1479.

## 1-(4-Benzyl-3-(hydroxymethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone



To a solution of (1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-2-yl)methanol 2.110 ( $3.01 \mathrm{~g}, 11.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine ( $1.91 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM (96 $\mathrm{ml})$ stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added acetyl chloride $(0.97 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.61$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , then quenched with water $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with DCM $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica chromatography (0-100\% EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 1-(4-benzyl-3-(hydroxymethyl)-3,4dihydroquinoxalin-1 $(2 \mathrm{H})$-yl)ethanone ( $2.99 \mathrm{~g}, 10.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.97 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$297.3, ( $92 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): б $2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{t}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-$ $3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69$ (ddd, $J=7.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.18 (d, J = $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.24-7.37 (m, 3H). 13

C NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 22.0,38.8,53.4,60.2,60.4,112.1,115.7,124.4,124.9,126.1$, 127.1, 127.2, 128.8, 137.5, 138.3, 171.2. M.pt.: 159-161 ºC $v_{\max }$ (neat): 3389, 3042, 2912, 2867, 1624, 1596, 1504, 1412, 1396, 1357, 1061, 1031, 982, 925, 740, $696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 297.1598, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$297.1599. 4-Acetyl-1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline-2-carboxylic acid


1-(4-Benzyl-3-(hydroxymethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1 $(2 \mathrm{H})$-yl)ethanone 2.111 ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.350 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMO ( $949 \mathrm{mg}, 8.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TPAP (47.4 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.135 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in precooled wet $\mathrm{MeCN}(16 \mathrm{~mL})$ at -15 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h and was then and evaporated in vacuo to give 4-acetyl-1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-
tetrahydroquinoxaline-2-carboxylic acid (108 mg, $0.296 \mathrm{mmol}, 22 \%$ ) as a black gum which was used crude without further purification. LCMS (High $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{ES}^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.68 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 311.2$, ( $71 \%$ purity)

## 1-(3-(Hydroxymethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone



A solution of 1-(4-benzyl-3-(hydroxymethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 H) y l)$ ethanone $2.111(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.675 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(23 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cycled through a Thales H-cube Flow Hydrogenator (10\% Pd/C CatCart, full H2, 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) for 7 h . The solvent was then evaporated in vacuo to afford 1-(3(hydroxymethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone (135 mg, $0.655 \mathrm{mmol}, 97 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES+): tr = $0.60 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$207.2, ( $85 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, 303 \mathrm{~K}$, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 2.18$ (s, 3H), 3.31-3.56 (m, 4H), 3.81 (dd, J = 12.6,
$3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.66(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s} ., 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.6,7.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67$ (dd, $J=8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88$ (ddd, $J=8.0,7.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 1-(4-Benzoyl-3-(hydroxymethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone To a

 solution of 1-(3-(hydroxymethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)yl)ethanone 2.116 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.485 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine ( $78 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.970 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM (4 mL ) stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added benzoyl chloride ( $56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.485$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min then quenched with water ( 1 mL ) and extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica chromatography (0-100\% EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo and the product was further purified by High pH MDAP to afford 1-(4-benzoyl-3-(hydroxymethyl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.193 \mathrm{mmol}, 40 \%$ ).
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.73 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 311.2$, ( $95 \%$ pure). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.02$ (br. s., 1H), 3.62-3.80 (m, 3H), 5.12 (m, $J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.67$ (d, J = 7.8 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .4-$ Acetyl-1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline-2-carboxamide

2.119

Prepared from 4-acetyl-1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline2carboxylic acid 2.112 ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.074 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ammonium chloride ( 8 mg , 0.148 mmol ) according to General Procedure 5. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 4-acetyl-1-benzyl$1,2,3,4$ tetrahydroquinoxaline-2-carboxamide ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.026 \mathrm{mmol}, 35 \%$ ) as a beige solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES+ ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.85 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 310.2$, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1
H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6, 393K): $\delta 2.10$ (s, 3H), 3.36 (dd, J = 13.1, $4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.07 (dd, $J=4.3,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.1,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60$ (ddd, $J=7.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73$ (dd, $J=8.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86$ (br. s., 1 H ), 6.92 (ddd, $J=8.3,7.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.18-7.35 (m,5H). Amide protons not observed. HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 310.1550 , found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 310.1558$.

## 4-Acetyl-1-benzyl-N-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline-2-carboxamide



Prepared from 4-acetyl-1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline2carboxylic acid 2.112 ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.080 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methanamine hydrochloride ( $8.09 \mathrm{mg}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 5. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 4-acetyl-1benzyl- $N$-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline-2-carboxamide (17 mg, $0.053 \mathrm{mmol}, 66 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.88 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 324.2$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSOd6, 393 K ): $\delta 2.08$ (s, 3H), 2.56 (d, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.33 (dd, $J=$
$13.2,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.0,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.2$, $3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77$ (d, $J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61$ (ddd, $J=7.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75$ (dd, $J=$ $8.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93$ (ddd, $J=8.4,7.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.47$ (m, 1H). HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 324.1707 , found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 324.1715$.

## 4-Acetyl-1-benzyl-N-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline-2-carboxamide


2.121

Prepared from 4-acetyl-1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline2carboxylic acid 2.112 ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.074 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and aniline ( $14 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.148$ mmol) according to General Procedure 5. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 4-acetyl-1-benzyl-N-phenyl1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline-2-carboxamide (11 mg, 0.029 mmol, 39\%) as a brown gum.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.12 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$386.3, (98\% purity). 1

H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6, 393K): $\delta 2.12$ (s, 3H), 3.48 (dd, $J=13.4,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=4.1,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.4,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67$ (ddd, $J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81$ (dd, $J=8.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00$ (ddd, $J=$ $8.3,7.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07$ (ddd, $J=7.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 9.52 (br. s., 1H).

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 386.1863, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$386.1859.

## 4-Acetyl-1-benzyl-N-(4-(morpholinosulfonyl)phenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline2carboxamide



Prepared from 4-acetyl-1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline-2-carboxylic acid $2.112(27 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.074 mmol ) and 4 -(morpholinosulfonyl)aniline ( 36 mg , 0.148 mmol ) according to General Procedure 5. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 4acetyl-1-benzyl- $N$-(4-(morpholinosulfonyl)phenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline-2-carboxamide ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 3.74 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \%$ ) as a brown gum.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.10 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 535.5$, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-5.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.01-7.09 (m, 1H), $7.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68$ (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.08-8.51 (m, 1H).

## 2-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)benzaldehyde



To a solution of 2-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $0.87 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and imidazole $(1.67 \mathrm{~g}, 24.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(12 \mathrm{ml})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at rt was added a solution of TBDMSCI ( $1.85 \mathrm{~g}, 12.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 4 ml ) dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 18 h , then quenched with saturated
ammonium chloride ( 10 mL ) and the aqueous phase extracted with DCM $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were dried using a hydrophobic frit, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by silica chromatography ( $0-6 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)benzaldehyde ( $1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 5.93 \mathrm{mmol}$, $72 \%$ ) as a colourless oil.
LCMS (Formic, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.38 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 237.4$ ( $100 \%$ purity).
1
H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 0.28(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.017 .06$ (m, 1H), 7.46 (ddd, $J=8.3,7.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \quad 1 \mathrm{H})$. Analysis consistent with literature. ${ }^{383}$ 1-(6-Bromo-4-(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)benzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-3,4dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone


Prepared from 2.016 c ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2.123 ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to General Procedure 1, with further 2.123 (103 mg, 0.43 mmol ) $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(86 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ added after 24 and 96 h. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 1-(6-
bromo-4-(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)benzyl)-2cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 15 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.70 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}^{\left.+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]} 515.3\right.$, 517.3 ( $100 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.34-0.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.46(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.51-0.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.85-$ $0.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,4.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3$, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.84-6.98 (m, 3H), 7.12 (d, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18$ (ddd, $J=7.7,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).

## 5-(1-Acetyl-4-(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)benzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-

 1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine-2-carbonitrile
2.125

Prepared from 2.124 ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (2-cyanopyrimidin5yl)boronic acid pinacol ester ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 3. Purification of the crude product by silica chromatography (0-80\% EtOAc/cyclohexane) afforded 5-(1acetyl-4-(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)benzyl)-2-cyclopropyl1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine-2-carbonitrile (13 mg, 0.02 mmol, $73 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.57 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 540.4$ ( $100 \%$ purity). $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 0.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.39-0.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.46-0.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$0.55-0.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72$ (dd, $J=11.5,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.83-6.92 (m, 3H), 7.11-7.25 (m, 3H), 8.85 (s, 2H).

## 5-(1-Acetyl-2-cyclopropyl-4-(2-hydroxybenzyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6yl)pyrimidine-2-carbonitrile



A solution of 5-(1-acetyl-4-(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)benzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine2carbonitrile 2.125 ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBAF ( $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.03$ mmol, 1 M in THF) in THF ( 0.36 mL ) was stirred at rt for 30 min . The reaction mixture was filtered through a plug of silica and evaporated to in vacuo to afford 5-(1-acetyl-2-cyclopropyl-4-(2-hydroxybenzyl)-1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine-2-carbonitrile ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$, 85\%) as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.12 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}^{-}\right] 424.1$ ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta$ 0.38-0.47 (m, 1H), 0.48-0.66 (m, 3H), $0.94(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.5,1.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.29-6.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.85-6.99 (m, 3H), 7.00 (d, $J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.21 (ddd, $J=7.2$, 4.3, 2.7 Hz, 2H), 7.30-7.40 (m, 1H), 8.91 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).

## 5-(1-Acetyl-2-cyclopropyl-4-(2-hydroxybenzyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6yl)pyrimidine-2-carboxamide


2.127 Prepared from 5-(1-acetyl-2-cyclopropyl-4-(2-hydroxybenzyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine-2-carbonitrile 2.126 ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 4. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 5-(1-acetyl-2-cyclopropyl-4-(2-hydroxybenzyl)-1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine-2-carboxamide (7 mg, $0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.86 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 444.5$ ( $100 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 0.26-0.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s} ., 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.54-4.75 (m, 2H), 6.73 (t, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ (br. s., 1H), 7.77 (br. s., 1H), 8.16 (br. s., 1H), 9.10 (s, 2H), 9.72 (s, 1H).

## 5-(1-Acetyl-2-cyclopropyl-4-(2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)benzyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine-2-carboxamide


2.128

A mixture of 5-(1-acetyl-2-cyclopropyl-4-(2-hydroxybenzyl)-
1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine-2-carboxamide 2.127 ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium carbonate ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.034$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with 2-bromoethanol $(2.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.034 mmol ) and the reaction was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to rt , filtered and purified by High pH MDAP to afford 5-(1-acetyl-2-cyclopropyl-4-(2-(2hydroxyethoxy)benzyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine-2-carboxamide ( 2 mg , $4.10 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 36 \%)$ as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.89 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 488.5$, ( $83 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta$ 0.37-0.62 (m, 4H), 0.83-1.04 (m, 1H), $2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=11.4,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
4.21-4.38 (m, 1H), $4.69(\mathrm{ABq}, J=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(b r . \operatorname{s.}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.89-6.98 (m, 3H), 7.17-7.24 (m, 1H), 7.24-7.32 (m, 2H), 7.79 (br. s., 1H), 8.94 (s, 2H). OH proton not observed.

## ((2-(Bromomethyl)benzyl)oxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane



To a solution of (2-(bromomethyl)phenyl)methanol ( $330 \mathrm{mg}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 7 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at rt was added 2,6-lutidine ( $0.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBDMSOTf ( $0.57 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.46 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h , then quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous layer extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried, evaporated and the crude product purified by silica chromatography ( $0-20 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane) to afford ((2(bromomethyl)benzyl)oxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane ( $490 \mathrm{mg}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$ ) as a clear oil.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.61 \mathrm{~min}$ ( $100 \%$ purity). No mass ion observed.
1
H NMR (400 MHz, CDClı): $\delta 0.14$ (s, 6H), 0.97 (s, 9H), 4.61 (s, 2H), 4.89 (s, 2H), 7.28 (s, 3H), 7.44-7.49 (m, 1H). Analysis consistent with literature. ${ }^{384}$

## 1-(6-Bromo-4-(2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)benzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-3,4dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone



To a solution of $\mathbf{2 . 0 1 6 c}$ ( $175 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 7 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added NaH ( $60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, $71 \mathrm{mg}, 1.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . A solution of ((2(bromomethyl)benzyl)oxy)(tert-buty)dimethylsilane 2.129 ( 240 mg, $0.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 1 mL ) was added dropwise and the reaction stirred at
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 min . The reaction mixture was poured into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried, evaporated to dryness and the residue was purified by silica chromatography ( $0-20 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane). Appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 1-(6-bromo-4-(2-(((tertbutyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)benzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)yl)ethanone ( $299 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$ ) as a colourless gum.

LCMS (Formic, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.70 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 529.3,531.3$ ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.13(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.30-0.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.42-0.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86-1.04$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.4,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.35(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 6.87-7.01 (m, 1H), 7.12-7.33 (m, 3H), 7.44 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H). 13 C NMR ( 101 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-5.2,4.1,4.2,12.5,18.4,22.8,26.0,51.6,51.7,52.9,63.4,113.7,118.6,120.0$, 122.5, 125.4, 126.6, 127.2, 127.8, 128.0, 134.3, 138.4, 140.4, $168.7 v_{\max }$ (neat): 2953, 2929, 2856, 1655, 1595, 1508, 1471, 1386, 1336, 1310, 1251, 1188, 1120, 1076, 836, 776, 744 cm 1.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 529.1880, found [M+H]+ 529.1876. 5-(1-Acetyl-4-(2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)benzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine-2-carbonitrile


Prepared
from
1-(6-bromo-4-(2-(()tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)benzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone 2.130 ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (2-cyanopyrimidin-5-yl)boronic acid pinacol ester ( 70 mg , 0.30 mmol ) according to General Procedure 3. Purification of the crude product by silica chromatography (0-30\% EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 5-(1-acetyl-4-(2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)benzyl)-2-cyclopropyl1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine-2-carbonitrile ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$, 67\%) as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES+ ): tR = $1.58 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}^{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 554.4$, ( $95 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.10(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.37-0.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.48-0.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 0.95-1.06 (m, 1H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 3.54 (dd, $J=11.4,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.68 (dd, $J=11.4,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 8.3, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.20-7.35 (m, 4H), 7.41-7.45 (m, 1H), 8.82 (s, 2H).

## 5-(1-Acetyl-2-cyclopropyl-4-(2-(hydroxymethyl)benzyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-

 6yl)pyrimidine-2-carbonitrile

A solution of 5-(1-acetyl-4-(2-
(((tertbutyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)benzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine-2-carbonitrile 2.131 ( 70 mg , 0.10 mmol ) and TBAF ( $131 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) in THF (2 mL ) was stirred at rt for 30 min . The reaction mixture was partitioned between EtOAc ( 10 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous phase extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were evaporated to dryness and the residue was purified by silica chromatography (25-100\%

EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 5-(1-acetyl-2cyclopropyl-4-(2-(hydroxymethyl)benzyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine2carbonitrile ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.08 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 440.3$, ( $97 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(400} \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 0.34-0.65 (m, 4H), 0.89-1.04 (m, 1H), $1.89(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80$ (d, J = $4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.41$ (d, J = 7.6 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 440.2081 , found 440.2075. 5-(1-Acetyl-2-cyclopropyl-4-(2-(hydroxymethyl)benzyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6yl)pyrimidine-2-carboxamide


Prepared from
(hydroxymethyl)benzyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6yl)pyrimidine-2-carbonitrile 2.132 ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to General Procedure 4. Purification of the crude product by High pH MDAP afforded 5-(1-acetyl-2cyclopropyl-4-(2-(hydroxymethyl)benzyl)-1,2,3,4-
tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine-2-carboxamide ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ) as a yellow-green solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): tr $=0.82 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 458.5$ ( $100 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO$d_{6}$ ): $\delta 0.25-0.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.77-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.64$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96-4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=43.6,17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.24 (ddd, $J=7.1,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47$ (br. s, 1H), 7.78 (br s, 1H), 8.17 (br s, 1H), 9.09 (s, 2H).
13
C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 4.0,4.6,13.2,23.3,51.3,53.2,61.1,109.5,114.6,124.3$ (HMBC), 125.8, 126.6, 127.1, 127.5, 128.1, 130.6 (HMBC), 134.4, 135.4, 139.4, 140.2, 155.2, 157.2, 164.7, 168.5. C2 carbon not observed due to peak broadening. M.pt. 131-134 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . v_{\max }$ (neat): 2838, 2572, 2498, 2342, 1689, 1627, 1601, 1568, 1516, 1448, 1382, 1320, 1261, 1090, $1007,978,855,819,748,705 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 458.2187, found 458.2189 .

## tert-Butyl 4-(1-acetyl-4-(2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)benzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-

 1,2,3,4-etrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)-5,6-dihydropyridine-1(2H)-carboxylate


Prepared from 1-(6-bromo-4-(2-(((tertbutyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)benzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-
dihydroquinoxalin-1 $(2 \mathrm{H})$-yl)ethanone $2.130(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19$
mmol ) and (1-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridin-4-yl)boronic acid pinacol ester (88 mg, 0.28 mmol ) according to General Procedure 3. After 2 h further (1-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridin-4-yl)boronic acid pinacol ester (88 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf})(7 \mathrm{mg}, 9.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the reaction was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Purification of the crude product by silica chromatography ( $0-30 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane) afforded tert-butyl 4-(1-acetyl-4-(2-(()tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)benzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)-5,6dihydropyridine-1(2H)-carboxylate ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.106 \mathrm{mmol}, 56 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.78 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 632.6$, ( $99 \%$ purity).
1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.12(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.31-0.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.42-0.57(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}$, 9 H ), 0.95-1.02 (m, 1H), 1.48 (s, 9H), $2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.51-3.60 (m, 3H), 3.94-4.04 (m, 2H), 4.21-4.33 (m, 1H), $4.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.78-$ $5.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
7.19-7.26 (m, 2H), $7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
tert-Butyl 4-(1-acetyl-2-cyclopropyl-4-(2-(hydroxymethyl)benzyl)-1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)-5,6-dihydropyridine-1(2H)-carboxylate

2.135

A solution of tert-butyl 4-(1-acetyl-4-(2-(((tertbutyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)benzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)-5,6-dihydropyridine-1(2H)carboxylate 2.134 ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.106 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBAF ( $138 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.138 mmol, 1 M in THF) in THF ( 2 mL ) was stirred at rt for 2 h. The reaction mixture was purified by silica
chromatography (0-100\% EtOAc/cyclohexane) to afford tert-butyl 4-(1-acetyl-2-cyclopropyl4-(2-(hydroxymethyl)benzyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)-5,6-dihydropyridine$1(2 H)$ carboxylate ( $48 \mathrm{mg}, 0.093 \mathrm{mmol}, 87 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.31$ min, $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$518.5, (97\% purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.29-0.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.42-0.56$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87-0.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.2$, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
$4.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.85-5.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ (d, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. OH not observed.

## 1-(2-Cyclopropyl-4-(2-(hydroxymethyl)benzyl)-6-(1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridin-4-yl)-3,4dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone


2.136

To a solution of tert-butyl 4-(1-acetyl-2-cyclopropyl-4-(2-(hydroxymethyl)benzyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)-5,6dihydropyridine-1 $(2 \mathrm{H})$-carboxylate $2.135(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.116 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 2 mL ) was added TFA ( $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction was stirred at rt for 2 h . The reaction was evaporated to dryness and purified by High pH MDAP to afford 1-(2-cyclopropyl-4-(2(hydroxymethyl)benzyl)-6-(1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridin-4-yl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 H) y l)$ ethanone ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol}, 58 \%$ ) as a white solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.98$ min, $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$418.6, ( $79 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.27-0.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.39-0.55$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86-0.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=11.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.44-3.47
(m, 2H), 3.50 (dd, J = 11.1, $5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.16-4.31 (m, 1H), 4.68 (s, 2H), 4.76 (s, 2H), 5.95-
$6.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.22-7.33 (m, 3H), 7.41 (d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). NH and OH were not observed. ${ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): ~ \delta 3.9,4.1,12.7,22.8,27.7,43.2,45.4,52.2,52.3,53.4,63.4,108.3,113.2$, 123.0, 123.2, 125.3, 127.0, 127.5, 128.3, 128.9, 135.3, 135.9, 138.3, 139.1, 139.8, 169.0.
M.pt. $170-173{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): $3343,2865,1621,1518,1395,1375,1314,1241,1088,961$, 842, 795, $745 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 418.2489 , found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 418.2491$.

## (S)-7-Chloro-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-one

(S)-2-Amino-2-cyclopropylacetic acid (S)-2.009c ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ),

2.138 2-bromo-5-chloroaniline 2.137 ( $206 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{CuCl}(4.95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, DMEDA ( $22 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DBU ( $301 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were placed in an oven-dried microwave tube which was sealed and placed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Anhydrous degassed DMSO $(3.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction mixture was heated to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oil bath for 48 h . The reaction mixture was cooled, diluted with EtOAc ( 10 mL ) and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined aqueous phases extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated in vacuo. Purified by silica chromatography ( $0-100 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford (S)-7-chloro-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin- $2(1 \mathrm{H}$ )-one ( $162 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ) as an off-white oil, which solidified on standing.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.86 \mathrm{~min}$, No mass ion detected, ( $85 \%$ purity).
1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.21-0.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.50-0.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.67-0.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.161 .30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s} ., 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72$ (d, $J=$
$2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.85 (dd, $J=8.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03$ (br. s., 1 H ).
13
C NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.5,3.2,14.0,61.2,114.9,115.1,123.5,124.0,126.1,131.8$, 167.5.
M.pt.: $165-168{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\left[\alpha_{\mathrm{D}}\right]^{25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=-4\left(c=1.0, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 3369, 3206, 2954, 1681, 1598, 1500, 1375, 1289, 1230, 1077, 868, $796 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClN} 2 \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 223.0633 , found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 223.0630$.


To a solution of (S)-7-chloro-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin2(1H)one 2.138 ( $1095 \mathrm{mg}, 4.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 49 mL ) stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at rt was added $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot$ THF ( $14.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) dropwise.

The reaction mixture was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction mixture was then cooled to rt, quenched with $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 30 min . The mixture was basified with 1 M NaOH and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. Purification of the crude product by silica chromatography ( $0-40 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane) and evaporation in vacuo of appropriate fractions afforded (S)-6-chloro-2-cyclopropyl$1,2,3,4$ tetrahydroquinoxaline ( $920 \mathrm{mg}, 4.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ ) as an off-white solid.

LCMS (High $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{ES}^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.11 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$209.3, ( $93 \%$ pure). $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(400} \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): б 0.25-0.37 (m, 2H), 0.51-0.64 (m, 2H), 0.81-0.93 (m, 1H), 2.482.56 (m, 1H), $3.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $10.8,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.45 (dd, $J=10.8,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.75 (br. s., 1 H ), 3.84 (br. s., 1 H ), 6.42 (d, J $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): ~ \delta 2.0,2.8,14.5,46.4,55.8,113.6,114.7,118.0,122.9,132.0,134.3$.
M.pt.: $145-147^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\left[\alpha_{D}\right]^{25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=-84\left(\mathrm{C}=1.0, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): $3381,3078,3003,2821,1602,1508,1461,1349,1307,1269,1250,1137,1122$, 1086, 1017, 957, 845, 795, $705 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS analysis failed.

## (S)-2-Cyclopropyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline



A mixture of ( $S$ )-6-chloro-2-cyclopropyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 9}$ ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \% \mathrm{wt}$ ) in EtOH ( 5 mL ) was stirred under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{rt}$ for 6 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by ion exchange chromatography ( 1 g SCX cartridge, $\mathrm{MeOH} / 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH ) to afford (S)-2-cyclopropyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline (31 mg, 100\%) as a brown solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.83 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 175.2$ ( $100 \%$ pure).
1 H NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 0.20-0.42 (m, 2H), 0.48-0.68 (m, 2H), 0.78-1.01 (m, 1H), 2.57 (td, $J=8.3,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29$ (dd, $J=10.5,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.58-3.90 (m, 2H), 6.48-6.67 (m, 4H).
(S)-tert-Butyl 7-chloro-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxaline-1(2H)-carboxylate To

a solution of (S)-6-chloro-2-cyclopropyl-1,2,3,4 tetrahydroquinoxaline 2.139 ( $840 \mathrm{mg}, 4.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triethylamine (1122 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 8.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMAP ( $246 \mathrm{mg}, 2.013 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 16 mL ) at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $966 \mathrm{mg}, 4.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was gradually alowed to warm to rt and stirred for 24 h . Further di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was stirred for 24 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM ( 10 mL ) and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (0-35\% EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford (S)-tert-butyl 7-chloro-3-cyclopropyl3,4-dihydroquinoxaline-1 $(2 \mathrm{H})$-carboxylate ( $1060 \mathrm{mg}, 3.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ ) as an off-white solid

LCMS (High pH, ES+): tr = $1.43 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$307.3, ( $98 \%$ pure). $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 0.26-0.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.51-0.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.74-0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-$ $3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55$ (br. s., 1H). ${ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.8,2.6,14.3,28.3,46.2,56.4,81.3,114.8,120.9$, 124.2, 124.4, 125.1, 135.4, 153.0. M.pt.:142-144 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\left[\alpha_{D}\right]^{25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=-65\left(\mathrm{C}=1.0, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): $3368,2988,1675,1560,1501,1454,1401,1374,1294,1237,1153,1098,1077$, 1046, 1035, 1018, 984, 869, 860, 804, $766 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 309.1364, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$309.1363.
(S)-1-(6-Chloro-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone To

a solution of (S)-tert-butyl 7-chloro-3-cyclopropyl-3,4dihydroquinoxaline-1 $(2 \mathrm{H})$-carboxylate 2.141 ( $1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 3.43$ mmol ) in 2-MeTHF ( 35 ml ) was added triethylamine ( $5.74 \mathrm{~mL}, 41.2$ mmol ) and acetic anhydride ( $3.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 34.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The reaction mixture was cooled, diluted with EtOAc ( 20 mL ) and washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to afford (S)-tert-butyl 4acetyl-7-chloro-3-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxaline-1 2 H )-carboxylate $(1.234 \mathrm{~g})$. The crude product was dissolved in DCM ( 3 mL ) and TFA ( 3 mL ) was added. The reaction was stirred at rt for 3 h , then evaporated and the residue partitioned between $\mathrm{EtOAc}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 20 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. Purified by silica chromatography ( $0-100 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were
evaporated to afford $(S)$-1-(6-chloro-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin1 $(2 \mathrm{H})$-yl)ethanone $(680 \mathrm{mg}, 2.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.04 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 251.16$, ( $94 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): б 0.29-0.59 (m, 4H), 0.70-0.88 (m, 1H), $2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49$ (ddd, $J=11.5,4.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37$ (br. s., 1H), 6.57-6.65 (m, 2H), 6.87-7.04 (m, 1H). ${ }_{13}$ C NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.8,4.3,11.9,22.8,45.8$, $50.5,113.3,116.0,121.2,126.5,131.3,138.5,168.9$. M.pt.: $122-126^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\left[\alpha_{D}\right]{ }^{25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=+208\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3299, 3004, 2866, 1630, 1603, 1502, 1444, 1380, 1313, 1238, 1227, 1095, 1067, 1023, 874, 849, $788 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ requires 251.0946, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+251.0948$.
(S)-1-(4-(2-(((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)benzyl)-6-chloro-2-cyclopropyl-3,4dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone


