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#### Abstract

The work presented in this thesis describes the study of the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acid pinacol esters (BPin) and alkyl and aryl amines. 


Firstly, we developed an effective stoichiometric set of reaction conditions for the ChanLam amination of aryl BPin with alkyl and aryl amines. A mixed MeCN/EtOH solvent system was found to enable effective $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation using aryl amines while EtOH is not required for the coupling of alkyl amines.


Secondly, we investigated the Chan-Lam amination reaction using a combination of spectroscopy, computational modeling, and crystallography techniques. We provided a full mechanistic description including the source of the boronic acid pinacol ester reactivity issue, determining the origin of amine chemotype reactivity and side reaction issues, identifying key reactive intermediates, and demonstrating the pivotal role of boron-based by-products.


Finally, manipulating $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ reoxidation and exploiting three synergistic roles of boric acid has allowed the development of a general catalytic Chan-Lam amination, overcoming long-standing and unsolved amine and organoboron limitations of this valuable transformation.
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| min | Minute |
| n | Nano |
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| mol | Mole |
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| W | Molecular Weight |
| NMP | $N$-Methyl-2-pyrrolidinone |
| NMR | Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy |
| pH | Hydrogen Potential |
| Pin | Pinacolate |
| Piv | Pivalyl |
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| :---: | :---: |
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| RCV | Repetitive Cyclic Voltammetry |
| rt | Room Temperature |
| SPS | Bis-(sodium sulfopropyl)-disulfide |
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| TBAF | Tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride |
| TBME | Methyl tert-butyl ether |
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| Tf | Triflate |
| TFA | Trifluoroacetic Acid |
| THF | Tetrahydrofuran |
| TMEDA | Tetramethylethylenediamine |
| TOF | Time-of-Flight |
| uM | Micro molar |
| UV | Ultraviolet |
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| ZORA | Zeroth-Order regular approximation |
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## Introduction

Compounds containing nitrogen atoms, are highly important due to their abundance in both natural products and synthetic compounds with biological activity (Scheme 1). ${ }^{1}$


Morphine


Streptonigrin


Imatinib


Nelfinavir

Scheme 1: Examples of nitrogen containing compounds.
Constructing these compounds in an efficient and economical manner is of interest for both medicinal and process chemistry. Consequently, time and effort have been dedicated to access skeletons of heterocyclic molecules, and to introduce new functionalities onto those mainly through transition metal-catalysed reactions. ${ }^{2}$ In this regard, carbon-carbon bond formation reactions such as Heck, Kumada, Negishi, Stille, Suzuki-Miyaura, and Sonogashira have been widely studied, utilised, and are in incessant development to access more challenging structures (Scheme 2). ${ }^{3-9}$ Alongside these C-C bond formation reactions, methodologies affording new carbonnitrogen bonds also play a significant role in the synthesis of organic molecules. ${ }^{1,2}$


Scheme 2: Metal catalysed C-C bond formation reaction.

## 1. $\mathbf{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation reactions

### 1.1. Generalities

The formation of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond is significant as it opens avenues for the introduction of nitrogen into organic molecules. Amide couplings, alkylations, reductive aminations and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ are part of the most practiced organic chemistry transformations to construct complex and diverse chemical entities (Scheme 3, Figure 1). ${ }^{10}$ The $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ reaction which allows the formation of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds of aromatic compounds is of importance and proved to be challenging to medicinal chemists as it requires the use of specific substrates bearing electron-withdrawing groups, and is sometimes not suitable for late stage functionalisation. Therefore, cross-coupling reactions have emerged and have been considerably studied by chemists, as they allow the incorporation of amino groups in a direct cost-effective manner. ${ }^{2}$


Scheme 3: Examples of C-N bond formation reaction.

Occurence of C-N bond formation reaction in medicinal chemistry in 2014


Figure 1: Percentage of occurrence of C-N bond formation reactions used in medicinal chemistry.

### 1.2. C-N bond formation via cross-coupling reactions

There is an increasing demand for the development of new methodologies to facilitate the synthesis of diarylamines, dialkylamines, and alkylaryl amines owing to their importance as structural skeletons in a broad range of molecules with numerous and significant applications. ${ }^{1,2}$ Therefore, cross-coupling reactions have been developed to form C-N bond. These couplings can occur either via electrophilic-nucleophilic or via nucleophilic-nucleophilic cross-coupling reactions. ${ }^{1,2}$

### 1.2.1. C-N bond formation via electrophilic-nucleophilic cross-couplings

More than a hundred years ago, Ullmann and Goldberg reported for the first time that activated electrophilic aryl halides (1 equiv) react with amine or amide nucleophiles (1.5 equiv) using stoichiometric or catalytic amounts of copper catalyst (1 or 0.1 equiv), base (3 equiv) and very high temperatures (Scheme 4). ${ }^{11,12}$ However, the low yields obtained, and the harsh conditions utilised, lowered the impact and development of this useful transformation

## Ullmann (1903)



Goldberg 1906
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Scheme 4: Ullmann and Goldberg reactions.
Pd-catalysed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ couplings between aryl halides (1 equiv) and aminostannanes (1.5 equiv) or amines (1 equiv) were reported as early as 1983 by Migita and co-workers, and in 1984 by Boger and Panek (Scheme 5). . ${ }^{13,14}$


Boger 1984


Scheme 5: First examples of Pd-catalysed C-N bond formation reactions using aminostannanes or amines.

However, these reactions did not attract the attention of the broad scientific community. Therefore, no major contribution was added to the field until 1994, when Hartwig and Buchwald independently published complementary studies to the work of Migita and co-workers. (Scheme 6). ${ }^{15,16}$ Hartwig and co-workers demonstrated that the $\operatorname{Pd}[\mathrm{P}(0-$ Tolyl) $\left.3_{3}\right]_{2}$ was the active catalyst of the Pd-catalysed reaction reported by Migita. In addition, they proposed a catalytic cycle involving oxidative addition of the aryl bromide. Buchwald et al. reported two major improvements over Migita's original paper. Firstly, they highlighted that transamination of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnNEt}_{2}$ followed by argon purge to remove the volatile diethylamine affords the successful coupling of secondary amines and primary anilines. Secondly, they optimised the existing reaction conditions to improve the yield of electron-rich and electron-poor aryl compounds.

## Hartwig 1994



## Buchwald 1994



## Scheme 6: Hartwig and Buchwald reports on C-N bond formation reaction.

The following year, both groups demonstrated that the couplings could be achieved with free amines (1.5 equiv) in the presence of a bulky base (1.2 equiv) and in the absence of organotin (Scheme 7). ${ }^{17,18}$

## Buchwald-Hartwig 1995



Scheme 7: First examples of Pd-catalysed C-N bond formation reactions using free amines.
Since these conditions were reported, the elaboration of designed ligands or catalysts allowed chemists to access a wide diversity of functionalised products in an efficient and practical manner. However, the requirement of high temperatures, strong bases and expensive palladium catalysts still are a few unsolved limitations.

Along with Pd - and Cu-catalysed reactions, the nickel catalysed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ cross-coupling was investigated as nickel catalysts are inexpensive, and exhibit high turnover toward less reactive electrophiles such as aryl chlorides. The first report was published in 1950
by Hughes and was further developed by the groups of Cramer and Cristau (Scheme 8). ${ }^{19-21}$ However, the harsh reaction conditions limited its general adoption. Over the years, efficient protocols using designed catalysts and ligands have been developed by the groups of Buchwald, Hartwig, Garg, and others (Scheme 8). ${ }^{22-32}$ Nevertheless, these efforts suffer from several constraints, including the use of air-sensitive $\mathrm{Ni}(0)$ catalysts, high temperatures, and alkoxide bases.

Hughes-Cramer-Cristau 1950-1975


Buchwald 1997


Hartwig 2014


Garg 2014


Scheme 8: Evolution of Ni-catalysed C-N bond formation reactions.
In 2016, the groups of MacMillan and Buchwald published an elegant $N$-arylation methodology using nickel catalysis. ${ }^{33}$ Wherein the photoinduced electron transfer between an iridium complex 1 and an intermediate $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ complex allows readily available $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ salts to serve as catalysts under milder $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation reaction conditions (Scheme 9).


Scheme 9: Photoredox Ni-catalysed amination.
Last year, Baran and co-workers reported an efficient set of conditions using electrochemistry to achieve the cross-coupling between aryl halides (1 equiv) and alkyl amines (3 equiv) using a $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ catalyst ( 0.1 equiv) in the absence of an external base at room temperature (Scheme 10). ${ }^{34}$


Scheme 10: Electrochemistry Ni-catalysed amination.
Despite several improvements, all previously described reactions still require the use of expensive catalysts, ligands and equipment, as well as high temperatures, strong bases, and inert atmosphere. Consequently, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation via nucleophilicnucleophilic cross-couplings have also been investigated.

### 1.2.2. C-N bond formation via nucleophilic-nucleophilic cross-couplings

In 1989, the Barton's group reported the first C-N bond formation via nucleophilicnucleophilic cross-coupling of triarylbismuth diacylates (1 equiv) with $\alpha$-amino esters (1 equiv) using $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 0.1 equiv) as the catalyst (Scheme 11). ${ }^{35}$ The $N$-arylated product was obtained in good to excellent yields. In addition, no racemisation was observed, offering a serious advantage over the corresponding palladium- and nickel-
catalysed transformation in which full racemisation of the desired products was reported.


Scheme 11: Cu-catalysed C-N bond formation between triarylbismuth diacylates and $\alpha$-amino esters.

A few years later, Avendano and co-workers showed that various NH-heterocycles (1 equiv) were suitable substrates for $N$-arylation with $p$-tolyllead diacetate (1.1-1.5 equiv) as the aryl donor using stoichiometric amounts of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(1.2\right.$ equiv) (Scheme 12). ${ }^{36}$


Scheme 12: Cu-catalysed C-N bond formation reaction between p-tolyllead triacetate and NH-
heterocycles.
Subsequently, Lam et al. demonstrated that hypervalent siloxanes (2 equiv), generated in situ from aryl iodide, were suitable reagents for the arylation of various nitrogenbased nucleophiles (1 equiv) using $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(1.1$ equiv) in the absence of strong base at room temperature (Scheme 13). ${ }^{37}$


Scheme 13: Cu-catalysed C-N bond formation reaction between hypervalent siloxanes and amines.

Later, the same group used aryl stannanes as alternative coupling partners (Scheme 14). ${ }^{38}$ The $N$-arylation reaction occurs in presence of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(1.5$ equiv) and pyridine (2 equiv) in 1,4 -dioxane at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. However, homocoupling was the favoured reaction, affording the desired product in low yields. Interestingly, using CuCl (5 equiv) instead of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ allowed the reaction to be run at room temperature, but did not improve the yields.


Scheme 14: Cu-catalysed C-N bond formation reaction between aryl stannanes and amines.
All previously described nucleophilic-nucleophilic cross-couplings offer several advantages. However, the use of expensive or toxic alkylating reagents, the lack of availability of the staring materials, and the absence of a general set of conditions limited the practice of these reactions.

A breakthrough in the field of C-N bond formation reactions was the discovery of the copper-promoted Chan-Lam coupling reaction with boronic acids almost twenty years ago (Scheme 15). ${ }^{39-41}$


Scheme 15: Cu-catalysed Chan-Lam reaction between arylboronic acid and amines.
One reason for its popularity is the mild reaction conditions required: room temperature, weak base, and ambient atmosphere. This approach also takes advantage of the readily availability of boronic acid starting materials. Since its discovery, many studies have been conducted and many relevant improvements have been achieved.

## 2. Chan-Lam reaction

### 2.1. Generalities

In 1998, the groups of Chan, Lam, and Evans reported three back-to-back publications for the copper-mediated cross-coupling of aryl boronic acids with diverse heteroatom based nucleophiles (Scheme 15). ${ }^{39-41}$ Over the years, several research teams made significant progress in expanding this methodology which has proven to be mild, versatile, and robust. These progresses involve the optimisation of the reaction conditions with various catalyst sources, solvents, bases, additives, ligands and alternative boron reagents; the expansion of the substrate scope and mechanistic studies

### 2.2. State of the art

The discovery of the Chan-Lam reaction originates from the work conducted by Chan to introduce new aryl reagents as the electrophilic partner in the Ullmann reaction. His group at DuPont first noticed that stoichiometric amounts of copper acetate (1-1.5 equiv) promote the coupling of triarylbismuth reagents (1 equiv) with $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ containing compounds (1 equiv) in presence of an external base ( 0.1 to 1.5 equiv) like triethylamine or pyridine (Scheme 16). ${ }^{42}$


Scheme 16: Cu-catalysed C-N bond formation reaction between triarylbismuth and amines.
Replacing triarylbismuth reagents by boronic acid compounds allowed the achievement of an attractive, and unprecedented Ullmann type reaction, since boron reagents are directly accessible commercially or via synthesis. These outstanding results were disclosed in a first publication, and proved this method, using a boronic acid as the aryl donor (2 equiv), to be applicable to a wide range of nucleophilic coupling partners (1
equiv), including alkyl amines, anilines, amides, ureas, sulfonamides, and phenols (Scheme 17). ${ }^{39}$
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$\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 56 \%$ Pyridine, 63\%
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$\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 45 \%$
Pyridine, 7\%

Aniline

$\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 90 \%$

Sulfonamide

$\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 72 \%$
Pyridine, 23\%

Amide

$\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 17 \%$ Pyridine, 4\%

## Phenol


$\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 73 \%$

Scheme 17: First report of Cu-catalysed C-N bond formation between aryl boronic acids and amines by Chan et al.

This methodology was further applied by Evans and co-workers in the formal synthesis of $L$-throxine (Scheme 18)..$^{40}$ The optimised copper-catalysed reaction between either phenylboronic acid 2 or 3 (2 equiv) and (S)-ethyl 2-acetamido-3-(4-hydroxy-3,5diiodophenyl)propanoate 4 (1 equiv) using a mixture of triethylamine (5 equiv) and pyridine (5 equiv) afforded L-throxine intermediates 5 and 6 in $81 \%$ and $84 \%$ yield, respectively.


Scheme 18: Formal synthesis of L-throxine using the Chan-Lam coupling as a key step.
Finally, Lam, Chan, and co-workers also reported that aromatic heterocycles (imidazoles, pyrazoles, triazoles, tetrazoles, benzimidazoles, and indazoles) are suitable coupling partners in this copper-catalysed reaction (Scheme 19). ${ }^{41}$
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72\%
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Scheme 19: Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acids and aromatic heterocycles. Regarding the mechanism, Evans et al. were the first to speculate a plausible pathway of the Chan-Lam reaction in their O -arylation paper. ${ }^{40}$ They mentioned that the ChanLam reaction (Scheme 20, 1) and the Ullmann reaction (Scheme 20, 2) certainly
overlapped at the arylcopper phenoxide intermediates 7 or 8 , which then could undergo reductive elimination to the diaryl ether. The only uncertainty was the oxidation state of this copper-based intermediate, either (II) or (III), prior to the reductive elimination event. Later, it was observed that oxygen atmosphere improved the yield of the reaction. ${ }^{43}$ This reinforced the possibility that 7 could be oxidized to 8 before reductive elimination.


Scheme 20: Mechanistic study of the Chan-Lam reaction by Evans et al.
Later, Lam and co-workers reported more in-depth analysis of the Chan-Lam reaction. They first highlighted that the reaction did not proceed via a free radical pathway. ${ }^{44}$ The addition of the radical trap TEMPO did not impact on the yield of the $N$-arylation reaction. Then, they justified the use of excess arylboronic acid (1.5-2.0 equiv) by the formation of two by-products arising from protodeboronation and oxidation. In the absence of amine substrate, 4-biphenylboronic acid was quickly consumed, with protodeboronation being the major side reaction. ${ }^{44}$

Regarding the phenol formation, Evans et al. first mentioned that it could arise from $O$ arylation of water. ${ }^{40}$ In addition, Lam and co-workers hypothesised that arylboronic acids could be oxidised to phenols via a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{III})$ intermediate or by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ formed by reduction of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. To support one of these two pathways, they studied the oxygen
incorporation with labelled $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the absence of substrates (Scheme 21). ${ }^{45}$ When $\mathrm{O}^{18}{ }_{2}$ was used, no isotope incorporation was observed. However, when $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{18}$ was added to the reaction, $\mathrm{O}^{18}$ was incorporated into biphenylphenol. Therefore, the source of phenol formation is the O-arylation of water. This confirmed the hypothesis of Evans and co-workers and established the use of molecular sieves to sequester water and increase the yield.