To a solution of (S)-1-(6-chloro-2-cyclopropyl-3,4dihydroquinoxalin1 $(2 \mathrm{H})$-yl)ethanone 2.142 ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.518 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added sodium hydride ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ in mineral oil, 1.555 mmol ), and the reaction was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . A solution of ((2(bromomethyl)benzyl)oxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane (204 $\mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.648 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 0.6 mL ) was added dropwise and the reaction was stirred
at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 min . The reaction mixture was poured into water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit, evaporated to dryness and the residue was purified by silica chromatography (0-20\% EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford (S)-1-(4-(2(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)benzyl)-6-chloro-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin1(2H)-yl)ethanone ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.412 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.73 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$485.4, 487.4, (97\% purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.10(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.29-0.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.40-0.57(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85-0.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 2.24 (s, 3H), 3.38 (dd, $J=11.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.51 (dd, $J=11.5,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.13-4.34 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.92-7.06 (m, 1H), 7.13-7.31 (m, 3H), 7.42 (dd, $J=7.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta-5.2,4.0,4.2,12.5,18.3,22.7,25.9,51.7,51.8,52.9,63.4,110.9,115.6,121.9$, 125.5, 126.3, 127.2, 127.8, 128.1, 132.1, 134.3, 138.4, 140.1, 168.8. M.pt.: 136-139 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\left[\alpha_{\mathrm{D}}\right]^{25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=+50\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 2956, 2928, 2893, 2855, 1644, 1597, 1511, 1392, 1359, 1337, 1306, 1256, 1094, 1057, 1041, 833, 777, $742 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{CIN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 485.2386, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 485.2400$.
(S)-5-(1-Acetyl-4-(2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)benzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine-2-carbonitrile

(S)-2.131

A solution of (S)-1-(4-(2-
(((tertbutyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)benzyl)-6-chloro-2-cyclopropyl-3,4dihydroquinoxalin-1 2 H$)$-yl)ethanone 2.129 (109 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.225 \mathrm{mmol})$, (2-cyanopyrimidin-5-yl)boronic acid pinacol ester ( $104 \mathrm{mg}, 0.449 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), BrettPhos Pd G3 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.022$ mmol ) and cesium carbonate ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 0.674 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DME (2.2 mL ) was sealed in a microwave vial, placed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and heated in a Biotage Initiator microwave to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica chromatography (0-40\% EtOAc/cyclohexane) and High pH MDAP to afford (S)-5-(1-acetyl-4-(2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)benzyl)-2cyclopropyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine-2-carbonitrile ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.096 \mathrm{mmol}, 42 \%$ ) as a bright yellow solid. Analysis matched racemate (pp 215).
(S)-5-(1-Acetyl-2-cyclopropyl-4-(2-(hydroxymethyl)benzyl)-1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine-2-carboxamide

(S)-2.133

A solution of
of $\quad(S)-5-(1-a c e t y l-4-(2-$
(((tertbutyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)benzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-1,2,3,4-
tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine-2-carbonitrile (S)-2.131 ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.052 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBAF ( $68 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.068 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) in THF ( 1 mL ) was stirred at rt for 2 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through a plug of silica, eluting with $75 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / c y c l o h e x a n e$. The eluant was evaporated in vacuo and taken up in DMSO ( 0.7 mL ). and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(37 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 37 \%$ wt. aq., 0.432 mmol ) and potassium carbonate ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.086 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h and then purified directly by High pH MDAP to afford (S)-5-(1-acetyl-2-cyclopropyl-4-(2-(hydroxymethyl)benzyl)-1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)pyrimidine-2carboxamide ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol}, 38 \%$ ).
$\left[\alpha_{D}\right]^{25}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=+114\left(c=0.5, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$. Analysis matched racemate ( pp 216 ).
(S)-tert-Butyl 4-(1-acetyl-4-(2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)benzyl)-2cyclopropyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)-5,6-dihydropyridine-1(2H)-carboxylate

(S)-2.134
solution
of
(S)-1-(4-(2-(( tert-
butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)benzyl)-6-chloro-2-cyclopropyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone 2.143 (29 mg, 0.060 mmol ), (1-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-1,2,3,6tetrahydropyridin-4-yl)boronic acid $(37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.120$ mmol),

BrettPhos Pd G3 ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 5.98 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and cesium carbonate ( $58 \mathrm{mg}, 0.179 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in degassed DME ( 0.6 mL ) was sealed in a microwave vial, placed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and heated in a Biotage Initiator microwave to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica chromatography (0-35\% EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo and further purified by High pH MDAP to give (S)-tert-butyl 4-(1-acetyl-4-(2-(((tertbutyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)benzyl)-2-cyclopropyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)-5,6dihydropyridine-1 2 H ) -carboxylate ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.041 \mathrm{mmol}, 69 \%$ ) as an off-white gum.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.76 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 632.5$, ( $93 \%$ pure). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 0.10(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.29-0.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.41-0.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89-0.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}$, 9 H ), 2.27 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.33-2.40 (m, 2H), 3.39 (dd, $J=11.2,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.49-3.57 (m, 3H), 3.98 (q, $J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.79-5.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-5.2,4.0,4.1,12.6,18.3,22.8,25.9,27.4,28.5$, $40.9,43.6,51.8,52.2,53.2,63.4,79.6,107.7,112.7,120.5,122.7,125.3,125.7,127.1,127.7$, 127.9, 135.0, 135.3, 138.3, 139.1, 139.1, 154.8, 168.8.
$\left[\alpha_{\mathrm{D}}\right]^{22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=+110(\mathrm{C}=0.1, \mathrm{MeOH}) . v_{\max }($ neat $): 2929,2856,1692,1651,1516,1365,1336,1291$, $1240,1168,1113,1063,956,835,775,732,666 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 632.3878, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 632.3884$.

## 1-(2-Cyclopropyl-4-(2-(hydroxymethyl)benzyl)-6-(1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridin-4-yl)-3,4dihydroquinoxalin-1(2H)-yl)ethanone

 (S)-2.134 (26 mg, 0.041 mmol$)$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added HCl ( $0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.823 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction was stirred at $r t$ for 6 h . The reaction was purified by ion exchange chromatography (sulphonic acid (SCX) 1 g , sequential solvents $\mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH} 3 / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ), fractions were evaporated in vacuo and further purified by silica chromatography (0-100\% (3:1 $\mathrm{EtOAc}: \mathrm{EtOH}) / c y c l o h e x a n e)$. Appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo and further
purified using Formic MDAP, after evaporation the product was freebased using ion exchange chromatography (sulphonic acid (SCX) 500 mg , sequential solvents $\mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ), fractions were evaporated to afford (S)-1-(2-cyclopropyl-4-(2-(hydroxymethyl)benzyl)-6-(1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridin-4-yl)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin$1(2 H) y l)$ ethanone ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.019 \mathrm{mmol}, 47 \%$ ).
$\left[\alpha_{\mathrm{D}}\right]^{22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=+119(\mathrm{c}=0.1, \mathrm{MeOH})$. Analysis matched racemate (pp 217).

### 4.3 Compound Synthesis and Characterisation - Design and Synthesis of BRPF1 Bromodomain Inhibitors

## General Procedure A - Warhead Amide Formation

To a solution of the respective heterocyclic carboxylic acid (1 eq), HATU (1.2 eq) and DIPEA (3 eq) in DMF ( 0.3 M ) was added the respective amine ( 2 eq ), and the reaction was stirred at rt for 2 h . The reaction mixture was filtered and purified by High pH MDAP. The appropriate fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the product.

## General Procedure B - Pyrazolopyrimidine Suzuki

5-chloro- $N$-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.030 (1 eq.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}}(5$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), sodium carbonate ( 2 eq .) and the respective boronic acid or pinacol ester ( 1.5 eq ) were placed in a microwave vial, which was sealed and placed under an atmosphere of nitrogen. Toluene, EtOH and water ( $2: 1: 1,0.15 \mathrm{M}$ ) were added and the reaction was heated in a Biotage Initiator microwave to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica chromatography or MDAP and the fractions evaporated to dryness to afford the product.

## General Procedure C- $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$

To a solution of 5-chloro- $N$-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3 (1 eq) in DMSO ( 0.3 M ) was added DIPEA ( 3 eq ) and the respective amine ( 2 eq ). The reaction was stirred at rt or heated in a Biotage Initiator microwave reaction to $90-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until complete.

After cooling the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and purified directly by High pH MDAP. The solvent was evaporated to afford the product.

## General Procedure D - Boc Deprotection

To a solution of the Boc-amine in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) was added TFA $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the reaction was stirred at rt for 90 min. The reaction mixture was purified directly by ion exchange chromatography (sulphonic acid (SCX), sequential solvents $\mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ). The appropriate fractions were combined and evaporated in vacuo to give the product.

## General Procedure E - Amine Acylation

To a solution of a primary or secondary amine (1 eq) and pyridine (2 eq) in DCM ( 0.14 M ) was added the respective acylating agent ( 1.5 eq ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h , then diluted with DCM ( 5 mL ) and washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM ( 5 mL ), dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica chromatography ( $0-100 \%$ ( $3: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc}: \mathrm{EtOH}$ )/cyclohexane), and the appropriate fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford the product.

## General Procedure F - Reductive Amination

A suspension of the desired amine (1 eq), aldehyde (1.5 eq) and magnesium sulphate in DCM ( 0.1 M ) was stirred at rt for 24 h . The reaction mixture was filtered and evaporated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ and sodium borohydride ( 5 eq ) was added portionwise. The reaction was stirred at rt for 1 h , then quenched with water and evaporated. The residue was partitioned between water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and EtOAc $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were washed with brine, dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography or MDAP to afford the product.

## N-Methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


3.007b Synthesised according to General Procedure A using pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid 3.006 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}(919 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 2 M in THF $1.839 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (10-50\% (3:1 EtOAc: EtOH )/cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated in vacuo and further purified by High pH MDAP to afford $N$ -
methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $87 \mathrm{mg}, 0.494 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \%$ ) as a white solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES+): tr=0.50 min, [M+H]+ 177.2, (100\% purity). ${ }_{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, MeODd4): $\delta 3.01$ (s, 3H), 7.19 (dd, J = 7.0, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 8.53 (s, 1H),
$8.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Amide proton not observed. 13
C NMR (101 MHz, MeOD-d4): $\delta 24.7,104.7,109.6,136.8,145.5,145.9,152.0,163.7$. M.pt.: $159-161^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . V_{\max }($ neat $): 3372,3103,2509,1644,1612,1560,1527,1496,1445,1399,1385$, $1325,1295,1265,1230,1212,1170,1109,1021,906,776 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 177.0776, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$177.0779.

## Pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


3.007b

Synthesised according to General Procedure A using pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine3 -carboxylic acid 3.006 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ammonium chloride ( 16 mg , 0.31 mmol ), to afford pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxamide ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09$ mmol, 60\%).
LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.42 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$163.2, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}-\mathrm{d}_{4}\right): \delta 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.77$ (dd, $J=$ $4.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Exchangeable protons were not observed.

## $N$-Ethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide



Synthesised according to General Procedure A using pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid 3.006 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{EtNH}_{2}(0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 0.31 mmol ), to afford $N$-ethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( 23 mg , $0.121 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \%)$.
LCMS (High $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{ES}{ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.60 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$191.2,
(100\% purity). 1
H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD-d4): $\delta 1.31-1.41$ (m, 3H), 3.55-3.65 (m, 2H), 7.24-7.34 (m, 1H), $8.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.82-8.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 9.11-9.19 (m, 1H). Amide proton not observed.

## N-Cyclopropylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide



Synthesised according to General Procedure A using pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid 3.006 (25 mg, 0.15 mmol ) and cyclopropylamine ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to afford N -cyclopropylpyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%$ ).
3.007d LCMS (High pH, ES + ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.62 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$203.3, (100\% purity). 1

H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD-d4): $\delta$ 0.61-0.70 (m, 2H), 0.81-0.92 (m, 2H), 2.84-2.94 (m, 1H), 7.15-7.24 (m, 1H), $8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70-8.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 9.01-9.09 (m, 1H). Exchangeable protons were not observed.

## N,N-Dimethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


3.007e

Synthesised according to General Procedure A using pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid 3.006 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dimethylamine, ( $0.039 \mathrm{~mL}, 40 \%$ wt. in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to afford $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ -dimethylpyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.042 \mathrm{mmol}, 27 \%$ ). LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.48 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$191.2, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}-$ d4): $\delta 3.10-3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.13$ (dd, $J=7.0,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.68$ (dd, $J=4.2,1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.99(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## tert-Butyl pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-3-ylcarbamate



To a suspension of pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid 3.006 (100 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.613 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $171 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.226 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 4 mL ) stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at rt was added diphenyl phosphorazidate ( $198 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.919$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 15 min , then heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . tert-Butanol ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added
and the reaction was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . The reaction mixture was then cooled to rt and partitioned between EtOAc $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organics were dried using a hydrophobic frit and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (10-50\% (3:1 EtOAc:EtOH)), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford tert-butyl pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-3-ylcarbamate ( $85 \mathrm{mg}, 0.363 \mathrm{mmol}, 59 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.79 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]{ }^{-}$233.2, ( $75 \%$ purity).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d $)$ : $\delta 1.46$ (s, 9H), 6.98 (dd, $J=7.1,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.23 (br. s., 1H), 8.48 (dd, $J=4.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.89(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-3-amine


To a solution of tert-butyl pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-3-ylcarbamate 3.008 (85 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.363 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 3.6 mL ) was added TFA ( $559 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 7.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h . The reaction mixture was purified by ion
3.009 exchange chromatography (SCX 5 g , sequential solvents: $\mathrm{MeOH} / 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH} 3$ in MeOH ). The appropriate fractions were combined and concentrated under reduced pressure to give pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-3-amine ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.321 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%$ ) as an orange solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES+): tr = 0.36 min , no mass ion observed, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 4.24$ (br. s., 2H), 6.73 (dd, $J=7.1,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.71 (s, 1H), 8.20 (dd, $J=3.9$, $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## $N$-(Pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-3-yl)acetamide



To a solution of pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-3-amine 3.009 ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.112 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine ( $18 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.224 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added acetyl chloride $(12 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.168 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h , and then diluted with DCM ( 5 mL ) and washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (5
$\mathrm{mL})$. The aqueous was extracted with DCM ( 5 mL ), dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica chromatography (0-90\% 3:1 EtOAc:EtOH/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford $N$ (pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-3-yl)acetamide ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.085 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.38 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 177.2$, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $4.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. 13

C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d $_{6}$ : $\delta 23.3,108.9,111.2,136.1,138.2,138.9,148.7,168.6$. M.pt.: $220-223^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3232, 3119, 3072, 3030, 1676, 1628, 1591, 1541, 1480, 1408, 1379, 1359, 1336, $1306,1266,1168,1136,1110,1003,907,797,770,751,733,658 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 177.0771, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$177.0776.

## N-Methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridine-3-carboxamide



To a suspension of pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridine-3-carboxylic acid 3.011 ( 72 mg , $0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ and oxalyl chloride ( $78 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 2.5 mL ) stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at rt was added DMF ( $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was 3.012 stirred at $r t$ for 3 h , until the suspension became a clear brown solution. The reaction mixture was evaporated in vacuo, redissolved in THF ( 2.5 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}(666 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 1.33 mmol$)$ was added and the reaction was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc ( 10 mL ) and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography ( $0-25 \% \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ), fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford N -methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridine-3-carboxamide ( 64 mg ,
$0.365 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$ ) as a white solid
LCMS (High pH, ES+): tr = $0.55 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+]$ 175.2, ( $95 \%$ pure). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(400} \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 3.03 (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.79-5.97$ (m, 1H), 6.92 (ddd, $J=7.1$,
$6.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35$ (ddd, $J=9.1,6.8,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29-8.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46-$ $8.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## N-Methyl-1H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide


3.016 Synthesised according to General Procedure A using pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine3carboxylic acid 3.013 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}(1.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 3.70 mmol ), affording N -methyl-1 H -pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide (130 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%)$.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): tr=0.62 min, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$176.2, (91\% purity). 1 H NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.97$ (br. s., 1 H ), 9.85 (br. s., 1H). ${ }_{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 25.9,110.6,117.5,120.1,129.7,131.9,143.0,143.5$, 166.1. M.pt.: $143-148^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . v_{\max }$ (neat): $3136,3098,3055,2933,2888,1620,1575,1498,1454$, 1413, 1336, 1283, 1205, 1160, 1130, 1083, 998, 888, $764 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 176.0818 , found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 176.0816$.

## N -Methyl-1 H -pyrazolo[4,3-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide


3.017

Synthesised according to General Procedure A using pyrazolo[4,3-b]pyridine3carboxylic acid 3.014 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.306 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}(0.49 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 0.99 mmol ), affording $N$-methyl-1 H -pyrazolo[4,3-b]pyridine-3carboxamide (2.4 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \%)$.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.51 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$177.0, (70\% purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63-$
$8.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.89(\mathrm{q}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 13.85-14.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## N,5-Dimethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


3.018a

Synthesised according to General Procedure A using 5-methylpyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid 3.015 a ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.282$ mmol) and $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}(0.49 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 0.99 mmol ), affording $\mathrm{N}, 5-$ dimethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxamide ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ ). LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.57 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+191.2$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87$ (br. s., 1H), 8.57-8.64 (m, 2H)

## N,6-Dimethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide



Synthesised according to General Procedure A using 6-methylpyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid 3.015 b ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.282$ mmol) and $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}(0.49 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 0.99 mmol ), affording $\mathrm{N}, 6-$ dimethylpyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.168 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ ). LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.57 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+191.2$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 2.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s} ., 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.58 (dd, $J=2.0,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## N,7-Dimethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide



Synthesised according to General Procedure A using 7-methylpyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid $\mathbf{3 . 0 1 8 c}$ ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.282 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}(0.49 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 0.99 mmol$)$, affording $\mathrm{N}, 7-$ dimethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3-carboxamide ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.221 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES + ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.56 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+191.2$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.88$ (d, $\left.J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.09(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.87$ (dd, $J=$ $4.4,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.95 (br. s., 1 H ), 8.52 (d, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.72 (s, 1H). M.pt.: 171-173 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .13$ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl $_{3}$ ): $\delta 17.2,25.8,106.2,108.5,146.0,146.2,147.9,150.0,162.9 . v_{\max }$
(neat): 3350, 3062, 1645, 1552, 1414, 1389, 1335, 1267, 1244, 1169, 1037, 940, 857, 802, $779,716 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{ON}_{4}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ requires 191.0933, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+191.0936$.

## N,1-Dimethyl-1 H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide



A solution of N -methyl-1 H -pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide 3.016 ( 10 mg , 0.057 mmol ), tetrabutylammonium hydrogen sulfate ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 5.71 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $50 \%$ aq. potassium hydroxide ( $11 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.057 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred vigorously at rt for 5 min . Methyl iodide ( $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.068 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred vigorously at rt for 18 h . The reaction mixture was partitioned between $\mathrm{DCM}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous was extracted with DCM ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organics were evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (0-100\% (3:1
$\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{EtOH}) /$ cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated to dryness and further purified by SPE (sulphonic acid (SCX) 1g, sequential solvents MeOH, $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH} 3 / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ). Appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to give $N, 1$-dimethyl-1 H -pyrrolo[3,2b]pyridine3 -carboxamide ( $8.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.69 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+190.2$, ( $97 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49$ (dd, $J=4.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70$ (br. s., 1H). ${ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): ~ \delta 25.7,33.4,110.8,117.0,117.5,130.1,135.6,143.3,143.4,164.6$.
M.pt.: 170-171 ${ }^{\circ}$ C. $v_{\max }(n e a t): 3332,3060,1644,1610,1558,1451,1415,1387,1334,1312$, 1266, 1229, 1144, 1072, 981, 923, $780 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 190.0975 found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 190.0976$.

## Ethyl 6-methylpyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrimidine-8-carboxylate

A suspension of ethyl 2-amino-5-methyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate 3.021 (100 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.595 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1,1,3,3-tetramethoxypropane ( $0.157 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.951 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetic acid ( 0.6 mL ) was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed tube (oil bath) for 1 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to rt, neutralised with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. Purified by silica chromatography (50-100\% EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated to afford ethyl 6methylpyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrimidine-8-carboxylate ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.103 \mathrm{mmol}, 17 \%$ ) as a yellow gum.
LCMS (Formic, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.76 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+205.0$, ( $90 \%$ pure). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.0$,
$1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 11.0,14.7,59.9,102.4,107.8,117.1,117.9$, 129.5, 140.9, 146.0, 164.1.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 2981, 1682, 1620, 1557, 1524, 1503, 1436, 1328, 1277, 1239, 1208, 1127, 1073, 1041, $779 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 205.0972, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$205.0970.

## 6-Methylpyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrimidine-8-carboxylic acid

To a solution of ethyl 6-methylpyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrimidine-8-carboxylate 3.022 (32 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.157 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 4 M aq. $\mathrm{KOH}(118 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.470$ mmol ), and the reaction was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and purified by SPE (aminopropyl $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2 \mathrm{~g}$, sequential solvents $\mathrm{MeOH} / 1.25 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}$ in MeOH ). The product did not absorb to the column and the appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 6-methylpyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrimidine-8carboxylic acid, potassium salt ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. Used for further chemistry without purification.

LCMS (Formic, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.56 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 177.0$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.0,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.06-8.09 (m, 1H), $8.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Acid proton not observed.

## N,6-Dimethylpyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrimidine-8-carboxamide

Synthesised according to General Procedure A using potassium 6methylpyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrimidine-8-carboxylate 3.023 ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.140 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$, ( $0.140 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 0.281 mmol ). After 90 min , further HATU (59 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.155 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{MeNH}_{2},(0.140 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 0.281 mmol$)$ and DIPEA ( $0.074 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.421 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the reaction was stood for 18 hr . After High pH MDAP, the product contained residual DIPEA and silicone grease so was dried under vacuum, loaded onto a plug of silica, washed with cyclohexane and eluted with

EtOAc. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to afford N,6-dimethylpyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrimidine8carboxamide ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.048 \mathrm{mmol}, 34 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.65 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$190.2, ( $96 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}-$ $d_{4}$ ): $\delta 2.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{q}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32$ (dd, $J=4.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.47 (dd, $J=7.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). NH was not observed. ${ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, MeOD-d4): $\delta 9.3,24.6,103.8,107.3,114.6,118.7,130.7,138.8,144.7,166.1$. M.pt.: 70-73 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3460, 3317, 2919, 1618, 1600, 1563, 1508, 1440, 1379, 1331, 1284, 1260, 1092, $843,774,622,556 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 190.0975, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 190.0974$.

## 6-Methylpyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrimidine-8-carboxylic acid



A suspension of ethyl 5-amino-3-methyl-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate 3.025 (230 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.359 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1,1,3,3-tetramethoxypropane ( $0.302 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.835 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed tube for 5 min . The reaction mixture was cooled to rt, neutralised with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$
and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. Purified by silica chromatography (50-100\%

EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford ethyl 2methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate ( $155 \mathrm{mg}, 0.755 \mathrm{mmol}, 56 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.72 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{EtO}^{-}\right]$160.1, ( $96 \%$ pure).
${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl $)_{3}$ : $\delta 1.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.97 (dd, $J=7.0,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 2-Methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid



A solution of ethyl 2-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate 3.026 (155 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaOH}(2.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ aq., 2.3 mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and THF ( 2.5 mL ) was stirred at rt for 18 h . The reaction mixture was acidified to pH 1 with 5 M HCl and evaporated to dryness. The residue was
dissolved in DMSO, filtered and purified TFA MDAP to afford 2-methylpyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.305 \mathrm{mmol}, 40 \%$ ) as an off-white solid.

LCMS (TFA, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.41 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 178.1$, ( $100 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 2.60 (s, 3H), 7.19 (dd, $J=7.1,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.73$ (dd, $J=$
4.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 9.13 (dd, $J=6.8,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 12.26 (br. s, 1H).

## N,2-Dimethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide



Synthesised according to General Procedure A using 2-methylpyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid 3.027 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF ( $0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was filtered and purified by TFA MDAP. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by ion exchange chromatography (sulphonic acid (SCX) $500 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ). The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to afford N,2-dimethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 89 \%$ ) as a white solid. LCMS (TFA, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.49 \mathrm{~min}$, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+191.0$, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 2.64$ (s, 3H), 2.88 (d, J = 4.6 $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93-8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.3,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.18$ (dd, $J=7.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).

13
C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 14.8,25.9,101.8,109.7,137.1,146.6,152.0,156.8,163.1$. M.pt.: $160-164{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3363, 3070, 1615, 1532, 1424, 1399, 1353, 1275, 1226, 1146, 1023, 840, 785, $683 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 191.0927, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+191.0928$.

## 5-Chloro-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide



To a suspension of 5-chloropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid 3.029 ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 12.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and oxalyl chloride ( $2.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 75 mL ) stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at rt was added DMF ( $0.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h , until the suspension became a clear brown solution. The reaction mixture was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was redissolved in DCM and evaporated to dryness to afford the acid chloride ( $2.96 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ ). The residue was redissolved in THF ( 30 mL ) and $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}(19 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 37.8 mmol ) was added under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was then diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (75-100\% EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 5-chloro- $N$-methylpyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide $(1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 8.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%)$ as a cream solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.63 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$211.2, ( $93 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.08$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.96 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.43 (br. s., 1 H ), $8.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }_{13} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{NMR}}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 26.0,106.4,110.0,137.3,144.6$, 148.0, 152.7, 161.9. M.pt.: 212-213 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $v_{\max }$ (neat): 3376, 3110, 3066, 1648, 1609, 1563, $1515,1400,1306,1237,1220,1151,1132,1113,1067,959,932,921,805,775,743,720$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 211.0381, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 211.0381$.

## N-Methyl-5-phenylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


3.005

Prepared according to General Procedure B, with 5-chloro-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.030 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12$ mmol ) and phenylboronic acid ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Purified by High pH MDAP to afford $N$-methyl-5-phenylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 67 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.87 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 253.2$, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): б 3.11 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05-$ 8.12 (m, 2H), 8.67 (s, 1H), 8.77 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H). 13 C NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): ס 26.0, 106.2, $106.5,127.5,129.3,131.4,136.1,136.3,145.7,147.4,158.2,162.9$. M.pt.: $145-147^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $V_{\max }$ (neat): 1652, 1615, 1560, 1490, 1472, 1415, 1288, 1227, 785, 770, 748, $691 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 253.1084 , found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 253.1083$.

## 5-(Cyclopent-1-en-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide



Prepared according to General Procedure B, with 5-chloro-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.030 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12$ mmol) and 2-(cyclopent-1-en-1-yl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl1,3,2dioxaborolane. Purification by High pH MDAP, affording 5-(cyclopent1-en-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}$, $21 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{tr}=0.92 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$243.3, ( $90 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): б 2.07-2.16 (m, 2H), 2.70 (tq, J=7.5, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 2.88-2.96 (m, 2H), $3.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 6.79-6.85 (m, 1H), 7.14 (d, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92$ (br. s., 1 H ), 8.57 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.59 (s, 1H).

5-(Bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide

3.032

Prepared according to General Procedure B, with 5-chloro-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.030 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12$ mmol ) and 3,6 -dihydro-2H-pyran-4-ylboronic acid pinacol ester ( 30 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$. Purified by HPLC (Zorbax SB C8 $21.2 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $35-99 \%$ ( $0.1 \%$ Trifluoroacetic Acid in water)/MeCN), ${ }^{385}$ fractions
were evaporated to dryness and further purified by High pH MDAP to afford 5 (bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03$ mmol, 25\%).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.01 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$269.2, ( $97 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): б 1.13-1.27 (m, 2H), 1.35-1.41 (m, 1H), 1.59-1.67 (m, 1H), 1.841.97 (m, 2H), $3.08(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.15-3.20 (m, 1H), 3.65-3.70 (m, 1H), $6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 7.96 (br. s., 1H), 8.54 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.58 (s, 1H).

## 5-(3,6-Dihydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


3.033

Prepared according to General Procedure B, with 5-chloro-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide $\mathbf{3 . 0 3 0}$ ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12$ mmol ) and 3,6-dihydro-2H-pyran-4-ylboronic acid pinacol ester ( 30 mg , $0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$. Purified by High pH MDAP to afford 5-(3,6-dihydro-
2H-pyran-4-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide (19 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.074 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \%)$
LCMS (High pH, ES + ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.69 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 259.2$, ( $98 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): б 2.71-2.77 (m, 2H), $3.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.89 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.50 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.83-6.89 (m, 1H), 7.14 (d, J = 7.34 Hz, 1H), 7.72-7.85 (m, 1H), $8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 5-(3,4-Dihydro-2H-pyran-6-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide



Prepared according to General Procedure B, with 5-chloro-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.030 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12$ mmol) and 2-(3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran-6-yl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl1,3,2dioxaborolane ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and purified by High pH MDAP. The solvent was evaporated to afford 5-(3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran-6-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.085 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.82 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$259.2, ( $98 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.95-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.36$ (td, $J=6.2,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.08 (d, $J=$ $4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{t}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80$ (br. s., $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .13$
C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 21.0,21.9,26.0,66.9,104.9,105.5,105.6,136.0,145.2,147.2$, 149.1, 154.4, 162.9. M. pt.: 179-181 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $v_{\max }$ (neat): 3364, 3052, 3002, 2929, 1648, 1610, $1560,1509,1421,1348,1279,1232,1174,1087,1065,913,838,771 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ requires 259.1190, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+259.1190$.

## N-Methyl-5-(1-methyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridin-4-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide hydrochloride


3.035

Prepared according to General Procedure B, with 5-chloro-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.030 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12$ mmol ) and 1-methyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2$\mathrm{yl}) 1,2,3,6$-tetrahydropyridine $(31.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol})$ and purified by High pH MDAP. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo, dissolved in DCM ( 3 mL ) and $\mathrm{HCl}\left(119 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.237 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added dropwise with stirring. The resulting precipitate was collected by filtration and dried under vacuum to afford Nmethyl-5-(1-methyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridin-4-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide hydrochloride ( $4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.013 \mathrm{mmol}, 11 \%$ ) as a brown solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.68 \mathrm{~min}$, [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$] 272.2, (92\% purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 2.91$ (d, $J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.17 $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.15$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{q}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.39-10.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
tert-Butyl 4-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)-5,6-dihydropyridine-1(2H)-carboxylate


Prepared according to General Procedure B, with 5-chloro-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.030 (100 $\mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.475 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tert-butyl 4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2dioxaborolan-2-yl)-5,6-dihydropyridine-1(2H)-carboxylate $(176 \mathrm{mg}, 0.570 \mathrm{mmol})$. Purified by silica chromatography ( $0-$

100\% EtOAc/cyclohexane), affording tert-butyl 4-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5yl)-5,6-dihydropyridine-1(2H)-carboxylate ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.302 \mathrm{mmol}, 64 \%$ ) as a green gum, which was $80 \%$ pure by NMR and used for further chemistry without further purification. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.98 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 358.4$, ( $84 \%$ purity).

## N-Methyl-5-(1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridin-4-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide hydrochloride


(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidin-5-yl)-5,6-dihydropyridine-1(2H)-carboxylate $3.036(130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.291 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 2 mL ) and TFA ( 1 mL ) was stirred at rt for 1 h . The reaction mixture was evaporated, azeotroped with
MeCN and purified by High pH MDAP. The fractions were evaporated to dryness and the residue was dissolved in DCM ( 3 mL ). $\mathrm{HCl}(0.145 \mathrm{~mL}, 4 \mathrm{M}$ in 1,4-dioxane, 0.582 mmol ) was added dropwise with stirring. The resulting suspension was filtered and the solid dried under vacuum to afford $N$-methyl-5-(1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridin-4yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide hydrochloride ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.143 \mathrm{mmol}, 49 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.58 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 258.2$, ( $100 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 2.91$ ( $\mathrm{d}, ~ J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.92-2.97 (m, 2H), 3.29-3.41 (m, 2H), 3.86-3.96 (m, 2H), 7.13 (br. s., 1H), $7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{q}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.53 (s, 1H), 9.25 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 9.35 (br. s., 2H).

C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d d $_{6}$ : $\delta 21.6,26.3,40.5,42.1,100.0,106.0,127.6,133.5,137.5$, 145.0, 146.5, 157.1, 162.1. M.pt.: $260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp). $v_{\max }$ (neat): $3366,2936,2789,1641,1611,1562,1509,1420,1319,1272,1232,1182,1095$, 1088, 834, 806, $775 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 258.1349, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 258.1348$
tert-Butyl 3-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-2-ene-8-carboxylate

3.038

Prepared according to General Procedure B, with 5-chloroN-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.030
( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.237 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-butyl 3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-2-ene8carboxylate ( $96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.285 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and purified by silica
chromatography ( $0-90 \%$ 3:1 EtOAc/EtOH:cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo and further purified by High pH MDAP, affording tert-butyl 3-(3(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-2-ene-8carboxylate ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.094 \mathrm{mmol}, 40 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.03 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 384.5$, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d d $_{6}$ : $\delta 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.092.26 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.50-4.57 (m, 1H), 7.46-7.48 (m, 1H), 7.50 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{q}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 5$-(8-Azabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-2-en-3-yl)-N-

3.039
methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide To a solution of tert-butyl 3-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidin-5-yl)-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-2-ene-8-carboxylate 3.038 ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.094 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 1 mL ) was added TFA ( $72 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.939 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the reaction was stirred at rt for 1 h . The reaction mixture was purified by ion exchange chromatography (sulphonic
acid (SCX) 2 g , sequential solvents $\mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ). The appropriate fractions were combined, evaporated in vacuo and purified by High pH MDAP. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to afford 5-(8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-2-en-3-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide ( $3 \mathrm{mg}, 10.59 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 11 \%$ ) as a yellow gum. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.63 \mathrm{~min}$, [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$] 284.3, (93\% purity). 1
H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD- $d_{4}$ ): ס 1.82-1.93 (m, 1H), 2.06-2.18 (m, 1H), 2.20-2.33 (m, 2H), 2.78 $(\mathrm{d}, ~ J=18.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.28-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
Exchangeable protons were not observed.

## 5-Cyclopropyl-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide



5-Chloro-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.030 (25 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), palladium (II) acetate ( $1.1 \mathrm{mg}, 4.75 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), potassium cyclopropyltrifluoroborate ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), di(adamantan$1 \mathrm{yl})($ butyl)phosphine (cataCXium® A, $2.6 \mathrm{mg}, 7.12 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and cesium carbonate ( $116 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were sealed in a microwave vial and
placed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Toluene ( 0.4 mL ) and water ( 0.4 mL ) were added by syringe and the reaction was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a Biotage Initiator microwave reactor for 2 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica chromatography $(0-12 \% \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{EtOAc})$, appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 5-cyclopropyl-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$, 94\%) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.73 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 217.2$, ( $97 \%$ purity). $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 1.19-1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
$6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73$ (br. s., 1H), $8.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

3.041

Chloro- $N$-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.030 (25 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.119 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4.2 \mathrm{mg}, 5.93 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and Cul $(1.1 \mathrm{mg}$, $5.93 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were sealed in a microwave vial and placed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Triethylamine ( $66 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.475 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ethynylcyclopropane ( $28 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 70 \%$ wt in toluene, 0.237 mmol ) and anhydrous DMF ( 0.6 mL ) were added by syringe and microwave reactor to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by High pH MDAP. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to afford 5-(cyclopropylethynyl)- $N$ -methylpyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.058 \mathrm{mmol}, 49 \%$ ) as a brown solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.83 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 241.3$, ( $95 \%$ pure). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta$ 0.97-1.11 (m, 4H), 1.53-1.64 (m, 1H), 3.07 (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
6.91 (d, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.71 (br. s., 1H), 8.62 (d, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.65 (s, 1H). ${ }_{13}$ C NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.4,9.5,26.0,74.8,101.5,106.1,111.8,135.3,144.6,145.3,147.4,162.5$.
M.pt.: $178-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . v_{\max }$ (neat): $3385,3053,3004,2223,1650,1608,1551,1506,1460,1420$, $1300,1228,1169,980,917,831,776 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## N-Methyl-5-(neopentylamino)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


3.042

Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 5-chloro-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.030 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14$ mmol), DIPEA ( $37 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and neopentylamine ( $33 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.29$ mmol ) at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, affording N -methyl-5-(neopentylamino)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.126 \mathrm{mmol}, 89 \%$ ) as a white solid.
 $\delta 1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15-8.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

N-Methyl-5-(methylamino)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide Prepared

3.043 according to General Procedure C, with 5-chloro-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.030 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.14 mmol ) and 2 M methylamine in THF ( $95 \mu \mathrm{~L}$,
0.19 mmol ) at rt for 1 h , affording N -methyl-5-(methylamino)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.068 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES+ ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.55 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 206.2$, ( $100 \%$ purity) ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d $)_{6}$ : $\delta 2.85(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80$ (q, $J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{q}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .13$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 25.9,27.7,101.2,101.7,136.0,144.4,146.7,157.5,163.1$. M.pt.: 296-298 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): $3273,3132,1631,1570,1511,1448,1407,1245,1171,954,881,822,770,704$, $627,580 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 206.1037, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$206.1036.
N-Methyl-5-(piperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 5-chloro-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-
 a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.030 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $37 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.21 mmol ) and piperidine ( $28 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at
$120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, affording N -methyl-5-(piperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.84 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 260.1$, ( $90 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): б 1.63-1.82 (m, 6H), 3.03 (d, J = $4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s} ., 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .13$ C NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 24.4,25.6,25.8,46.2,96.7,102.0,136.0,146.2,146.4,155.9$, 163.9.
M.pt.: 193-195 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$V_{\max }($ neat $): 3357,2939,1648,1568,1634,1488,1460,1446,1255,1224,895,777 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 260.1506, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$260.1507.

## 6-Methylcyclohex-1-en-1-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate

To a solution of 2-methylcyclohexanone 3.046 (486 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DME $(13 \mathrm{~mL})$ stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added LDA ( $2.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF/ heptane/ethylbenzene 4.80 mmol ) dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , then a solution of $1,1,1$-trifluoro- $N$-phenyl$N(($ trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl)methanesulfonamide ( $1572 \mathrm{mg}, 4.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DME ( 13 mL ) was added dropwise and the reaction was allowed to warm to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 5 h . The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness and purified by silica chromatography (cyclohexane) to afford 6-methylcyclohex-1-en-1-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate (455 mg, 1.86
mmol, 47\%) as a colourless oil. 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.14$ (d, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.41$1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-$ 2.60 (m, 1H), 5.73 (td, J = 4.1, $1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). 19386,387

F NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-74.30$. Analysis consistent with literature.

## 4,4,5,5-Tetramethyl-2-(6-methylcyclohex-1-en-1-yl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane


3.048

A solution of 6-methylcyclohex-1-en-1-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate 3.047 ( $440 \mathrm{mg}, 1.802 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bis(pinacolato)diboron ( $686 \mathrm{mg}, 2.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (dppf) ( $65.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.090 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium acetate ( $530 \mathrm{mg}, 5.40$ mmol ) in DMF ( 9 mL ) was placed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was dry-loaded onto silica and purified by silica chromatography ( $0-12 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 4,4,5,5tetramethyl-2-(6-methylcyclohex-1-en-1-yl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 0.495 \mathrm{mmol}, 28 \%$ ) as a colourless oil. Analysis was consistent with the literature, ${ }^{388} \sim 50 \%$ pure, taken forward to further chemistry without purification.

N-Methyl-5-(6-methylcyclohex-1-en-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide

3.049

Prepared according to General Procedure B, with 5-chloro-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.030 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.237$ mmol ) and 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(6-methylcyclohex-1-en-1-yl)1,3,2dioxaborolane ( $50 \%$ pure, $127 \mathrm{mg}, 0.285 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Purified by silica chromatography (70-100\% EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions
were evaporated in vacuo and further purified by High pH MDAP to afford N -methyl-5-(6methylcyclohex-1-en-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.026 \mathrm{mmol}$, $11 \%)$ as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.06 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$271.1, ( $100 \%$ purity). $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 1.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.08 (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.92-8.00 (m, 1H), 8.59-8.62 (m, 2H), ${ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 17.5,19.9,25.9,26.7$, 28.1, 29.9, 105.7, 106.1, 135.1, 135.2,
135.4, 140.7, 146.7, 159.5, 163.2 M.pt.: 64-66 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} v_{\max }$ (neat): 3358, 2932, 1641, 1615, 1559 $1509,1465,1415,1267,1216,1180,1118,1085,989,900,803,777,722,695,636,559$, $536 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 271.1553, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 271.1551$.

## N-Methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


$( \pm)-3.050$

Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 5-chloro-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.030 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.190$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, 2-methylpiperidine ( $45 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.380 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.285$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was diluted with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics
were washed with brine, dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica chromatography ( $0-25 \% \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford ( $\pm$ )- N-methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $48 \mathrm{mg}, 0.176 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%$ ) as an off-white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.89 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 274.5$, ( $93 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{td}, J=13.3,3.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63-4.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }_{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 15.2,18.5,25.4,25.8$, 30.3, 39.9, 47.9, 96.9, 101.9, 136.0, 146.1, 146.4, 155.9, 163.9.
M.pt.: $158-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3338, 2950, 2852, 1635, 1567, 1428, 1452, 1358, 1263, 1223, 1181, 1150 1051, 882, 796, $774 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 274.1662, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$274.1662.

The enantiomers were separated by chiral $\operatorname{HPLC}^{336}(30 \% \mathrm{EtOH} /$ Heptane,f $=30 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, Column $30 \mathrm{~mm} \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ Chiralpak AS-H):
( $R$ )-N-methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide (18 mg, $0.066 \mathrm{mmol}, 35 \%)$. Second eluting isomer, >99\%ee by Chiral HPLC.

White solid
$\left[\alpha_{D}\right]^{25}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=-128(\mathrm{c}=0.13, \mathrm{MeOH})$.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.91 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$274.3, ( $100 \%$ purity).
(S)-N-methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide (15 mg, $0.055 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \%$ ). First eluting isomer, $>99 \%$ ee by Chiral HPLC.
White solid.
$\left[\alpha_{\mathrm{D}}\right]^{25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=+118(\mathrm{c}=0.13, \mathrm{MeOH})$.
LCMS (High $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{ES}^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.91 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$274.3, ( $100 \%$ purity).
All other characterisation data was in accordance with the racemate.

(R)-3.050

Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 5-chloro-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.030 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.119$ $\mathrm{mmol}),(R)$-2-methylpiperidine ( $0.025 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.208 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $0.041 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.237 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt , affording ( $R$ ) - N -Methyl-5-(2methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( 26 mg , $0.095 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ ) as an off-white solid. Analysis matched racemate (pp 241).
(S)-N-Methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide

(S)-3.050

Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 5-chloro-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.030 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142$ mmol), (S)-2-methylpiperidine ( $34 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.285 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA $(37 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.214 mmol ) at rt , affording (S)-N-Methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.117 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$ ) as an and (S)-2-methylpyrrolidine ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt., affording ( $R$ ) -N -methyl-5-(2methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.135 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%$ ). LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{tR}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.84 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$260.0, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 393 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 1.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.962 .23$ (m, 3H), 2.89 (d, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69$ (ddd, $J=11.1,7.5,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-$ $4.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68$ (br. s., 1 H ), $8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
(R)-N-Methyl-5-(2-methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide

(R)-3.051a Prepared according to General Procedure C with 3.030 ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, DIPEA ( $0.095 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.541 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $R$ )-2-methylpyrrolidine ( 31 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt , affording ( $R$ )- N -methyl-5-(2-methylpyrrolidin-1yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.135 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%$ ). LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.84 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$260.0, (100\% purity). NMR matched $(S)-\mathbf{4 a}$.

## 5-(2-(Hydroxymethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide



Prepared according to General Procedure C with 3.030 ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18$ mmol), DIPEA ( $0.095 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.541 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyrrolidin-2-ylmethanol (S)-

2-methylpyrrolidine ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt, affording 5-(2-
(Hydroxymethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.125 \mathrm{mmol}, 66 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.63 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]_{+}$276.0, (100\% purity). 1
H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6, 393K): $\delta 1.95-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.523 .71$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{br}$. s., 1 H ), $8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

N-Methyl-5-(2-(trifluoromethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide

3.051 c Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18$ mmol ), DIPEA ( $0.095 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.541 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-trifluoromethylpyrrolidine ( 50 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and heating to $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, affording N -methyl-5-(2(trifluoromethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxamide ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.129 \mathrm{mmol}, 68 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.83 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+314.0$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): б 2.15-2.40 (m, 4H), $2.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{br}$. s., 1 H$), 6.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
 $(q, J=283.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 136.3,145.6,146.2,155.2,163.4$. M.pt.: $220-221^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $V_{\max }($ neat): $3353,3101,3051,2974,1636,1571,1439,1451,1385,1282,1238,1159,1145$, $1127,1072,994,914,803,777,712,670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 314.1223, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$314.1225. 5-(2,2-

3.051d

Dimethylpyrrolidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxamide Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 (38 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.095 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.541 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2,2-dimethylpyrrolidine ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and heating to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, affording 5-(2,2-dimethylpyrrolidin-1yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.105 \mathrm{mmol}$, 55\%)

LCMS (High pH, ES+ ): tR $=0.89 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]_{+} 274.0$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO$\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.57$ (br. s., 6H), 1.94 (br. s., 4H), 2.86 (d, $J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.52-3.66 (m, 2H), 6.38-6.51 (m, 1H), 7.74-7.82 (m, 1H), 8.12 (s, 1H), 8.60-8.70 (m, 1H).

5-((2R,5R)-2,5-Dimethylpyrrolidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxamide Prepared according to General Procedure C , with 5-chloro-
water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\operatorname{EtOAc}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were washed with brine, dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography ( $0-25 \% \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo and further purified by High pH MDAP and

HPLC, ${ }^{385}$ to afford 5-((2R,5R)-2,5-dimethylpyrrolidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3-carboxamide ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.099 \mathrm{mmol}, 52 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES + ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.91 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+274.3$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): б 1.18-1.39 (m, 6H), 1.71-1.84 (m, 2H), 2.24-2.43 (m, 2H), $3.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.19$ (m, 1H), 4.46-4.58 (m, 1H), $6.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36$ (s, 1H). ${ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 18.0,19.3,25.7,29.5,30.7,54.0,54.1,98.8$, 101.7, 135.5, 145.7, 146.8, 153.3, 164.1.
M.pt. $136-138^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\left[\alpha_{\square}\right]^{25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=-127(\mathrm{c}=0.3, \mathrm{MeOH})$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3427, 3337, 2971, 1629, 1571, 1488, 1459, 1381, 1345, 1235, 1155, 1039, 1019, 893, 799, $774 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 274.1662, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$274.1662.

## N-Methyl-5-(3-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


$3.051 f$ Prepared according to General Procedure C with 3.030 ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, DIPEA ( $0.095 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.541 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-methylpiperidine ( 36 mg , 0.36 mmol ) at rt , affording N -methyl-5-(3-methylpiperidin-1yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.156 \mathrm{mmol}$, 82\%).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.92 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 274.0$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-$ $1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.02-3.10(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

N-Methyl-5-(4-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide
 Prepared according to General Procedure C with 3.030 ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18$ mmol ), DIPEA ( $0.095 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.541 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-methylpiperidine ( 36 mg , 0.36 mmol ) at rt , affording N -methyl-5-(4-methylpiperidin-1yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.165 \mathrm{mmol}$, 87\%).

LCMS (High pH, ES+): tr = $0.92 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$274.0, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 0.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.02(\mathrm{t}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{q}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63-8.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 1-(3-(Methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)piperidine-3-carboxylic acid, ammonium salt



Prepared according to General Procedure C with 3.030 ( 38 mg , 0.18 mmol ), DIPEA ( $0.095 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.541 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and piperidine3carboxylic acid ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt , affording 1-(3-
(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)piperidine-3carboxylic acid, ammonium salt ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.060 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \%$ ). LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.44$ min, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$304.0, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.44-1.55$ (m, 1H), 1.701.79 (m, 2H), 1.94 (br. s., 1H), 2.84 (d, $J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.26-3.79 (m, 7H), 4.06 (br. s., 2H), 6.85 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (br. s., 1H), 8.13 (s, 1H), $8.68(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 1-(3-(Methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)piperidine-4-carboxylic acid, ammonium salt



Prepared according to General Procedure C with 3.030 ( 38 mg , 0.18 mmol ), DIPEA ( $0.095 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.541 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and piperidine4carboxylic acid ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt, affording 1-(3-
(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)piperidine4carboxylic acid, ammonium salt ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol}, 46 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES+ ): tr = 0.44 min, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$304.0, (100\% purity).

1
H NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{-}$): $\delta 1.54-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{t}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42$ (br. s., 4H), 4.34 (br. s., 2H), $6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{q}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

5-(2-Ethylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide Prepared according to General Procedure C, with $\mathbf{3 . 0 3 0}$ ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18$ mmol ), DIPEA ( $0.095 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.541 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-ethylpiperidine ( 41 mg , 0.36 mmol ) at rt , affording 5-(2-ethylpiperidin-1-yl)-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.126$ mmol, 67\%).
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.97 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$288.0, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right)$ : $\delta 0.85(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.97-3.07 (m, 1H), 4.24-4.64 (m, 2H), $6.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.59-7.67 (m, 1H), 8.12 (s, 1H), 8.65 (d, J = $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).

## 5-(2-Isopropylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide

Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, 2-isopropylpiperidine ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( 0.095 mL , 0.541 mmol ) and heating to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, affording 5 -(2-isopropylpiperidin$1 \mathrm{yl})$ - N -methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $18.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.060$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 32 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): tr $=1.03 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 302.1,(100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right)$ : $\delta 0.77$ ( $\mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.00 ( $\left.\mathrm{d}, ~ J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.38-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.90 (d, $J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-$ $4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$.

5-(2-(Hydroxymethyl)piperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide

3.051I Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.237$ mmol ), piperidin-2-ylmethanol ( $41.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.356 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $83 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.475 mmol ), with stirring at rt for 18 h then heating to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a Biotage Initiator microwave reactor for 20 min . The reaction mixture was diluted with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and EtOAc ( 15 mL ) and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and DCM ( $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit, evaporated to dryness and purified by silica chromatography (10-100\% (3:1 EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane). The solvent was evaporated in

1
vacuo to give 5-(2-(hydroxymethyl)piperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.169 \mathrm{mmol}, 71 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.68 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$290.2, ( $100 \%$ purity).
H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.64-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9$
Hz, 3H), 3.21 (td, $J=13.2,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{t}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
4.51 (br. s., 3H), $6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{q}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07$ (d, $J=$
$8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. OH not observed. ${ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 19.5,25.3,25.8,26.0,39.4$, $54.9,61.4,97.9,100.0,100.9,135.3,145.2,157.0,164.2$. M.pt.: $213-214{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3224, 2862, 1648, 1577, 1472, 1459, 1263, 1233, 1178, 1128, 1067, 874, 769, $713 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ requires 290.1612, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+290.1604$.

## 5-(cis-2,6-Dimethylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


3.051m

Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142$ mmol ), cis-2,6-dimethylpiperidine ( $38 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.285 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $37 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.214 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was heated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a Biotage Initiator microwave for 3 h , to afford 5-(cis-2,6-Dimethylpiperidin-1-yl)-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.021 \mathrm{mmol}, 15 \%$ ) as a brown solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.97 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$288.3, ( $98 \%$ purity). $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 1.34(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 3.03 (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.61 (br. s., 2H), 6.41 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.80 (br. s., 1H), 8.30 (d, J $=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 5-(2,4-Dimethylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


3.051n

Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 5-chloro-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.030 ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.166$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, 2,4-dimethylpiperidine ( $37.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.332 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $0.058 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.332 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was stirred at rt for 18 h . The reaction mixture was then purified directly by High pH MDAP. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to give 5-(2,4-dimethylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ), 3:1 mixture of diastereomers. The mixture was purified by chiral HPLC ${ }^{336}$ ( $30 \%$ EtOH/Heptane, $\mathrm{f}=30 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, Column $30 \mathrm{~mm} \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ Chirapak AS-H) to afford the following products:

5-((2S*,4S*)-2,4-Dimethylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide

3.0510
Isomer 1

First eluting isomer, ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.056 \mathrm{mmol}, 34 \%$ ). White solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.99 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$288.2, ( $98 \%$ purity). H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.5,6.4$, $4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$
$4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32$ (ddd, $J=14.1,11.0,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.1,7.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.22$4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$.

The opposite enantiomer of $\mathbf{3 . 0 5 1 0}$ was not obtained. A 5:1 mixture of diastereoisomers, eluting second, was also obtained, which was further purified by chiral HPLC ${ }^{336}$ (60\% $\mathrm{EtOH}(+0.2 \%$ isopropylamine)/Heptane(+0.2\%isopropylamine), $\mathrm{f}=25 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, Column $30 \mathrm{~mm} x$ 25 cm Chirapak IC) to afford the single enantiomer products.

## 5-((2S*,4R*)-2,4-Dimethylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide



First eluting isomer, ( $1 \mathrm{mg}, 3.48 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.1 \%$ ), white solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.99 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$288.2, ( $95 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.02$ ( $\mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.23 (qd, $J=12.8$, $4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{td}, J=12.8,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$,

1 H ), 1.74 (dquin, $J=13.4,1.6,1.6,1.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.90(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.574 .85$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 5-((2R*,4R*)-2,4-Dimethylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide



First eluting isomer, ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.028 \mathrm{mmol}, 17 \%$ ), white solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.99 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$288.3, ( $99 \%$ purity).
NMR matches $\mathbf{3 . 0 5 1 0}$.

5-(cis-2,3-Dimethylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide and 5-(trans-2,3-Dimethylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-

3carboxamide
Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 5-chloro-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.030 ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.356 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2,3-dimethylpiperidine (mixture of isomers, 81 mg , $0.712 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA ( $124 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.712 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt . The reaction mixture was diluted with

1
EtOAc ( 10 mL ) and sat. aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were washed with brine and
evaporated to dryness. The product was purified by HPLC ${ }^{385}$ (CSH C18 $150 \times 30 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 10$ mM aq. $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3} / \mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{f}=40 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}\right)$ to afford the products:

5-(Cis-2,3-dimethylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide

3.051r

First eluting isomer, $6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.021 \mathrm{mmol}, 6 \%$ yield, off-white glass.
LCMS (High pH, ES + ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.96 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+288.2$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.11$ (d, $\left.J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.05$ (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.13 (td, $J=13.1,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23$ (qd, $J=6.8,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.36$ (m, 1H), $6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s} ., 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

5-(Trans-2,3-dimethylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide

3.051s Second eluting isomer, $81 \mathrm{mg}, 0.282 \mathrm{mmol}, 79$ \% yield, white solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.98 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$288.3, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1

H NMR (400 MHz, $393 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\left.-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): ~} \delta 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14$ (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{qd}, J=6.8,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53$ (br. s., 1 H ), $8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

5-(Azepan-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide Prepared

3.051 t according to General Procedure C with 3.030 ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $95 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.541 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and azepane ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt , affording $\quad 5$-(azepan-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.158 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%$ ).
 б 1.52-1.64 (m, 4H), 1.84 (br. s., 4H), $2.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{q}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.31 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 25.7,26.4$ - 27.2 (br), 26.7, 47.6 - 49.4 (br), 96.5, 101.7, 135.8, 145.8, 146.6, 155.6, 163.9. M.pt.: 191-192 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $v_{\max }$ (neat): $3335,2922,1631$, $1570,1504,1462,1438,1370,1276,1233,1203,1177,1079,999,883,806,777 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 274.1662, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$274.1661.

## N -Methyl-5-(2-methylazepan-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


$3.051 u$

Prepared according to General Procedure C with 3.030 (15 mg, 0.071 $\mathrm{mmol})$, 2-methylazepane ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $37 \mu \mathrm{~L}$,
$0.214 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt , affording N -methyl-5-(2-methylazepan-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.047 \mathrm{mmol}, 66 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.97$
$\min ,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$288.3, (100\% purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.20-1.31$ (m, 1 H ), 1.25 $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.07-2.20(m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.263 .38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59$ (br. s., 1H), $8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 5-(1,4-Diazepan-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


3.051v Prepared according to General Procedure C with 3.030 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095$ mmol ), 1,4-diazepane ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.665 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $33 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.190$ mmol ) at rt , affording 5-(1,4-diazepan-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.058 \mathrm{mmol}$, $61 \%$ ). LCMS (High $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{ES}+$ ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.56 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$275.3, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d $d_{6}$ : $\delta 1.68-1.90(m, 2 H), 2.37(b r . s ., 1 H), 2.68(b r . s ., 2 H), 2.812 .98(m, 1 H), 2.85(d$, $J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Diazepane NH not observed.
tert-Butyl 5-methyl-4-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)-
1,4diazepane-1-carboxylate

3.051w Prepared according to General Procedure C with 3.030 ( 38 mg , 0.18 mmol ), DIPEA ( $95 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.541 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tert-butyl 5methyl-1,4-diazepane-1-carboxylate ( $77 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt ,
affording tert-butyl 5-methyl-4-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)-1,4-diazepane1-carboxylate ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.131 \mathrm{mmol}, 69 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES+): tr = $0.94 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right] 388.0$, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 1.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{dtd}, J=15.0,8.3,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.24(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11$ (ddd, $J=14.5,8.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68$ (ddd, $J=14.5,7.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-4.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## N-Methyl-5-(2-methyl-1,4-diazepan-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


3.052w Prepared according to General Procedure D with 3.051 w ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.077$ mmol) affording N -methyl-5-(2-methyl-1,4-diazepan-1yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.073 \mathrm{mmol}, 94 \%$ ).
LCMS (High $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{ES}{ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.59 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$
289.3, (100\% purity).