Scheme 21: Study of the oxygen incorporation in the Chan-Lam reaction with labelled $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \underline{\mathrm{O}}$. Based on these observations, Lam et al. reported a general mechanism for the ChanLam amination (Scheme 22). ${ }^{45}$ The first step consisted in the rapid coordination of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ by the nucleophile to form the soluble $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ complex 9. Then, transmetalation of the boronic acid 10 with 9 afforded the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ complex 11. At this stage, complex 11 could undergo reductive elimination to give 12, or could be oxidised to give the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{III})$ species 13 which could then reductively eliminate to afford the desired product 12. Quantitative analysis of the reaction was conducted, and revealed only trace amounts of $\mathrm{Cu}(0)$ at the end of the reaction. This suggested that reductive elimination from a $\mathrm{Cu}(I I)$ intermediate was not the major pathway.


Scheme 22: Proposed general mechanism for the Chan-Lam reaction by Lam et al.
These papers settled the ground of the Chan-Lam amination reaction. The main advantage of these new copper-catalysed cross-coupling was the high functional group tolerance due to the mild reaction conditions employed. The wide diversity of nitrogencontaining substrates was also striking. However, low yields were obtained for some coupling partners like amides, sulfonamides, and aromatic heterocycles. In addition, the reaction required stoichiometric amounts of copper catalyst and excess of boronic acid starting material. Therefore, extensive work was conducted to develop better conditions and to expand the scope of this useful reaction.

### 2.3. Development of the reaction conditions

Since 1997, more than 100,000 papers or patents highlighted the use of the Chan-Lam reaction. This process has been extensively studied. Many groups directed their efforts to the optimisation of the reaction conditions investigating the catalyst sources, the solvents, the bases, the ligands, and the additives, to afford an efficient cross-coupling of aryl boronic acids with various heteroatom-based nucleophiles.

### 2.3.1. Catalyst sources

The first three publications by the groups of Chan, Evans and Lam sparked the interest of synthetic chemists as they highlighted remarkably simple conditions for an incredibly useful transformation. A mixture of the amine (1 equiv), aryl boronic acid (2-3 equiv), anhydrous $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (1-2 equiv), and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ or pyridine (2-3 equiv) in dichloromethane was stirred at room temperature for 1-2 days under air and afforded the desired product after purification (Schemes 17 to 19). ${ }^{39-41}$

Evans ad co-workers studied the reaction in more detail using 4-tert-butylphenol (1 equiv) and phenylboronic acid (1 equiv) as coupling partners. ${ }^{40}$ They demonstrated that stoichiometric amounts of copper acetate and aerobic conditions were required for the reaction to proceed in good yield (Table 1).


| Entry | Equiv $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Atmosphere | Equiv base | Isolated yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | Argon | 5 | $34 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | Air | 5 | $71 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | Oxygen | 5 | $71 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.1 | Oxygen | 5 | $30 \%$ |

Table 1: Influence of catalyst loading and atmosphere.
In addition, they showed that the use of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ was optimal. Other copper sources such as $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OPiv})_{2}, \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}, \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$, or $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ did not lead to the desired arylated products or resulted in significant C-C bond formation.

In 2000, Collman and Zhong reported the first high-yielding catalytic Chan-Lam reaction using an unprecedented diamine-copper complex ( 0.1 equiv) to catalyse the intermolecular cross-coupling of arylboronic acids (2 equiv) with imidazoles (1 equiv) under oxygen atmosphere (Scheme 23). ${ }^{46}$


Scheme 23: First example of a catalytic Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acids and imidazoles.

Soon after this report, Lam and co-workers studied the effect of stoichiometric oxidants in the presence of catalytic amounts of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 0.1 equiv), and realised that the use of pyridine N -oxide, 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxyl, or N -methylmorpholine- N oxide (1.1 equiv) afforded good reaction yields (Scheme 24). ${ }^{43}$


$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\text { Pyridine } N \text {-oxide }>\text { TEMPO }>\text { NMO }>\text { di- } t \text {-butyl nitroxide }>\mathrm{NaB}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}>\text { mCPBA } \\
69 \% & 64 \% & 62 \% & 55 \% & 31 \% & 6 \%
\end{array}
$$

Scheme 24: Study of the effect of stoichiometric oxidants under catalytic Chan-Lam conditions by Lam et al.

Despite its efficiency, this new set of conditions suffered from two major limitations: the oxidation of the aryl boronic acid starting material and the variability of the oxidant depending on the nitrogen nucleophile used (Scheme 25). ${ }^{44}$


$\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, Pyridine N -oxide, $69 \%$

$\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, Pyridine N -oxide, $70 \%$

$\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, TEMPO, $77 \%$

$E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, TEMPO, $55 \%$

$\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, 84 \%$

$\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, 66 \%$

Scheme 25: Scope of the catalytic Chan-Lam reaction using stoichiometric amounts of oxidant or oxygen atmosphere.

In 2003, Batey and Quach reported a base-free Chan-Lam amination of aryl boronic acids (2 equiv) with both aryl and aliphatic amines (1 equiv), through a two-step procedure using catalytic amount of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 0.1 equiv) under oxygen atmosphere (Scheme 26). ${ }^{47}$ The efficiency of these conditions lay in the premixing of the boronic acid starting material with the copper catalyst before adding the nucleophilic amine. For example, arylation of aliphatic amines, which was difficult to achieve under previous cross-coupling conditions, worked significantly better using this sequential procedure.



Scheme 26: Catalytic Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acids and alkyl/aryl amines.
Through the years, several groups focused their efforts on the development of new conditions optimising the catalyst source to allow the efficient coupling of diverse nucleophiles under air or oxygen atmospheres (Table 2). In 2006, Kantam and coworkers reported a catalytic Chan-Lam amination using a recyclable CuFAP catalyst (Table 2, entry 1). ${ }^{48}$ This new catalyst allowed the cross-coupling of diverse N nucleophiles including imidazoles, anilines and aliphatic amines with arylboronic acids at room temperature under air. Later, Fu and co-workers published a $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ procedure for the synthesis of primary aromatic amines using aqueous ammonia as the nucleophile (Table 2, entry 2). ${ }^{49}$ Despite the broad scope, electron-rich and neutralaryl boronic acids tended to form the diaryl amine as a side product. In 2010, the crosscoupling of alkyl- and aryl- carboxylic acids with arylboronic acids was achieved by Cheng and co-workers. ${ }^{50}$ In their procedure, the use of catalytic amounts of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ in the presence of one equivalent of urea in EtOAc at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under air, allowed the formation of highly functionalised esters in good to very high yields (Table 2, entry 3). The group of Kianmehr and the group of Zhao, reported the useful Chan-Lam reaction of cyanate ions and $H$-phosphonate diesters respectively (Table 2, entries 4 and
5)..$^{51,52}$ In 2012, Singh and co-workers demonstrated for the first time that, $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ catalyst could promote efficient cross-coupling between arylboronic acids and amine nucleophiles in the presence of the 2,2-bipyridyl bidentate ligand (Table 2, entry 6). ${ }^{53}$ The same year, Feng and co-workers successfully coupled thiols to aryl boronic acids at room temperature using a combination of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ ( 0.05 equiv) and 1,10phenanthroline ( 0.05 equiv). ${ }^{54}$ An aqueous solution of tetra- $n$-butylammonium hydroxide was found to be the best base to afford the desired S-arylated product (Table 2, entry 7). In 2014, Kim and co-workers showed that, CuCl ( 0.1 equiv) allowed the base-free cross-coupling of sulfonyl azides and arylboronic acids at room temperature in methanol under air atmosphere within 2 hours (Table 2, entry 8). ${ }^{55}$ Later, a similar protocol was applied for the synthesis of $N$-aryl carbamates from azidoformates and boronic acids (Table 2, entry 9). ${ }^{56}$ Recently, the Phukan group synthesized a novel square pyramidal copper complex $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{DMAP})_{4}[]\right.$ by a disproportionation reaction of Cul and DMAP in DMSO. ${ }^{57}$ This catalyst allowed a quick (less than 5 minutes) and low catalyst loading ( 0.02 equiv) Chan-Lam reaction (Table 2, entry 10). In addition, a broad range of nucleophiles including amines, amides, azides, and thiols was tolerated and the reaction conditions gave the desired product in good to very high yields. In 2016, Das and co-workers developed a complementary set of Ni - and Cu -based catalyst systems for the selective N -arylation of 2aminobenzimidazoles (Table 2, entry 11). ${ }^{58}$ The successful selective $N$-arylation of the primary amine group was achieved by using $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 0.2 equiv) in the presence of DBU (2 equiv) under air. An array of both the $N$-arylated isomers of 2aminobenzimidazoles was obtained using this general and simple protocol. Finally, last year, the chemoselective copper-catalysed $N$-arylation of unprotected aminobenzamides was reported for the first time by the groups of Zhang and Xu (Table 2, entry 12). ${ }^{59}$ The use of $\mathrm{CuCl}(0.15$ equiv) catalyst enabled the selective arylation of
amino groups in ortho/meta/para-aminobenzamides under open-flask conditions. The reported procedure was scalable and compatible with a wide range of functional groups.


| Entry | Year | Nucleophiles | Conditions | Isolated Yield | Group [Ref] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2006 | Imidazole, aryl and aliphatic amines | CuFAP ( 0.2 equiv), MeOH , air, rt | 78-95\% | Kantam [48] |
| 2 | 2008 | Aqueous ammonia | $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 0.1 equiv), MeOH , air, rt | 65-93\% | Yufen [49] |
| 3 | 2010 | Carboxylic acid | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ ( 0.4 equiv), $\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ (1 equiv), EtOAc, air, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 51-98\% | Cheng [50] |
| 4 | 2011 | Cyanate | $\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}$ ( 0.1 equiv), <br> MeOH, air, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 48-80\% | Kianmehr [51] |
| 5 | 2011 | $H$-phosphonate diesters | $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 0.05 equiv), Phenanthroline ( 0.1 equiv), ( $i$-Pr $)_{2}$ Net, MeCN , air, rt | 47-96\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Zhao } \\ \text { [52] } \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 | 2012 | Aryl and aliphatic amines, amides, NH -heterocycles | $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ (0.2 equiv), 2,2bipyridyl ( 0.2 equiv), DBU (2 equiv), MeCN, air, it | nr-85\% | Singh [53] |
| 7 | 2012 | Thiols | $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}(0.05$ equiv), 1,10-phenanthroline (0.05 equiv), <br> $n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NOH}$ (0.4 equiv), $\mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{O}_{2}$, rt | nr-88\% | Feng [54] |
| 8 | 2014 | Sulfonyl azide | $\mathbf{C u C l}$ ( 0.1 equiv). MeOH , air, rt | 13-99\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kim } \\ & {[55]} \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | 2015 | Azidoformates | $\mathbf{C u C l}(0.1$ equiv), <br> MeOH , air, rt | nr-94\% | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Kim} \\ & {[56]} \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | 2015 | Aryl and aliphatic amines, amides, azides and thiols | [Cu(DMAP)4]II (0.02 equiv), MeOH , air, rt | 60-93\% | Phukan [57] |
| 11 | 2016 | $\underset{\mathrm{s}}{\text { aminobenzimidazole }}$ | $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 0.2 equiv), DBU (2 equiv), DMSO, air, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 63-85\% | Das <br> [58] |
| 12 | 2017 | Aminobenzamides | CuCl ( 0.15 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( 0.5 equiv), MeOH , rt | 31-90\% | Zhang and Xu [59] |

Table 2: Evolution of catalyst sources for the Chan-Lam reaction of various nucleophiles.
Thanks to the development of all these catalysts it is now easier to find a set of conditions to achieve specific Chan-Lam reaction, allowing synthetic chemists to access highly functionalised compounds in an efficient manner. In addition to the catalyst, the solvent system used in this reaction is crucial.

### 2.3.2. Solvent system

In their 1998 publication, Chan and Lam established the following order for the solvent in terms of reactivity: ${ }^{41}$
DCM > 1,4-dioxane = NMP = THF = DMF >> EtOAc = Toluene = DMSO.

It is important to notice that the use of methanol resulted in no product formation. This trend was confirmed by the first reports published by Chan, Evans, Lam, Collman and Batey where DCM reactions afforded good yields (Table 3, entries 1 to 4). ${ }^{39-41,46-47}$ The only exception at that time was the work disclosed by Buchwald and Antilla (Table 3, entries 5). ${ }^{60}$ In their publication, the authors reported the cross-coupling of aryl boronic acids (1.5 equiv) with amines (1 equiv), using $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.1-0.2$ equiv) as a catalyst, 2,6-lutidine (1 equiv) as a base, and myristic acid (0.1-0.2 equiv) as an additive, at room temperature in toluene under air or oxygen atmosphere. This new methodology using toluene as the solvent afforded a broad substrate scope regarding aniline-type nucleophiles, but only moderate yields when using aliphatic amines. Following this report, Yudin and co-workers used the same reaction conditions for the synthesis of N aryl aziridines from the corresponding NH -aziridines (Table 3, entries 6). ${ }^{61}$ The first example of a Chan-Lam amination using a protic solvent was reported in 2003 by Xie and co-workers. ${ }^{62}$ They described the coupling of imidazole (1.1 equiv) with arylboronic acids (1 equiv) in the presence of a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.05$ equiv), in methanol at reflux yielding the corresponding $N$-arylimidazoles in quantitative yields
(Table 3, entries 7). During their optimisation, they selected DCM, DCE, Toluene, THF, Acetone, and MeCN as reaction solvents. Unfortunately, no reaction occurred using these solvents and catalytic amounts of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$. However, moving onto the unconventional MeOH , the desired N -arylimidazole product was obtained in high yields. Later, the same simple conditions were used for the base-free coupling of amines, amides, imides, and sulfonamides with stoichiometric amounts of boronic acid (Table 3, entries 8). ${ }^{63}$ In addition, the groups of Bolm and Guo described a Chan-Lam coupling of a broad range of aryl boronic acids (2.3 equiv) with sulfoximines (1 equiv) and sodium azides (1 equiv) respectively (Table 3, entries 9 and 10)..$^{64,65}$ These reactions proceeded using the set of conditions reported by Xie and co-workers but at room temperature. Since these reports, protic solvents were extensively used in the Chan-Lam reaction (Table 3, entries 11 to 18) allowing the coupling of anilines, aliphatic amines, amides, aqueous ammonia, cyanate ions, thiols, sulfonyl azides, and azidoformates with aryl boronic acids. ${ }^{48,49,51,54-58}$ In addition, use of other solvents, for example, EtOAc and MeCN enabled the unprecedented Chan-Lam reaction of aryl boronic acids with carboxylic acids and H -phosphonate diesters respectively (Table 3, entries 19 and 20 ). ${ }^{50,52}$ In all those cases, the right combination of catalyst and solvent afforded higher yields using lower catalyst loadings and shorter reaction times.


| Entry | Year | Nucleophiles | Conditions | Isolated Yield | Group <br> [Ref] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} 1997 \\ - \\ 1998 \end{gathered}$ | Aryl and aliphatic amines, amides, ureas, carbamates, sulfonamides | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (1-2 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ or pyridine (2-10 equiv), DCM, air, rt | 4-96\% | Chan, Evans, Lam [39-41] |
| 2 | 2000 | Imidazole | $[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH}) \cdot \mathrm{TMEDA}]_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (0.1 equiv), DCM, air, rt | 5-98\% | Collman <br> [46] |


| 3 | 2001 | Aryl and aliphatic amines, amides, sulfonamides, benzimidazole, indazole | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.1-0.2$ equiv), pyridine N oxide or TEMPO (1.1 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2 equiv), DCM, air, rt | 27-100\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lam } \\ & \text { [43] } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 2003 | Aryl and aliphatic amines, NH heterocycles | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 0.1 equiv), DCM, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, rt or $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | nr-98\% | Batey <br> [47] |
| 5 | 2001 | Aryl and aliphatic amines | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.05-0.2$ equiv), myristic acid (0.1-0.2 equiv), 2,6lutidine (1 equiv), Toluene, air, rt | 10-91\% | Buchwald [60] |
| 6 | 2003 | Aziridines | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 0.1 equiv), myristic acid (0.2 equiv), 2,6-lutidine (1 equiv), Toluene, air, rt | nr-95\% | Yudin [61] |
| 7 | 2003 | Imidazole | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.05$ equiv), MeOH, air, reflux | 92-98\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Xie } \\ & {[62]} \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | 2004 | Amines, amides, imides, and sulfonamides | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 0.1 equiv), MeOH, air, reflux | nr-96\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Xie } \\ & {[63]} \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | 2005 | Sulfoximines | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 0.1 equiv), MeOH , air, rt | 62-93\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bolm } \\ {[64]} \end{gathered}$ |
| 10 | 2007 | Sodium azides | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 0.1 equiv), MeOH , air, rt | 70-98\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Guo } \\ & {[65]} \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | 2006 | Imidazole, aryl and aliphatic amines | CuFAP (0.2 equiv), MeOH , air, rt | 78-95\% | Kantam [48] |
| 12 | 2008 | Aqueous ammonia | $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (0.1 equiv), MeOH , air, rt | 65-93\% | Yufen [49] |
| 13 | 2011 | Cyanate | $\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}$ ( 0.1 equiv), <br> MeOH , air, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 48-80\% | Kianmehr [51] |
| 14 | 2012 | Thiols | $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ (0.05 equiv), 1,10-phenanthroline (0.05 equiv), <br> $n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NOH}$ (0.4 equiv), <br> $\mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{O}_{2}$, rt | nr-88\% | Feng <br> [54] |
| 15 | 2014 | Sulfonyl azide | CuCl (0.1 equiv), MeOH , air, rt | 13-99\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kim } \\ & \text { [55] } \end{aligned}$ |
| 16 | 2015 | Azidoformates | CuCl (0.1 equiv), <br> MeOH , air, rt | nr-94\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kim } \\ & {[56]} \end{aligned}$ |
| 17 | 2015 | Aryl and aliphatic amines, amides, azides and thiols | $\left.\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{DMAP})_{4}\right]\right]$ (0.02 equiv), $\mathbf{M e O H}$, air, rt | 60-93\% | Phukan [57] |
| 18 | 2017 | Aminobenzamides | CuCl ( 0.15 equiv), <br> $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (0.5 equiv), $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}$ | 31-90\% | Zhang and Xu [56] |
| 19 | 2010 | Carboxylic acid | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ (0.4 equiv), $\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ (1 equiv), EtOAc, air, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 51-98\% | Cheng [50] |


| 20 | 2011 | H-phosphonate diesters | $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (0.05 equiv), Phenanthroline (0.1 equiv), ( $i$-Pr $)_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$, MeCN, air, rt | 47-96\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Zhao } \\ \text { [52] } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 3: Evolution of solvent system for the Chan-Lam reaction of various nucleophiles.
When considering the reaction conditions of the Chan-Lam amination, another important parameter is the use of bases.