H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 393 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.162 .25$ (m, 1H), 2.45 (ddd, $J=13.7,9.4,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(d d d, J=13.0,10.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~d}$,
$J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.02 (dd, $J=13.7,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.09 (dd, $J=13.0,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.37 (ddd, $J=$ $15.0,10.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.60 (br. s., 1H), 8.11 (s, 1H), 8.56 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). NH not observed.

## N-Methyl-5-(3-methylmorpholino)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide



Prepared according to General Procedure C with 3.030 ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18$ mmol ), DIPEA ( $95 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.541 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-methylmorpholine ( 37 mg ,
0.36 mmol) at rt, affording $\quad \mathrm{N}$-methyl-5-(3-methylmorpholino)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide (33 mg, $0.119 \mathrm{mmol}, 63 \%)$.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.67 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$276.0, (100\% purity). 1
H NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.24$ (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.85 (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.25 (ddd, $J=13.0,11.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{ddd}, J=11.5,11.5,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-$ $4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{q}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72-$
$8.79(m, 1 H)$.

## 5-(cis-3,5-Dimethylmorpholino)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide



Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18$ mmol), DIPEA ( $95 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.541 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), cis-3,5-dimethylmorpholine ( 42 mg , 0.36 mmol ) and heating to $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, affording 5 -(cis-3,5dimethylmorpholino)- N -methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-
3.051y carboxamide ( $6.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.021 \mathrm{mmol}, 11 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.74 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$290.0, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 1.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82$ (d, $J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.33-4.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.64-7.72 (m, 1H), $8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

5-((3R,5R)-3,5-Dimethylmorpholino)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide

$3.051 z$ Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18$ mmol), DIPEA ( $95 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.541 \mathrm{mmol})$, ( $3 R, 5 R$ )-3,5-dimethylmorpholine $(42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ and heating to $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, affording $5-((3 R, 5 R)-$ 3,5dimethylmorpholino)- $N$-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxamide ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.085 \mathrm{mmol}, 45 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.72 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$290.0, ( $100 \%$ purity).
${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO-d $_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.72$ (dd, $J=11.3,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{q}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.


N-Methyl-5-(piperazin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


Prepared according to General Procedure C with 3.030 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095$ mmol ), piperazine ( $57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.665 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $33 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.190$ mmol), affording $\quad N$-methyl-5-(piperazin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3-carboxamide ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.081 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.51 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$261.2, (100\% purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 2.78-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66$ (br. s., 4H), $6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{q}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
Piperazine NH was not observed.

## (R)-tert-Butyl 3-methyl-4-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5yl)piperazine-1-carboxylate


$(R)-3.051 \mathrm{ab}$

Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( 38 mg , $0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$, DIPEA ( $95 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.541 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (R)-tert-butyl 3-methylpiperazine-1-carboxylate ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt , affording $\quad(R)$-tert-butyl 3-methyl-4-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)piperazine-1carboxylate ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.139 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES+ ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.94 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 375.0,(100 \%$ purity $) .{ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-$ $\left.d_{6}, 393 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86$ (s, 1H), 3.93-4.01 (m, 1H), 4.21 (dt, $J=13.7,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.604 .70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## (R)-N-Methyl-5-(2-methylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


(R)-3.052ab 1 $12.6,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.97-3.02 (m, 1H), $3.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{td}, J$ $=13.0,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53-4.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Exchangeable protons were not observed. 13 C NMR (101 MHz, MeOD-d4): $\delta 12.9,24.6,39.6,44.7,47.7,49.1,97.6,100.6,136.0,144.6$, 146.6, 156.5, 164.8.
M.pt.: 176-177 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\left[\alpha_{D}\right]^{22}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=-104(\mathrm{c}=0.13, \mathrm{MeOH})$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3301, 2937, 1635, 1571, 1488, 1465, 1443, 1224, 1167, 1065, 994, 892, 865, 803, $773,692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Prepared according to General Procedure D with (R)-3.051ab (30 mg, 0.08 mmol) affording ( $R$ )- $N$-methyl-5-(2-methylpiperazin$1 \mathrm{yl})$ pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.069 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \%$ ). LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.56 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$275.2, ( $100 \%$ purity). H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD-d4): $\delta 1.37$ (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.83 (td, $J=$

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 275.1615, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$275.1617.

## (S)-tert-Butyl 3-methyl-4-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylate


(S)-3.051ab

Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 (30
$\mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}),(S)$-tert-butyl 3-methylpiperazine-1carboxylate ( $57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA $(37 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.214 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt affording (S)-tert-butyl 3-methyl-4-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)piperazine-1carboxylate ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.091 \mathrm{mmol}, 64 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.94 \mathrm{~min}$, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+375.2$, ( $98 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.32$ (d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.53 (s, 9 H ), 3.05 ( $\mathrm{d}, ~ J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.07-3.21 (m, 1H), 3.24-3.32 (m, 1H), 3.35-3.45 (m, 1H), 3.97$4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
(S)-N-Methyl-5-(2-methylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide

(S)-3.052ab

Prepared according to General Procedure D with (S)-3.051ab (32 mg, 0.09 mmol ) affording $(R)$ - $N$-methyl-5-(2-methylpiperazin-1yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.084 \mathrm{mmol}$, 98\%).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.56 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$275.0, (94\% purity).
NMR matches (R)-3.052ab (pp 253).

## tert-Butyl 3,3-dimethyl-4-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5yl)piperazine-1-carboxylate


3.051ac

Prepared according to General Procedure C with 3.030 ( 38 mg , $0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$, DIPEA ( $95 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.541 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-butyl 3,3dimethylpiperazine-1-carboxylate ( $77 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and heating to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, affording tert-butyl 3,3-dimethyl-4-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)piperazine-1carboxylate ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol}, 16 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.97 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 389.0$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO$\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.433 .53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.93$ (br. s., 2H), 6.76-6.81 (m, 1H), 7.67 (br. s., 1H), 8.16 (s, 1H), 8.70 (d, J =
7.9 Hz, 1H). 5-(2,2-Dimethylpiperazin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxamide


Prepared according to General Procedure D with 3.051ac (9.5 mg, 0.024 mmol affording 5-(2,2-dimethylpiperazin-1-yl)-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $6.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol}$, 98\%).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.61 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$289.0, ( $98 \%$ purity).
H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD-d4): $\delta 1.65$ (s, 6H), 2.90 (s, 2H), 2.99-3.02 $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00-8.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$
$8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. NH was not observed and the amide proton was partially exchanged.
(S)-N-Methyl-5-(2-methyl-3-oxopiperazin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


Prepared according to General Procedure C with 3.030 ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18$ mmol), DIPEA ( $95 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.541 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (S)-3-methylpiperazin-2-one $(41 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt , affording ( $S$ ) -N -methyl-5-(2-methyl-3oxopiperazin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide (46 mg, $0.158 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%)$.

LCMS (High pH, ES + ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.53 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$289.0, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-$ $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 1.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.75-4.86 (m, 1H), $6.84(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.17-8.20 (m, 2H), $8.76(d, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
(R)-N-Methyl-5-(2-methylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide To

3.054 a solution of ( $R$ )-N-methyl-5-(2-methylpiperazin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $R$ )-3.052ab (10 mg, 0.036 $\mathrm{mmol})$ in formic acid $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt was added formaldehyde ( 0.136 $\mathrm{mL}, 37 \%$ wt. in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.823 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The reaction was purified by ion exchange chromatography (sulphonic acid (SCX) 500 mg , sequential solvents $\mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ). The appropriate fractions were combined and evaporated in vacuo to give (R)-5-(2,4-dimethylpiperazin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.021 \mathrm{mmol}, 57 \%$ ) as a white solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.67 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$289.2, ( $99 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , MeOD-d4): $\delta 1.39$ (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.14 (ddd, $J=12.0,12.0,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), $2.29-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.32-3.39 (m, 1H), 4.33 (d, $J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-4.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.93-8.03 (m, 1H), $8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## tert-Butyl (1-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)piperidin3yl)carbamate


3.051ae

Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 (38 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.095 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.541 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tertbutyl piperidine-3-ylcarbamate ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt , affording tert-butyl (1-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidin-5-yl)piperidin-3-yl)carbamate (62 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.165$
mmol, 87\%).
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.89 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 375.0$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-$ $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-3.10$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(b r . s, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.76-6.84 (m, 1H), $6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 5-(3-Aminopiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


3.052ae

Prepared according to General Procedure D with 3.051ae (30 mg, 0.08 mmol ) affording 5-(3-aminopiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide (18 mg, 0.066 mmol, 82\%).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.57 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$275.3, ( $99 \%$ purity). 1

H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): ס 1.28-1.40 (m, 1H), 1.41-1.54 (m, 1H), 1.73-1.84 (m, 1H), 1.85-1.95 (m, 1H), 2.01 (br. s., 2H), 2.70-2.80 (m, 1H), $2.87(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.93(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 H ), 3.15 (ddd, $J=13.4,10.8,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.22 (br. s., 2H), 6.82 (d, J = $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.59 (q, $J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$

## tert-Butyl (cis-6-Methyl-1-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)piperidin-3-yl)carbamate


3.051af

Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 (50 $\mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.237 \mathrm{mmol})$ tert-butyl ((3R,6S)-6-methylpiperidin$3 \mathrm{yl})$ carbamate ( $102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.475 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $62 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.356$ mmol ) and heating to $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a Biotage Initiator microwave reactor for 1 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc ( 20 mL ) and washed with 0.5 M aq. $\mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layers were extracted with EtOAc ( 10 mL ) and the combined organics were washed with brine, dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica chromatography 10-80\% (3:1 EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane to afford tert-butyl
(cis-6-methyl-1-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)piperidin-3-yl)carbamate ( $77 \mathrm{mg}, 0.198 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.98 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 389.4$ (92\% purity).
${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.741 .84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{t}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-$ $3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50-4.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74-4.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H),
7.65-7.73 (m, 1H), $8.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 5-(cis-5-Amino-2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide


3.052af

Prepared according to General Procedure D with 3.051af (26 mg, 0.067 mmol ) affording 5-(cis-5-amino-2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide (19 mg, 0.066 mmol, $98 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.62 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$289.3, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d $_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.58-2.73 (m, 2H), 2.87 (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.29 (br. s., 2H), 4.34 (br. s., 1H), 4.73 (br. s., 1 H$), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{q}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## tert-Butyl (1-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)piperidin-

 4yl)carbamate

Prepared according to General Procedure C with 3.030 (20 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.095 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $33 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.190 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tert-butyl piperidine-4-ylcarbamate ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt , affording tert-butyl (1-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin$5 \mathrm{yl})$ piperidin-4-yl)carbamate ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.093 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%$ ).
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.88 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$375.3, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1
H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 1.43-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.20 (ddd, $J=13.8,11.3,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.72-3.86 (m, 1H), 4.32 (d, $J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.44-4.59 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{q}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 5-(4-Aminopiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


 Prepared according to General Procedure D with 3.051ag ( 22 mg , 0.06 mmol) affording 5-(4-aminopiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide (12 mg, 0.044 mmol, 73\%).

LCMS (High pH, ES+ ): tr = 0.56 min, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$275.2, ( $100 \%$ purity).

H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.40$ (br. s, 2H), 1.38-1.50 (m, 2H), 1.95-2.06 (m, 2H), 3.04 (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.6,11.3,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .5-$ (4-(Dimethylamino)piperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


Prepared according to General Procedure C with 3.030 ( 20 mg , $0.095 \mathrm{mmol})$, DIPEA ( $33 \mu \mathrm{~L}, \quad 0.190 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $N, N$ dimethylpiperidine-4-amine ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt, affording 5-(4-(dimethylamino)piperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.089 \mathrm{mmol}, 94 \%$ ) LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{R}$ $=0.66 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 303.4$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.51-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.96-2.05 (m, 2H), $2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.06-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## tert-Butyl ((1-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)piperidin-4yl)methyl)carbamate


3.051ai Prepared according to General Procedure C with 3.030 (20 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.095 \mathrm{mmol})$, DIPEA ( $33 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.190 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tert-butyl (piperidine-4-ylmethyl)carbamate ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142$ mmol ) at rt , affording tert-butyl ((1-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)piperidin-$4-\mathrm{yl}$ )methyl)carbamate ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.077 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \%$ )
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.90 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 389.4$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.04-3.11 (m, 4H), 4.41 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.60-4.71 (m, 1H), $6.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{q}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 5-(4-(Aminomethyl)piperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide



Prepared according to General Procedure D with 3.051ai ( 23 mg , 0.06 mmol ) affording 5-(4-(aminomethyl)piperidin-1-yl)-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide (12 mg, 0.042 mmol, 69\%).
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $t_{R}=0.61 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$289.0, ( $98 \%$ purity).
${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{N} M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 1.22-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41$ (br. s., 2 H ), 1.63-1.75 (m, 1H), 1.901.98 (m, 2H), 2.67 (d, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.02 (d, $J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.07$ (td, $J=13.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.43$ (d, $J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{q}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=$
$8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36$ (s, 1H). 5-(4-((Dimethylamino)methyl)piperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxamide

3.051aj

Prepared according to General Procedure C with 3.030 ( 20 mg , 0.095 mmol ), DIPEA ( $33 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.190 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ -
dimethylpiperidine-4-amine ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt , affording 5-(4-((dimethylamino)methyl)piperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.073 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%$ ) LCMS (High pH, $\left.E S^{+}\right): t_{R}=0.75 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 317.3$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.20-1.32(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{dq}, J=13.2,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 3.02 (d, $J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.07 (td, $J=12.5,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.38 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## tert-Butyl 5-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)hexahydro1 Hpyrrolo[3,4-c]pyridine-2(3H)-carboxylate


3.051ak

Prepared according to General Procedure C with 3.030 (20 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.095 \mathrm{mmol})$, DIPEA ( $33 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.190 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tertbutyl hexahydro-1 H -pyrrolo[3,4-c]pyridine-2(3H)-
carboxylate hydrochloride ( $37.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt . The reaction mixture was filtered and purified by High pH
MDAP. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to give tert-butyl 5-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)hexahydro-1H-pyrrolo[3,4-c]pyridine$2(3 H)$ carboxylate $(45 \mathrm{mg})$ as a colourless glass.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.92 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+401.2$, ( $100 \%$ purity).
Impure by NMR, carried forward to further chemistry without further purification.

## 5-((3,7-cis)Hexahydro-1 H-pyrrolo[3,4-c]pyridin-5(6H)-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide




Isomer 1
3.052ak


Isomer 2
3.052al
(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidin-5-yl)hexahydro-1 Hpyrrolo[3,4-c]pyridine-2(3H)carboxylate 3.051ak ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.112 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TFA ( $0.173 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.247 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was purified by ion exchange chromatography (sulphonic acid (SCX) 1g, sequential solvents $\mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH} 3 / \mathrm{MeOH})$. The appropriate fractions were combined and evaporated in vacuo. The mixture of isomers was separated by Chiral HPLC ${ }^{336}$ ( $75 \% \mathrm{EtOH}(+0.2 \%$ isopropylamine) / Heptane (+0.2\% isopropylamine), $\mathrm{f}=30 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, wavelength, $215 \mathrm{~nm}, 30$
mm x $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Chiralpak IC Column) to afford the products. 5-((3,7-cis)Hexahydro-1H-pyrrolo[3,4-c]pyridin-5(6H)-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide, Isomer 1 ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.57 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+301.3$, ( $97 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 1.78$ (dtd, $\left.J=14.1,9.9,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.03(\mathrm{dtd}, J=14.1,5.7$,
$4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.39 (tq, $J=9.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{qt}, J=8.3,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.8$, $6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.79 (dd, $J=10.8,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.18 (dd, $J=10.8,7.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.8,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, J=13.4,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.78-3.85 (m, 1H), 3.88-3.98 (m, 1H), $6.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{q}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29$ (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. NH not observed.
13
C NMR (CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}\right): ~ \delta 25.2,25.8,36.0,38.1,43.4,43.4,50.3,52.3,96.5,102.0,136.0$, 146.2, 146.3, 156.1, 163.9.

## 5-((3,7-cis)hexahydro-1 H-pyrrolo[3,4-c]pyridin-5(6H)-yl)-N-

methylpyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide, Isomer 2 ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol}, 27 \%$ )
LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.57 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 301.3$, ( $98 \%$ purity). NMR matched 3.052ak.

## 5-(4-carbamoylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide



Prepared according to General Procedure C with 3.030 ( 20 mg , $0.095 \mathrm{mmol})$, DIPEA ( $33 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.190 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and piperidine4carboxamide ( $18.26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt . The product precipitated from the reaction mixture and was collected by filtration, washed with EtOAc and dried under vacuum to afford 5(4-carbamoylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.052 \mathrm{mmol}, 55 \%$ ) as a white solid. LCMS (High pH, ES+ ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.52 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+303.2$, ( $94 \%$ purity). 1

H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.58$ (qd, $J=12.0,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.79-1.92 (m, 2H), 2.412.50 (m, 1H), $2.86(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80$ (br. s., 1 H ), 6.86 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s} ., 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{q}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 7.8 Hz, 1H).
tert-Butyl 4-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-2-methylpiperidine-1-carboxylate

3.057

A suspension of tert-butyl 4-amino-2-methylpiperidine-1-carboxylate 3.056 ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.167 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzyl chloroformate ( $0.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.400$ mmol ) and potassium carbonate ( $322 \mathrm{mg}, 2.333 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred at rt for 18 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with water ( 20 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $4 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were washed with brine, dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (550\% EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford tert-butyl 4-
(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-2-methylpiperidine-1-carboxylate ( $275 \mathrm{mg}, 0.789 \mathrm{mmol}$, $68 \%)$. 10:1 dr, major isomer unknown.

LCMS (High pH, ES + ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.24 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+349.2\left(66 \%\right.$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.1$, $11.6,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76-4.83$ (m, 1H), 5.12 (s, 2H), 7.30-7.40 (m, 5H).

## Benzyl (2-methylpiperidin-4-yl)carbamate



Prepared according to General Procedure D with tert-butyl 4(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-2-methylpiperidine-1-carboxylate 3.057 $(275 \mathrm{mg}, 0.789 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TFA ( $1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), affording benzyl (2-methylpiperidin-4-yl)carbamate ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.685 \mathrm{mmol}, 87 \%$ ) as a colourless oil. ~10:1 dr, major isomer unknown.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.80 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+249.3$, ( $89 \%$ purity). $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 0.92$ (q, $J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{qd}, J=12.5,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-2.05$ (m, 2H), 2.63-2.79 (m, 2H), 3.11 (dq, $J=12.5,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
3.61 (d, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.63 (br. s., 1H), 5.11 (s, 2H), 7.30-7.41 (m, 5H). NH not observed.

## Benzyl (2-methyl-1-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)piperidin4yl)carbamate



Prepared according to General Procedure C with $\mathbf{3 . 0 3 0}$ ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.285 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $75 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.427 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzyl (2-methylpiperidin-4-yl)carbamate $(106 \mathrm{mg}, 0.427 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was stirred at rt for 18 h , then heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a Biotage Initiator microwave
reactor for 2 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with 0.5 M $\mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layers were back-extracted with EtOAc ( 10 mL ) and the combined organics were washed with brine, dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (10-80\% (3:1 EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane) to afford benzyl (2-methyl-1-(3(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)piperidin-4-yl)carbamate (76 mg, 0.180 $\mathrm{mmol}, 63 \%$ ). $\sim 5: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ by NMR, major isomer unknown. LCMS (High $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{ES}^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.95 \mathrm{~min}$, $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 423.3$ ( $97 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.39(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H), 2.15-2.25 (m, 2H), 3.04 (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.39 (ddd, $J=14.1,11.9,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.873.97 (m, 1H), 4.20 (ddd, $J=13.7,5.9,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{q}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29-8.34(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H), 8.38-8.41 (m, 1H). 5-(cis-4-Amino-2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxamide

3.052am

A solution of benzyl (2-methyl-1-(3-
(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidin-5-yl)piperidin-4$\mathrm{yl})$ carbamate $3.051 \mathrm{am}(75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.178 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{HBr}(33 \%$ wt. in $\mathrm{AcOH}, 1.56 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was stirred at rt for 15 min . The reaction mixture was purified directly by ion exchange chromatography (sulphonic acid (SCX) 2 g , sequential solvents $\mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH} 3 / \mathrm{MeOH})$. Fractions were combined and evaporated in vacuo to give 5-(4amino-2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide (38 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.132 \mathrm{mmol}, 74 \%$ ) as an off-white solid. The diastereoisomers were separated by HPLC ${ }^{385}$
(CSH C18 $150 \times 30 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ I.D., $0-100 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / 0.1 \%$ aq. TFA), affording 5-(cis-4-amino2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)- N -methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $5.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.019 \mathrm{mmol}$, $12 \%)$. The corresponding trans isomer was not obtained in significant quantity.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.59 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 289.3$ ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}-$ d4): $\delta 1.42$ (d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.77 (dddd, $J=13.7,7.8,6.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91$ (ddd, J = 13.1, $12.0,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30$ (ddd, $J=13.1,6.3,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.392 .51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 H$ ), $3.35-3.44$ (m, 1H), 3.53 (ddd, $J=14.5,11.2,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.38 (ddd, $J=14.5,7.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~m}, ~ J=12.0,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{q}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ was not observed.

## tert-Butyl 4-cyano-2-methylpiperidine-1-carboxylate

To a solution of tert-butyl 2-methyl-4-oxopiperidine-1-carboxylate 3.059 ( 295 mg , 1.383 mmol ) and TosMIC ( $405 \mathrm{mg}, 2.075 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DME ( 18 mL ) at rt was added potassium tert-butoxide ( $466 \mathrm{mg}, 4.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) portionwise. The reaction was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at rt for 18 h . Water ( 20 mL ) was added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 30 min . The mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The oduct was purified by silica chromatography (0-35\% EtOAc/cyclohexane). The appropriate fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford (trans)tert-butyl 4-cyano-2-methylpiperidine-1-carboxylate ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.446 \mathrm{mmol}, 32 \%$ ) as an offwhite oil
$R_{f}=0.5\left(30 \%\right.$ EtOAC/cyclohexane), stains with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4} .1$ H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.07$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-$ $1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{td}, J=13.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{tt}, J=12.5,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82$ (ddd, $J=13.5$, 4.4, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.26-4.36 (m, 1H). 13
C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 15.7,21.7,28.5,28.9,33.1,37.3,45.4,79.4,123.1,154.1$ $v_{\max }$ (neat): 2975, 2241 (weak, CN stretch), 1685, 1408, 1365, 1345, 1279, 1164, 1122, 1073, $1014,875,770 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$


To a solution of (trans)-tert-butyl 4-cyano-2-methylpiperidine-1-carboxylate 3.060 ( $305 \mathrm{mg}, 1.360 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added boranetetrahydrofuran complex ( $4.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 4.08 mmol ). The reaction was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to rt and quenched with a mixture of ammonium chloride and sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The mixture was extracted with
3.061 EtOAc ( $4 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic layers
were washed with brine, dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated. The crude product was purified by ion exchange chromatography (sulphonic acid (SCX) 10 g , sequential solvents $\mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ). The appropriate fractions were combined and evaporated in vacuo to give (trans)-tert-butyl 4-(aminomethyl)-2-methylpiperidine-1-carboxylate ( 195 mg ,
$0.854 \mathrm{mmol}, 63 \%$ ) as a colourless gum. 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.04$ (qd, $J=12.5,4.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.231 .43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.93-4.09 (m, 1H), 4.35-4.56 (m, 1H).
$V_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 2973, 2923, 1683, 1455, 1409, 1364, 1339, 1250, 1159, 1064, 897, $770 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
(trans)-tert-Butyl 4-((1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)methyl)-2-methylpiperidine-1-carboxylate


1

A solution of (trans)-tert-butyl 4-(aminomethyl)-2-methylpiperidine1 carboxylate 3.061 ( $175 \mathrm{mg}, 0.766 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phthalic anhydride ( 114 mg , 0.766 mmol ) in toluene ( 5 mL ) was stirred at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction was concentrated and the residue was purified by silica chromatography ( $0-30 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane). Fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford (trans)-tert-butyl 4-((1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)methyl)-2-methylpiperidine-1 carboxylate ( $260 \mathrm{mg}, 0.725 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$ ) as a colourless oil. LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.28 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 359.3$, ( $97 \%$ purity). H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.11$ (d, $\left.J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.69$ (m, 4H), 2.112.25 (m, 1H), 2.74-2.87 (m, 1H), 3.57 (d, J=7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.93-4.07 (m, 1H), 4.364.59 (m, 1H), 7.71-7.77 (m, 2H), 7.83-7.91 (m, 2H).

## 2-(trans-2-Methylpiperidin-4-yl)methyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione



Prepared according to General Procedure D with (trans)-tert-butyl 4-((1,3dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)methyl)-2-methylpiperidine-1-carboxylate ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.697 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TFA ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 26.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 6 mL ), affording 2(trans-2-Methylpiperidin-4-yl)methyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione ( $165 \mathrm{mg}, 0.639$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%$ ) as a white solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{tR}=0.81 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$259.3, ( $90 \%$ purity). H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.09$ (d, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.34-1.45 (m, 2H), 1.50 (dt, $J=13.5$,
$3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67$ (ddt, $J=13.9,9.4,4.6,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.5$,
$4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11$ ((quint, $J=6.2,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-$ $7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. NH not observed. $\sim 90 \%$ pure. ${ }_{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}^{\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}\right): ~ \delta ~}$ 21.7, 28.7, 30.9, 36.2, 41.0, 41.1, 46.6, 123.2, 132.1, 133.9, 168.6. $v_{\max }$ (neat): 3229, 2311, $1774,1702,1439,1394,1372,1358,1341,1068,1029,959,921,856,772,724,712 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 259.1447, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+259.1441$.

## 5-(trans-4-((1,3-Dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)methyl)-2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


3.051an

Prepared according to General Procedure C with 3.030 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.190 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $66 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.380 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2((trans-2-methylpiperidin-4-yl)methyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione $3.063(74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.285 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt. The reaction mixture was diluted with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were washed with brine, dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (10-50\% (3:1 EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane), the fractions were evaporated to dryness, evaporated again from diethyl ether and dried under vacuum to afford 5-(trans-4((1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)methyl)-2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3-carboxamide ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.185 \mathrm{mmol}$, 97\%) as a white solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES + ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.99 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 433.4,\left(99 \%\right.$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.96$ (m, 1H), 2.29-2.43 (m, 1H), 3.03 (d, J=4.9 Hz, 3H), 3.06-3.17 (m, 1H), 3.67 (d, J=7.1 Hz, 2H), 4.22-4.47 (m, 1H), 4.64-4.88 (m, 1H), 6.40 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.57-7.63 (m, 1H), 7.74$7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86-7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 5-(trans-4-Amino-2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide



A solution of 5-(trans-4-((1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)methyl)-2methylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-
carboxamide 3.051an ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.173 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}(33 \%$ in
$\mathrm{EtOH}, 250 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.008 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was heated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a Biotage
Initiator microwave reactor for 1 h . The reaction stalled, so the reaction was concentrated under a stream of nitrogen, redissolved in $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}(33 \%$ in EtOH, $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.008 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and heated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a Biotage Initiator microwave reactor for 90 min . The reaction was evaporated to dryness and purified by High pH MDAP. Collection failed and the product was sent to the waste. The waste was evaporated and purified by ion exchange chromatography (sulphonic acid (SCX) 2g, sequential solvents MeOH, 2 M
$\left.\mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$. The appropriate fractions were combined and evaporated in vacuo to give 5(trans-4-(aminomethyl)-2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-

3carboxamide ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.089 \mathrm{mmol}, 52 \%$ ) as a hygroscopic brown gum.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.60 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 303.3$, ( $98 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): ~ \delta 1.01-1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90$ (d, $J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-$ $5.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{q}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12-8.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
13
C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 15.9,26.0,29.9,33.2,34.9,48.1,98.4,101.4,137.1,145.2$, 146.2, 156.3, 163.0. Piperidine C2 and C6 not observed due to peak broadening. $v_{\max }$ (neat): 3342, 2932, 1631, 1568, 1484, 1440, 1229, 1140, 1085, 1006, 899, 864, 774 cm-1.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 303.1928, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+303.1936$.

## 5-(cis-4-Amino-2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide


3.055

To a suspension of 5-(4-(aminomethyl)piperidin-1-yl)-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxamide 3.052ai ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine ( $5.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.069 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) was added acetyl chloride ( $3.7 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.052 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 18 h . Further pyridine ( $5.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.069
$\mathrm{mmol})$ and acetyl chloride ( $3.7 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.052 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 18 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{DCM}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica chromatography (0-15\% $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ) and the appropriate fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 5-(4(acetamidomethyl)piperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide (6.5 mg,
$0.020 \mathrm{mmol}, 57 \%$ ) as a white solid.
LCMS (Formic, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.60 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 331.3$, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): ס 1.26-1.40 (m, 2H), 1.86-1.99 (m, 3H), 2.03 (s, 3H), 3.03 (d, J = $4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.03-3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{q}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36$ (s, 1H).

## 5-Chloro-1-methyl-1 H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine

 3.065

To a solution of 5 -chloro- 1 H -pyrrolo[ 3,2 -b]pyridine 3.064 ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 3.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 10 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added sodium hydride ( $60 \%$ in mineral oil, 629 $\mathrm{mg}, 15.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction was stirred for 15 min . Methyl iodide ( 0.49 $\mathrm{mL}, 7.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was allowed to warm to rt and stirred for 1 h . The reaction was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and cautiously quenched with water. When bubbling ceased the reaction was diluted with water ( 600 mL ) and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were washed with brine, dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. Purified by silica chromatography ( $0-50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{cyclohexane}$ ), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 5 -chloro-1methyl-1 H -pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine ( $540 \mathrm{mg}, 3.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.82 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 167.0,\left(96 \%\right.$ purity). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.84$ (s, 3H), 6.64 (dd, $\left.J=3.3,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.15$ (d, $\left.J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.6,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): ס 33.3, 102.0, 116.3, 119.0, 128.4, 133.0, 144.0, 146.2. M.pt.: $71-72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $v_{\max }$ (neat): $1604,1548,1502$, 1434, 1412, 1344, 1811, 1261, 1217, 1143, 1111, 1089, 1077, 1007, 910, 809, 769, 750, $711 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{CIN}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 167.0371, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 167.0371$.

## 5-Chloro-1-methyl-1 H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carbaldehyde


3.066

To DMF ( 5 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(0.554 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.94 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . To this solution was added a solution of 5-chloro-1-methyl-1 H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine $3.065(495 \mathrm{mg}$, $2.97 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 2 mL ) dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h . The reaction mixture was poured into an ice/water mixture and the pH was adjusted to 7 by adding $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH} \mathrm{( } \mathrm{\sim 18} \mathrm{mL)} .\mathrm{~A} \mathrm{white} \mathrm{precipitate} \mathrm{formed} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{was} \mathrm{collected} \mathrm{by} \mathrm{filtration}$, washed with water and dried under vacuum to afford 5 -chloro-1-methyl-1 Hpyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carbaldehyde ( $245 \mathrm{mg}, 1.259 \mathrm{mmol}, 42 \%$ ) as a white solid. The aqueous filtrate was extracted with EtOAc ( $5 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and DCM ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated in vacuo to afford further 5-chloro-1-methyl-1 $H$-pyrrolo 3,2 -b]pyridine-3-carbaldehyde ( $265 \mathrm{mg}, 1.362 \mathrm{mmol}, 46 \%$ ) as a white solid. LCMS (High pH, ES + ): $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.67 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$195.1, ( $98 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): б $3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.97 (s, 1H), 10.36 (s, 1H). 13 C NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 34.3,116.6,118.6,120.3,129.0$, 136.7, 144.3, 147.1, 184.3. M.pt.: 208-210 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} v_{\max }$ (neat): $3101,3072,2836,1669,1602$, 1556, 1528, 1448, 1421, 1405, 1376, 1356, 1310, 1272, 1222, 1155, 1117, 1067, 1016, 882, $827,772,781,722 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$requires 195.0320, found $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$195.0320. 5-Chloro-1-methyl-1 H -pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxylic acid

3.067 phosphate ( $2.67 \mathrm{~g}, 22.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water $(18 \mathrm{~mL})$ dropwise. The reaction and dried under vacuum to afford 5-chloro-1-methyl-1H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxylic acid ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.282 \mathrm{mmol}, 69 \%$ ) as a white solid, which contained $\sim 10 \%$ aldehyde SM by LCMS and NMR and was used without further purification.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}=0.40 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 211.2$, ( $80 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.48-12.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

5-Chloro-N,1-dimethyl-1H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide To a

suspension of 5-chloro-1-methyl-1 $H$-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3carboxylic acid 3.067 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.282 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and oxalyl chloride ( $224 \mu \mathrm{~L}$,
$2.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 6.5 mL ) stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at rt was added DMF ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.064 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 5 h . The reaction mixture was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was redissolved in anhydrous DCM and evaporated again. The acyl chloride was dissolved in THF ( 6.5 mL ) and stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$ ( 2 M in THF, $961 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.923 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the resulting thick slurry was stirred at rt for 18 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and dissolved in EtOAc ( 30 mL ) and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $5 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (10-60\% ( $3: 1 / \mathrm{EtOAc}: \mathrm{EtOH}$ )/cyclohexane), and fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 5-chloro$N, 1$-dimethyl-1 H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide (150 mg, $0.671 \mathrm{mmol}, 52 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.83 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+224.1$, ( $92 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 3.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
7.65 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.02 (s, 1H), 8.21 (br. s., 1H). ${ }_{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 25.8$, 33.8, 110.7, 117.3, 120.1, 128.8, 136.6, 142.6, 145.0, 163.7.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): $3351,3093,1656,1606,1561,1452,1415,1376,1354,1291,1255,1194,1150$, $1112,1070,986,920,848,808,780,763 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. M.pt.: $184-187^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 224.0585, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$224.0599. 5-
(Isopropylamino)-N,1-dimethyl-1 H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide

3.069

5-Chloro- $N$,1-dimethyl-1 H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide 3.068 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.112 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaO}{ }^{\text {tBu }}$ ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.335 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( $2.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and BINAP ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in 1,4dioxane $(750 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and stirred at rt for 10 min under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Isopropylamine
$(19 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.224 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oil bath for 5 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, evaporated to dryness and purified by silica chromatography (30-90\% (3:1 EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane). The appropriate fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 5 -(isopropylamino)- $N, 1$ dimethyl1 H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.069 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \%$ ) as a white solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.90 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 247.2$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 1.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75$ (s, 3H), 4.02 (br. s., 1H), 4.26 (br. s., $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72$ (s, 1H), 8.69 (br. s., 1H).

## N,1-Dimethyl-5-(piperidin-1-yl)-1 H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide


3.070

5-Chloro- $N$, 1-dimethyl-1 H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide 3.068 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.134 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaO}^{\text {tBu }}$ (39 mg, 0.402 mmol ), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc}) 2$ ( 3 mg , $0.013 \mathrm{mmol})$ and BINAP ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in 1,4dioxane $(900 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and stirred at rt for 10 min under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Piperidine ( 27 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.268 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oil bath for 18 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, evaporated to dryness and purified by silica chromatography (10-60\% (3:1 EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane). The appropriate fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford $\mathrm{N}, 1$-dimethyl-5-(piperidin-1-yl)1 H -pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.044 \mathrm{mmol}, 33 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): tr $=1.05 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$273.3, ( $92 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): б 1.63-1.81 (m, 6H), 3.03-3.11 (m, 3H), 3.51-3.61 (m, 4H), 3.78 (s, 3H), $6.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50$ (d, J = $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.78 (s, 1H), 8.71 (br. s., 1H). 13

C NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 24.7,25.6,25.6,33.5,47.8,104.3,109.4,119.9,124.3,133.5$, 141.3, 156.8, 165.3. M.pt.: $188-191^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $v_{\max }$ (neat): $3314,3105,2930,2852,2808,1642,1610$, 1578, 1556, 1474, 1450, 1419, 1382, 1296, 1276, 1259, 1235, 1164, 1127, 1073, 1029, 943, 855, 798, 783, 757, $670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 273.1710, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ 273.1723.
(R)-N,1-Dimethyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)-1H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide

3.071

5-Chloro- $\mathrm{N}, 1$-dimethyl-1 H -pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide 3.068 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.134 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaO}{ }^{\text {tBu }}(39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.402 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$
$(3 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.013 mmol ) and BINAP ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in $1,4-$ dioxane $(900 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and stirred at rt for 10 min under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. ( $R$ )-2-Methylpiperidine ( $32 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.268$ mmol ) was added and the reaction was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oil bath for 24 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, evaporated to dryness and purified by silica chromatography ( $0-80 \%$ ( $3: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc}: \mathrm{EtOH}$ )/cyclohexane). The appropriate fractions were evaporated to dryness and further purified by High pH MDAP to afford ( $R$ )- $N, 1$-dimethyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)-1 Hpyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide ( $6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.021 \mathrm{mmol}, 16 \%$ ) as a white solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES + ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.12 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 287.2$, ( $88 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{td}, J=12.7$, $2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-4.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52-8.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 1-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-N-methyl-1 H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide

A

solution of N -methyl-1 H -pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide 3.016 ( 27 mg , 0.154 mmol ), potassium carbonate ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.462 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1,3dioxolan-2one ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.462 \mathrm{mmol})$, in DMF $(750 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc (4 $\times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DCM $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude
product was purified by silica chromatography (0-100\% (3:1/EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-N-methyl1 Hpyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.137 \mathrm{mmol}, 89 \%$ ) as a white solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.61 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 220.2$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.17 (dd, $J=8.3,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75$ (dd, $J=8.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{q}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
tert-Butyl (2-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)-1 H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate


A solution of N -methyl-1 H -pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide 3.016 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.143 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( $59 \mathrm{mg}, 0.462$ mmol ) and tert-butyl (2-chloroethyl)carbamate ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.285 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), in DMF $(714 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(4 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$
and DCM ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude
product was purified by silica chromatography ( $0-45 \%$ ( $3: 1 / \mathrm{EtOAc}: \mathrm{EtOH}$ )/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford tert-butyl (2-(3-(methylcarbamoyl) 1 H -pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.135 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES + ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.88 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 319.2$, ( $100 \%$ purity).
${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{NMR}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right)$ : $\delta 1.26$ (s, 9H), 2.91 (d, $\left.J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right)$, 3.29-3.35 (m, 2H), $4.31(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{q}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 1-(2-Aminoethyl)-N-methyl-1H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide

To a solution of tert-butyl (2-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)-1H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridin1-yl)ethyl)carbamate 3.073 ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.119 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( $600 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added TFA ( $184 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.387 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the reaction was stirred at rt for 4 $h$. The reaction was purified by ion exchange chromatography (sulphonic acid (SCX) 1 g , sequential solvents $\mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ). The appropriate fractions were combined and evaporated in vacuo to give 1-(2-aminoethyl) $N$ -methyl-1 H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.119 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \%$ ) as an offwhite oil which solidified on standing.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.57 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 219.2$, ( $100 \%$ pure). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.22$ (br. s., 2H), 3.10 (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.21 (dd, $J=8.3,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.75 (br. s., 1H). ${ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 25.7,41.9,50.2,110.9,117.1,117.8,129.7$, 134.9, 143.4, 143.6, 164.7.
M.pt.: 77-79 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3451, 3347, 3309, 1627, 1563, 1432, 1406, 1338, 1270, 1171, 1025, 940, 893, $859,782 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 219.1240, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$219.1244.
solution of N -methyl-1 H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide 3.016 (22
2iodoacetamide ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.251 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), in $\mathrm{DMF}(630 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was stirred at 80
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture was filtered and purified by High pH

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.54 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 233.2$, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 2.93$ (d, $\left.J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67$ (br. s., 1H), 7.92 (dd, $J=$ $8.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.60(q, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 3-(Methylcarbamoyl)-1 H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-1-carboxylate



To a solution of N -methyl-1 H -pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide 3.016 (45 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.257 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triethylamine $(72 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.514 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(1.25 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added phenyl chloroformate ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.321 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction was allowed to warm to rt and stirred for 2 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with water ( 10 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness to afford phenyl 3-(methylcarbamoyl)-1H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-1-carboxylate ( 82 mg , $0.250 \mathrm{mmol}, 97 \%$ ) as a white solid. The product was $90 \%$ pure by NMR and was used for further chemistry without additional purification.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.05 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$296.1, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.14$ (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.29-7.56 (m, 6H), 8.56 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.62 (dd, $J=$ 4.9, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.74 (s, 1H), 8.90-9.00 (m, 1H).

N1-Cyclopropyl-N3-methyl-1H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-1,3-dicarboxamide To a

solution of phenyl 3-(methylcarbamoyl)-1H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine1carboxylate 3.076 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.076 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $32 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.229$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO $(380 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at rt was added cyclopropylamine ( $11 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.152$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was stirred at rt for 18 h , then purified by silica chromatography (0-50\% (3:1 EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane). Appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo and furtehr purified by High pH MDAP.
The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to give N1-cyclopropyl-N3-methyl-1 H -pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-1,3-dicarboxamide ( $3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol}, 15 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.80 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+259.1$, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 0.64-0.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57-8.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{q}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.76(q, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl 5,7-dihydroxy-6-nitropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate Sodium
 ( $0.296 \mathrm{~g}, 12.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to anhydrous ethanol ( 18.96 ml ) with stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until all the metal had reacted. To the resulting solution was added ethyl 5-amino-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate 3.079 ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by diethyl 2-nitromalonate 3.078 ( $1.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was then heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 days. The reaction mixture was filtered and the residue was washed with EtOH and
dissolved in water. Addition of conc. HCl failed to precipitate product, and the product could not be extracted from the aqueous with EtOAc or $10 \% \mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ at $\mathrm{pH} 1-5$. The aqueous was evaporated to dryness and the residue suspended in MeOH , filtered and the filtrate evaporated in vacuo to afford ethyl 5,7-dihydroxy-6-nitropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxylate ( $1.67 \mathrm{~g}, 6.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 97 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. This contained an indeterminate amount of residual salts and was taken forward to further chemistry without purification. LCMS (Formic, $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.78 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right] 268.9$, (100\% pure)

## Benzyl (2-cyclopropyl-2-oxoethyl)carbamate


3.084

To a solution of benzyl (2-(methoxy(methyl)amino)-2oxoethyl)carbamate 3.083 ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 13 ml ) stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added cyclopropylmagnesium bromide ( 0.5 M in THF, $20 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . The reaction was warmed to rt and stirred for 2 h . Incomplete conversion, so further cyclopropylmagnesium bromide ( 0.5 M in THF, $20 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 18 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated ammonium chloride ( 3 mL ), and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting suspension was filtered and washed with DCM, and the filtrate was diluted with water ( 10 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica chromatography ( $0-50 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane) appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford benzyl (2-cyclopropyl-2-oxoethyl)carbamate ( $650 \mathrm{mg}, 2.79 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (Formic, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.93 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 234.1$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : б 0.94-1.03 (m, 2H), 1.11-1.16 (m, 2H), 1.87-1.97 (m, 1H), $4.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H), 5.52 (br. s., 1H), 7.28-7.39 (m, 5H).
13
C NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ प 11.4, 18.6, 51.0, 66.9, 128.1, 128.1, 128.5, 136.4, 156.1, 205.0. M.pt.: $54{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} C v_{\max }$ (neat): 3336, 1712, 1685, 1533, 1416, 1393, 1284, 1257, 1157, 1077, 1039, $975,750,722,670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$requires 256.0950, found [M+Na]+ 256.09 .

## Benzyl (3-cyclopropyl-1-(dimethylamino)-3-oxoprop-1-en-2-yl)carbamate


3.085

A solution of benzyl (2-cyclopropyl-2-oxoethyl)carbamate 3.084 ( $540 \mathrm{mg}, 2.315 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF-DMA ( $4.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 34.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness and purified by silica chromatography (0-100\% (3:1 $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{EtOH}) / c y c l o h e x a n e)$, appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford benzyl
(3-cyclopropyl-1-(dimethylamino)-3-oxoprop-1-en-2-yl)carbamate ( $516 \mathrm{mg}, 1.790 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (Formic, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.91 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$289.1, ( $87 \%$ purity).
${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 0.62-0.82 (m, 2H), 0.90-1.09 (m, 2H), 2.00-2.16 (m, 1H), 3.07 (s, 6H), $5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.62-6.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) . \sim 3.5: 1$ mixture of alkene isomers, major isomer not determines, NH not observed.

## Ethyl 6-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-5-cyclopropylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxylate and ethyl 6-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-7-cyclopropylpyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate

A solution of benzyl (3-cyclopropyl-1-(dimethylamino)-3-oxoprop-1-en-2-yl)carbamate 3.085 ( $399 \mathrm{mg}, 1.382 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ethyl 5-amino-1 H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate 3.079 ( $165 \mathrm{mg}, 1.063$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{AcOH}(10.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was sealed in a microwave vial and heated to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a Biotage Initiator microwave reactor for 30 min . The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness and purified by silica chromatography (20-70\% EtOAc/cyclohexane). The appropriate fractions were evaporated to afford the products. Structures were assigned by ${ }^{15}$ N HMBC. ${ }^{385}$

## Ethyl 6-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-5-cyclopropylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxylate


$23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.060 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.7 \%$, yellow solid.
LCMS (Formic, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.07 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+381.1$, ( $98 \%$ purity). 1
H NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.13-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.27 (s, 2H), 7.02 (br. s., 1H), 7.33-7.46 (m, 5H), 8.47 (s, 1H), 9.30 (br. s., 1H). 13 C NMR (151 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 10.1,13.4,14.4,60.0,68.1,101.9,122.3,126.8,127.9-129.0(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{C})$, 135.3, 144.6, 147.6, 153.5, 159.1, 162.7. M.pt.: 143-145 으 $v_{\max }$ (neat): 3285, 3038, 2982 , 1693, 1543, 1498, 1429, 1382, 1288, 1251, 1202, 1178, 1065, 888, 866, 778, 745, 698, 671 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 381.1557, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 381.1556$.

## Ethyl 6-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-7-cyclopropylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxylate


${ }_{13}$ C NMR (151 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.5,9.8,14.5,60.2,67.7,102.4,121.3,128.3,128.4,128.5$, 135.7, 145.7, 146.6, 147.0, 151.5, 154.9, 162.5.

## 6-(((Methoxy)carbonyl)amino)-7-cyclopropylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid



To a solution of ethyl 6-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-7cyclopropylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxylate 3.086b ( $325 \mathrm{mg}, 0.854 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(8.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KOH}(640 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.56 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction was stirred at rt
3.087 for 1 h . The reaction mixture was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction was cooled to rt, further $4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KOH}(641 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction was stirred for 7 days at rt . The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and partitioned between EtOAc and water. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the organics were discarded. The aqueous layer was adjusted to pH 1 and extracted with EtOAc $(5 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were washed with brine, dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness to afford 7-cyclopropyl-6-((methoxycarbonyl)amino)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid (196 mg, 0.710 mmol , $83 \%$ ) as a light yellow solid. Used for without purification.

LCMS (Formic, $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.58 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$277.2, ( $96 \%$ purity). 1
H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): ס 1.14-1.24 (m, 2H), 1.60-1.68 (m, 2H), 2.36-2.47 (m, 1H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 8.56 (s, 1H), $8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.47$ (br. s, 1H), 12.32 (br. s, 1H).

Methyl (7-cyclopropyl-3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)carbamate
 yellow solution. The reaction mixture was evaporated in vacuo and
the residue was redissolved in 2-MeTHF ( 4 mL ). $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$ ( 2 M in THF, $529 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.059 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 1 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (10 mL) and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography ( $0-25 \%$ $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ), appropriate fractions were evaporated and further purified by High pH MDAP. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to give methyl (7-cyclopropyl-3-
(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)carbamate ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.235 \mathrm{mmol}, 33 \%$ ) as an off-white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.64 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$290.0, ( $100 \%$ pure).
$1 \quad \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): ठ 1.24-1.33 (m, 2H), 1.63-1.79 (m, 2H), 2.26-2.38 (m, 1H), 3.04 (d, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.84 (s, 3H), 7.24 (br. s., 1 H ), $7.88(\mathrm{q}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.65 (br. s., 1H). ${ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.6,9.6,25.9,53.2,105.4,120.6,144.6$, 145.4, 146.0, 149.7, 155.4, 162.9.
M.pt.: $199-201^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . v_{\max }$ (neat): $3363,3287,2950,1702,1662,1635,1540,1396,1243,1162$, 1075, 939, 884, 806, 777, 697, $664 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 290.1248, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 290.1248$.

6-Amino-7-cyclopropyl-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide To a

1.25 M HCl in MeOH , and purified by ion exchange chromatography (sulphonic acid (SCX) 2 g , sequential solvents $\mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH} 3 / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) and High pH MDAP. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to give 6-amino-7-cyclopropyl- $N$-methylpyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3carboxamide ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 8.65 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4.3 \%$ ) as an off-white gum.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.57 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 232.4$ (100\% purity). 1
H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD- $d_{4}$ ): ס 1.15-1.24 (m, 2H), 1.25-1.34 (m, 2H), 2.03-2.12 (m, 1H), 3.00 $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ not observed, amide partially exchanged.

## Methyl 2-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-3-(dimethylamino)acrylate


3.090

A solution of methyl 2-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)acetate 3.090 $\left(545 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 2.441 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$ ) and 1-tert-butoxy- $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$ tetramethylmethanediamine 3.091 ( $529 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 2 mL ) was stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . Further 1 -tertbutoxy $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-tetramethylmethanediamine $(150 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added and the reaction was stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness and purified by silica chromatography ( $0-60 \%(3: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{EtOH}) / \mathrm{cyclohexane})$ appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford methyl 2-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-3(dimethylamino)acrylate ( $620 \mathrm{mg}, 2.228 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%$ ) as a thick yellow oil. Data consistent with literature. ${ }^{363}$

LCMS (Formic, $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.85 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 279.0$, ( $52 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 2.95-3.08 (m, 6H), 3.60-3.72 (m, 3H), 5.18 (s, 2H), 5.54 (br. s., 1H), 7.30-7.44 (m, 6H). ~4:1 mixture of alkene isomers, major isomer not determined.

## Ethyl 6-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-7-oxo-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxylate


3.093

A solution of methyl 2-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)3(dimethylamino)acrylate 3.092 ( $233 \mathrm{mg}, 0.838 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ethyl 5amino-1 H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.645 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetic acid $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was sealed in a microwave vial and heated to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a Biotage Initiator microwave reactor for 30 min . The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness and purified by silica chromatography (0-100\% (3:1 EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane). The appropriate fractions were evaporated to afford ethyl 6(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-7-oxo-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate ( $156 \mathrm{mg}, 0.438 \mathrm{mmol}, 68 \%$ ).

LCMS (Formic, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.91 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+357.0$, ( $97 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 1.41 (t, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
7.34-7.44 (m, 5H), 7.47 (s, 1H), 8.23 (s, 1H), 8.76 (s, 1H), 9.95 (br. s., 1H) 13

C NMR (151 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 14.4,60.9,67.6,96.5,114.6,123.7,128.1,128.5,128.6,135.5$, 142.4, 144.2, 152.8, 153.5, 163.3. M.pt.: 221-222 ${ }^{\circ}$ C. $v_{\max }$ (neat): 3294, 3063, 1694, 1661, 1612, 1535, 1510, 1446, 1361, 1291, 1220, 1174, 1116, 1080, 1028, 997, 953, 852, 771, 746, $699,617,579,553 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 357.1194 , found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 357.1193$.

Ethyl 5-amino-1-(2-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)acetyl)-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate and ethyl 3-amino-1-(2-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)acetyl)-1 H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate To a solution of Cbz-Glycine ( $148 \mathrm{mg}, 0.709 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 4 mL ) was added HATU ( 270 mg , 0.709 mmol ), and the reaction was stirred at rt for 5 min . Ethyl 5 -amino- 1 H -pyrazole4carboxylate 3.079 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.645 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $0.281 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.611 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 6 h . The reaction mixture was partitioned between water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and EtOAc ( 25 mL ) and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (0-100\% (3:1
$\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{EtOH}) /$ cyclohexane) and fractions evaporated in vacuo to afford the products:

Ethyl 5-amino-1-(2-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)acetyl)-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate

(br s, 1H), 6.98 (br s, 2H), 7.31-7.40 (m, 2H), 7.32-7.38 (m, 2H), 7.34-7.38 (m, 1H), $7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. 13
C NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 14.4,44.5,60.0,67.3,94.8,128.1,128.2,128.5,136.1,144.1$, 153.3, 156.4, 163.7, 171.9.

## Ethyl 3-amino-1-(2-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)acetyl)-1 H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate

 $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.289 \mathrm{mmol}, 45 \%$.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.01 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right] 347.2$ ( $56 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.70 (d, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.15-5.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.51$ (br. s., 1H), 6.99 (br. s., 2H), 7.31-7.41 (m, 5H), 7.71 (s, 1H).

Ethyl 1-benzyl-5-(2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)acetamido)-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate To a solution of ethyl 5-amino-1-benzyl-1H-pyrazole-4carboxylate 3.096 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.408 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine ( $49 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.612 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin2-yl)acetyl chloride 3.097 ( $365 \mathrm{mg}, 1.631 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction was stirred at rt for 1 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM ( 10 mL ) and washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. ( 10 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (0100\% EtOAc/cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated to afford ethyl 1-benzyl-5-(2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)acetamido)-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate ( $92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.213 \mathrm{mmol}, 52 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): tr $=1.03 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 433.3$, ( $97 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO$\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.38$ (m, 5H), 7.84-8.00 (m, 5H), 10.59 (s, 1H). ${ }_{13}$ C NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO-d ): $\delta 14.6,40.8,52.3$, $60.1,108.5,123.8,128.3,128.5,129.0,132.2,135.2,136.5,137.7,140.8,162.0,167.0,167.8$. M.pt.: $243-245{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$V_{\max }$ (neat): 3203, 3567, 1776, 1708, 1726, 1687, 1554, 1481, 1417, 1395, 1320, 1281, 1262, $1194,1173,1415,1100,1078,1027,949,772,755,713,696,607,560,530 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ requires 433.1507 , found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+433.1507$.


To a solution of ethyl 5-amino-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate 3.079 (1.0 g, 6.45 mmol ) and pyridine ( $0.782 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{DCM}(43 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(1.477 \mathrm{~g}, 6.77 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction was stirred at rt for 18 h . The reaction mixture was partitioned between sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{DCM}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$.

The combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (0-60\% 3:1 EtOAc/EtOH in cyclohexane) to afford 1-tert-butyl 4-ethyl 3-amino-1 H-pyrazole-1,4-dicarboxylate (1.25 g, $4.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%)$.

LCMS (High pH, ES + ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.98 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+256.2$, ( $51 \%$ purity) ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
4.96 (br. s., 2H), 8.31 (s, 1H). 94\% pure by NMR. 13

C NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 14.3,27.9,60.5,85.7,104.5,134.5,142.8,156.9,163.5$. M.pt.: $136-137^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . v_{\max }($ neat $): 3480,3275,2982,1732,1699,1625,1578,1518,1438,1411,1393$, 1369, 1293, 1245, 1145, 1092, 1021, 965, 850, 778, $763 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 256.1292, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$256.1291.