### 2.3.3. Bases

The role of the base was first studied by the groups of Chan and Lam. The model substrate, the p-tolylboronic acid (2 equiv), was coupled to several nucleophiles in the presence of an excess of $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ or pyridine (2-3 equiv). Focusing on this substrate, the results obtained with various $N$-nucleophiles would draw the conclusion that $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ was the optimal base (Table 4, entries 1 to 4). ${ }^{39,41}$ However, pyridine (2 equiv) was the only base suitable for the coupling of NH -heterocycles with aryl boronic acids (Table 4, entries 5 to 7). ${ }^{39,41}$ In addition, Evans described that a mixture of $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (5 equiv) and pyridine (5 equiv) was the best combination to afford the efficient synthesis of the $L$ throxine intermediate (Table 4, entry 8). ${ }^{40}$


| Entry | Compound | Base | Isolated Yield | [Ref] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2 equiv) Pyridine (2 equiv) | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \% \\ & 63 \% \end{aligned}$ | [39] |
| 2 |  | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2 equiv) <br> Pyridine (2 equiv) | $\begin{gathered} 17 \% \\ 4 \% \end{gathered}$ | [39] |

[40

Table 4: Influence of the base for the Chan-Lam reaction.
Following these studies, several protocols were developed using different bases to enable unprecedented Chan-Lam couplings. For example, N -arylation of electron deficient indoles had always been a challenge in Chan-Lam cross-couplings when using $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ or pyridine, and required the use of an aldehyde directing group at the C 2 position. In 2007, Bekolo overcame this limitation with the synthesis of $N$-arylated indole in good to excellent yields using diisopropylethylamine (Table 5, entry 1). ${ }^{66}$ Last
year, the group of Dong reported an unprecedented Chan-Lam set of conditions for the synthesis of $N$-aryl phosphinamides and phosphonamides (Table 5, entry 2). ${ }^{67}$ This reaction was performed with high efficiency and good functional group tolerance in the absence of any ligands or co-catalysts.


| Entry | Year | Nucleophiles | Conditions | Isolated <br> Yield | Group <br> [Ref] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | Indole | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(2.5$ equiv), <br> $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(2.5$ equiv $)$, <br> DCM, air, rt | $45-100 \%$ | Bekolo <br> $[66]$ |  |
|  | 2017 | Phosphonic and <br> phosphinic amides | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(2$ equiv), <br> $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2$ equiv $)$, <br> toluene, air, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $46-83 \%$ | Dong <br> $[67]$ |

Table 5: Optimisation of difficult Chan-Lam reactions using unprecedented base systems.
Under microwave irradiation, a mixture of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ and pyridine (1:2) allowed the arylation at the N 1 -position of the $2(1 \mathrm{H})$-pyrazinone scaffold, pulverised potassium hydroxide enabled the $N$-arylation of bridged lactams, and DBU afforded the cross-coupling of a broad range of amines (Scheme 27). ${ }^{68-70}$



Scheme 27: Examples of Chan-Lam amination using unprecedented base systems under microwave irradiations.

The use of weak bases allows the Chan-Lam reaction to operate under mild conditions and enables the use of chiral compounds without the risk of racemisation. A group from Bristol-Myers Squibb reported the $N$-arylation of labile azetidinone esters using the initial reaction conditions reported by Chan, Lam and co-workers (Scheme 28). ${ }^{71}$ The desired products were obtained in high yields, and with no racemisation.


Scheme 28: $N$-arylation of labile azetidinone esters.
The set of reaction conditions described in this section afforded a good understanding of what bases can be used to perform an efficient Chan-Lam reaction. In addition to the base, several groups started using ligands to access new chemical space and to achieve faster reaction times

### 2.3.4. Ligands

N1-arylation of nucleosides has always been a valuable but difficult transformation (<50\% yield). In 2005, Yu and co-workers published an efficient avenue for the direct N -arylation of nucleobases with aryl boronic acids using $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ as catalyst (Table 6, entry 1). ${ }^{72}$ TMEDA was found to be the only efficient ligand for the reaction to proceed in high yield. The $N$-arylnucleobases were obtained in excellent yields at room temperature within 45 min when methanol and water were used as a mixed solvent. Later, a combination of TMEDA ligand and copper(II) nitrate catalyst enabled the formation of carbon-nitrogen biaryls in high yields from both hindered imidazoles and aryl boronic acids (Table 6, entry 2)..$^{73}$ In 2004, Evans and co-workers reported the arylation and methylation of sulfinic acid salts. ${ }^{74}$ However, the use of stoichiometric amounts of copper catalyst limited its application. Three years later, catalytic reaction conditions were developed independently by two research groups. Tse and co-workers reported that the combination of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ ( 0.2 equiv) and a substituted imidazole ligand efficiently catalysed the reaction between aryl boronic acids and sulfinic acid salts (Table 6, entry 3). ${ }^{75}$ In the meantime, Batey and co-workers managed to achieve the same transformation using 1,10-phenanthroline (0.2 equiv) as the ligand and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (0.1 equiv) the catalyst (Table 6, entry 4). ${ }^{76}$ In addition, 1,10-phenanthroline was used as a ligand for $S$-arylation of both aryl thiols and 1,2-bis(o-amino-1H-pyrazolyl) disulfides (Table 6, entries 5 and 6). ${ }^{57,77}$ Recently, Sun and co-workers published a Chan-Lam thiocyanation of aryl boronic acids with trimethylsilylisothiocyanate using TMEDA as the ligand. ${ }^{78}$ This reaction proceeded at room temperature, and was compatible with a wide range of functional groups (Table 6, entries 7).

|  |  |  | Nucleophile Conditions | $\leqslant^{\frac{11}{11}} \mathrm{R}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Year | Nucleophiles | Conditions | Isolated Yield | Group <br> [Ref] |
| 1 | 2005 | Nucleosides | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (1 equiv), TMEDA (2 equiv), $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (4:1), air, rt | 50-90\% | $\begin{gathered} Y u \\ {[72]} \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | 2009 | Hindered imidazole | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(0.1-0.5 \\ \text { equiv), TMEDA (0.1- } \\ 0.5 \text { equiv), MeOH, } \mathrm{O}_{2} \text {, } \\ \text { rt } \end{gathered}$ | 48-99\% | Kozlowskia [73] |
| 3 | 2007 | Sulfinic acid salts | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 0.2 equiv), 1-benzylimidazole (0.4 equiv), DMSO, Air, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 22-83\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tse } \\ & {[74]} \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | 2007 | Sulfinic acid salts | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 0.1 equiv), 1,10-phenanthroline ( 0.2 equiv), DCMDMF, $\mathrm{O}_{2}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 22-83\% | Batey <br> [75] |
| 5 | 2009 | 1,2-bis(o-amino-1H-pyrazolyl) disulfides | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cul (0.2 equiv), 1,10- } \\ \text { phenanthroline }(0.2 \\ \text { equiv), } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{DMSO} \\ \mathrm{O}_{2}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $n \mathrm{n}-100 \%$ | Zhong <br> [76] |
| 6 | 2012 | Thiols | $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ (0.05 equiv), 1,10-phenanthroline (0.05 equiv), <br> $n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NOH}$ (0.4 equiv), $\mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{O}_{2}$, rt | nr-88\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feng } \\ & \text { [57] } \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | 2015 | Trimethylsilylisothio cyanate | $\mathrm{CuCl}(0.2$ equiv), TMEDA ( 0.2 equiv), $\mathrm{NaF}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \mathrm{MeCN}$, Air, rt | 45-89\% | Sun [77] |

Table 6: Development of Chan-Lam reactions between aryl boronic acids and various nucleophiles using ligands.

Finally, directing groups can serve as ligands through the catalysis process. In 2016, the group of Ball reported the first example of directed Chan-Lam amination. ${ }^{79}$ They highlighted that histidine residues enabled oxidative coupling of boronic acids at the backbone NH of a neighbouring amino acid via chelation of the histidine moiety to the copper catalyst. In addition, they demonstrated that the mild reaction conditions used
(common physiological buffers and room temperature) are compatible with proteins and biological systems (Scheme 29).

$\mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(10$ equiv)
$\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (10 equiv)
HEPES buffer, rt


Scheme 29: Chan-Lam reaction enabled by chelation of the histidine moiety.
Last year, Baidya and co-workers published a selective copper-catalysed amidation of aryl boronic acids with tryptamine-substituted picolinamide (Scheme 30). ${ }^{80}$ The coupling occurred regioselectively at the amide moiety via a chelation-assisted event through the pyridine group in the picolinamide. This procedure enabled the synthesis of unsymmetrical amides in good to excellent yields.


Scheme 30: Chan-Lam reaction enabled by chelation of the picolinamide moiety.
The design of all these ligand-based procedures allowed the development of diverse and robust Chan-Lam reactions. These new reactions highlighted the pivotal role of the ligand in copper catalysis. Monodentate or bidentate ligands enable difficult reactions to occur via chelation to the copper catalyst. Through the years, adding to the developments of the catalysts, the solvents, the bases and the ligands, several additives were shown to be efficient for the Chan-Lam reaction to occur in high yields.

### 2.3.5. Additives

Molecular sieves were the first additive that was studied during the optimisation of the Chan-Lam reaction. Evans and co-workers reported that the use of $4 \AA$ molecular sieves was beneficial for the reaction to occur in high yields. ${ }^{40}$ It was found that water
could be generated via formation of the trimeric triaryl boroxine from the aryl boronic acid starting material (Scheme 31). Then, the water formed would oxidise the aryl boronic acid compound to the corresponding phenol, impeding the catalytic cycle of the reaction. Through the years, several methodologies reported the use of molecular sieves to lower the amounts of undesired by-products.


Scheme 31: Formation of triaryl boroxine from the aryl boronic acid.
As previously mentioned, Buchwald and Antilla reported the use of myristic acid for the coupling of aryl boronic acids with amines in the presence of a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}{ }^{58}$ They demonstrated that myristic acid facilitates the reaction by increasing the solubility of the copper catalyst. In their recent report, the group of Kobayashi highlighted that the same additive enabled the visible light-mediated photoredox crosscoupling of anilines with aryl boronic acids, in the presence of fac-[Ir(ppy) $\left.{ }_{3}\right]$ as a cocatalyst (Scheme 32)..$^{81}$ Interestingly, substrates that are challenging to synthesise under conventional Chan-Lam conditions were successfully converted under the present conditions.


Scheme 32: Visible light-mediated photoredox cross-coupling of anilines with aryl boronic acids.

The use of unconventional additives can sometimes overcome long-standing limitations. For example, polynitrogenated heterocycles are challenging substrates for metal catalysed $N$-arylation, as they can lead to the formation of regioisomers and polyarylated products. In 2014, Das and co-workers developed a copper-catalysed sequential N -arylation of C -amino-NH-azoles (Scheme 33). ${ }^{82}$ Under conventional Chan-Lam reaction conditions, this enabled the formation of the desired $N$-arylated products without any other isomers or polyarylated products observed. The use of CsOPiv as an additive allowed the sequential reaction to occur at the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ position in good to excellent yields.


Scheme 33: Cu-catalysed sequential $\mathbf{N}$-arylation of $\mathbf{C}$-amino-NH-azoles.
Recently, Prestat and co-workers reported the first one-pot selective diarylation of 3aminopyrazole by $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) / \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$-assisted tandem catalysis (Scheme 34)..$^{83}$ This reaction was enabled via an oxidation from $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ using a mixture of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ and AcOH in the second step of the procedure. This unprecedented methodology used a combination of an Ullmann reaction (at the N1 position) and a Chan-Lam reaction (at the N3 position of the N1-arylated product) to afford the desired diarylated product.


Scheme 34: One-pot selective diarylation of 3-aminopyrazole by $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) / \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$-assisted tandem catalysis.

The Chan-Lam cross-coupling between $N$-nucleophiles and aryl boronic acids has considerably evolved since its discovery more than twenty years ago. Fine-tuning of the reaction conditions, including screening of catalysts, solvents, bases, ligands, and additives, are continually being conducted. Therefore, significant progress has been made in expanding the scope of this useful transformation.

### 2.4. Scope of the Chan-Lam reaction

Since 1997, the scope of the Chan-Lam reaction has increased due to the development of new reaction conditions. Aryl boronic acids react efficiently with a broad range of coupling partners including amines, amides, anilines, azides, NHheterocycles, carbamates, sulfonamides, phenols, thiols, carboxylic acids, and others nucleophiles. The reaction scope is show in Tables 7 to 17.

### 2.4.1. Alkyl amines



| Entry | Year <br> (Group) <br> [Ref] | Conditions | Pros |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | Cons |


| 3 | 2001 (Buchwald) [60] | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.05-0.2$ equiv), myristic acid (0.1-0.2 equiv), 2,6-lutidine (1 equiv), Toluene, air, rt | - Catalytic in copper <br> - Catalytic in myristic acid |  | Low to moderate yields <br> No heterocycle Only tolylboronic acid was coupled Need a strong stirring and a large flask |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 2003 (Batey) [47] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAC})_{2}(0.1 \\ \text { equiv), } \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \text { rt } \\ \text { or } 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | - Catalytic in copper <br> - Excellent yields <br> - Aryl boronic acids and aryl $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{~K}$ |  | Sequential procedure No heterocycle |
| 5 | 2003 (Yudin) [61] | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.1$ equiv), myristic acid ( 0.2 equiv), 2,6-lutidine (1 equiv), Toluene, air, rt | - Successful coupling of aziridines <br> - Catalytic in copper <br> - Catalytic in myristic acid |  | Moderate yields Only aryl boronic acids were coupled No heterocycle |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 2004 \\ & (X i e) \\ & {[63]} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.1$ equiv), MeOH , air, reflux | - Moderate to good yields <br> - Catalytic in copper <br> - No additive |  | Reflux Only tolylboronic acid was coupled No heterocycle |
| 7 | $\begin{gathered} 2006 \\ (\mathrm{Fu}) \\ {[49]} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (0.1 equiv), MeOH , air, rt | - Successfu coupling of Ammonia <br> - Catalytic in copper <br> - Good to excellent yields <br> - Broad aryl boronic acids scope <br> - Successful coupling of thiophene |  | Only boronic acids were coupled Thiophene as the only heterocyclic coupling partner |
| 8 | $\begin{gathered} 2006 \\ \text { (Kantam) } \\ {[48]} \end{gathered}$ | CuFAP (0.25 equiv), $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}$ | - Excellent yields <br> - Catalytic in copper <br> - No additive <br> - Reusable |  | No heterocycle Only tolylboronic acid was coupled Need to |


|  |  |  | catalyst |  | prepare the catalyst |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 2008 (Yufen) [49] | $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 0.1 equiv), MeOH , air, rt | - Catalytic in copper <br> - Good to excellent yields <br> - Broad aryl boronic acids scope | - | Only aryl boronic acids were coupled No heterocycle |
| 10 | 2012 <br> (Singh) [53] | $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ (0.2 equiv), 2,2-bipyridyl (0.2 equiv), DBU (2 equiv), MeCN , air, rt | - Good to excellent yields <br> - Catalytic in nickel <br> - Catalytic in ligand | - | Need stoichiometric amounts of base Only aryl boronic acids were coupled No heterocycle |
| 11 | 2015 (Phukan) <br> [57] | $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{DMAP})_{4} \mid\right] \mid$ (0.02 equiv), MeOH , air, rt | - Excellent yields <br> - Fast reaction time <br> - Catalytic in copper <br> - Successful coupling of 3pyridine boronic acid <br> - No additive | - | Need to prepare the catalyst Only aryl boronic acids were coupled 3-pyridine as the only heterocyclic coupling partner |

Table 7: Scope of the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acids and alkyl amines.