## 1-tert-Butyl 4-ethyl 5-amino-1H-pyrazole-1,4-dicarboxylate



To a solution of ethyl 5 -amino-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.645$ mmol ) and pyridine ( $0.078 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.967 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(148 \mathrm{mg}, 0.677 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction was stirred at rt for 7 h . The reaction mixture was partitioned between sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DCM ( 20 mL ) and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM ( $2 \times 20$ mL ). The combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (0-60\% (3:1 EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 1-tert-butyl 4-ethyl 5-amino-1 H-pyrazole-1,4-dicarboxylate ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.125 \mathrm{mmol}, 19 \%$ )

LCMS (Formic, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.00 \mathrm{~min},[(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{tBu})+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$200.0, (100\% purity) ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.37$ (t, $\left.J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.86 (br. s, 2H), 7.72 (s, 1H).
One-pot amidation/deprotection from 3.100 stirred at rt for 18 h . TFA ( $3.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 42.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 4 h . The reaction mixture was neutralised with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 28 mL ) and the
aqueous layer was extracted with DCM ( $2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. Purification by silica chromatography ( $0-4 \% \mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) and evaporation of the appropriate fractions afforded ethyl 3-(2-(1,3dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)acetamido)-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate (655 mg, $1.913 \mathrm{mmol}, 67 \%$ ) as a white solid.

## One-pot protection/amidation/deprotection from 3.079

To a solution of ethyl 3-amino-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate 3.079 ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 25.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine ( $2.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 129 ml ) was added di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( 6.19 g , $28.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction was stirred at rt for 18 h . 2-(1,3-Dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)acetyl chloride 3.097 ( $6.92 \mathrm{~g}, 30.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 4 h . TFA $(20 \mathrm{~mL}, 258 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 2 h . The reaction mixture was neutralised by dropwise addition of sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 170 mL ) and stirred for 15 min once the addition was complete. The mixture was filtered and the collected solid was washed with DCM ( 30 mL ) and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried under vacuum to afford ethyl 3-(2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)acetamido)-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate (4.33 g, 12.65 mmol, 49\%) as a white solid.

The filtrate was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The residue was triturated with DCM $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, filtered and the solid was washed with DCM $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried under vacuum to afford further ethyl 3-(2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)acetamido) 1 H -pyrazole-4-carboxylate ( $1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 4.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 19 \%$ ) as a white solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES + ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.83 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 343.2$, ( $97 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 1.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
7.72-7.84 (m, 3H), 7.88-7.98 (m, 2H), 9.93 (br. s., 1H), 11.11-12.01 (m, 1H). 13

C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 14.3,40.7,60.6,98.7,123.9,131.9,134.5,139.1,142.2,164.4$, 165.4, 167.4. M.pt.: $227-230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): $3313,1777,1719,1678,1590,1529,1490,1416,1395,1376,1266,1173,1108$, $1071,1019,956,936,889,781,752,712,681 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 343.1037 found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+343.1041$.

## Ethyl 6-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)-5-oxo-4,5-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxylate



To a suspension of ethyl 3-(2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)acetamido)1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate 3.099 ( $1.66 \mathrm{~g}, 4.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene
(13 mL) was added 1-tert-butoxy- $N, N, N, N$ tetramethylmethanediamine 3.091 ( $2.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction was stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . A deep red solution
developed. The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness, suspended in acetic acid (6.5 ml ) and heated to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The reaction mixture was evaporated in vacuo. The resulting gum was triturated with ether and filtered to afford ethyl 6-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)5-oxo-4,5-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate ( $1.85 \mathrm{~g}, 4.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%$ ) as an offwhite solid. This was taken forward to further chemistry without purification.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.61 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+353.0$, ( $85 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-8.02(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72$ (s, 1H).

Ethyl 5-chloro-6-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate


To a solution of ethyl 6-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)-5-oxo-4,5dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate 3.102 (1.85 g, 5.25 mmol ) in $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL}, 210 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added DMF ( 1.2 mL , 15.75 mmol ) and the reaction was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 h . The mixture was cooled to rt and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was
treated with saturated aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stood for 2 h until the quench had ceased. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried through a hydrophobic frit and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica chromatography ( $0-50 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford ethyl 5-chloro-6-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate ( $1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 2.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 54 \%$ ) as a white solid. $60 \%$ yield overall for two steps.

LCMS (High pH, ES+): tr = $1.06 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 371.1$, ( $89 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 1.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86-7.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.00-8.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.66$ (s, 1H), 8.82 (s, 1H). ${ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 14.4,60.8,104.2,114.8,124.5,131.5$, 135.2, 137.7, 146.0, 149.6, 153.0, 161.5, 165.9. M.pt.: 150-152 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$V_{\max }$ (neat): 3076, 2978, 1729, 1633, 1562, 1499, 1468, 1419, 1373, 1347, 1302, 1209, 1134, 1067, 1021, 879, 780, $718 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{CIN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 371.0542 , found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 371.054,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$requires 393.0361, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 393.0363$
(R)-Ethyl 6-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate

3.104a

To a solution of ethyl 5-chloro-6-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate 3.103 ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO ( 7 ml ) was added ( $R$ )-2-methylpiperidine ( $0.650 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $0.707 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was stirred at rt for 24 h , then diluted with EtOAc ( 20 mL )
and the organic layer was washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The separate aqueous layers were each extracted with EtOAc $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness to afford ( $R$ )-ethyl 6-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate ( $1.17 \mathrm{~g}, 2.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \%$ ) as an orange solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.24 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 434.3$, ( $87 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(400} \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-$ $1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.4,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33-4.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.49-4.59$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.98-8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## Ethyl 6-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)-5-(piperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxylate


3.104b

To a solution of ethyl 5-chloro-6-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate 3.103 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.405$ mmol ) in DMSO ( 1 mL ) was added piperidine ( $0.060 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.607$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA ( $0.106 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.607 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was stirred at rt for 90 min . The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The separate aqueous layers were each extracted with EtOAc $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness to afford ethyl 6(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)-5-(piperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate (168 mg, $0.401 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.17 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 420.3$, ( $93 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right)$ : $\delta 1.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.24$ $(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.96-8.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05-8.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## Ethyl 5-cyclopropyl-6-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxylate



A solution of ethyl 5-chloro-6-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate 3.103 ( $800 \mathrm{mg}, 2.158 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(596 \mathrm{mg}, 4.32 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ $(249 \mathrm{mg}, 0.216 \mathrm{mmol})$ and cyclopropylboronic acid ( $278 \mathrm{mg}, 3.24$ mmol ) in 1,4dioxane ( 8.5 mL ) was degassed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, then heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica chromatography (0-30\% (3:1 EtOAc: EtOH )/cyclohexane) and the relevant fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford ethyl 5-cyclopropyl-6-(1,3dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate (21
$\mathrm{mg}, 0.046 \mathrm{mmol}, 34 \%$ ) as an off-white solid. This contained $\sim 16 \%$ residual triphenylphosphine oxide and was used for further chemistry without further purification. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.10 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+377.2$, ( $96 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.841 .93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1$ Hz, 2H), 7.88 (dd, $J=5.5,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.03 (dd, $J=5.5,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.56 (s, 1H), 8.59 (s, 1H).

## (R)-6-Amino-N-methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide


3.105a To a solution of DABAL-Me3 ( $473 \mathrm{mg}, 1.846 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a sealed tube was added $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF ( 1.38 mL , $2.77 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oil bath for 30 min . A solution of ( $R$ )-ethyl 6-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)-5-(2methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate $\quad \mathbf{3 . 1 0 4 a}$ ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 0.923$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 3 mL ) was added and the reaction was heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to rt and quenched with aqueous 2 M HCl . The aqueous phase was neutralised with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with EtOAc (5 x 20 mL ). The combined organics were dried using a hydrophobic frit and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (10-60\% (3:1 EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo and further purified by High pH MDAP to give
( $R$ )-6-amino- $N$-methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.451 \mathrm{mmol}, 49 \%$ ) as an orange solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): tr $=$ $0.89 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 289.2$, ( $99 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.24$ (d, J=6.6 Hz, 3H), 1.55-1.94 (m, 6H), $3.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.0,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), $3.55(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 16.2,19.9,25.7,25.8,31.3,44.3,50.3,102.9,119.3,126.2$, 141.1, 143.6, 155.3, 163.8.
M.pt.: 75-80 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\left[\alpha_{\mathrm{D}}\right]^{25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=-10(\mathrm{c}=0.1, \mathrm{MeOH})$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3350, 3204, 2936, 2863, 1640, 1558, 1444, 1374, 1335, 1277, 1227, 1194, 1178, 1129, 1066, 1036, 1006, 863, 772, $734 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 289.1771, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$289.1779.

## 6-Amino-N-methyl-5-(piperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


3.105b

To a solution of DABAL-Me3 ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.559 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF $(1.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at rt in a sealed tube was added $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$ (1169 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 2.339 mmol ). The reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an sand bath for 1 h . A solution of ethyl 6-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)-5-(piperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate 3.104b ( 327 mg ,
0.780 mmol ) in THF ( 2.6 mL ) was added and the reaction was heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to rt and quenched with aqueous 2 M HCl . The aqueous layer was neutralised with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried using a hydrophobic frit and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (10-70\% (3:1 EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo and further purified by High pH MDAP to afford 6-aminoN-methyl-5-(piperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.401 \mathrm{mmol}, 51 \%$ ) as an off-white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.83 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 275.2$, ( $90 \%$ purity). $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : б 1.71-1.84 (m, 6H), 3.05 (d, J=4.9 Hz, 3H), 3.40-3.45 (m, 4H), 3.49 (s, 2H), 7.66-7.73 (m, 1H), 8.01 (s, 1H), $8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

6-Amino-5-cyclopropyl-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide To a
 solution of DABAL-Me 3 ( $324 \mathrm{mg}, 1.265 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 1 mL ) stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at rt in a sealed tube was added $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}(1265 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{THF}, 2.53 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oil bath for 30 min . A solution of ethyl 5-cyclopropyl-6-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate 3.104c ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, 0.632 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 2 mL ) was added and the reaction was heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to rt and quenched with aqueous 2 M HCl . The aqueous layer was neutralised with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried using a hydrophobic frit and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (10-90\% (3:1 EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 6-amino-5-cyclopropyl-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 0.493 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.62$ min, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$232.2, ( $98 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}-\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ): $\delta 1.17-1.28$ (m, 4H), 2.28$2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Exchangeable protons were not observed. ${ }_{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, MeOD-d4): $\delta 10.0,12.0,24.6,102.5,116.7,133.1,140.7,142.8,159.4$, 164.3.
M.pt.: 215-220 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp)
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3224, 1641, 1556, 1435, 1316, 1283, 1216, 1195, 1159, 1128, 1058, 870, 826, $768 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 232.1193, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$232.1193.
(R)-6-Acetamido-N-methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide

3.106a

Prepared according to General Procedure E, with 3.105a (20 mg, 0.069 mmol ) and acetyl chloride ( $7 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.104 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), affording ( $R$ )-6acetamido-
$N$-methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxamide ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.058 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%$ ) as an off-white solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.77 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 331.2$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 1.21$ (d, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{q}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.44 (s, 1H), 9.47 (s, 1H)
(R)-6-Benzamido-N-methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide

3.107a

Prepared according to General Procedure E, with 3.105a (20 mg, 0.069 mmol ) and benzoyl chloride ( $0.012 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.104 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), affording ( $R$ )-6-benzamido- N -methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.059 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ ) as an offwhite solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.01 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$393.3, (95\% purity).
H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 1.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.74(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-$ $3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91-7.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H), 9.94 (s, 1H).

## (R)-6-(2-Methoxybenzamido)-N-methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1- <br> yl)pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


3.108a

Prepared according to General Procedure E, with 3.105a ( 20 mg , 0.069 mmol ) and 2-methoxybenzoyl chloride ( $0.014 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.104 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The product was further purified by high pH MDAP, affording as an off-white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.12 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$423.3, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{NMR}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.79$ (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.09-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.303 .39$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.8,7.3,1.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.56 (ddd, $J=8.2,7.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32$ (dd, $J=$ $7.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.91$ (s, 1H), 10.13 (s, 1H).
(R)-N-methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)-6-(phenylsulfonamido)pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide

3.109a

Prepared according to General Procedure E, with 3.105a ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.069$ mmol ) and benzenesulfonyl chloride ( $0.013 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.104 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), stirring for 36 h. Further purified by high pH MDAP, affording ( $R$ )-Nmethyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)-6-(phenylsulfonamido)pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide (12 mg, 0.028 mmol, 40\%) as a brown solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.79 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ 429.2, (94\% purity). 1
H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.05$ (d, $\left.J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.43-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.92$ (ddd, $J=12.8$, 8.1, $4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.0,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.91-7.20 (m, 1H), 7.47-7.55 (m, 3H), 7.59-7.65 (m, 1H), 7.81-7.87 (m, 2H), $8.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50$ (s, 1H). ${ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 16.7,20.3,25.5,25.9,31.5,46.7,52.2,103.8,115.3$, $127.2,129.5,131.0,133.8,138.8,143.1,146.7,156.7,163.1$. M.pt.: $96-99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\left[\alpha_{\mathrm{D}}\right]^{22}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=-35(\mathrm{c}=0.2, \mathrm{MeOH})$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3349, 3066, 2939, 1635, 1568, 1477, 1447, 1344, 1268, 1242, 1165, 1093, 921, 557, 730, $689 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 429.1703, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 429.1707$.

## (R)-6-(Benzamido)-N-methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide


3.110a

Prepared according to General Procedure F, with 3.105a ( 25 mg , 0.087 mmol ) and benzaldehyde ( $13.22 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.130 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (10-40\% (3:1 EtOAc: EtOH )/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo and further purified by High pH MDAP to give ( $R$ )-6-
(benzylamino)- N -methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-
yl)pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.026 \mathrm{mmol}, 31 \%$ ).
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.24 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right] 379.3$, ( $100 \%$ pure). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $1.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-$ $3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.69$ (q, $J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
(R)-N-Methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)-6-(((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4yl)methyl)amino)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


Prepared according to General Procedure F, with 3.105a ( 23 mg , 0.080 mmol ) and tetrahydro-2 H -pyran-4-carbaldehyde ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.120$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The product was purified by silica chromatography ( $0-45 \%$ (3:1 EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo and further purified by Formic MDAP to give ( $R$ )-
N-methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)-6-(((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)methyl)amino)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}, 45 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.07 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 387.3$, ( $100 \%$ pure). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.20$ (d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.46 (qd, $\left.J=12.0,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.572 .01$ (m, 9 H ), $2.95(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44$ (td, $J=11.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=$ $4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. NH not observed.

## 6-(2-Methoxybenzamido)-N-methyl-5-(piperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-

## 3carboxamide



Prepared according to General Procedure E, with $\mathbf{3 . 1 0 5 b}$ ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.029$ mmol ) and 2-methoxybenzoyl chloride ( $6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.044 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The product was purified by silica chromatography ( $0-50 \%$ ( $3: 1$ EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 6 -(2-methoxybenzamido)- $N$-methyl-5-(piperidin-1yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol}$,
as a white solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES + ): $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.06 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$409.3, ( $97 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): б 1.69-1.84 (m, 6H), $3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.15-7.21 (m, 1H), 7.56 (ddd, $J=8.4,7.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ),
$7.60-7.66$ (m, 1H), 8.31 (dd, $J=7.8,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.47 (s, 1H), 9.81 (s, 1H), 9.98 (s, 1H). 13
C NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 24.1,25.5,25.9,50.6,56.4,104.0,111.7,117.1,120.6,122.0$, 128.7, 132.8, 134.0, 142.1, 146.0, 156.7, 157.3, 163.2, 163.3. M.pt.: 209-210 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . v_{\max }$ (neat): $3386,3273,2945,1649,1660,1540,1483,1429,1373,1303,1287,1244,1212,1168,1097$, 1014, 944, 861, $754,690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 409.1983 , found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 409.1994$.
 Prepared according to General Procedure E, with 3.105c (17 mg, 0.074 mmol ) and acetyl chloride ( $8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.110 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 0.05 M ) affording 6acetamido-5-cyclopropyl-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxamide ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol}, 55 \%$ ) as a white solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.60 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 274.2$, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1

H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.16-1.29(m, 4 H), 2.16(s, 3 H), 2.41-$ $2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{q}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
13
C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.9,13.3,23.5,26.1,104.3,121.7,132.4,143.4,146.3$, 162.2, 163.8, 170.2.
M.pt.: 230-236 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp).
$V_{\max }$ (neat): 3372, 3268, 3037, 1657, 1559, 1536, 1491, 1426, 1377, 1291, 1221, 1184, 1148, 1124, 1059, 992, 934, 907, 869, 771, 749, $720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 274.1299, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$274.1304.

## 5-Cyclopropyl-6-(2-methoxybenzamido)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide



Prepared according to General Procedure E, with 3.105c (17 mg, 0.074 mmol ) and 2-methoxybenzoyl chloride ( $0.015 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.110 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ affording 5-cyclopropyl-6-(2-methoxybenzamido)-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide (1.5 mg, $4.11 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $6 \%)$ as an off-white solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.95 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-} 364.4$, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d d $_{6}$ : ס 1.19-1.34 (m, 4H), 2.43-2.49 (m, 1H), $2.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.58-7.66 (m, 2H), $7.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 5-Cyclopropyl-6-(phenylsulfonamido)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide



Prepared according to General Procedure E, with 3.105c (17 mg, 0.074 mmol ) and benzenesulfonyl chloride $(0.014 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.110 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF (1.5 mL) affording 5-cyclopropyl- N -methyl-6-(phenylsulfonamido)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide (15 mg, $0.040 \mathrm{mmol}, 55 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.66 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 372.3$, ( $100 \%$ purity).

H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): ס 0.74-0.81 (m, 2H), 0.92-0.98 (m, 2H), 2.09-2.18 (m, 1H), $2.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{q}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.45$ (s, 1H), $8.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
-(Benzylamino)-5-cyclopropyl-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide
Prepared according to General Procedure F, with 3.105c (16 mg, 0.069
$\mathrm{mmol})$ and benzaldehyde $(11 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.104 \mathrm{mmol})$. Slow conversion was
observed so further magnesium sulphate and $\mathrm{TsOH}(7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.035$
$\mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 6 h .10 eq methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $3 \mathrm{mg}, 9.33 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 13 \%$ ) as an off-white solid. LCMS (High pH, ES + ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.00 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 321.2$, ( $100 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}-$ d4): $\delta 1.27-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Exchangeable protons were not observed. 5-Cyclopropyl-N-methyl-6-(()tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)methyl)amino)pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide

3.111c

Prepared according to General Procedure F, with 3.105c (16 mg, 0.069 mmol ) and tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-carbaldehyde ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.104$ mmol). Slow conversion was observed so further magnesium sulphate and $\mathrm{TsOH}(7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 6 h . In total, 10 eq $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ were required, with stirring for 24 h . The crude product was purified by High pH MDAP to give $\quad 5$-cyclopropyl- N -methyl-6-(((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)methyl)amino)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol}, 18 \%$ ) as an offwhite solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES+ ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.83 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ 330.3, ( $90 \%$ pure). 1
H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD-d4): $\delta 1.22-1.27(m, 4 H), 1.42$ (qd, $J=12.0,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84$ (dq, $J=13.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{td}, J=12.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Exchangeable protons were not observed.

## ( $R$ )-N-Methyl-6-((2-methylbenzyl)amino)-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide



3.112a

Prepared according to General Procedure F, with 3.105a ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.087$ mmol ), 2-methylbenzaldehyde ( $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.130 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetic acid ( 5 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.087 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude product was purified by High pH MDAP to give ( $R$ )-N-methyl-6-((2-methylbenzyl)amino)-5-(2methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.069 \mathrm{mmol}$, 79\%).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.31 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+393.4$, ( $96 \%$ pure). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.76-1.91 (m, 2H), $2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.8,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.323 .41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## (R)-N-Methyl-6-((2-methoxybenzyl)amino)-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1- <br> yl)pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide



Prepared according to General Procedure F, with 3.105a ( 25 mg , 0.087 mmol ), 2-methoxybenzaldehyde ( $16 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.130 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetic acid $(5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.087 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude product was purified by High pH MDAP to give (R)-6-((2-methoxybenzyl)amino)-N-methyl-5(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( 26 mg , $0.064 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%)$.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.27 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 409.4$, ( $96 \%$ pure). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
1.78-1.92 (m, 2H), $3.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27$ (d, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{q}, J=$ 4.6 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (s, 1H), 8.31 (s, 1H).
(R)-6-((2-Cyanobenzyl)amino)-N-methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-

## yl)pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


3.114a

Prepared according to General Procedure F, with 3.105a ( 25 mg , 0.087 mmol ), 2-formylbenzonitrile ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.130 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetic acid $(5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.087 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude product was purified by High pH MDAP to give (R)-6-((2-cyanobenzyl)amino)-N-methyl-5-
methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( 31 mg , 0.077 mmol, 89\%).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.15 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$404.3, ( $93 \%$ pure).
H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.8,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.41-4.60 (m, 3H), $7.44(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$7.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 17.0,20.8,25.8$, 25.8, 31.9, 46.5, 47.3, 51.7, 103.8, 111.9, 115.3, 117.2, 127.8, 128.4, 128.5, 133.3, 133.8, 140.2, 141.1, 143.9, 155.5, 163.5. M.pt.: 118-122 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\left[\alpha_{\mathrm{D}}\right]^{23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=+27(\mathrm{c}=0.24, \mathrm{MeOH}) . v_{\max }($ neat $): 3334,2935,2224,1645,1564,1456,1276$, $1265,1245,1198,1174,1130,1092,1064,972,920,882,815,771,740,728 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 404.2193, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 404.2199$.
(R)-N-Methyl-6-(methylamino)-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide and (R)-6-(dimethylamino)-N-methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-

1yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide
To a solution of 3.105 a ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.146 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium carbonate ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.582 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1.5 mL ) was added methyl iodide ( $12 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.350 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The reaction mixture was cooled, neutralised with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by High pH MDAP afford the products: (R)-N-methyl-6-(methylamino)-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxamide $7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.023 \mathrm{mmol}, 16 \%$.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.00 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 303.2$, ( $100 \%$ pure). 1


H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl $)_{3}$ : $\delta 1.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.94(\mathrm{~m}$, 6 H ), $2.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.27(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.30-3.37 (m, 1H), 3.72-3.83 (m, 1H), 4.01-4.09 (m, 1H), 7.65-
3.115a $7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## ( $R$ )-6-(dimethylamino)-N-methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide


$2 \mathrm{mg}, 6.32 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4 \%$.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.13 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 317.1$, ( $100 \%$ pure). 1
H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $2.72(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-$ $5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 6-Bromo-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide



To a suspension of 6-bromopyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid 3.117 ( $900 \mathrm{mg}, 3.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and oxalyl chloride ( $0.651 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 22 mL ) stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at rt was added DMF ( $14 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.186 \mathrm{mmol}$ ).

The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 5 h , until the suspension became a clear solution. The reaction mixture was evaporated in vacuo, redissolved in anhydrous DCM and evaporated again. The residue was dissolved in THF ( 9 mL ) and stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$ ( 7.44 mL , 2 M in THF, 14.87 mmol ) was added and the resulting thick slurry was stirred at rt for 2 h . Further $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}(7.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 14.87 mmol ) was added and the reaction stirred for 2 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and suspended in EtOAc (100 $\mathrm{mL})$ and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was filtered, washed with ether, and the solid dried under vacuum to afford 6-bromo- $N$-methylpyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide (685 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%$ ) as an off-white solid.

The filtrate was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were washed with brine ( 10 mL ), dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (0-60\% (3:1 EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford additional 6-bromo- N -methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 0.498 \mathrm{mmol}$, $13 \%$ ) as a cream solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): tr $=0.64 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}^{\left.+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}\right.$255.0, 257.0, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 2.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{q}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
13
C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 26.2,105.3,106.4,137.9,144.0,146.7,152.9,161.7 /$ M.pt.: $194-195^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . v_{\max }(\mathrm{neat}): 3416,3045,1673,1660,1561,1527,1502,1456,1421,1368,1259$, 1222, 1194, 1081, 914, 825, 770, 690, 632, 576, $529 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{ON}_{4} \mathrm{Br}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 254.9881, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 254.9879$.

## N6-Cyclopropyl-N3-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3,6-dicarboxamide, 6-(cyclopropylamino)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide and 7-(cyclopropylamino)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide

A solution of 6-bromo- $N$-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.118 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.118$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, cyclopropanamine ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.588 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.059 \mathrm{mmol})$, Xantphos ( 41 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.071 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $33 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.235 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( $235 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was evacuated and backfilled with carbon monoxide (CO) twice. The reaction was placed under a balloon of CO and heated to $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The reaction was filtered through Celite and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by High pH MDAP. The solvent was blown down to give the three products:

## N6-Cyclopropyl-N3-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3,6-dicarboxamide


3.119
(d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H)
(
$3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \%$.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.57 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$260.3, ( $95 \%$ purity). 1
H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): ס 0.59-0.65 (m, 2H), 0.73-0.80 (m, 2H),
2.86-2.93 (m, 1H), $2.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$8.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.63$
${ }_{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 6.2,23.5,26.2,106.4,117.1,137.4,145.7,148.1,151.4$, 161.7, 163.6.
M.pt.: 160-200 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.).
$V_{\max }$ (neat): 3363, 3279, 1665, 1624, 1567, 1541, 1508, 1409, 1392, 1321, 1260, 1081, 1022, 940, 911, 841, $782 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 260.1142 , found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 260.1146$.

## 6-(Cyclopropylamino)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 5


3.120
$\mathrm{mg}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol}, 18 \%$.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.67 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$232.2, ( $95 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.60-0.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.84-0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{tt}, J=6.6$, $3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.34$ (br. s., 1 H ), 7.68 (br. s., 1 H$), 8.25$ (d, J = $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.33 (d, J = $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.50 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .7-$ (Cyclopropylamino)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxamide $3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.013 \mathrm{mmol}, 11 \%$.


LCMS (High pH, ES + ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.75 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$232.3, ( $84 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 0.71-0.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.83-0.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.702 .78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96-8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Amine NH not observed.
3.121

N6-Cyclopropyl-N3-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3,6-dicarboxamide In the

3.119 reaction chamber of the COware ${ }^{372}$ two-chamber glassware, 6bromo-$N$-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide $\quad 3.118 \quad(60 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.235 mmol ), cyclopropanamine ( $0.049 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.706 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triethylamine ( $0.066 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.470 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and Xantphos Pd G3 ( 11 mg ,
0.012 mmol ) were dissolved in 1,4-dioxane ( 0.5 mL ). In the COgenerating chamber was placed 9-methyl-9H-fluorene-9-carbonyl chloride (114 mg, 0.470 $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(4.3 \mathrm{mg}, 4.70 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and tri-tert-butylphosphonium tetrafluoroborate ( 1.4 mg , $4.70 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$. The vessel was purged with nitrogen, then triethylamine ( $0.098 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.706 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1,4-dioxane ( 0.5 mL ) were added to the CO-generating chamber and the vessel was sealed. The reaction was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sand bath for 18 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and the contents of the reaction chamber were evaporated to dryness. The residue was dry-loaded onto silica and purified by silica chromatography (10-60\% (3:1 $\mathrm{EtOAc}: \mathrm{EtOH}) /$ cyclohexane). The appropriate fractions were evaporated to afford N6cyclopropyl-N3-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3,6-dicarboxamide ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.131 \mathrm{mmol}$, $56 \%$ yield) as a yellow solid.
Analysis was consistent with material obtained via the previous method (pp 289).

6-(Benzylamino)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide A

filtered through Celite, evaporated to dryness and purified by silica chromatography ( $0-50 \%$ ( $3: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc}: E t O H$ )/cyclohexane). The appropriate fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 6 -(benzylamino)- $N$-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.043$ mmol, 54\%) as an off-white solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.84 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$282.2, ( $94 \%$ purity).
${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.43$ (m, 5H), 7.67 (br. s., 1 H ), $7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
8.44 (s, 1H). 13 C NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 25.9,48.5,105.8,115.2,127.4,128.1,129.1$, 133.8, 136.7, 141.0, 144.5, 144.7, 163.0. M.pt.: $190-192^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . v_{\max }(n e a t): 3367,3293,3081$, 2885, 1630, 1564, 1501, 1458, 1402, 1320, 1261, 1221, 1188, 1132, 1075, 998, 878, 808, $772,740,698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: ( $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N} 5 \mathrm{O}$ ) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 282.1349, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$282.1348.
$N$-Methyl-6-morpholinopyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide A solution

of 6 -bromo- N -methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxamide 3.118 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.078 \mathrm{mmol}), \operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.88 \mathrm{mg}, 3.92 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, Xantphos ( $2.7 \mathrm{mg}, 4.70 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), morpholine ( $34 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.392 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine $(22 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.157 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(157 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ in a sand bath for 18 h . The reaction was filtered through Celite, evaporated to dryness and purified by silica chromatography ( $0-50 \% 3$ 3:1 EtOH:EtOAc/cyclohexane). The appropriate fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford N -methyl-6-morpholinopyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.031 \mathrm{mmol}, 39 \%$ ) as an off-white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.60 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 262.2,\left(88 \%\right.$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.05$ (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.15$ (dd, $J=5.6,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-$ $3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53-8.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## 7-Amino- $N$-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide



A solution of 6-bromo- N -methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide $\mathbf{3 . 1 1 8}$ $(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.078 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.88 \mathrm{mg}, 3.92 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, Xantphos $(2.7 \mathrm{mg}, 4.70$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}$ ), ammonium chloride ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.392 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $77 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.55$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(157 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ in a sand bath for 18 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, evaporated to dryness and purified by High pH MDAP. The solvent was blown down to afford 7 -amino-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%$ ) as an off-white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.52 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$192.2, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): ס 2.86 (d, $\left.J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{q}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13-8.21$ (m, 2H), 8.23 (d, J=5.4 Hz, 1H), 8.40 (s, 1H).

## N3-Methyl-N6-phenyIpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3,6-dicarboxamide


3.126

6-Bromo-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.118 $(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.098 \mathrm{mmol})$, aniline ( $18 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.196 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}(8 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.025 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(1.1 \mathrm{mg}, 4.90 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, Xantphos ( $2.8 \mathrm{mg}, 4.90$ $\mu \mathrm{mol})$ and DMAP ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.196 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were sealed in a vial and placed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. 1,4-Dioxane ( $980 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added and the reaction was degassed. The reaction was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h
in a sand bath, then to $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The reaction mixture was cooled, filtered through Celite and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by High pH MDAP. The solvent was blown down to give N3-methyl-N6-phenylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3,6-dicarboxamide ( 5 mg , $0.017 \mathrm{mmol}, 17 \%$ ) as an off-white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.79 \mathrm{~min}$, no mass ion, ( $90 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78$ (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.53 (s, 1H), 8.82 (br. s., 1H), 9.00 (d, $J=$ $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## N3-Methyl-N6-phenyIpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3,6-dicarboxamide


3.127

6-Bromo- $N$-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.118 (25 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.098 \mathrm{mmol})$, morpholine ( $17 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.196 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}(8 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.025 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc}) 2(1.1 \mathrm{mg}, 4.90 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, Xantphos $(2.8 \mathrm{mg}, 4.90$ $\mu \mathrm{mol})$ and DMAP ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.196 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were sealed in a vial and placed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. 1,4-Dioxane ( $980 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added and the reaction was degassed. The reaction was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h in a sand bath, then to 75 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The reaction mixture was cooled, filtered through Celite and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by High pH MDAP. The solvent was blown down to give $N$ -methyl-6-(morpholine-4-carbonyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide (8 mg, 0.028 mmol, $28 \%$ ) as an off-white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.51 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$290.2, ( $90 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , MeODd4): $\delta 3.03$ (s, 3H), 3.75 (br. s., 8H), 8.64 (s, 1H), 8.83 (d, J = $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 9.30 (d, J=2.0 Hz, $1 \mathrm{H})$. Exchangeable protons were not observed.

## 6-(Benzyloxy)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid



To a solution of oxalyl chloride ( $0.600 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM (15 $\mathrm{mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of DMSO ( $1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ dropwise and the reaction was stirred for 10 min . A solution of 2-(benzyloxy)propane-1,3-diol 3.128 ( 500 mg ,
$2.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 2 mL ) was added dropwise and the reaction was stirred for 15 min . Triethylamine ( $4.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the reaction was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The cold bath was removed and 6 M aq. $\mathrm{HCl}(6 \mathrm{~mL}$, 36.0 mmol ) was added, followed by 3-amino-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylic acid 3.129 ( 349 mg , $2.74 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 min . The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica chromatography (0-40\% (3:1 $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{EtOH}+2 \% \mathrm{AcOH}) /$ cyclohexane) and the appropriate fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 6-(benzyloxy)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid (135 mg, 0.501 mmol, $18 \%$ ). The product contained a significant amount of an unknown impurity, possibly benzoylpropanediol related, and was used for further chemistry without further purification. LCMS (High pH, ES+ ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.81 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$270.1, (100\% purity).

## 6-(Benzyloxy)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


3.131

A solution of 6-(benzyloxy)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid 3.130 ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HATU ( $286 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA $(175 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 1.25 mL ) was stirred at rt for 15 min. $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 2.00 mmol ) was added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 18 h . The reaction mixture was
poured into water ( 20 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (10-40\% (3:1/EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated to afford 6-(benzyloxy)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxamide (11 mg, $0.039 \mathrm{mmol}, 8 \%)$ as a yellow solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): tr $=0.93 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 283.2,(81 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 7.60-
$7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

N,5-Dimethyl-7-oxo-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide To a
 solution of 5-methyl-7-oxo-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxylic acid 3.133 ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.223 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and HATU ( $93 \mathrm{mg}, 0.245 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO ( 2 mL ) stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at rt was added $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}(0.223 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 0.445 mmol ) and DIPEA ( $0.078 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.445 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 24 h . The reaction mixture was purified directly
by High pH MDAP. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to give N,5-dimethyl-7-oxo-4,7dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.034 \mathrm{mmol}, 15 \%$ ) as a white solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.46 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$207.3, ( $99 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-$ $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 2.31$ (br. s., 3H), 2.80 (d, $J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.47-5.69 (m, 1H), 6.74-7.50 (m, 1H), 7.99$8.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27-8.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): $3336,3174,3006,1683,1610,1582,1544,1490,1459,1439,1422,1364,1275$, 1189, 1135, 1018, 998, 918, 805, 785, 772, 671, $660 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. 3-Bromo-5,7-
dichloropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine

3.136

To a solution of 5,7-dichloropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine 3.135 ( $830 \mathrm{mg}, 4.41$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(22 \mathrm{~mL})$ stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at rt was added NBS ( $864 \mathrm{mg}, 4.86$ mmol ) and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with water and the aqueous was extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried using a hydrophobic frit and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (0-10\% EtOAc/cyclohexane), the solvent was evaporated in vacuo to afford to afford 3-bromo-5,7dichloropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine ( $1.11 \mathrm{~g}, 4.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 94 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.05 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$265.6, ( $95 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.04$ (s, 1H), 8.22 (s, 1H). ${ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 86.2,109.5,140.5,145.0,146.3,150.6$. Analysis consistent with literature. ${ }^{367}$

## 3-bromo-5-chloropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine


3.137

A suspension of 3-bromo-5,7-dichloropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine 3.136 (865 $\mathrm{mg}, 3.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $33 \%$ ammonium hydroxide solution ( $15 \mathrm{~mL}, 385 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a sealed tube was heated to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oil bath for 18 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and evaporated to dryness. The residue was suspended
in water and filtered, the solid was washed with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried under vacuum to afford 3-bromo-5-chloropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine ( $640 \mathrm{mg}, 2.59$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\left.\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.82 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right]^{+}$247.2, 249.0, 250.9, (99\% purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ): $\delta 6.11$ (s, 1H), 8.28 (br. s, 2H), 8.27 (s, 1H).
13
C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 81.2,87.5,144.7,145.0,149.7,151.6$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3447, 3089, 7645, 1592, 1558, 1463, 1350, 1332, 1305, 1154, 1142, 1185, 1050, 980, 911, $787 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{ClBr}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 246.9381, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 246.9385$.

## 3-Bromo-5-chloro-N,N-bis((2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin7amine



To a suspension of 3-bromo-5-chloropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin7amine 3.137 ( $640 \mathrm{mg}, 2.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( 2.71 mL , 15.52 mmol ) in DCM ( 7 mL ) was added SEM-CI ( $1.84 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.34$ mmol ), and the reaction was heated to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and diluted with DCM ( 10 mL ) and
water ( 15 mL ) and extracted. The organic layer was washed with water ( 15 mL ) and the combined aqueous layers were extracted with DCM ( 10 mL ). The combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (0-10\% EtOAc/cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford 3-bromo-5-chloro- $N, N$-bis((2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine ( $1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 2.055 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \%$ ) as a colourless oil.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): tr $=1.79 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 507.2,509.2$, 511.2 , ( $95 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.00-0.03(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.98(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.57$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.03 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-1.4,18.1,66.2,79.7,94.3,95.1,144.1$, 146.3, 149.3, 152.6. $v_{\max }$ (neat): 2953, 1603, 1543, 1248, 1156, 1072, 976, 913, 856, 831, 758, $692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{BrCl}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 507.1009, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+507.1011$.

## (R)-3-Bromo-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)-N,N-bis((2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine



To a solution of 3-bromo-5-chloro- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-bis((2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine 3.138 ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 0.709 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium carbonate ( 751 mg , $7.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ in NMP ( 1.5 mL ) in a sealed tube was added $(R)$ 2methylpiperidine ( $0.384 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was heated to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . The reaction was diluted with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether $(4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were washed with brine, dried through a hydrophobic frit evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography ( $0-8 \%$ EtOAc/cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford (R)-3-bromo-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)-N,Nbis((2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine ( $374 \mathrm{mg}, 0.655 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%$ ) as a colourless gum.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.93 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 570.4,572.3$, ( $100 \%$ purity) ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.85-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.99$ (td, $J=13.1,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
4.62-4.72 (m, 1H), $5.09(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz CDCl 3 ): $\delta$-1.4, 14.9, 18.1, 18.8, 25.7, 30.4, 39.3, 47.2, 65.8, 78.3, 80.2,
83.9, 143.0, 147.2, 148.9, 157.3.
$\left[\alpha_{\mathrm{D}}\right]^{22{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=-37(\mathrm{C}=0.21, \mathrm{MeOH}) . v_{\max }($ neat $): 2950,1624,1558,1515,1454$,
1249, 1067, $855,836 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Br}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires
570.2290, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+570.2295$.
(R)-7-Amino-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid


To a solution of ${ }^{n} B u L i(1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, $2.19 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 3.5 mL ) stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of $(R)$-3bromo-5-(2-
methylpiperidin-1-yl)-N,N-
bis((2(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine $3.139(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.350 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 3.5 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . Dry ice was sublimed in a separate flask at rt and the resulting $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was bubbled through the reaction at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with gradual warming to rt , for 4 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with 2 M HCl and stirred at rt for 2 days. The aqueous layer was adjusted to pH 6 and extracted with EtOAc $(4 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organics were washed with brine, dried using a hydrophobic frit and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by Formic MDAP and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo to give ( $R$ )-7-amino-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylic acid (17 mg, 0.056 mmol, $16 \%$ ) as an off-white solid.

LCMS (Formic, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.78 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+276.1$, ( $89 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-
 1 H ), 4.19-4.30 (m, 1 H$), 4.53-4.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .90 \%$ pure, used for further chemistry without further purification.

## (R)-7-Amino-N-methyl-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide


(R)-7-amino-5-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxylic acid 3.140 ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.080 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and HATU ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.096$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 1 mL ) was added DIPEA ( $0.028 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.160 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$ ( $0.120 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 0.240 mmol ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h . Further HATU ( $36.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.096 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$
( $0.120 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 0.240 mmol ) were added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 3 days. The reaction mixture was diluted with water ( 20 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 10 mL ). The combined organics were washed with brine, dried through a
hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (10-70\% (3:1 EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated in vacuo and further purified by High pH MDAP to afford ( $R$ )-7-amino-N-methyl-5-(2methylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 6.94 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 9 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.91 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$289.3, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.97 (td, $J=13.1,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.18$ (m, 1H), 4.49-4.59 (m, 1H), 5.63 (s, 1H), 7.33 (s, 2H), 7.81 ( $q, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 14.9,18.7,25.6,25.8$, 30.4, 39.1, 47.3, 73.4, 100.9, 144.1, 147.1, 149.1, 158.3, 163.4.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3329, 3194, 2939, 1664, 1623, 1564, 1488, 1379, 1239, 1179, 1130, 871, 778, $765,674 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 289.1771, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$289.1774. 7-Chloro-1-methyl-1H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine

3.143

To a solution of 7-chloro-1 H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine 3.142 (445 mg, 2.92 mmol ) in DMF ( 10 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added sodium hydride ( $60 \%$ in mineral oil, 350 mg ,
$8.75 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction was stirred for 15 min . Methyl iodide ( 0.365 mL , 5.83 mmol ) was added and the reaction was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction was quenched with water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (3 x 20 mL ). The combined organics were washed with brine, dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. Purified by silica chromatography (10-90\% EtOAc/cyclohexane) the appropriate fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 7-chloro-1-methyl1 Hpyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 2.101 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.85 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$167.1, ( $100 \%$ purity) 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.26 (d, $J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 7-Chloro-1-methyl-1H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxylic acid



To DMF ( 1.7 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(380 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.08 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . To this solution was added a solution of 7-chloro-1-methyl-1 H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine 3.143 ( $340 \mathrm{mg}, 2.041 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 3.4 mL ) dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 18 h . Further $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(380 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction was heated
to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . Further $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(200 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The reaction mixture was poured into an ice/water mixture and the pH was adjusted to 7 by adding 2 N NaOH . The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc ( $5 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic layers were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated in vacuo. and water ( 2.5 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Sulfamic acid ( $691 \mathrm{mg}, 7.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, followed by a solution of sodium chlorite ( $201 \mathrm{mg}, 1.780 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium dihydrogen phosphate (1.71 $\mathrm{g}, 14.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water ( 8 mL ) dropwise. The reaction was stirred at rt for 18 h . A precipitate
had formed and was filtered off, washed with diethyl ether and dried under vacuum to afford 7-chloro-1-methyl-1 H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxylic acid ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.641 \mathrm{mmol}, 31 \%$ over two steps) as a white solid.

LCMS (Formic, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.37 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 211.0$, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 4.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.06-11.73$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).

## 7-Chloro-N,1-dimethyl-1H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide



To a solution of 7-chloro-1-methyl-1 H -pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxylic acid
3.144 ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.641 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and HATU ( $292 \mathrm{mg}, 0.769 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 2.5 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added DIPEA ( $168 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.961 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}(961 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $1.923 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h . The reaction mixture
3.145 was diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica chromatography (10-50\% (3:1 EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane) and the appropriate fractions evapoarted to dryness to afford 7-chloro- $N, 1$-dimethyl-1 $H$-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3carboxamide ( $85 \mathrm{mg}, 0.380 \mathrm{mmol}, 59 \%$ ) as a white solid. LCMS (High pH, ES + ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.86 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$224.23, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d $_{6}$ ): $\delta 2.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{q}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
13
C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 25.8,37.2,110.2,118.8,126.1,127.2,139.5,144.3,145.0$, 163.1.
M.pt.: $184-188^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . v_{\max }$ (neat): $3305,3095,1645,1601,1563,1444,1403,1336,1316$, 1296, 1267, 1211, 1139, 1088, 850, 828, 808, $783 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OCl}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ requires 224.0585, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$224.0575.

## 7-Amino-N,1-dimethyl-1 H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide, TFA salt


3.146

7-Chloro-N,1-dimethyl-1 H-pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide 3.145 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.179 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium tert-butoxide ( $51.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.537 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{PdOAc}_{2}(4.02 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol})$ and BINAP ( $22.27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in 1,4-dioxane ( 1.2 mL ) and stirred at rt for 10 min under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. 4-Methoxybenzylamine ( $0.047 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.358 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oil bath for 6 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and evaporated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in TFA ( 1 mL ) and stirred at rt for 3 h . The reaction mixture was purified by ion exchange chromatography ( 2 g SCX , $\mathrm{MeOH} / 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH ), solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the product was further purified by silica chromatography ( $0-10 \% 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ). The fractions were evaporated in vacuo and the product was further purified by HPLC ${ }^{385}$ (CSH C18 $150 \times 30 \mathrm{~mm}$,

5 um column using MeCN/water with a TFA modifier), affording 7-amino- $\mathrm{N}, 1$ dimethyl- 1 H -pyrrolo[3,2-b]pyridine-3-carboxamide, trifluoroacetic acid salt ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.129 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%$ ).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.56 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$205.2, ( $69 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-$ $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 2.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{t}, J=50.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.81-7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{q}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.87$ (br. s., 1H). 19

F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta$-73.99.
13
C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 25.8,37.9,103.4,104.8,117.8,135.5,135.8,136.8,148.6$, 163.2. TFA salt carbon not observed.
M.pt.: 145-147 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3233, 1642, 1616, 1572, 1489, 1415, 1363, 1304, 1191, 1151, 1129, 785, 725, $705 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 205.1084, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$205.1082.


LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.85 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+296.2,(100 \%$ purity $) .{ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 1.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.00-5.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38-5.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.13$ (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

5-(Benzyl(methyl)amino)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide
 Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.119$ mmol), $N$-methylbenzylamine ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.178 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $42 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.237 mmol ) at rt, affording 5-
(benzyl(methyl)amino)-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol}, 43 \%$ )
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.88 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$296.2, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## N-Methyl-5-(phenylsulfonamido)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide



To a suspension of $3.030(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.190 \mathrm{mmol})$ and cesium carbonate ( $186 \mathrm{mg}, 0.570 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DME ( 3 mL ) was added benzenesulfonamide ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.285 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the reaction mixture was stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc ( 10 mL ) and $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc ( $4 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were washed with brine, dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica chromatography ( $0-15 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford $N$-methyl5 (phenylsulfonamido)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.121 \mathrm{mmol}, 64 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (Formic, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.68 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 332.0$, ( $100 \%$ purity).
${ }_{1}$ H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): ठ 2.85 (d, $J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.57 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.43-7.50 (m, 1H), 7.56-7.67 (m, 3H), 7.94 (dd, $J=7.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.19 (s, 1H), 8.82 (d, J=7.3 Hz, $1 \mathrm{H})$, 12.10-12.52 (m, 1H).
13
C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) ס 25.7, 102.8, 103.2, 126.9, 129.6, 132.9, 138.1, 142.2, 145.1, 145.2, 154.8, 162.4. M.pt.: $235-238^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 2928, 1625, 1572, 1466, 1420, 1344, 1236, 1162, 1124, 1082, 896, 809, 775, 750, 718, $687 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 332.0812 , found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 332.0808$.

## N-Methyl-5-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1,4-epiminonaphthalen-9-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide


3.151

Prepared according to General Procedure C, with $\mathbf{3 . 0 3 0}$ ( 25 mg , $0.119 \mathrm{mmol}), 1,2,3,4$-tetrahydro-1,4-epiminonaphthalene ( 25.9 mg , $0.178 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA ( $42 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.237 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, affording Nmethyl-5-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1,4-epiminonaphthalen-9-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ ) as an off-white solid. LCMS (High pH, ES+): tr = $0.95 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 320.2$, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ): $\delta 1.32-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.84$ (br. s., 2H), 6.88 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.18 (dd, $J=5.4,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.39 (dd, $J=$
$5.4,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68$ (q, $J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ : ठ 26.2, 26.5, 61.4, 100.3, 102.4, 120.2, 127.0, 137.8, 145.0, 145.4, 145.8, 156.3, 162.7. M.pt.: $128-131^{\circ} \mathrm{C} v_{\max }$ (neat): 3355, 2950, 1628, 1567, 1480, 1434, 1351, 1225, 1174, 1113, 1080, 911, 886, 842, 800, 774, 759, $691 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 320.1506, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 320.1520$.

## 5-(Isoindolin-2-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


3.152

Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( 20 mg , 0.095 mmol ), isoindoline ( $16 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $33 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.190 mmol ) at rt. The product precipitated from the reaction mixture and was collected by filtration, then washed with EtOAc and dried
under vacuum to afford 5 -(isoindolin- 2 -yl)- $N$-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.061 \mathrm{mmol}, 64 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.91 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 294.2$, ( $99 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.12$ (d, J = $4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.93 (br. s., 2H), 5.05 (br. s., 2H), 6.35 (d, J = $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.35-$ 7.50 (m, 4H), 7.74-7.85 (m, 1H), 8.41 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.44
(s, 1H) 5-(7-Azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-7-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-

carboxamide Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 7 -azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane hydrochloride (19 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA ( $33 \mathrm{~L}, 0.190 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, affording 5 -(7azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-7-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxamide ( $4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol}, 16 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{tr}=0.84 \mathrm{~min}$, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 272.2,\left(97 \%\right.$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.59-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 3.06 (d, J=4.6 Hz, 3H), 4.59 (br. s., 2H), 6.34 (d, J = $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.70 (br. s., 1H), 8.29 (d, J $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 5-(3-Hydroxy-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-8-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine- <br> 3carboxamide



Prepared according to General Procedure C, with $\mathbf{3 . 0 3 0}(20 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.095 mmol ), nortropine ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $33 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.190 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt , affording 5 -(3-hydroxy-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octan8-yl)- N -methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.066$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.65 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+302.1$, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.77$ (d, $J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.83-2.21 (m, 4H), 2.35 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.86 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=4.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.89 (br. s., 1H), 4.45-4.60 (m, 1H), $4.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76-4.91$ (m, 1H), 6.69 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{q}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
( $\pm$ )-N-Methyl-5-(2-phenylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide

( $\pm$ )-3.155a Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.190$ mmol ), 2-phenylpiperidine ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.285 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $66 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.380$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were washed with brine, evaporated to dryness and purified by silica chromatography ( $10-70 \%$ (3:1 EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo and further
purified by ion-exchange chromatography (sulphonic acid (SCX) 2 g , eluting with methanol). The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to give $N$-methyl-5-(2-phenylpiperidin-1yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.149 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{r}}=1.03 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 336.3$, ( $89 \%$ purity). $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : б 1.64-1.94 (m, 4H), 2.07-2.19 (m, 1H), $2.40(\mathrm{dq}, J=13.8,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3 H ), 3.37 (ddd, $J=13.8,11.5,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.31-4.42 (m, 1H),
5.60 (br. s., 1H), 6.40 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.22-7.33 (m, 3H), 7.34-7.43 (m, 2H), 7.51 (br. s., $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 18.6,24.5,25.7,29.5,41.7,56.0,96.9,102.1,126.2,127.1$, 128.9, 136.1, 139.8, 146.2, 146.2, 156.6, 163.8. M.pt.: 206-208 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$v_{\max }($ neat $): 1631,1655,1441,1267,1225,1180,1128,1023,894,776,697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 336.1819, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$336.1817.
(S)-N-Methyl-5-(2-phenylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide

(S)-3.155a Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.109$ mmol), (S)-2-phenylpiperidine ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.164 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $38 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.218 mmol ) at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, affording ( $S$ ) - $N$-methyl-5-(2-phenylpiperidin$1 \mathrm{yl})$ pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.038 \mathrm{mmol}, 35 \%$ ). LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.03 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$336.3, ( $100 \%$ purity). $\left[\alpha_{\square}\right]^{22{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=-231(\mathrm{c}=0.2, \mathrm{MeOH}) .>99 \% e e$ by chiral HPLC. NMR consistent with racemate.

## (R)-N-Methyl-5-(2-phenylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


$(R)-3.155 \mathrm{~L}$ LCMS (High pH, ES $\left.{ }^{+}\right): \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.03 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$336.3, ( $100 \%$ purity). Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.109$ $\mathrm{mmol}),(R)$-2-phenylpiperidine $(26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.164 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA ( $38 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.218 mmol ) at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, affording ( $S$ ) - N -methyl-5-(2-phenylpiperidin$1 \mathrm{yl})$ pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.064 \mathrm{mmol}, 59 \%$ ).
$\left[\alpha_{D}\right]^{22}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=+110(\mathrm{c}=0.2, \mathrm{MeOH}) .>99 \% e e$ by chiral HPLC. NMR consistent with racemate
tert-Butyl 4-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)-3-phenylpiperazine1carboxylate

3.156

1carboxylate ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.039 \mathrm{mmol}, 41 \%$ ) as an off-white solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.04$ min, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+437.2,\left(100 \%\right.$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.32-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.39 (br. s., 1H), 6.26-6.37 (m, 1H),
7.29-7.43 (m, 6H), $8.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Prepared according to General Procedure D with tert-butyl 4-(3-
(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)-3-phenylpiperazine1-1
carboxylate $3.156(17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.039 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TFA $(120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.558$
mmol $)$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$, at rt for 18 h , affording N -methyl-5$\mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.70 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+337.3$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-$ $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 2.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{td}, J=11.7,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
3.06-3.13 (m, 1H), $3.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.7,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50-$ $5.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.50(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## N-Methyl-5-(2-phenylpyrrolidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide



Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095$ mmol ), 2-phenylpyrrolidine ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $33 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.190 mmol ) at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 min , affording N -Methyl-5-(2-
phenylpyrrolidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( 23 mg , $0.072 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%)$.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.96 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 322.3$, ( $100 \%$ purity).
. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, 393 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 1.88-1.97 (m, 1H), 2.02-2.16 (m, 2H), 2.48-2.58 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76$ (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.83 (dt, $J=10.7,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.95-4.03$ (m, 1H), 5.26 (dd, $J=$ $8.1,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{q}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$.

3.159 Prepared according to General Procedure C, with $\mathbf{3 . 0 3 0}$ ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095$ mmol), 2-benzylpiperidine ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $33 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.190 mmol ) at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The reaction mixture was diluted with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and EtOAc ( 15 mL ) and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The
combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit, evaporated and purified by High pH MDAP. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to give 5-(2-benzylpiperidin-1-yl)-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.069 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): tr $=1.08 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 350.3$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : б 1.61-1.99 (m, 6H), 2.97-3.02 (m, 2H), 3.06 (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.30 (td, $J=13.2,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28$ (br. s., 1H), 4.86 (br. s., 1 H ), 6.27 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-$ $7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{q}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 5-(2-(2-Methoxyphenyl)piperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide



Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( 20 mg , $0.095 \mathrm{mmol})$, 2-(2-methoxyphenyl)piperidine ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $33 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.190 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , affording 5-(2-
(2methoxyphenyl)piperidin-1-yl)- $N$-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.071 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.08 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 366.3$, ( $94 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): б 1.60-1.73 (m, 2H), 1.79-1.93 (m, 1H), 1.96-2.07 (m, 1H), 2.102.19 (m, 2H), $2.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.64 (ddd, $J=13.4,11.7,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.94 (s, 3H), $4.45-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.59(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88$ (ddd, $J=7.5,7.5$, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60$ (q, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 5-(2-(3-Methoxyphenyl)piperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide



Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( 20 mg , $0.095 \mathrm{mmol})$, 2-(3-methoxyphenyl)piperidine ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $33 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.190 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 min , affording 5-(2-
(3-methoxyphenyl)piperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.057 \mathrm{mmol}, 61 \%$ ) as a white solid.
 б 1.57-1.93 (m, 4H), $2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.38$ (ddd, $J=13.6$, $11.5,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s} ., 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.75-6.86 (m, 3H), 7.28-7.33 (m, 1H), 7.50 (q, J=4.9 Hz, 1H), $8.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 5-(2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)piperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide



1

Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095$ $\mathrm{mmol}), 2-(4-m e t h o x y p h e n y l) p i p e r i d i n e ~(27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA (33 $\mu \mathrm{L}, \quad 0.190 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , affording 5-(2-(4methoxyphenyl)piperidin-1-yl)- $N$-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxamide ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.077 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. LCMS (High $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{ES}^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.03 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 366.3$, ( $88 \%$ purity).