### 2.4.2. Amides



| Entry | Year (Group) [Ref] | Conditions | Pros | Cons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1997-1998 <br> (Chan and Lam) [39, 41] | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (1-2 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ or pyridine (2-10 equiv), DCM, air, rt | - Good yields for secondary and cyclic amides | - Stoichiometric in copper and base <br> - Only tolylboronic acid was coupled |


|  |  |  |  |  | No heterocycle Low yields for primary amides |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ (\text { Lam }) \\ {[44]} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.1-0.2$ equiv), pyridine N oxide or TEMPO (1.1 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2 equiv), DCM, air, rt | - Catalytic in copper <br> - Amount of base reduced <br> - Good yield |  | Need stoichiometric amount of oxidant Only aryl boronic acid were coupled No heterocycle |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 2004 \\ & (X i e) \\ & {[62]} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 0.1 equiv), MeOH , air, reflux | - Moderate to good yields <br> - Catalytic in copper <br> - No additive |  | Reflux <br> No heterocycle Only tolylboronic acid was coupled |
| 4 | 2008 (Yufen) [49] | $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (0.1 equiv), MeOH , air, rt | - Catalytic in copper <br> - Good to excellent yields <br> - Broad aryl boronic acids scope |  | Only aryl boronic acids were coupled No heterocycle |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { (Ishikura) } \\ \text { [69] } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (0.1 equiv) Pulverised KOH (5 equiv) <br> $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}$ (1.1 equiv), $\mathrm{MeCN}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, MW | - Catalytic in copper <br> - Successful coupling of bridge lactams <br> - Good yields |  | Use of <br> Microwave <br> Excess of KOH <br> High <br> temperature <br> Only 6 <br> examples <br> Only aryl <br> boronic acids were coupled No heterocycle |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { (Liebeskin } \\ \text { d) } \\ {[118]} \end{gathered}$ | CuTC (1 equiv) THF, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | - Successful coupling of $O$ acetyl hydroxamic acids <br> - Good yields |  | Stoichiometric amounts of copper High temperature Only aryl boronic acids were coupled No heterocycle Expensive copper source |
| 7 | 2015 (Phukan) [57] | $\left.\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{DMAP})_{4}\right]\right]$ (0.02 equiv), MeOH , air, rt | - Excellent <br> yields  <br> - Fast reaction <br> time  |  | Need to prepare the catalyst Only aryl |


|  |  |  |  | Catalytic in copper Successful coupling of 3pyridine boronic acid No additive |  | boronic acids were coupled 3 -pyridine as the only heterocyclic coupling partner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 2017 <br> (Zhang and Xu ) [59] | CuCl ( 0.15 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (0.5 equiv), $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}$ |  | Chemoselecti ve N -arylation of aminobenzam ides Catalytic in copper Catalytic in base Good to excellent yields |  | Only boronic acids were coupled No heterocycle |
| 9 | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ \text { (Baidya) } \end{gathered}$ [80] | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 0.2 equiv) DMAP ( 0.2 equiv), KI (0.2 equiv) DME, air, rt |  | Successful coupling of amides via chelation assistance Catalytic in copper Catalytic in ligand Catalytic in KI |  | Only aryl boronic acids were coupled No heterocycle |

Table 8: Scope of the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acids and amides.

### 2.4.3. Anilines



| Entry | Year <br> (Group) <br> $[$ Ref] | Conditions | Pros | Cons |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |

- Stoichiometric

1997-1998
(Chan and Lam) [39,41]
$\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(1-2$
equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ or pyridine (2-10 equiv), DCM, air, rt
in copper

- Stoichiometric in base
- Only tolylboronic

|  |  |  |  |  | acid was coupled No heterocycle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ (\text { Lam }) \\ {[44]} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.1-0.2$ equiv), pyridine N oxide or TEMPO (1.1 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2 equiv), DCM, air, rt | - Catalytic in copper <br> - Amount of base reduced <br> - Good yields |  | Need stoichiometric amounts of oxidant Only aryl boronic acids were coupled No heterocycle |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ \text { (Buchwald) } \end{gathered}$ [60] | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.05-0.2$ equiv), myristic acid (0.1-0.2 equiv), 2,6lutidine (1 equiv), Toluene, air, rt | - Catalytic in copper <br> - Catalytic in myristic acid <br> - Good yields |  | 2,6-lutidine as base <br> No heterocycle Only tolylboronic acid was coupled Need a strong stirring and a large flask |
| 4 | 2003 (Batey) [47] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.1 \\ & \text { equiv), } \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{O}_{2} \text { rt } \\ & \text { or } 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | - Catalytic in copper <br> - Excellent yields <br> - Aryl boronic acids and aryl $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{~K}$ |  | Sequential procedure No heterocycle |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 2004 \\ & (\mathrm{Xie}) \\ & {[62]} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.1$ equiv), MeOH , air, reflux | - Moderate to good yields <br> - Catalytic in copper <br> - No additive |  | Reflux <br> No heterocycle Only tolylboronic acid was coupled |
| 6 | 2008 (Yufen) [49] | $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (0.1 equiv), MeOH , air, rt | - Catalytic in copper <br> - Good to excellent yields <br> - Broad aryl boronic acids scope |  | Only aryl boronic acids were coupled No heterocycle |
| 7 | 2012 <br> (Singh) [53] | $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ ( 0.2 equiv), 2,2-bipyridyl ( 0.2 equiv), DBU (2 equiv), MeCN , air, rt | - Good to excellent yields <br> - Catalytic in nickel <br> - Catalytic in ligand |  | Need stoichiometric amounts of base Only aryl boronic acids were coupled No heterocycle |


| 8 | 2014 <br> (Vishwakar <br> ma) <br> [82] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.2 \\ & \text { equiv), CsOPiv ( } 0.4 \\ & \text { equiv), DMF, air, } 50 \\ & { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | - Good to excellent yields <br> - Catalytic in copper <br> - Catalytic in base <br> - Successful coupling of 3thiophene boronic acid | - High temperature <br> - Only aryl boronic acids were coupled <br> - 3-thiophene boronic acid as the only heterocycle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { (Prestat) } \\ \text { [83] } \end{gathered}$ | From step 1: <br> $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ (1.3 equiv), AcOH (1 equiv), <br> $3 \AA$ M.S., air, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | - Moderate to good yields <br> - Catalytic in copper | - Sequential process <br> - High temperature <br> - Stoichiometric amounts of silver salt <br> - Stoichiometric amount of AcOH <br> - Only aryl boronic acids were coupled |
| 10 | 2015 (Phukan) [57] | [Cu(DMAP)4]] (0.02 equiv), MeOH , air, rt | - Excellent yields <br> - Fast reaction time <br> - Catalytic in copper <br> - Successful coupling of 3 pyridine boronic acid <br> - No additive | - Need to prepare the catalyst <br> - Only aryl boronic acids were coupled <br> - 3-pyridine as the only heterocyclic coupling partner |
| 11 | $2015$ <br> (Kobayashi) [81] | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.1$ equiv), Myristic acid (0.2 equiv), fac[Ir(ppy)3], 2,6lutidine, blue LED, toluene/MeCN (1:1), $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, air | - Moderate to good yields Catalytic in copper <br> - Catalytic in myristic acid <br> - Catalytic in iridium complex | - Need iridium catalyst <br> - Need LED <br> - Only aryl boronic acids were coupled <br> - No heterocycle |
| 12 | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { (Das) } \\ \text { [58] } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.2$ equiv), $\mathrm{AgOAc}(1.5$ equiv), MeOH , air, rt | - Chemoselecti ve N -arylation of 3aminophenols <br> - Catalytic in copper <br> - Good to | - Stoichiometric in silver salt <br> - No heterocycle |


|  |  |  | excellent yields <br> - Successful for both aryl boronic acid and aryl BPin |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { (Das) } \\ \text { [82] } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.2$ equiv), benzoic acid (0.2 equiv), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.5 equiv), 1,4dioxane, air, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | - Chemoselecti ve N -arylation of 4- <br> aminophenols <br> - Catalytic in copper <br> - Catalytic in benzoic acid <br> - Good to excellent yields <br> - Successful for both aryl boronic acid and aryl BPin | - Stoichiometric in $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ <br> - No heterocycle |

Table 9: Scope of the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acids and anilines.

### 2.4.4. Azides



|  |  |  | copper <br> - No additive |  | were coupled No heterocycle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sulfonyl azides |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2014 <br> (Kim) <br> [55] | CuCl (0.1 equiv), MeOH , air, rt | - Excellent yields <br> - Catalytic in copper <br> - No additive <br> - Successful coupling of heterocycles in low to good yields <br> - Successful coupling of aryl $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{~K}$ |  | Low yields for aryl BPin |
| Azidoformates |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2015 <br> (Kim) <br> [56] | CuCl (0.1 equiv), MeOH , air, rt | - Excellent yields <br> - Catalytic in copper <br> - No additive <br> - Successful coupling of alkenyl boronic acids <br> - Successful coupling of heterocycles in low to good yields <br> - Successful coupling of aryl $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{~K}$ |  | No reaction using aryl BPin |

Table 10: Scope of the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acids and azides.

### 2.4.5. NH-heterocycles

| Entry | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \text { (Group) } \end{aligned}$ [Ref] | Conditions | Pros | Cons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imidazoles - Benzimidazoles |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1997-1998 (Chan and Lam) [39,41] | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(1-2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ or pyridine (2-10 equiv), DCM, air, rt | - Good yields | - Stoichiometric in copper and base <br> - Only |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | tolylboronic <br> acid was <br> coupled <br> No heterocycle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} 2000 \\ \text { (Collman) } \end{gathered}$ [45] | $[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH}) \cdot \mathrm{TMEDA}]_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ <br> ${ }_{2}$ (0.1 equiv), DCM, air, rt |  | Moderate to excellent yields Catalytic in copper No additive |  | Need to prepare the catalyst Only aryl boronic acids No heterocycle |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 2003 \\ & (X i e) \\ & {[62]} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.05$ equiv), MeOH , air, reflux |  | Moderate to good yields Catalytic in copper No additive |  | Reflux <br> No heterocycle Only tolylboronic acid was coupled |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} 2006 \\ \text { (Kantam) } \end{gathered}$ [48] | CuFAP ( 0.2 equiv), MeOH , air, rt |  | Excellent yields Catalytic in copper No additive Reusable catalyst |  | Only aryl boronic acids No heterocycle Need to prepare the catalyst |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { (Sreedhar) } \\ {[140]} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (0.55 equiv), MeOH , air, rt | - | Good to excellent yields Catalytic in copper No additive |  | Only aryl boronic acids No heterocycle |
| 6 | 2009 (Kozlowski) <br> [73] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(0.1-0.5 \\ \text { equiv), TMEDA (0.1- } \\ 0.5 \text { equiv), MeOH, } \\ \mathrm{O}_{2}, \text { rt } \end{gathered}$ |  | Good yields Catalytic in copper Catalytic in TMEDA Successful coupling of hindered aryl boronic acids |  | Expensive catalyst Only aryl boronic acids No heterocycle |
| 7 | 2012 <br> (Singh) <br> [53] | $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ (0.2 equiv), 2,2-bipyridyl ( 0.2 equiv), DBU (2 equiv), MeCN , air, rt |  | Good yields Catalytic in nickel Catalytic inligand |  | Need stoichiometric amounts of base Only aryl boronic acids No heterocycle |
| 8 | 2015 (Phukan) [57] | $\left.\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{DMAP})_{4}\right]\right]$ (0.02 equiv), MeOH , air, rt | - | Excellent yields Fast reaction time Catalytic in copper |  | Need to prepare the catalyst Only aryl boronic acids No heterocycle |


| - No additive |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indazoles |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1997-1998 (Chan and Lam) $[39,41]$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(1-2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ or pyridine (2-10 equiv), DCM, air, rt | - Good yields | - Stoichiometric in copper <br> - Stoichiometric in base <br> - Only tolylboronic acid was coupled <br> - No heterocycles |
| 10 | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ (\text { Lam }) \\ {[44]} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.1-0.2$ equiv), pyridine N oxide or TEMPO (1.1 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2 equiv), DCM, air, rt | - Catalytic in copper <br> - Amount of base reduced <br> - Good yields | - Need <br> stoichiometric  <br>  amounts of <br>  oxidant <br> - Only aryl <br>  boronic acids <br> - No heterocycle |
| Pyrroles - Indoles |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 2007 (Bekolo) [66] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(2.5 \\ \text { equiv), } \\ i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{Net}(2.5 \text { equiv), } \\ \mathrm{DCM}, \text { air, rt } \end{gathered}$ | - Successful coupling of pyrroles and indoles <br> - Good to excellent yields | - Stoichiometric amounts of copper Stoichiometric amounts of base <br> - Only aryl boronic acids Need EWG on the pyrrole <br> - No heterocycle |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 \\ & (\text { Liu }) \\ & {[70]} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (2 equiv), <br> DBU (2 equiv), <br> DMSO, air, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | - Successful coupling of indoles <br> - Good to excellent yields | - Stoichiometric amounts of copper <br> - Stoichiometric amounts of base <br> - Only aryl boronic acids <br> - No heterocycle |
| Tetrazoles |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 1997-1998 (Chan and Lam) [39,41] | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(1-2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ or pyridine (2-10 equiv), DCM, air, rt | - Good yields | - Stoichiometric in copper <br> - Stoichiometric in base <br> - Only tolylboronic |


|  | acid was |
| :--- | :--- |
| coupled |  |
| - | No heterocycle |

Table 11: Scope of the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acids and NH-heterocycles.

### 2.4.6. Carbamates



| Entry |  | Conditions | Pros | Cons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1997-1998 } \\ \text { (Chan and } \\ \text { Lam) } \\ {[39,41]} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(1-2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ or pyridine (2-10 equiv), DCM, air, rt | - Good yields | - Stoichiometric in copper and base <br> - Only tolylboronic acid was coupled <br> - No heterocycle |

Table 12: Scope of the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acids and carbamates.

### 2.4.7. Sulfonamides



| Entry | Year <br> (Group) <br> $[$ Ref] | Conditions | Pros |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Cons

- Stoichiometric in copper
- Successful

1997-1998
(Chan and Lam)
[39,41]
$\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(1-2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ or pyridine (2-10 equiv), DCM, air, rt
coupling of secondary and cyclic sulfonamides - Good yields

- Stoichiometric in base
- Only tolylboronic acid was coupled
- No heterocycle
- No reaction

|  |  |  |  | with primary sulfonamides |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ (\mathrm{Lam}) \\ {[44]} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.1-0.2$ equiv), pyridine N oxide or TEMPO (1.1 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2 equiv), DCM, air, rt | - Catalytic in copper <br> - Amount of base reduced <br> - Good yields <br> - Successful coupling of primary sulfonamides | - $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Need } \\ & \text { stoichiometric }\end{aligned}$ amounts of oxidant <br> - Only aryl boronic acids were coupled <br> - No heterocycle <br> - No example of secondary sulfonamides |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 2004 \\ & (\mathrm{Xie}) \\ & {[62]} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.1$ equiv), MeOH , air, reflux | - Good yields <br> - No additive | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { - } & \text { Reflux } \\ \text { - } & \text { Only phenyl }\end{array}$ boronic acid was coupled <br> - Stoichiometric in copper <br> - No heterocycle |

Table 13: Scope of the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acids and sulfonamides.

### 2.4.8. Phenol



| Entry | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \text { (Group) } \\ & \text { [Ref] } \end{aligned}$ | Conditions | Pros | Cons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1997 <br> (Chan) [39] | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(1-2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ or pyridine (2-10 equiv), DCM, air, rt | - Good yields | - Stoichiometric in copper <br> - Stoichiometric in base <br> - Only tolylboronic acid was coupled <br> - No heterocycle |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} 1998 \\ \text { (Evans) } \end{gathered}$ [40] | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(1-2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ or pyridine (5-10 equiv), DCM, air, rt | - Good yields | - Stoichiometric in copper <br> - Large excess of base <br> - Only aryl |


|  |  |  |  |  | boronic acids No heterocycle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ (\text { (Lam) } \\ {[44]} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.1-0.2$ equiv), pyridine N oxide or TEMPO (1.1 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2 equiv), DCM, air, rt | - Catalytic in copper <br> - Amount of base reduced <br> - Good yields |  | Need stoichiometric amounts of oxidant Only aryl boronic acids No heterocycle |
| 4 | 2003 (Hoveyda) [92] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(2 \\ \text { equiv), } \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(10 \\ \text { equiv), MeOH, air, } \\ \text { rt } \end{gathered}$ | - Good yields |  | Stoichiometric in copper <br> Large excess of base Only aryl boronic acids No heterocycle |

Table 14: Scope of the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acids and phenols.