H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl $)_{3}$ : $\delta 1.58-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.03-2.14 (m, 1H), 2.30-2.41 (m, 1H), $2.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.55 (br. s., 1H), 6.39 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 18.7,24.6$, 25.7, 29.5, 41.4, 55.3, 55.4, 96.8, 102.1, 114.3, 127.4, 131.4, 136.1, 146.2, 146.3, 156.6, 158.6, 163.8. M.pt.: $146-148{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3362, 2939, 1630, 1564, 1509, 1439, 1245, 1225, 1176, 1025, 895, 839, 821, 793, $776 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 366.1925, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 366.1934$.

## 5-(2-(3-Fluorophenyl)piperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide



Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( 20 mg , 0.095 mmol ), 2-(3-fluorophenyl)piperidine ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $33 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.190 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , affording 5-(2-(3fluorophenyl)piperidin-1-yl)- $N$-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.071 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%$ ) as a light brown solid.
 б 1.59-1.71 (m, 1H), 1.71-1.85 (m, 2H), 1.85-1.94 (m, 1H), 2.072.20 (m, 1H), 2.30-2.40 (m, 1 H ), 2.94 (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.37 (ddd, $J=13.6,11.4,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.59-5.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-$ $7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

5-(2-(4-Fluorophenyl)piperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095$ mmol ), 2-(4-fluorophenyl)piperidine ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA (33 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.190 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 min . The product precipitated from the reaction mixture and was collected by filtration, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dried under vacuum to afford 5-(2-(4-fluorophenyl)piperidin-1-yl)-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide (20 mg, 0.057 mmol , $60 \%$ ) as an off-white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.04 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 354.3$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): б 1.60-1.93 (m, 4H), 2.06-2.19 (m, 1H), 2.32-2.42 (m, 1H), 2.95 (d, J=4.9 Hz, 3H), 3.32 (ddd, $J=13.7,11.5,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.61$ (br. s., 1 H ), $6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-$ 7.11 (m, 2H), 7.17-7.28 (m, 2H), 7.43-7.52 (m, 1H), $8.30(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39$ (s, 1H). 5-(2-(4-Chlorophenyl)piperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-(4-
 chlorophenyl)piperidine ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA
(33 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.190 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 min , affording 5-(2-(4chlorophenyl)piperidin-1-yl)- $N$-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-
carboxamide ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.062 \mathrm{mmol}, 66 \%$ ).
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.12 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 370.4$ ( $94 \%$ purity).
H NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.59-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.312.40 (m, 1H), 2.95 (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.32 (ddd, $J=13.6,11.4,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.39(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.56-5.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 7.42-7.48 (m, 1H), 8.31 (d, J = $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

5-(2-(p-Tolyl)piperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


1

Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095$ mmol ), 2-( $p$-tolyl)piperidine ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $33 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.190 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 min , affording 5-(2-(p-Tolyl)piperidin-1-yl) $N$ -methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.069 \mathrm{mmol}$, 72\%).

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.11 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$350.3, ( $100 \%$ purity).
H NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.61-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36$
(s, 3H), 2.37-2.42 (m, 1H), $2.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.6,11.4,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.34-4.43 (m, 1H), 5.52-5.59 (m, 1H), $6.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ),
7.48-7.58 (m, 1H), $8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.


LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.84 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 337.3$, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): б 1.50-1.86 (m, 3H), 1.89-1.99 (m, 1H), 2.05-2.18 (m, 1H), 2.522.62 (m, 1H), $2.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.9$ Hz, 3H), 3.49-3.60 (m, 1H), 4.28-4.37 (m, 1H), 5.65 (br. s., 1H), 6.46 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.137.22 (m, 2H), 7.42-7.51 (m, 1H), 7.66 (td, $J=7.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$8.29(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60-8.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .(\mathrm{S})$-5-(2-(Pyridin-3-yl)piperidin-1-

(S)-3.155j yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), (-)anabasine ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $33 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.190 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at 90 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , affording (S)-5-(2-(pyridin-3-yl)piperidin-1-yl)-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide (17 mg, 0.051 mmol , 53\%) as a brown solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES + ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.77 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 337.3$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): б 1.57-1.71 (m, 1H), 1.72-1.85 (m, 2H), 1.86-1.95 (m, 1H), 2.18 (dddd, $J=14.1,12.1,5.7,4.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.35-2.44 (m, 1H), $2.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.6,11.4,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9$, $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{q}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## N-Methyl-5-(2-(3-methyl-1,2,4-oxadiazol-5-yl)piperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide


3.155k Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095$ mmol), 3-methyl-5-(piperidin-2-yl)-1,2,4-oxadiazole hydrochloride (29 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA ( $66 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.380 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , affording $\quad \mathrm{N}$-methyl-5-(2-(3-methyl-1,2,4-oxadiazol-5-yl)piperidin$1 \mathrm{yl})$ pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.064 \mathrm{mmol}, 68 \%$ ) as a light yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.81 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 342.2$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.66-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.262 .34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), 3.06 (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.67 (td, $J=12.4,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.05-4.15 (m, 1H), 5.98 (dd, $J=$ $5.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.42$ ( $s, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).

## N-Methyl-5-(2-(thiazol-2-yl)piperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide


3.165 Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095$ mmol), 2-(piperidin-2-yl)thiazole ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $33 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.190 mmol ) at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , affording N -methyl-5-(2-(thiazol2 yl )piperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide (21 mg, $0.061 \mathrm{mmol}, 65 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.84 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 343.2$, ( $94 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 1.69-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.512 .59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00$
 (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.55(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .5-(2-$

## Formylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide To a

suspension of 5-(2-(hydroxymethyl)piperidin-1-yl)-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide $\mathbf{3 . 0 5 1 I}$ ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 3 mL ) was added DessMartin periodinane ( 78 mg ,
$0.184 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solid dissolved and the reaction was stirred at rt for 3 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sodium thiosulfate pentahydrate (352 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.417 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was vigorously stirred for 30 min , then extracted with DCM ( $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness to give 5-(2-formylpiperidin-1-yl)-Nmethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxamide ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.139 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%$ ) as a yellow gum.

The product was used crude without purification.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.74 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 288.2$, ( $82 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : б 1.65-1.86 (m, 4H), 1.93-2.04 (m, 1H), 2.08-2.18 (m, 1H), $3.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.67$ (m, 1H), $3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59-4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s} ., 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .90 \%$ pure by NMR.

## 5-(2-(1 H-Imidazol-2-yl)piperidin-1-yl)-N-methyl-pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide



To a suspension of 5-(2-formylpiperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.160 (40 mg, 0.139 mmol ) and $30 \%$ ammonium hydroxide solution ( $108 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.835 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(300 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added glyoxal ( $64 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 40 \%$ in water, 0.557 mmol ) 3.155 m
dropwise. The reaction was stirred at rt for 18 h . The reaction was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was purified by High pH MDAP. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to give 5-(2-(1H-imidazol-2-yl)piperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide (12 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.037 \mathrm{mmol}, 27 \%$ ) as a powdery white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.63 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ 326.2, (95\% purity). 1
H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.58$ (br. s., 3H), 1.72-1.83 (m, 1H), 1.85-1.96 (m, 1H), 2.30$2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38$ (br. s., 1H), 5.82 (br. s., 1H), $6.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 11.81 (br. s., 1 H ).

13
C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 19.7,25.0,25.9,28.7,42.4,50.9,98.7,101.6,116.9,127.7$, 137.0, 145.2, 145.9, 146.7, 157.3, 162.9. M.pt.: $160-200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp). $v_{\max }$ (neat): 2938, 1632, $1565,1482,1444,1269,1224,1167,1085,1030,964,894,795,776,745 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 326.1724, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$326.1722. 1-(3-

(Methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)piperidine-2carboxylic acid Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.030 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.237 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), piperidine-2-carboxylic acid ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.356$ mmol ) and DIPEA ( $166 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.950 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 min . The reaction mixture was poured into $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and exhaustively extracted with EtOAc. The combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by Formic MDAP and the solvent was evaporated to give 1(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5-yl)piperidine-2-carboxylic acid ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.066$ mmol, 28\%) as a white solid.
LCMS (Formic, $\left.E S^{+}\right): \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.68 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$304.1, ( $100 \%$ purity). 1
H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): ס 1.33-1.48 (m, 1H), 1.50-1.64 (m, 1H), 1.65-1.77 (m, 1H), 1.78-1.90 (m, 2H), 2.13-2.25 (m, 1H), 2.84 (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.19 (br. s., 1H), 5.05-5.12 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{q}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 12.92 (br. s., 1H). Piperidine C2-H not observed due to peak broadening by the acid.

5-(2-(Ethylcarbamoyl)piperidin-1-yl)-N-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide



To a solution of 1-(3-(methylcarbamoyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-5yl)piperidine-2-carboxylic acid 3.161 ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and HATU ( $15.04 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 0.5 mL ) was added DIPEA ( $0.017 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.099 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ethanamine ( $0.033 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $0.066 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h , then purified directly by Formic MDAP. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was purified by ion exchange chromatography (sulphonic acid (SCX) 500 mg , eluting with MeOH ). The appropriate fractions were combined and evaporated in vacuo to give 5-(2(ethylcarbamoyl)piperidin-1-yl)- $N$-methylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( 8 mg , $0.024 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.67 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+331.3$, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right)$ : $\delta 1.00(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86$ (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.02-3.17 (m, 2H), 4.04-4.24 (m, 1H), 4.98 (br. s., 1H), 6.88 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{q}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Piperidine $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$ was not observed due to peak broadening by the amide.

## (S)-Ethyl 6-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)-5-(2-phenylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate


3.104d

Prepared according to General Procedure C, with 3.103 ( 200 mg , 0.539 mmol ), (S)-2-phenylpiperidine ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.809 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $188 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.079 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Further ( S )-2phenylpiperidine ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.310 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction was diluted with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{EtOAc}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organics were washed with brine, dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (0-25\% (3:1 EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane), and the appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to afford (S)-ethyl 6-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)-5-(2-phenylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carboxylate ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 0.418 \mathrm{mmol}$, $77 \%$ ). The product was $90 \%$ pure by NMR and was used for further chemistry without further purification.

LCMS (High pH, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.35 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+496.1$, ( $87 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 1.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-$ $2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.25$ (t, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 6.96-7.03 (m, 1H), 7.08-7.14 (m, 2H), 7.15-7.20 (m, 2H), 7.73-7.94 (m, 4H), $8.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## (S)-6-Amino-N-methyl-5-(2-phenylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-

 3carboxamide and (S)-ethyl 6-amino-5-(2-phenylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylateTo a solution of DABAL-Me3 ( $214 \mathrm{mg}, 0.835 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 0.7 mL ) stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at rt in a sealed tube was added $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}(627 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 1.253 mmol ). The reaction mixture was stirred at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oil bath for 30 min . A solution of (S)-ethyl 6-(1,3dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)-5-(2-phenylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate
3.104d ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 0.418 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 1.4 mL ) was added and the reaction was heated to 70 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Further $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}\left(627 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in THF, 1.253 mmol ) and DABAL-Me ${ }_{3}(214 \mathrm{mg}, 0.835$ mmol ) were added and the reaction was heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to rt and quenched with aqueous 2 M HCl . The aqueous was neutralised with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $5 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried using a hydrophobic frit and evaporated in vacuo. The crude was purified by silica chromatography (560\% (3:1 EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane) to afford the products:
(S)-6-Amino-N-methyl-5-(2-phenylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide

3.105d
$16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.046 \mathrm{mmol}, 11$ \% yield, yellow solid.
LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.99 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$351.2, (91\% purity). 1
H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.63-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.02$2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86-2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.85(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.7,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $8.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .95 \%$ pure.
(S)-Ethyl 6-amino-5-(2-phenylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxylate
$116 \mathrm{mg}, 0.270 \mathrm{mmol}, 65$ \% yield, yellow solid.

3.163

LCMS (High pH, ES+): tR = $1.19 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 366.2$, ( $87 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.45(\mathrm{t}, ~ J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.70(\mathrm{~m}$,
$1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.71-1.82 (m, 1H), 1.82-1.91 (m, 1H), 1.92-2.11 (m, 3H), 3.15$3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.97$ (dd, $J=8.7,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .85 \%$ pure by NMR.
(S)-N-Methyl-(6-methylamino)-5-(2-phenylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine3carboxamide



To a solution of (S)-6-amino-N-methyl-5-(2-phenylpiperidin-1yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide 3.105 d (16 mg, 0.046 mmol ) and potassium carbonate ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.183 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF $(913 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added methyl iodide ( $5.7 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.091 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . Further methyl iodide
( $5.7 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.091 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium carbonate ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.183 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the reaction was heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to rt, poured into sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $4 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried using a hydrophobic frit and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product as purified by High pH MDAP, the solvent was blown down to afford ( $S$ ) - N -methyl-6(methylamino)-5-(2-phenylpiperidin-1-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide ( 1.5 mg , $4.12 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 9 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.08 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 365.3$, ( $97 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : б 1.64-1.74 (m, 1H), 1.81 (br. s., 2H), 1.89-2.01 (m, 2H), 2.022.11 (m, 1H), 2.76-2.84 (m, 1H), 2.92 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 3H), 3.03 (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.71-3.79 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{q}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-$ 7.27 (m, 5H), 7.77 (s, 1H), $8.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 2-Chloro-5-methyl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine



To a solution of 2-chloro-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine 3.166 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 3.26$ mmol ) and potassium carbonate ( $900 \mathrm{mg}, 6.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 5 mL ) was added methyl iodide ( $0.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction was stirred at rt
for 3 h . The reaction was diluted with water ( 30 mL ) and the aqueous was
extracted with EtOAc ( $6 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were washed with brine, dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (20-90\% EtOAc/cyclohexane), the appropriate fractions were evaporated to dryness to afford 2-chloro-5-methyl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine ( $453 \mathrm{mg}, 2.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%$ ) as a white solid.

LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.60 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right] 167.9,170.1$, ( $100 \%$ purity) ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.93$ (s, 3H), 6.62 (d, $J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
8.69 (s, 1H). ${ }_{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): $\delta 33.7,101.7,127.1,137.7,139.8,152.6,153.0$.
M.pt.: 151-153 ${ }^{\circ}$ C. $v_{\max }$ (neat): $3071,1605,1581,1497,1450,1430,1401,1375,1319$, 1261, 1156, 1092, 1005, 927, 787, 752, $670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 168.0323, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 168.0329$.

## 2-Chloro-5-methyl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine-7-carbaldehyde

To DMF ( 4 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(0.445 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.77 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . To this solution was added a solution of 2-chloro-5-methyl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine 3.167 ( 400 mg ,
$2.387 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 2 mL ) dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 40 h , then poured into an ice/water mixture. The pH was adjusted to 7 with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and the aqueous was extracted with EtOAc $(5 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DCM $(5 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layers were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated in vacuo to afford 2-chloro-5-methyl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine- ( $422 \mathrm{mg}, 2.157 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ ) as an offwhite solid. LCMS (High pH, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.54 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$196.0, ( $99 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 4.01$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
13
C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d h $_{6}$ : $\delta 34.9,115.2,128.6,144.0,144.8,150.3,154.1,183.4$. M.pt.: $194-198{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3094, 1658, 1596, 1513, 1465, 1374, 1329, 1252, 1219, 1135, 1070, 1009, 919, 891, 786, $714 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OCl}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ requires 196.0272, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 196.0277$.

## 2-Chloro-5-methyl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine-7-carboxylic acid To a


solution of sodium chlorite ( $347 \mathrm{mg}, 3.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium dihydrogen phosphate ( $2.95 \mathrm{~g}, 24.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ dropwise. The reaction was stirred at rt for 24 h , then concentrated to remove the organic solvent. A precipitate formed and was filtered off, washed with diethyl ether and dried under vacuum to afford 2chloro-5-methyl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine-7-carboxylic acid ( $402 \mathrm{mg}, 1.900 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%$ ) as an offwhite solid.

LCMS (Formic, $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.47 \mathrm{~min},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] 212.1$, ( $96 \%$ purity).
${ }_{1} \mathrm{H}^{2}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Acid proton was not observed.

## 2-Chloro-N,5-methyl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine-7-carboxamide



A solution of 2-chloro-5-methyl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine-7-carboxylic acid 3.169 ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.890 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HATU ( $863 \mathrm{mg}, 2.268 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $0.495 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 6 mL ) was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at rt for 10 min until all the solid dissolved. $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}(1.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 2.268 mmol ) was added and a precipitate immediately formed. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h . The reaction mixture was filtered, the solid was washed with diethyl ether and dried to afford 2-chloro- $N$,5-dimethyl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine-7-carboxamide (232 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.033 \mathrm{mmol}, 55 \%$ ) as a white solid.
The filtrate contained residual product, and was diluted with EtOAc ( 10 mL ) and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was separated, extracted with EtOAc (6 x 10 mL$)$, and the combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica chromatography (20-90\% (3:1 EtOAc:EtOH)/cyclohexane), appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo and further purified by ion exchange chromatography (sulphonic acid (SCX) 5 g , eluting with MeOH ). The appropriate fractions were evaporated in vacuo to give further 2-chloro- $N, 5$-dimethyl- 5 H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine7carboxamide ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.579 \mathrm{mmol}, 31 \%$ ) as a white solid.
LCMS (Formic, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.62 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$225.1, ( $99 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 2.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{q}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. 13
C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 26.1,34.4,109.5,128.0,142.5,143.5,148.4,152.5,162.3$. M.pt.: 186-200 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp).
$v_{\max }$ (neat): $3360,3049,1644,1606,1651,1462,1398,1360,1270,1250,1198,1160,1133$, 1073, 989, 856, $788 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OCl}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 225.0538, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 225.0539$.

2-(Isopropylamino)-N,5-dimethyl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine-7-carboxamide To a
 solution of 2 -chloro- $\mathrm{N}, 5$-dimethyl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2- $d$ ]pyrimidine7carboxamide 3.170 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.134 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO ( 0.5 mL ) was added propan-2-amine ( $23 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.267 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $47 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.267 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a Biotage Initiator microwave reactor 3.171 for 5 h . Further propan-2-amine ( $23 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.267 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $47 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.267 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the reaction was heated to $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a Biotage Initiator microwave reactor for 5 h , followed by direct purification by High pH MDAP. The solvent was blown down to give 2-(isopropylamino)- $\mathrm{N}, 5$-dimethyl- 5 H -pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine-7carboxamide ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}$, $27 \%$ ) as an off-white solid. LCMS (Formic, ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.53 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+248.4$, ( $97 \%$ purity). 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 1.32$ (d, J = $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.06 (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83$ (s, 3H), 4.11-4.23 (m, 1H), 4.94-5.09 (m, 1H), $7.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24-8.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## N,5-Dimethyl-2-(piperidin-1-yl)-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine-7-carboxamide To a


3.172
solution of 2 -chloro- $\mathrm{N}, 5$-dimethyl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine7carboxamide $3.170(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.134 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO ( 0.5 mL ) was added piperidine ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $47 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.267 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a Biotage Initiator microwave reactor for

5 h . Further piperidine ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was heated to $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a Biotage Initiator microwave reactor for 5 h , followed by direct purification by High pH MDAP. The solvent was blown down to give $N, 5$-dimethyl-2(piperidin-1-yl)-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine-7-carboxamide ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.084 \mathrm{mmol}, 63 \%$ ) as a white solid. LCMS (Formic, ES ${ }^{+}$): $t_{R}=0.82 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+274.2$, ( $100 \%$ purity). $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : ס 1.63-1.76 (m, 6H), 3.07 (d, J=4.9 Hz, 3H), 3.80-3.86 (m, 7H), 7.83 (s, 1H), 8.26 (br. s., 1H), 8.49 (s, 1H).
(R)-N,5-Dimethyl-2-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine-7-carboxamide

(R)-3.173

To a solution of 2-chloro- $\mathrm{N}, 5$-dimethyl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine7carboxamide 3.170 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.134 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO ( 0.5 mL ) was added $(R)$-2-methylpiperidine ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.267 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $47 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.267$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a Biotage Initiator microwave reactor for 5 h , then heated to $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sand bath for 72 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated. The crude product was purified by High pH MDAP. The solvent was blown down to give (R)-N,5-dimethyl-2-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)-5Hpyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine-7carboxamide ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.097 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%$ ) as a brown solid.

LCMS (Formic, $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.90 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$288.3, ( $100 \%$ purity). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(400} \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{td}, J=13.0,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07$ (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.82 (s, 3H), 4.60 (dd, $J=13.3,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.08 (s, 1H), 7.82 (s, 1H), 8.30 (br. s., 1H), 8.49 (s, 1H). ${ }_{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 14.3$, 19.1, 25.7, 25.9, $30.5,33.8,39.3,46.5,108.6,123.2,137.6,140.3,149.1,158.4,164.4$. M.pt.: $131-133{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .22$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\left[\alpha_{\square}\right]=-111(c=0.25, \mathrm{MeOH})$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3327, 2930, 1647, 1604, 1565, 1475, 1448, 1415, 1387, 1250, 1178, 1146, 1078, 1036, 966, 938, 910, 870, $793 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 288.1819, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$288.1828.
(S)-N,5-Dimethyl-2-(2-phenylpiperidin-1-yl)-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine-7-carboxamide

(S)-3.174

To a solution of 2-chloro- $\mathrm{N}, 5$-dimethyl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2- $d$ ]pyrimidine7carboxamide 3.170 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.134 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO ( 0.5 mL ) was added (S)-2-phenylpiperidine ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.267 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $47 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.267$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a Biotage Initiator microwave reactor for 5 h , then heated to $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sand bath for 72 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organics were dried through a hydrophobic frit and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by High pH MDAP. The solvent was blown down to give (S)-N,5dimethyl-2-(2-phenylpiperidin-1-yl)-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine-7-carboxamide ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.052 \mathrm{mmol}, 39 \%$ ) as a brown solid.

LCMS (Formic, ES+): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.15 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+350.3$, ( $100 \%$ purity). $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : б 1.60-1.82 (m, 4H), 2.00-2.11 (m, 1H), 2.35-2.43 (m, 1H), $2.98(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.22$ (ddd, $J=13.4,11.4,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.72-4.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.08 (dd, $J=4.9,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06-$ 8.15 (m, 1H), 8.49 (s, 1H).

### 4.4 Supplementary Protocols

## CLND Solubility

Solubility was determined by precipitation of 10 mM DMSO stock concentration to 5\% DMSO pH7.4 phosphate buffered saline, with quantification by ChemiLuminescent Nitrogen Detection.

## FaSSIF solubility

Compounds were dissolved in DMSO at $2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ and then diluted in Fast State Simulated Intestinal Fluid (FaSSIF pH 6.5) at $125 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ (final DMSO concentration is $5 \%$ ). After 16 h of incubation at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the suspension was filtered. The concentration of the compound was determined by a fast HPLC gradient. The ratio of the peak areas obtained from the standards and the sample filtrate was used to calculate the solubility of the compound.

## ChromLogD ${ }_{7.4}$

Carried out according to literature protocols, ${ }^{317}$ using a Waters Aquity UPLC System, Phenomenex Gemini NX 50x2 mm, 3 um HPLC column, 0-100\% pH 7.40 ammonium acetate buffer/acetonitrile gradient. Retention time was compared to standards of known pH to derive Chromatographic Hydrophobicity Index (CHI). ChromLogD $=0.0857 \mathrm{CHI}-2$.

## Artificial membrane permeability measurement.

Permeability across a lipid membrane was measured using the published protocol. ${ }^{389}$

## FRET Assays

BET proteins were produced using protocols given in the literature. ${ }^{131}$ Compounds were screened against either 6H-Thr BRD4 (1-477) (Y390A) (BRD4 BD2 mutation to monitor compound binding to BD1) or 6H-Thr BRD4 (1-477) (Y97A) (BRD4 BD1 mutation to monitor compound binding to BD2) in a dose-response format in a TR-FRET assay measuring competition between test compound and an Alexa Fluor 647 derivative of I-BET762.1 Compounds were titrated from 10 mM in $100 \%$ DMSO and 50 nL transferred to a low volume black 384 well micro titre plate using a Labcyte Echo 555. A Thermo Scientific Multidrop Combi was used to dispense $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 20 nM protein in an assay buffer of 50 mM HEPES, 150 mM $\mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \%$ glycerol, 1 mM DTT and $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CHAPS}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$, and in the presence of 100 nM fluorescent ligand ( $\sim K d$ concentration for the interaction between BRD4 BD1 and ligand). After equilibrating for 30 min in the dark at rt , the bromodomain protein:fluorescent ligand interaction was detected using TR-FRET following a $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ addition of 3 nM europium chelate labelled anti6His antibody (Perkin Elmer, W1024, AD0111) in assay buffer. Time resolved fluorescence (TRF) was then detected on a TRF laser equipped Perkin Elmer Envision multimode plate reader (excitation $=337 \mathrm{~nm}$; emission $1=615 \mathrm{~nm}$; emission $2=665 \mathrm{~nm}$; dual wavelength bias dichroic $=400 \mathrm{~nm}, 630 \mathrm{~nm})$. TR-FRET ratio was calculated using the following equation: Ratio $=(($ Acceptor fluorescence at 665 nm$) /($ Donor fluorescence at 615 nm$))$ * 1000. TR-FRET ratio data was normalised to high (DMSO) and low (compound control derivative of I-BET762) controls and IC50 values determined for each of the compounds tested by fitting the fluorescence ratio data to a four parameter model: $y=a+\left((b-a) /\left(1+\left(10^{\wedge} x / 10^{\wedge} c\right)^{\wedge} d\right.\right.$ ) where ' $a$ ' is the minimum, ' $b$ ' is the Hill slope, ' $c$ ' is the IC50 and ' d ' is the maximum.

BRPF proteins were produced using protocols given in the literature. ${ }^{194}$ Compounds were screened against 6H-Flag-Tev-BRPF1 (622-738), 6HisFlag-Tev-BRPF2 (also known as BRD1) (551-673) or 6His-Flag-Tev-BRPF3 (579-706) protein in dose-response format in a TRFRET assay measuring competition between test compound and a synthetic fluorescent ligand. Compounds were titrated from 10 mM in $100 \%$ DMSO and 100 nL transferred to a low volume black 384 well micro titre plate using a Labcyte Echo 555. A Thermo Scientific Multidrop Combi was used to dispense $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 4 nM BRPF1, 20 nM BRPF2 or 40 nM BRPF3
protein respectively in an assay buffer of 50 mM HEPES, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \%$ glycerol, 1 mM DTT and 1 mM CHAPS, pH 7.4 , and in the presence of the appropriate fluorescent ligand concentration ( $\sim K d$ concentration for the interaction between protein and ligand). After equilibrating for 30 min in the dark at rt , the bromodomain protein:fluorescent ligand interaction was detected using TR-FRET following a $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ addition of either 3 nM Lanthascreen Elite Tbanti His antibody (Invitrogen PV5863) for the Alexa 488 ligands, or 3 nM europium chelate labelled anti-6His antibody (Perkin Elmer, W1024, AD0111) for the Alexa 647 ligand, in assay buffer. Time resolved fluorescence energy transfer (TR-FRET) was then detected on a timeresolved fluorescence laser equipped Perkin Elmer Envision multimode plate reader using the appropriate protocol (excitation $=337 \mathrm{~nm}$; emission 1 Alexa $488=495 \mathrm{~nm}$; emission 2 Alexa $488=520 \mathrm{~nm}$, emission 1 Alexa $647=615 \mathrm{~nm}$; emission 2 Alexa 647= 665 nm ). TR-FRET ratio was calculated using the following equation: Ratio $=(($ Acceptor fluorescence at 520 or 665 nm ) / (Donor fluorescence at 495 or 615 nm ) ) * 1000. Data were analysed as for the BRD4 assay.

FRET assays for Brd9 were carried out using literature protocols. ${ }^{211}$ FRET assays against BAZ2A, BTPF, Brd7, CECR2, PCAF and TIF1a were carried out using similar methodology. Brd4, BAZ2A, BTPF, Brd7, CECR2, PCAF, TIF1a, BRPF2 and BRPF3 assays (and some BRPF1 runs) were carried out by Laurie Gordon, Cassie Messenger and Melanie Leverage.

## BROMOscan® Bromodomain Profiling

BROMOscan $®$ bromodomain profiling was provided by DiscoveRx Corp. (Fremont, CA, USA, http://www.discoverx.com). Determination of the Kd between test compounds and DNA tagged bromodomains was achieved through binding competition against a proprietary reference immobilized ligand.
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