### 2.4.9. Thiols



| Entry | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \text { (Group) } \end{aligned}$ [Ref] | Conditions | Pros | Cons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2009 (Zhong) [77] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cul (0.2 equiv), } \\ 1,10- \\ \text { phenanthroline }(0.2 \\ \text { equiv), } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}- \\ \text { DMSO, } \mathrm{O}_{2}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | - Selective SArylation of 1,2-Bis(o-amino-1Hpyrazolyl) disulfides <br> - Low to good yields <br> - Catalytic in coper <br> - Catalytic in ligand <br> - Successful coupling of 3thiophene boronic acids <br> - Successful coupling of 1,2Diphenyldisulfan e | - High temperature <br> - Only aryl boronic acids <br> - 3-thiophene boronic acids only heterocycle |


| 2 | 2012 <br> (Feng) <br> [54] | $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}(0.05$ equiv), 1,10phenanthroline (0.05 equiv), $n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NOH}(0.4$ equiv), $\mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{O}_{2}$, rt |  | Moderate to excellent yields Catalytic in copper Catalytic in ligand Catalytic in additive Successful coupling of several heterocycles |  | Only aryl boronic acids |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 2015 (Phukan) <br> [57] | $\left.\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{DMAP})_{4}\right]\right]$ (0.02 equiv), MeOH , air, rt |  | Excellent yields <br> Fast reaction time Catalytic in copper No additive |  | Need to prepare the catalyst Only aryl boronic acids No heterocycle |

Table 15: Scope of the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acids and thiols.

### 2.4.10. Carboxylic acids



| Entry | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { (Group) } \\ {[\text { Ref] }} \end{gathered}$ | Conditions | Pros | Cons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2010 (Cheng) [50] | ```Cu(OTf)2 (0.4 equiv), CO(NH2) 2(1 equiv), EtOAc, air, 60 C``` | - Moderate to excellent yields <br> - Catalytic in copper | - High temperature <br> - Stoichiometric in urea <br> - Only aryl boronic acids <br> - No heterocycle |

Table 16: Scope of the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acids and carboxylic acids.

### 2.4.11. Other nucleophiles



| Entry | Year <br> (Group) | Conditions | Pros | Cons |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[Ref]

## Hydroxylamines



## Aliphatic alcohols

| 7 | $\begin{gathered} 2003 \\ \text { (Batey) } \end{gathered}$ [47] | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.1$ equiv), DMAP (0.2 equiv), DCM, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, rt |  | Catalytic in copper Excellent yields Aryl boronic acids and aryl $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{~K}$ |  | Sequential procedure No heterocycle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 17: Scope of the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acids and others nucleophiles.

## 3. Conclusion

The Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acids and N -, O-, S-nucleophiles has been extensively studied. Through the years, synthetic chemists started to focus their efforts on the development of new sets of conditions to allow the copper-catalysed cross-coupling of a wide range of boronic acid derivatives. Therefore, methodologies to couple aryl-boroxines, -boronic esters, and -trifluoroborate salts have emerged. In addition, numerous publications reported the Chan-Lam reaction of alkynyl-, alkenyl, and alkyl boronic species. All these improvements expanded the scope of the ChanLam reaction.

In that regard, aryl BPin esters emerged as a useful but challenging coupling partner for the Chan-Lam amination reaction.

## Chapter 1:

Development of stoichiometric conditions for the Chan-Lam reaction of aryl boronic acid pinacol esters with aryl- and alkyl amines

## Chapter 1: Project Aims

As previously described, C-N bond formation reactions are fundamental transformations that enable the preparation of valuable amine products. Part of the numerous existing methodologies that enable this transformation, the Chan-Lam reaction has been widely practiced (Scheme 35).


- Less toxic catalyst source
- Aerobic conditions
- Broad scope of N -nucleophiles
- More than 100000 publications


## Scheme 35: General scheme of the Chan-Lam amination of aryl boronic acids.

However, a major and routinely encountered problem with the Chan-Lam amination reaction is that aryl boronic acid pinacol esters are very poor substrates (Scheme 36).


- Low yields under usual reaction conditions
- Lack of general reaction conditions

Scheme 36: General scheme of the Chan-Lam amination of aryl boronic acid pinacol esters.
Developing conditions to enable the effective amination of aryl BPin esters is highly desirable due to the increased stability and accessibility of these species with respect to the parent boronic acids. Thus, we started to investigate the Chan-Lam reaction of aryl BPin ester compounds.

## Chapter 1: Results and Discussion

## 1. State of the art

In 2003, Chan, Lam and co-workers described for the first time the poor reactivity of aryl BPin esters in the Chan-Lam amination reaction (Scheme 37). ${ }^{84}$ The coupling of these boronic acid derivatives with phenols, cyclic amines, or cyclic amides afforded the desired compounds in low yields.

In 2009, the Jones' group reported a mild, ligand-free methodology for the $N$-arylation of quinazolinediones using aryl boronic acid derivatives in the presence of stoichiometric amounts of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$. However, aryl BPin esters only performed in moderate yields (Scheme 38). ${ }^{85}$


Scheme 37: Poor reactivity of aryl BPin esters in the Chan-Lam amination reaction.


Scheme 38: $N$-arylation of quinazolinediones using aryl BPin.
This poor reactivity has been a recurrent problem through the years. Therefore, several groups tried to enable the effective amination of aryl BPin esters.

### 1.1. Coupling of aryl BPin with alkyl amines: Hartwig et al.

In 2007, Hartwig and co-workers reported the synthesis of aryl amines from arene compounds (Scheme 39). ${ }^{86}$ The two-step sequence included an iridium-catalysed arene borylation, followed by a copper-mediated amination. This methodology is an excellent addition to the classical synthesis of aryl amines by nitration, reduction and alkylation, or by the coupling of amines with haloarenes generated by electrophilic aromatic halogenation.

The Chan-Lam step of this sequential procedure occurred using potassium fluoride (1 equiv), catalytic amounts of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 0.1 equiv), and powdered molecular sieves in acetonitrile at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an oxygen atmosphere. Despite the moderate to good yields obtained, this set of conditions displayed major limitations. For instance, secondary amines proceeded in low yields, and no N -arylated product was observed when using aniline as the $N$-nucleophile.


Scheme 39: Iridium-catalysed arene borylation followed by copper-mediated amination for the synthesis of aryl amines.

### 1.2. Coupling of aryl BPin with aryl amines and phenol using a tertiary amine directing group: Clark et al.

In 2015, Clark and co-workers published a methodology showing that substrates capable of chelation can be used to facilitate the copper catalysed $N$-arylation of aryl BPin esters (Scheme 40). ${ }^{87}$ They investigated the coupling between benzylamino boronates esters and aryl amines. The desired ortho-aminobenzylamine products were obtained under oxidative conditions in the presence of catalytic amount of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$. During the study, the major side-product was obtained from the homocoupling reaction of the aryl boronate ester. However, in the absence of base, selectivity over this sidereaction was achieved.



69\%


66\%

46\%


65\%


40\%

Scheme 40: Coupling of aryl BPin with aryl amines using a tertiary amine directing group. More recently, the same group reported the etherification of aryl boronate ester model substrates derived from benzylic amines (Scheme 41). ${ }^{88}$ The coupling with phenols 3proceeded smoothly using $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (0.1 equiv), and KF (1 equiv) as an additive in acetonitrile at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under oxygen atmosphere. A wide range of aryl boronate esters and phenols were tolerated, affording the desired product in moderate to high yields.


Scheme 41: Coupling of aryl BPin with phenol using a tertiary amine directing group.
Finally, a competition experiment between phenol and aniline with a boronate ester revealed high selectivity for the phenol affording compound 14 and 15 in a 99:1 ratio (Scheme 42).


Scheme 42: Competition experiment between phenol and aniline for the coupling with aryl BPin.
Further research has been conducted around aryl BPin ester substrates. However, finding a general set of conditions has proven elusive due to the relatively poor reactivity of these boronic species. Most conditions only afforded moderate to good yields and appeared to be specific for a limited set of substrates. In addition, the ChanLam amination reaction between aryl BPin esters and aryl amines remained still an unsolved problem. Therefore, we decided to attempt to develop a simple set of general reaction conditions for this challenging cross-coupling reaction.

## 2. Optimisation

### 2.1. Evaluation of the general reactivity

We started the investigation of the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl BPin esters and aryl amines using a benchmark reaction between aniline 16 (2 equiv) and biphenyl BPin 17 (1 equiv) (Scheme 43). We performed the standard Chan-Lam reaction using $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (1 equiv) as the catalyst, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2 equiv) as the base, and DCM as the solvent. We established a general reactivity profile for this process. The desired amine product 18 was obtained in $16 \%$ yield, highlighting the problem with these substrates. In addition to 18 , the expected by-product of this reaction, phenol 19 was observed in $1 \%$.


Scheme 43: Benchmark reaction between aniline 16 and biphenyl BPin 17.
When heating the reaction to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the conversion to the desired product 18 almost doubled, and the aryl BPin ester protodeboronated by-product 20 was detected in the crude mixture (Scheme 44).


Scheme 44: Benchmark reaction between aniline 16 and biphenyl BPin 17 at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Performing the reaction under a nitrogen atmosphere considerably reduced the yield and favored the formation of the protodeboronated by-product 20 (Scheme 45).


Scheme 45: Benchmark reaction between aniline 16 and biphenyl BPin 17 under nitrogen
atmosphere.

### 2.2. Effect of the solvent and temperature

Knowing the importance of the solvent system and the temperature, we conducted a survey of these two parameters (Table 18). Replacing DCM with MeCN provided a small increase in conversion to the desired amine product 18 with increases in formation of both by-products 19 and 20 (Table 18, entry 2). The use of MeCN allowed an increase of the temperature affording a slightly better yield for the formation of product 18 (Table 18, entry 3). All the other non-protic solvents used, including EtOAc, Acetone, Toluene, DMSO, THF and DMF, did not afford the desired product 18 in good yields (Table 18, entries 4 to 9). Use of a polar protic solvent such as EtOH led to a significant quantity of the corresponding ether product 21 (Table 18, entry 10). However, a competitive quantity of $\mathbf{1 8}$ was produced and, more importantly, complete conversion of aryl BPin ester starting material 17 was observed for the first time (Table 18, entry 10). We hypothesised that EtOH drove the equilibrium from the aryl BPin to the corresponding aryl boronic acid. Thus, the aryl boronic acid was more efficient in the Chan-Lam reaction. To limit the production of 21 while attempting to retain this increase in reactivity, mixtures of MeCN:EtOH were evaluated (Table 18, entries 11 to 16). At 20:1 MeCN:EtOH, the formation of 21 was lowered significantly and the desired product 18 was obtained in $45 \%$ yield (Table 18, entry 15). Finally, increasing the temperature to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded the amine product 18 in $60 \%$ yield (Table 18, entry 17).

| $\rightarrow^{B P i n}$ |  | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \text { (1 equiv) }$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2 equiv) <br> Solvent ( 0.25 M ), Air, $\mathbf{T}^{[ } \mathbf{C}$ |  |  |
| entry |  | Solvent, Temperature |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:19:20:21 } \\ & \text { (HPLC \%) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 31:2:5:-- |
| 2 |  | $\mathrm{MeCN}, 40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 35:5:7:- |
| 3 |  | $\mathrm{MeCN}, 60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 36:7:6:-- |
| 4 |  | EtOAc, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 3:1:0:-- |
| 5 |  | Toluene, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 2:7:12:-- |
| 6 |  | DMSO, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 7:4:5:-- |
| 7 |  | Acetone, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 5:6:3:-- |
| 8 |  | DMF, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 16:6:7:-- |
| 9 |  | THF, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 5:1:0:-- |
| 10 |  | EtOH, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 43:12:15:38 |
| 11 |  | MeCN:EtOH (1:1), $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 47:14:12:20 |
| 12 |  | MeCN:EtOH (2:1), $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 46:15:11:14 |
| 13 |  | MeCN:EtOH (5:1), $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 46:14:13:12 |
| 14 |  | MeCN:EtOH (10:1), $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 47:14:13:9 |
| 15 |  | MeCN:EtOH (20:1), $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 45:13:10:1 |
| 16 |  | MeCN:EtOH (30:1), $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 38:11:7:0 |
| 17 |  | MeCN:EtOH (20:1), $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 60:15:10:1 |

Table 18: Optimisation of the solvent system for the Chan-Lam amination reaction between aniline
16 and biphenyl BPin 17.

### 2.3. Effect of molecular sieves

Based on the study conducted by Evans et al., we investigated the impact of molecular sieves on the reaction. Addition of $3 \AA$ molecular sieves reduced the levels of phenolic by-product 19 to 5\% and increased the yield of the desired product 18 to $64 \%$ (Scheme 43).


Scheme 46: Impact of molecular sieves on the Chan-Lam amination between aniline 16 and biphenyl BPin 17.

### 2.4. Effect of the base

We first evaluated the impact of the amount of base (Table 19, entries 1 to 5). Decreasing or increasing the amount of base lowered the yield of the reaction (Table 19, entries 2,3 and 5). Running the reaction without any base impeded considerably the conversion to the desired product 18 (Table 19, entry 4). Then, we selected a representative panel of bases, known in the literature for being efficient in the ChanLam coupling (Table 19). TMEDA, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ afforded the desired product 18 in low yields (Table 19, entries 6 to 8). Moderate yields were obtained when using Pyridine, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and KOAc (Table 19, entries 9 to 11). However, none of the tested bases was as efficient as $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (Table 19, entry 1).


Table 19: Optimisation of the base for the Chan-Lam amination reaction between aniline 16 and biphenyl BPin 17.

### 2.5. Ratio aryl BPin vs. aniline

Following the base study, we undertook the optimisation of the ratio aryl BPin vs. aryl amine (Table 20). Reducing the amount of amine from 2 to 1.5 or 1 equivalents was detrimental for the reaction (Table 20, entries 2 and 3). Increasing the amount of amine from 2 to 3 equivalents did not lead to higher conversion to the desired product 18 (Table 20, entry 4). Finally, using an excess of aryl BPin ester favored the formation of the protodeboronated by-product 20 and lowered the formation of the desired product 18 (Table 20, entries 5 and 6).


Table 20: Optimisation of the ration aryl BPin vs. aniline for the Chan-Lam amination reaction between aniline 16 and biphenyl BPin 17.

### 2.6. Effect of the reaction concentration

The impact of the concentration on the formation of the desired amine product was significant (Table 21, Figure 2). During our optimisation we found that increasing the molarity from 0.25 M to 1 M boosted the yield of 18 to $82 \%$, providing relatively good efficiency (Table 21, entry 6).

|  | $\mathrm{PhNH}_{2}$ <br> 16 2 equiv |  |  <br> 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | Conce | tration (M) | 18 (HPLC \%) |
| 1 |  | 0.05 | 38 |
| 2 |  | 0.1 | 54 |
| 3 |  | 0.25 | 64 |


| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.5 | 75 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.75 | 78 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 1 | 82 |

Table 21: Optimisation of the concentration for the Chan-Lam amination reaction between aniline 16 and biphenyl BPin 17.


Figure 2: Impact of the concentration on the formation of the desired amine product 18.

### 2.7. Catalytic reaction

We finally attempted to render the process catalytic. Unfortunately using catalytic amounts of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 0.1 equiv) under an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ atmosphere led to only $21 \%$ conversion to 11 (Scheme 47).


Scheme 47: Attempt to render the Chan-Lam amination reaction between aniline 16 and biphenyl
BPin 17 catalytic.

### 2.8. Optimised conditions

Based on the parameter optimisation described above, the following optimum stoichiometric set of reaction conditions was developed for the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl BPin esters and aryl amines (Scheme 48).


Scheme 48: Optimised reaction conditions for the Chan-Lam amination reaction between aniline 16 and biphenyl BPin 17.

## 3. Scope of the reaction

### 3.1. Aryl amines

We applied the developed protocol to a range of Aryl BPin ester and aniline substrates (Scheme 49 and 50). Pleasingly, the stoichiometric reaction conditions tolerated a wide range of functionalities on both the aryl BPin and aryl amine components. Electron-rich (Scheme 49, 18 and 23), -neutral (Scheme 49, 22 and 32), and -withdrawing groups (Scheme 49, 24-29 and 31) were tolerated on the aryl BPin ester.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { equiv } 2 \text { equiv }
\end{aligned}
$$



18: $79 \%$


22: 74\%


23: $70 \%$


24: 78\%


25: 81\%


29: 74\%


26: 78\%


30: 55\%


27: 84\%


31: 58\%


28: 67\%


32: 77\%

Scheme 49: Scope of the aryl BPin for the Chan-Lam amination using aniline as the coupling partner.

The aryl amine was also broadly tolerant of functionality and substitution (Scheme 50, 35-40). Yields were generally $>70 \%$ with some diminished yields observed with specific components, including heterocycles (Scheme 50, 41 to 44) and secondary aryl amines (Scheme 50, 33 and 34).

1 equiv
2 equiv


33: 65\%


34: 24\%


38: 83\%



42: 40\%



35: 69\%


36: 87\%


37: 71\%


39: 52\%


43: 34\%



40: 43\%


41: 51\%

HOOC 44: 31\%

Scheme 50: Scope of the aryl amine for the Chan-Lam amination using phenyl Bpin as the coupling partner.

### 3.2. Alkyl amines

The developed reaction conditions were also found to allow the successful coupling of alkyl amines (Scheme 51 and 52). Interestingly, in this case, the addition of EtOH was not necessary to achieve good levels of reaction efficiency. Once more, a good diversity of BPin (Scheme 51) and amine (Scheme 52) components was tolerated. Similar trends were observed with some heterocyclic (56 and 59) and secondary amines (60, 68, and 69) delivering lower yields. While product 78 was isolated in relatively low yield due to competing arylation of the lactam, the reactions of other amides and carbamates were more chemoselective ( 53 and 80 ).



$$
\mathbf{R}^{1}{\underset{\mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{N}}}^{-} \mathbf{R}^{2} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \text { (1 equiv), } \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2 \text { equiv) }}
$$




45: 74\%


46: 71\%
47: 78\%
48: 73\%


49: 85\%


50: 84\%


52: 71\%


53: 62\%


54: 82\%


55: 40\%


56: 22\%


57: 76\%


58: 73\%


59: 65\%

Scheme 51: Scope of the aryl BPin for the Chan-Lam amination using piperidine as the coupling partner.


Scheme 52: Scope of the alkyl amine for the Chan-Lam amination using phenyl BPin as the coupling partner.

### 3.3. Unsuccessful substrates

### 3.3.1. Aryl BPin esters

The aryl BPin esters scope highlighted some limitations of the developed procedure (Scheme 53). Aryl BPin compound 83 bearing a primary amide did not afford any desired product due to oligomerisation. Free N-H heterocyclic BPin substrates like 84 suffered from the same oligomerisation issue. Finally, no conversion to the amine desired product was observed when using heterocyclic coupling partners such as isoxazole 85, pyrazole 86, thiophene 87 and benzo[व]thiazole 88.


### 3.3.2. Amines

Unsuccessful reactions were also observed when focusing on the aryl- and alkyl amines scope (Scheme 54). Indolamine 89, 2-(pyridin-3-yl)ethanamine 90 and diethylamine 91 did not afford the desired amine product. In addition, methyl 3aminopropanoate 92 was not a successful coupling partner for the developed ChanLam reaction due to competitive cyclisation side reaction. Finally, primary amide 93 and primary sulfonamide 94 were not suitable $N$-nucleophiles.


89
No reaction


92
Amine cyclises (lactam observed in 63\% by LCMS)


90
No reaction


93
< $5 \%$ yield


91
No reaction


94
<10\% yield

Scheme 54: Unsuccessful aryl/alkyl amines, amide, and sulfonamide coupling partners.

## 4. Scale-up experiment

We finally evaluated the scalability of our developed reaction conditions. The ChanLam coupling between biphenyl BPin ester 17 (1 equiv) and aniline 16 (2 equiv) on a 20 mmol scale afforded the desired amine product 18 in $77 \%$ yield after purification, highlighting the good scalability of the protocol (Scheme 55).


Scheme 55: Scale-up of the Chan-Lam amination between aniline 16 and biphenyl BPin 17.

## 5. Conclusions

In summary, the efficient Chan-Lam coupling of aryl BPin and aryl amines was achieved using a straightforward set of reaction conditions. These developed conditions were also suitable for the coupling of alkyl amines. This provided the first general set of reaction conditions for the Chan-Lam reaction of aryl BPin reagents with both aryl- and alkyl amines.

However, this optimised protocol has still some limitations such as the necessity to use stoichiometric amounts of copper catalyst, the low yields obtained using heterocyclic aryl BPin esters, and the poor reactivity of several substrates.

## Chapter 2:

Mechanistic investigation of the Chan-Lam reaction amination reaction and

Development of general catalytic conditions for the coupling of aryl boronic acid pinacol esters with aryl- and alkyl amines

## Chapter 2: Project Aims

Despite the extensive literature on the Chan-Lam reaction, its mechanism is not fully understood. Stahl and co-workers were the first to report a full mechanistic study of copper-catalysed aerobic oxidative cross-couplings. ${ }^{89,90}$ After this initial report, other mechanistic investigations were conducted offering a better picture of what the mechanism could be. However, in most reports, the efforts were focused on the synthetic outcome; therefore only experimental observations were reported, leading to succinct mechanism proposals.

Following our first report we thought that studying the mechanism of the Chan-Lam reaction would allow us to understand the fundamentals of the transformation, and assist us in the development of general catalytic reaction conditions.

## Chapter 2: Results and Discussion

## 1. State of the art

### 1.1. Reported experimental observations

Effects of the solvent, the electronic properties of both coupling partners, the base, and the ligand, the additives to the Chan-Lam have been studied.

### 1.1.1. Effect of the solvent

Lam and co-workers investigated the effect of the solvent during the $N$-arylation of morpholine with $m$-tolylboronic acid (Table 22). ${ }^{44}$ It was found that the use of DCM and 1,4-dioxane afforded the highest yield. Lower yields were observed with more and less polar solvents, including DMF, DMSO and toluene. However, the choice of an optimal solvent system appeared to be substrate-dependent. For example, DMF was the best solvent to use for the $N$-arylation of benzimidazole. Whereas later, Snapper, Hoveyda and Xie demonstrated that methanol could improve the yields of other coupling reactions. ${ }^{62,63,91}$


| Solvent | DCM | 1,4-dioxane | DMF | EtOAc | THF | toluene | DMSO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yield | $62 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $16 \%$ |

Table 22: Investigation of the effect of the solvent during the $\mathbf{N}$-arylation of morpholine with $m$-tolylboronic acid.

### 1.1.2. Electronic effects

Lam and co-workers investigated the influence of electronic effects on the Chan-Lam reaction using various substituted phthalimides and arylboronic acids. ${ }^{44}$ In their study, they concluded that electron-rich phthalimides afforded higher yield than the nitro-
substituted phthalimide (Scheme 56, Figure 3). However, only little electronic effect was observed for arylboronic acid substrates (Scheme 56, Figure 3).


Scheme 56: Chan-Lam reaction using different substituted phthalimides and arylboronic acids.


Figure 3: Study of electronic effects on the Chan-Lam reaction using different substituted phthalimides and arylboronic acids (copyright obtained from Synthesis).

### 1.1.3. Effects of bases and ligands

Another important element of the Chan-Lam reaction is the external base or ligand. ${ }^{39-41}$ $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ and pyridine were used in the original N -arylation conditions of aryl boronic acids. In 2003, Takeya and co-workers demonstrated that in the absence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, the amine starting material reacted with arylboronic acid to form an arylboronic acid mono-amide adduct, which appeared to be inactive. ${ }^{92}$ In addition, they highlighted that a large excess of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ inhibited the reaction by chelating the copper centre. However, in many cases, it was observed that N -arylation did not require the use of any additives as the amine coupling partner was acting as a base or ligand. The role of the base or ligand seems to be substrate-dependent and it is difficult to define a general effect. As an example, in O-arylation, it has been shown that DMAP prevented protodeboronation of the boronic acid starting material, but it had no effect when using an aryl trifluoroborate salt.

### 1.1.4. Summary

All these experimental observations offered useful insights, but also demonstrated the complexity of the Chan-Lam reaction. The role of several parameters remained unclear and therefore it was difficult to propose a full catalytic cycle.

### 1.2. Mechanistic investigations

### 1.2.1. First speculations

Based on Evans and Lam studies, ${ }^{40,44}$ Collman and Zhong were the first to describe the mechanism of the catalytic Chan-Lam reaction between arylboronic acid and imidazoles (Scheme 57). ${ }^{46}$ Transmetalation of the arylboronic acid 95 with the catalyst 96 afforded the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ species 97 . Coordination of the imidazole coupling partner 98 gave the copper complex 99. Then, oxidation of 99 in the presence of oxygen generated the Cu (III) species 100. Reductive elimination afforded the desired product 101. Finally, oxidation of the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ species 102 allowed the regeneration of the active catalyst 96. However, this mechanism was still very speculative.


97


Scheme 57: Speculative mechanism of the Chan-Lam reaction by Collman and Zhong.

### 1.2.2. First systematic study: Stahl et al. mechanistic report

In 2009, Stahl and co-workers elucidated the key mechanistic events of the Chan-Lam reaction. ${ }^{89}$ Based on the conditions reported by Xie et al. (Scheme 58), they described the identity of the catalyst resting state, the turnover limiting step, and the role of the oxygen for the methoxylation of boronic ester 103.

$$
\underset{\mathbf{1 0 3}}{\mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}}+\mathrm{MeOH} \xrightarrow[\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}]{\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.05 \text { equiv })} \mathrm{ArOMe}+\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}
$$

Scheme 58: Investigation of the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronate and methanol. First, they observed that the amounts of product formed compared to the amount of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ consumed, reflected a $2: 1$ stoichiometry, suggesting that $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ acted as a 4-electron oxidant (Figure 4). Then, they monitored the quantities of product formed compared to the initial $\mathrm{Cu}(I I)$ concentration under anaerobic conditions. They observed that the
[ $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ vs. product] stoichiometry is $2: 1$, demonstrating that $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ served as a oneelectron oxidant (Figure 5). Finally, they exposed the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ containing solutions to oxygen, and the gas-uptake experiments indicated that $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ reacted with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ in a $4: 1$ stoichiometry (Figure 6).


Figure 4: Role of the oxygen (copyright obtained from ACS).


Figure 5: Role of $\mathrm{Cu}(I I)$ (copyright obtained from ACS).


Figure 6: Reactivity of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ with oxygen(copyright obtained from ACS).
These three experiments were consistent with the following 2-step mechanism (Scheme 59):

- Oxidative coupling of the boronic ester and methanol by two equivalents of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$
- Reoxidation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ by oxygen


Scheme 59: Proposed 2-step mechanism based on the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ uptake and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ catalyst control experiments.

In the same study, kinetic data highlighted a first order dependence on $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, a saturation dependence on $\operatorname{ArB}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}$, and a zero dependence in oxygen (Figures 7 and 8). These observations suggested that the transmetalation is the turnover-limiting step. In addition, EPR studies revealed that the copper in the reactions existed as $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$, and confirmed that the formation of an arylcopper(II) species was the turnoverlimiting step.


Figure 7: Kinetic data based on $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ uptake vs. aryl boronate concentration(copyright obtained from
ACS).


Figure 8: Kinetic data based on $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ uptake vs. $\mathrm{Cu}(I I)$ concentration(copyright obtained from ACS). The C-O bond formation step could not be investigated under catalytic conditions as it happened after the turnover-limiting step. Therefore, two possible pathways were mentioned (Scheme 60):

- Reductive elimination from $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ involving a comproportionation event
- Reductive elimination from Cu (III) involving a disproportionation event

Path 1. Reductive elimination from Cul'

$\mathrm{Cu}^{\circ}+\mathrm{Cu}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cu}^{\prime}$


Path 2. Reductive elimination from CuII






Scheme 60: Two possible pathways for the reductive elimination step.
The second path was identified to be the more plausible, as it matched the observations reported by Ribas et al. in their $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$-mediated C -H activation (Scheme 61). The authors highlighted the formation of a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{III})$ species 104 alongside a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ complex 105, after a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ disproportionation event. In addition, the reductive elimination from the $\mathrm{Cu}(I I I)$ species was found to be fast in the presence of N - and O nucleophiles.


Scheme 61: Study of the disproportionation by Ribas et al.
All this data allowed a proposed mechanism for the Chan-Lam reaction to be described (Scheme 62). The catalytic cycle started with the transmetalation of the aryl group from 103 to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ 106. The resulting aryl-Cu(II) species 107 was oxidised by another equivalent of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}) 106$ to yield an aryl-Cu(III) intermediate 108 that could undergo reductive elimination to give the desired product 109. Finally, oxidation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) \mathbf{1 1 0}$ by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ regenerated the initial $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}) 106$.


Scheme 62: Proposed mechanism for the Chan-Lam reaction of aryl boronate with methanol by
Stahl et al.

### 1.2.3. Multi-technique approach: Tromp et al. mechanistic report

Directly following the Stahl et al. publication, Tromp and co-workers studied the mechanism of the coupling of imidazole with phenylboronic acid, using $[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})(\mathrm{TMEDA})]_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 111$ as the catalyst in a mixture of $\mathrm{NMP} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at room temperature under ambient atmosphere (Scheme 63). ${ }^{93}$ In a previous report, they had shown that water was required for the reaction to proceed in high yield. In addition, they highlighted that the use of a base and/or an oxidant was not necessary for the desired product to be formed


Scheme 63: Coupling of imidazole with phenylboronic acid using $[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})(\mathrm{TMEDA})]_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the catalyst.

They first demonstrated that premixing stoichiometric amounts of the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ dimer with phenylboronic acid, followed by the addition of imidazole, did not allow the C-N bond formation to occur. However, reversing the order of addition afforded the desired C-N coupled product. Therefore, they concluded that the reaction between the copper complex and the imidazole was the selectivity-determining step. In addition, they highlighted that increasing the amount of imidazole, inhibited the reaction. Then, they decided to use a combination of spectroscopic techniques, including UV-vis, XAFS (Xray absorption fine structure spectroscopy), NMR and EPR to determine the intermediates of the reaction. Four reactions were studied before proposing a mechanism (Scheme 64).

Reaction 1

Reaction 2

Reaction 3

Reaction 4




$[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})(\mathrm{TMEDA})]_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 0.05 equiv)
NMP/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1), air, rt



Scheme 64: Selected reactions to study the mechanism of the Chan-Lam reaction between imidazole and phenylboronic acid.

From reaction 1, they observed disappearance of the dimeric copper complex 111 and formation of a mononuclear $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ structure corresponding to the formation of a [(TMEDA) (imidazolate) $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})\right]$ square planar complex 112 or [(TMEDA)(imidazolate) $)_{2} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})(\mathrm{L})$ ] square pyramidal complex 113 where L is either $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or imidazole. They also managed to isolate a [(TMEDA)(imidazolate) $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})(\mathrm{CI})$ ] complex 114 from the reaction mixture.

From reaction 2, they showed that the addition of phenylboronic acid to the copper complex afforded the homocoupled product and the residual copper species in solution was a Cu' complex.

From reaction 3, they observed that the product inhibited the reaction by chelating the copper catalyst to form the copper complex 115.

From reaction 4, they first demonstrated that the isolated complex 114 was catalytically active. Then, they highlighted that the addition of phenylboronic acid 99 to a mixture of the copper complex 111 and imidazole 116 resulted in the formation of a new [(TMEDA)(imidazole) $)_{2} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})(\mathrm{Ph})$ ] copper complex 117. They allowed the mixture to
react for 10 minutes at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and observed a disappearance of the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ signal by EPR, suggesting the formation of a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ species 118. Finally, they demonstrated that addition of excess phenylboronic acid to this reaction mixture resulted in the reoxidation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) 118$ to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}) 111$.

From these experiments, they proposed the following mechanistic pathways (Scheme 65). First, formation of the [Cu(imidazolate)(imidazole)(TMEDA)(L)] complex 113 from the reaction of imidazole 116 with the starting $[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})(\mathrm{TMEDA})]_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ complex 111. The imidazole ligand could potentially dissociate and be replaced by another ligand (e.g. product or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). This ligand exchange matched with the observed inhibition of the reaction by addition of the desired product to the mixture. Then, the reaction of 113 with phenylboronic acid 99 formed the copper complex 117, and the desired phenylimidazole product 119 and a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ intermediate 118 were observed. This $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ oxidised to complex 111 in the presence of water and phenylboronic acid 99. In the absence of relevant intermediates, the authors could not conclude which pathway was preferred for the formation of the desired product. They mentioned two possibilities: via electrophilic attack of a phenylboronic acid species on the nucleophilic imidazole, or via reductive elimination from a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{III})($ aryl)(imidazolate) species 120. This formation of a Cu (III) species suggested either the addition of a phenyl radical to the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})($ imidazolate $)$ complex 112, or the disproportionation of 113 with 117 into $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ 121 and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{III}) 120$ species.


Scheme 65: Proposed mechanism for the Chan-Lam reaction of aryl boronic acid with imidazole by

## Tromp et al.

With their study Tromp et al. characterised five important intermediates of the ChanLam reaction and offered important insights into the mechanism (Scheme 65). Unfortunately, they did not manage to establish which oxidative pathway afforded the desired product.

### 1.2.4. Boron to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ transmetalation: Stahl et al. second report

In 2012, Stahl and co-workers published a more detailed study of the aerobic coppercatalysed methoxylation of arylboronic esters focusing on the transmetalation step. ${ }^{90}$

They first demonstrated that more electron-rich arylboronic acids increased the catalyst turnover (Figure 9). Therefore, they confirmed that transmetalation was the turnoverlimiting step of the catalytic process.



Figure 9: Catalyst turnover measurement based on the nature of the aryl boronic acid coupling partners (copyright obtained from ACS).

Then, they studied how small modifications impacted the outcome of the reaction (Table 23, entry 1). They first observed that addition of acetate or acetic acid inhibited the reaction (Table 23, entries 2 and 3). Then, replacing $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ by $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ had a very negative impact on the catalyst activity (Table 23, entry 4). However, the combination of $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ with NaOAc (1 equiv) or NaOMe (1 equiv) led to a better turnover than the original conditions (Table 23, entries 5 and 6).


| Entry | Deviation from original conditions | Effect on reactivity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | - | $88 \%$ yield |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Added acetate | Inhibition |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Added acetic acid | Inhibition |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ instead of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | Negligible reactivity |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}+1$ equiv NaOAc | Acceleration |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}+1$ equiv NaOMe | Acceleration |

Table 23: Results obtained from the modification of the original reaction conditions.

Based on these preliminary observations, they conducted several kinetic and spectroscopic studies including EPR, and ${ }^{11} B$ NMR experiments. The main observations are summarized below:

- Using $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$, kinetic studies highlighted the slow rate of the reaction (Figure 10). Addition of NaOAc to the reaction mixture accelerated the rate, and a maximum was observed for a 1:1 ratio $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}): \mathrm{AcO}^{-}$(Figure 10).


Figure 10: Influence of acetate on the rate of the Chan-Lam reaction (copyright obtained from ACS).

- Using $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}\right]_{n}$ led to an increase of the catalytic reactivity compared to the original set of conditions.
- Addition of NaOMe (1 equiv) to the reaction mixture resulted in the formation of a tetracoordinate boronate species, $\left[\mathrm{TolB}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right]^{-}$(Figure 11).


Figure 11: Boronate formation by addition of NaOMe (copyright obtained from ACS).

- In solution, $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ displayed an EPR-silent paddlewheel dimer 122 (Scheme 66). In $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ exhibited small dissociation to the mononuclear species 123 (Scheme 66).


Scheme 66: Dissociation equilibrium of the paddlewheel $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ dimer.

- Addition of $p$-tolylboronic ester to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ occasioned a strong $\mathrm{Cu}(I I) \mathrm{EPR}$ signal.
- Addition of NaOAc or AcOH resulted in a stabilisation of the EPR-silent paddlewheel dimer 122.
- The kinetic saturation dependence on the concentration of tolylboronic ester adding to the strong EPR signal observed upon addition of tolylboronic ester to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ indicated that the resting state was related to a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$-tolylboronic ester adduct. Species 124 and 125 were then observed by EPR (Scheme 67).


124


Scheme 67: Species 125 and 126 observed by EPR.
From these observations, Stahl et al. concluded on the boron to $\mathrm{Cu}(I I)$ transmetalation step (Scheme 68). Step 1 was the dissociation of the paddlewheel dimer in the presence of tolylboronic ester leading to the formation of species 125 . Step 2 is the equilibrium between species 125 and 124 involving the addition/loss of acetate. Step 3 afforded the formation of 126 from species 124 , highlighting the inhibitory effect of AcOH and the need to lose an acetate from the kinetic data. In addition, the formation of the methoxide bridge species 126 was suggested by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectroscopic and
kinetic data. Finally, Step 4 highlighted the rate-limiting transmetalation which afforded the aryl-Cu(II) species 127 and $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$




Scheme 68: Proposed boron to $\mathrm{Cu}(I I)$ transmetalation step by Stahl et al.
This work gave an excellent insight into the transmetalation step of the Chan-Lam reaction. However, it is important to consider that the study was completed on a C-O bond formation rather than on a C-N bond formation.

### 1.2.5. Crucial roles of solvent and oxygen: Han et al. study

Following their report on the development and optimisation of catalytic conditions for the coupling of H-tetrazoles with arylboronic acid, Han and co-workers decided to investigate the mechanism of the reaction (Scheme 69). ${ }^{94}$


Scheme 69: Coupling of H-tetrazoles with arylboronic acid under Cu-catalysed reaction conditions.

They first highlighted that mixing 5-phenyltetrazole with $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in DMSO under an oxygen atmosphere resulted in the formation of sky-blue colour crystals. XPS and NMR analyses of the isolated copper complex 128 crystals and comparison with a $\mathrm{Cu}(I I)$ complex 129 obtained from a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} /$ tetrazole mixture, confirmed that $\mathrm{Cu}^{1}$ was oxidised to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ (Figures 12 and 13). In addition, the powder X-ray diffraction demonstrated that both complexes had the same XRD patterns (Figure 14). Elemental analysis showed that the structure of both complexes 128 and 129 consisted of Cu , tetrazole (T) 130, and DMSO (D) in a 1:2:1 ratio, defined as CuT $\mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{D} 131$.


Figure 12: XPS analysis of copper complexes 128 and 129 (copyright obtained from Wiley).


Figure 13: NMR analysis of copper complex 128. Comparison with complex 129 (copyright obtained from Wiley).


Figure 14: XRD patterns of both complexes 128 and 129 (copyright obtained from Wiley).
Once the structure of complex 131 was identified, they treated it with tolylboronic acid 132 in a $1: 4$ molar ratio (Scheme 70). The desired product 133 was obtained in $23 \%$ and $49 \%$ under nitrogen or oxygen atmosphere, respectively. No side-product was detected, and XPS analyses indicated the conversion of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ with no detection of $\mathrm{Cu}(0)$. Therefore, the desired product was formed via reductive elimination of a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{III})$ species. Based on the yield obtained and the reports from the groups of Stahl, Tromp, and Ribas; the authors validated the disproportionation mechanism from $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ to generate $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{III})$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ without the assistance of oxygen. They also established that the disproportionation event was the rate-limiting step in the reaction cycle as lower temperature afforded the desired product 133 in lower yield. Finally, they mentioned that in their case, water was not required for disproportionation to occur and that the phenyl radical did not participate in the reaction.


Scheme 70: Influence of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere on the outcome of the Chan-Lam reaction. Based on these experiments they reported the following plausible mechanism for the Chan-Lam reaction of tetrazole 130 with tolylboronic acid 132 (Scheme 71). Step 1
involved the formation of a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ complex 131 from $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ or $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ catalyst salts. Step 2 was the transmetalation of the tolyl-group from boronic acid to 131, delivering [Cu(II)T(Tol)D] 134. In Step 3, disproportionation of [Cu(II)T(Tol)D] 134 generated complex $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{III}) \mathrm{T}_{2}(\mathrm{Tol}) \mathrm{D}\right] 135$ and $[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{TD}]$ 136. Then, Step 4 corresponded to the facile reductive elimination of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{III}) \mathrm{T}_{2}(\mathrm{Tol}) \mathrm{D}\right] 135$ to give the desired product 133 and [Cu(I)TD] 136. Finally, step 5 was the re-oxidative copper amination of [Cu(I)TD] 136 by oxygen to regenerate complex [Cu(II) $\left.\mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{D}\right] 131$.


Scheme 71: Proposed mechanism of the Chan-Lam reaction between tetrazole and aryl boronic acid by Han et al.

### 1.2.6. Development of ligand/copper complexes: Shaper and Duparc report

Last year, Shaper and Duparc reported the synthesis of sulfonato-diketimine copper complexes 137 and 138 (Figure 15). ${ }^{95}$ They demonstrated their high activity for the Chan-Lam coupling of various amines and anilines (Scheme 72). These couplings do not require the use of base, ligand, or molecular sieves. Therefore, Shaper and Duparc started to investigate the mechanism of the Chan-Lam reaction between anilines and phenylboronic acid using complex 137


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R}=2-6-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}, 137 \\
& \mathbf{R}=2-6-\mathrm{I}^{-} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}, 138
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 15: Structure of sulfonato-diketimine copper complexes 137 and 138.


Scheme 72: Chan-Lam coupling of various amines and anilines with phenylboronic acid using copper complex 138.

They first studied the role of the solvent, and showed that coordinating solvents reduced the activity (Table 24, entries 1 to 5 ). This reactivity order changed considerably from the original order established for Chan-Lam couplings with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$. The authors explained this dissimilarity by the difference in solubility of the copper catalyst sources, and the subsequent coordination competition.

| Entry | Solvent | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | MeCN | 2 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | THF | 12 |


| $\mathbf{3}$ | MeOH | 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Toluene | 66 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | DCM | 71 |

Table 24: Influence of the solvent on the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acids and various amines using of sulfonato-diketimine copper complex 138.

Then, they investigated the role of the base, and demonstrated that addition of stoichiometric amounts of triethylamine was detrimental for the reaction to proceed in high yield (Table 25, entry 1). Lower amounts of base did not alter the yield observed with no base (Table 25, entries 2 to 4). However, the use of base was required for the coupling of phenol substrates demonstrating that a base-assisted proton transfer occurred during the reaction. For aryl amine, the substrate or the desired product could act in the proton transfer, removing the need for an external base.

| Entry | Solvent | Modification | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | DCM | - | 71 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | DCM | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1$ equiv) | 14 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | DCM | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.05$ equiv $)$ | 67 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | DCM | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.025$ equiv $)$ | 70 |

Table 25: Influence of the base on the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acids and various amines using of sulfonato-diketimine copper complex 138.

They highlighted that the presence of water led to slightly higher conversions (Table 26, entries 2 to 4). Intriguingly, the presence of molecular sieves decreased the conversion to $35 \%$ (Table 26, entries 5 ). In addition, it was found that $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was equally suitable for the reaction to proceed in higher yields (Table 26, entries 6). Therefore, the authors concluded that the presence of acidic protons facilitated proton transfer steps in the mechanism.

| Entry | Solvent | Modification | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | DCM | - | 71 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | DCM | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025$ equiv $)$ | 61 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | DCM | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1 equiv) | 81 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | DCM | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (20 equiv) | 76 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | DCM | Sieves | 35 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | DCM | $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(0.025$ equiv $)$ | 83 |

Table 26: Influence of the water, sieves and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ on the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acids and various amines using of sulfonato-diketimine copper complex 138.

Regarding the oxidation step, Shaper et al. showed that use of nitrogen atmosphere considerably decreased conversion to 5\% (Table 27, entry 2). Thus, they mentioned that the Chan-Lam reaction with complex 138 followed an oxidative coupling mechanism with oxygen as the oxidant.

| Entry | Solvent | Modification | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | DCM | - | 71 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | DCM | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere | 5 |

Table 27: Influence of a nitrogen atmosphere on the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acids and various amines using of sulfonato-diketimine copper complex 138.

Finally, they reported that the reaction was pseudo-first-order, and did not depend on the concentration of phenylboronic acid (Figure 16). Therefore, the transmetalation step was not the rate determining step of the mechanism, validating the involvement of the sulfonate group in this key event. In addition, they mentioned that electron-richanilines deactivated the catalyst by blocking one of its coordination sites needed for transmetalation. Unfortunately, the authors did not manage to conclude on the nature of the rate-determining step as kinetics indicated independence from boronic acid concentration and dependence on nucleophile concentration.


Figure 16: Demonstration of the pseudo first order of the reaction: no dependence on phenylboronic acid concentration (copyright obtained from ACS).

These observations allowed the authors to propose the following mechanism (Scheme 73). The first step involved the coordination of complex 138 to the boronic acid via its sulfonato-diketimine ligand to afford complex 139. This complex underwent transmetalation to give intermediate 140. The structure of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{III})$ species 141 was only putative as no data confirmed its formation. The amine coordination could occur prior to or after oxidation. Reductive elimination from intermediate 141 in presence of the amine 142 gave the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ complex 143 , which oxidised to the original complex 138 under oxygen atmosphere. Finally, the reduced conversions with more nucleophilic anilines highlighted the formation of off-cycle complexes 144, and that transmetalation had to occur before nucleophilic displacement.


Scheme 73: Proposed mechanism of the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acid and amines using copper complex 138 by Shaper and Duparc.

### 1.3. Conclusions

Despite offering key insights on the Chan-Lam mechanism, in most occasions, the published investigations focused on particular substrates rather than on a general
solution. In addition, the Chan-Lam amination of BPin esters is hampered by significant unsolved problems with unexplained by-product formations, and reactivity issues.

Therefore, a deeper understanding of the Chan-Lam reaction mechanism and the development of more general reaction conditions are required.

## 2. Results

### 2.1. Reaction profiles and identification of by-products

We started our mechanistic investigations with a series of control experiments to evaluate the performance of the Chan-Lam amination using representative members of two amine classes (1 equiv), piperidine 145 and aniline 16 and two organoboron compounds (2 equiv), boronic acid 146 and BPin ester 17. The reactions were conducted under standard conditions using $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (1 equiv) as the catalyst, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2 equiv) as the base, $4 \AA$ M.S. molecular sieves in DCM at room temperature under air (Scheme 74 and 75).

When using piperidine 145 as the amine component, boronic acid 146 was converted to product 45 in $87 \%$ yield while the corresponding BPin 17 provided only $28 \%$ yield (Scheme 74). Using aniline 16 as the amine coupling partner with aryl boronic acid 146 afforded product 18 in 92\% yield and only 16\% yield using aryl BPin 17 (Scheme 75).


Scheme 74: Control experiments to evaluate the performance of the Chan-Lam amination of piperidine 145 with aryl boronic acid 146 or aryl BPin ester 17.



Scheme 75: Control experiments to evaluate the performance of the Chan-Lam amination of aniline 16 with aryl boronic acid 146 or aryl BPin ester 17.

Then, we studied the reaction profiles for both reactions (Scheme 76 and 77). Several by-products were identified including phenol 19, protodeboronation product 20, and oxidative homocoupling product 21. Protodeboronation was comparable to amination, while competing oxidation delivered 19 and, as the concentration of 19 increased, competing Cu -catalysed etherification delivered 21. In addition, the reaction profiles highlighted the difference in reactivity between alkyl- and aryl amines.


146 2 equiv


145 1 equiv

$\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2 equiv)
P DCM (0.25 M), 4 Ä M.S. air, rt
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Scheme 76: Reaction profile of the Chan-Lam amination of piperidine 145 with aryl boronic acid
146.



Scheme 77: Reaction profile of the Chan-Lam amination of aniline 16 with aryl boronic acid 146. Under strictly anhydrous reaction conditions, the quantity of 19 and 21 can be lowered significantly (Scheme 78). In addition, it is only under these rigorously dried conditions that we managed to observe the homocoupled by-product 147 previously reported in the literature. However, this by-product was significantly less problematic than those of oxidation and protodeboronation (Scheme 78).


Scheme 78: Evaluation of by-products formation for the Chan-Lam amination of piperidine 145 with aryl boronic acid 146 under strictly anhydrous reaction conditions.

The previously described experiments highlighted the difference in reactivity between aryl boronic acids and aryl BPin esters, but also between alkyl- and aryl amines. In addition, all the products and by-products issued from the reaction were quantified, isolated and characterised.

### 2.2. Assessment of inhibitors

Stahl et al. reported that the addition of AcOH and AcOK inhibited the Chan-Lam etherification process. These two components were also involved in our designed Chan-Lam amination reaction, as well as pinacol which could come from the aryl BPin ester starting material. Therefore, we assessed the outcome of the reactions of amines 16 and 145 with aryl boronic acid 146 in the presence of added AcOH , AcOK, as well as pinacol to probe any inhibitory effects (Figures 17, 18 and 19).

AcOH was found to inhibit the amination of both aniline 16 and piperidine 145 (Figure 17). AcOK inhibited only the reaction of aniline 16 (Figure 18, red line) and was found to be beneficial to efficiency for the reaction using piperidine 145 (Figure 18, blue line). Pinacol was found to inhibit both amination reactions, with a stronger effect on the reaction of aniline 16 than that of piperidine 145 (Figure 19, red line).


Figure 17: Effect of AcOH on the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acid 146 and aniline 16 (red line) or piperidine 145 (blue line).


Figure 18: Effect of AcOK on the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acid 146 and aniline 16 (red line) or piperidine 145 (blue line).


Figure 19: Effect of pinacol on the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acid 146 and aniline 16 (red line) or piperidine 145 (blue line).

### 2.3. Identification and analysis of Cu (II) complexes

We also tried to identify copper complexes present in the Chan-Lam amination reaction mixture.

### 2.3.1. EPR Analysis of Paddlewheel Dissociation

EPR analysis were conducted to determine the nature of the copper complex issued from the amination process. We first studied the $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ complex which is used as standard in most of the Chan-Lam amination reactions, and examined the effect of the amine and organoboron components on this complex

The fluid solution EPR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in MeCN only showed minor trace of a monocopper(II) species, with the dimeric paddlewheel structure (Figure 20) intact in solution as shown by a frozen solution spectrum (150 K) that is characteristic of the $S=1$ complex (Figure 21, a). Treatment of the paddlewheel dimer with only organoboron compounds gave no observable change to the EPR spectrum (Figure 21, b).


Figure 20: Dimeric paddlewheel structure of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]_{2} 2_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.


Figure 21: EPR analysis of paddlewheel dissociation in presence of organoboron.

In contrast, treatment of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with piperidine $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ immediately results in a deep blue solution. EPR confirmed the dissociation of the paddlewheel dimer to a mononuclear species (Scheme 79 and Figure 22). The characteristic four-line signal resulting from the hyperfine coupling of the $S=1 / 2 \mathrm{Cu}(I I) \mathrm{d} 9$ centre with its nuclear spin I = 3/2 of the ${ }^{63,65} \mathrm{Cu}$ isotopes ( $100 \%$ abundany). A similar effect is exhibited upon treatment of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with aniline 16 (Scheme 79 and Figure 23). However, the effect is notably weaker, only becoming significant at increased concentration of aniline 16 (Figure 24). Finally, EPR confirmed that pinacol could dissociate the paddlewheel dimer to a mononuclear species in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (Scheme 80 and Figure 25).


Scheme 79: Dimeric paddlewheel dissociation in presence of aniline 16 and piperidine 145.


Figure 22: EPR spectrum of the paddlewheel dissociation in presence of piperidine 145.


Figure 23: EPR spectrum of the paddlewheel dissociation in presence of aniline 16.


Figure 24: EPR spectrum of the paddlewheel dissociation in presence of aniline 16 at different concentration.

$$
\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \xrightarrow[\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}]{\text { Pinacol }} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{\mathrm{n}}(\text { Pinacol })_{x} \mathrm{~L}_{y}
$$

Scheme 80: Paddlewheel dissociation in presence of pinacol.


Figure 25: EPR spectrum of the paddlewheel dissociation in presence of pinacol.

### 2.3.2. Structural Characterization of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ Complexes

During the optimisation of our stoichiometric reaction conditions, we observed that the Chan-Lam reaction of piperidine 145 with aryl BPin ester 17 delivered two different diffraction quality crystals. The hexa-acetate paddlewheel 148 had an analogous structure to $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $\mathrm{AcO}^{-}$replacing $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at the axial sites and with the resulting anionic charge balanced by piperidinium ions (Figure 26). The tetracopper complex 149, contained two bridging oxo units, four bridging acetates, two terminal acetates, and four neutral piperidine ligands (Figure 27).


Figure 26: Structure of the hexa-acetate paddlewheel 148 charge balanced by piperidinium ions


Figure 27: Stucture of the tetracopper complex 149.
Independent preparation of both 148 and 149 was possible on gram scale (Scheme 81 and 82). Treatment of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with piperidinium acetate in MeCN at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ delivered 148 as green crystals in $11 \%$ yield, while treatment of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]_{2} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with piperidine in PhMe at room temperature provided the large blue crystals of 149 in 29\% yield. Both complexes were found to be stable at room temperature over a period of weeks.


Scheme 81: Synthesis of copper complex 148.


Scheme 82: Synthesis of copper complex 149.

### 2.3.3. HRMS identification of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes in solution

After the isolation of complexes 148 and 149, we analysed by high-resolution mass spectrometry the Chan-Lam reaction between aryl BPin ester 17 and piperidine 145 under our developed stoichiometric set of conditions (Scheme 83). Aliquots from the reaction of 17 and 145 were analysed to identify organometallic complexes in solution. Mass ions consistent with several reaction-relevant structures were detected (Figures 28 to 31).
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Scheme 83: HRMS analysis of the Chan-Lam reaction of aryl BPin ester 17 and piperidine 146. Two amine-ligated $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes, 150 and 151 (Figure 28), were identified in relatively high abundance. These two complexes are clearly related to each other and to the tetracopper complex 149 (Figure 26). Mass ions consistent with the pretransmetallation intermediate structural isomers 152 and 153 were detected (Figure 29). In addition, the corresponding post-transmetallation complex 154 was also identified (Figure 30). Finally, a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})(\mathrm{Pin})_{2}$ complex 155 was also observed (Figure 31).


Figure 28: Detection of amine-ligated $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes 150 and 151.


Figure 29: Detection of pre-transmetallation intermediates 152 and 153.
 Ar $=4$-biphenyl

154
$m / \mathbf{z}\left(\mathbf{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$
Theoretical 402.1363
Observed 402.1351

Figure 30: Detection of post-transmetallation complex 154.

$m / z\left(M^{2-}\right)$
Theoretical 295.1054
Observed 295.1051

Figure 31: Detection of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})(\mathrm{Pin})_{2}$ complex 155.

### 2.4. Reoxidation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$

Then, we studied the reoxidation from $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$. Understanding this step is of great interest as it ends the catalytic cycle giving access to the active $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ species. In the etherification process, Stahl and co-workers demonstrated that oxidation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ took place using molecular oxygen and additional $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$, with the requirement of acid (HX) and $\mathrm{BX}_{3}$ (Scheme 84).


Proposed resting states/pre-transmetallation intermediates


Scheme 84: Stahl and co-workers catalytic cycle for the Chan-Lam etherification.
To analyse the reoxidation step under the Chan-Lam amination conditions, the oxidation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{OAc}$ to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}) \mathrm{X}_{2}$ was monitored under air by UV-Vis spectroscopy allowing assessment of additives (Figure 32 and 33).

In the absence of any additive, no oxidation was seen by simply stirring $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{OAc}$ in MeCN under air (Figure 32). Similarly, no oxidation was observed in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ alone. However, oxidation was relatively fast in the presence of AcOH . Finally, oxidation was slower in the buffered system using both AcOH and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$.


Figure 32: Study of the reoxidation from $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ in presence of additives.
Similar trends were observed when the same analysis was repeated in the presence of piperidine 145 and aniline 16 (Figure 33). Interestingly, the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ oxidation was generally more rapid in the presence of 145 than 16, including in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, although this was marginal.


Figure 33: Study of the reoxidation from $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ to $\mathrm{Cu}(I I)$ in presence of piperidine 145 and aniline 16.

## 3. Discussion

The reaction profiles, the EPR analysis, and the HRMS study allowed the characterisation of products, by-products, and intermediates involved in the Chan-Lam amination reaction. In addition, the inhibition studies and analysis of the oxidation step highlighted the role of the amine coupling partners, and additives. Combination and careful analysis of these results allowed us to propose a plausible mechanistic pathway of the Chan-Lam amination.

### 3.1. Amines/organoboron reactivity and by-product formation

Clear differences in reactivity between piperidine 145 and aniline 16, and boronic acid 146 and BPin ester 17 were observed when using the previously described benchmark reactions (Schemes 74 and 75). Reactions of piperidine 145 are generally successful while simply moving to aniline 16 leads to a loss in efficiency (Tables 28 and 29). With regards to by-product formation (Tables 28 and 29), while formation of the desired products 45 and 18, respectively, can be excellent (Tables 28 and 29, entries 3 and 5), formation of by-products was still significant. The improvement in yield is not due to inhibition of side-reactions but rather improvement in general reactivity. Starting material 146 persisted in the absence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ when product distribution remained approximately constant (Tables 28 and 29, entries 1 vs. 2). Hence, it was concluded that amination is marginally competitive with side reactions under these conditions. Other observations were:

- The addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ was beneficial. Efficiency markedly improved with 1 equivalent but was optimum with 3 equivalents (Tables 28 and 29, entries 1 to 3, and 5). This is consistent with previous publications.
- Molecular sieves are beneficial to lower production of the oxidation product 12 (Tables 28 and 29, entries 3 vs. 4). This is consistent with the ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ labelling
experiments of Lam demonstrating that oxidation arises from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and observations by Evans on the effect of molecular sieves.
- The most significant observation was the extent of the production of side products 19 and 21. Oxidation can be tempered with molecular sieves but production of 19 persisted at ca. $5-10 \%$ while 21 varied from 12-25\%. Protodeboronation was also a significant issue (50-68\%) of 20 generated throughout. Accordingly, even for high yielding reactions (Tables 28 and 29, entry 5) approximately half of the total input of 146 was consumed in side reactions, explaining the necessity for superstoichiometric quantities of 146.
- Organoboron homocoupling was found to be minimal and was not further considered (Scheme 79).


|  |  | SM | Product | Byproducts |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 19 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |
|  | (equiv) | $(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 32 | 66 | 1 | 51 | 21 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 1 | 2 | 76 | 7 | 67 | 25 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 | 87 | 6 | 68 | 16 |
| $\mathbf{4}^{\mathbf{b}}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 | 65 | 45 | 65 | 12 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 3 | 3 | 97 | 13 | 62 | 13 |

Table 28: Benchmark reaction between piperidine 145 and aryl boronic acid $146 .{ }^{\text {a }}$ HPLC yields, ${ }^{\text {b }}$


|  |  | SM | Product $^{\text {b }}$ | Byproducts $^{\mathbf{c}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |
|  | (equiv) | $(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 46 | 46 | 1 | 61 | 22 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 1 | 12 | 69 | 7 | 67 | 20 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 2 | 8 | 92 | 4 | 65 | 15 |
| $\mathbf{4}^{\mathbf{b}}$ | 2 | 3 | 60 | 51 | 50 | 15 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | 1 | 93 | 10 | 67 | 13 |

Table 29: Benchmark reaction between aniline 16 and aryl boronic acid $146 .{ }^{a}$ HPLC yields, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ No molecular sieves.

### 3.2. Mechanistic investigation

### 3.2.1. Entry to catalysis

### 3.2.1.1. Paddlewheel dissociation, reformation, and reaction inhibition

Paddlewheel complexes are unreactive in Cu -catalysed oxidative coupling reactions, and must undergo dissociation as the first mechanistic event. In etherification reactions, paddlewheel dissociation is promoted in the alcoholic media. However, alcoholic media are not feasible in the amination reaction due to chemoselectivity issues (amination vs. etherification).

EPR studies demonstrated that $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ can be readily dissociated using piperidine 145 but less readily by aniline 16 (Figures 22 and 23). Aniline 16 was only able to induce a comparable level of dissociation of the paddlewheel complex when the concentration was $>10$ fold greater than that of piperidine 145 . This highlighted an immediate reactivity difference between the amine types (Scheme 85): dissociation of the paddlewheel dimer is dependent on Lewis basicity.

The competitive nature of this key event was reinforced by additive experiments (Figure 18 and 19). AcOK promoted reformation of dinuclear $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ species from mononuclear complexes. Piperidine 145 could induce denucleation even in the presence of additional AcOK (Figure 19, blue line), while aniline 16, as a poorer ligand, does not compete with AcOK (Figure 19, red line), thus generating significantly less monomeric species (Scheme 85).

AcOH displays a similar inhibitory effect to that of AcOK , promoting reformation of dinuclear $\mathrm{Cu}(I I)$ species but with an additional inhibitory function. Piperidine could induce denucleation in the presence of AcOK (Figure 19) but not in the presence of AcOH (Figure 18) due to N-protonation, thereby requiring the addition of a base like $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$.


Scheme 85: Denucleation of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ by piperidine 145 and aniline 16.
Indeed, treatment of 146 or 17 with complex 148 under reaction-like conditions led to $50 \%$ and $6 \%$ yield of 45 , respectively (Scheme 86 ) - less effective than standard conditions (Scheme 74).


Scheme 86: Reaction of 146 or 17 with complex 148 under Chan-Lam-like conditions.

In contrast, exposing 146 or 17 to 149 under reaction-like conditions gave 18 in $76 \%$ and $18 \%$ yield, respectively (Scheme 87) - very similar to the typical yield for these substrates under standard conditions $87 \%$ and $28 \%$, respectively (Scheme 74). Unfortunately, complexes derived from aniline 16 were not isolated preventing a similar evaluation.


Scheme 87: Reaction of 146 or 17 with complex 149 under Chan-Lam-like conditions.
These experiments indicated that one of the roles of $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in Chan-Lam aminations was to sequester HX , generating sufficient amine freebase to drive denucleation of paddlewheel species and allowed the formation of reactive amine-ligated $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes, such as 149.

All together, these data highlighted:

- The requirement for paddlewheel dissociation as a first key mechanistic event
- The reactivity differences between amine classes begin at this initial event
- The increase in reactivity in the presence of $E t_{3} N$.


### 3.2.1.2. Organoboron reactivity differences: reaction inhibition by pinacol

The disparity in reactivity between aryl boronic acid 146 and aryl BPin ester 17 could be attributed to the presence of pinacol, which had a notable inhibitory effect on the amination reaction of 146 using both piperidine 145 and aniline 16, with a greater effect on the latter (Figure 20).

Pinacol had an immediate inhibitory function (Scheme 88). The reaction of 146 with aniline 16 proceeded to deliver $92 \%$ of 17 over 16 h, with $41 \%$ conversion to 18 over 6 h. When pinacol (1 equiv) was added after 6 h of reaction, conversion to 18 after 12 h is commensurate with the 6 h time point. In addition, under reaction-like conditions in the absence of amine or $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ catalyst, treatment of 146 with pinacol gave $46 \%$ of 17 over 16 h (Scheme 89). This slow esterification cannot account for the levels of inhibition observed in reactions of 146. Hence, the inhibitory function of pinacol did not appear to be driven by differences in rates of transmetallation.


Scheme 88: Immediate inhibitory effect of pinacol


Scheme 89: Slow esterification of aryl boronic acid 146 to aryl BPin ester 17 in presence of pinacol. This suggests that the observed inhibitory effect (Figure 20) was not necessarily due to formation of 17, but rather due to some other interaction of pinacol. Various diols are known to form complexes with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$, including derivatives of pinacol. While a crystalline structure of a $\mathrm{Cu}(I I)$-pinacol complex was not obtained, NMR and HRMS analysis confirmed the presence of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})(\text { pinacol })_{2} 155$ in solution in both isolated experiments and in samples from amination reaction mixtures using 17 (Scheme 90 and Figure 34). Accordingly, the observed BPin reactivity issues in the Chan-Lam amination could arise from catalyst inhibition by pinacol, generated either by hydrolysis
of the organoboron starting material 17 or of the organoboron by-product following the transmetallation event.


Scheme 90: Formation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})(\text { pinacol })_{2}$ complex 155.


Figure 34: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR identification of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})(\text { pinacol })_{2}$ complex 155.

### 3.2.1.3. Solution structure of the mononuclear $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ species

The ligands on a transition metal species can profoundly affect its reactivity. Accordingly, we attempted to provide an understanding of the ligands on any Cu-based species. To achieve this, we used a combination of HRMS analysis coupled with computational modeling. Mass ions were identified from the benchmark reactions using aryl BPin ester 17 and piperidine 145. The potential structures, and their interconversion, were rationalized by computational modeling

A high abundance of mass ions consistent with the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ complex 149 was observed (Figure 28). In addition, a mass ion consistent with dinuclear complex 151 was also detected (Figure 28). Significantly, the isolated tetracopper complex 149 appeared to be a dimer of 151, which itself is a dimer of 150 (Scheme 91). That 149 was competent in the amination reaction of aryl boronic acid 146 and piperidine 145 (Scheme 87) suggested that 149,151 , and 150 were in equilibrium in the reaction mixture and that 150 was the mono-nuclear complex produced following dissociation of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with piperidine 145 a resting state.

In relation to the proposed structure of $\mathbf{1 5 0}$, calculations revealed this complex and its amide isomer 156 are isoenergetic. However, we postulated 150 as the likely structure based on the structure of 149 and previous observations.


Scheme 91: Equilibrium between copper complexes 149, 151, and 150.

### 3.2.2. Transmetallation

HRMS analysis of the reaction mixture using aryl BPin ester 17 also allowed detection of mass ions consistent with isostructural $\mathrm{Cu}(11)$ complexes 152 and 153 (Figure 29), which was consistent with a pre-transmetallation intermediate arising from ligation of 10 to 150 (Scheme 92). Copper complex 152 was consistent with the resting state 124 proposed by Stahl (Scheme 68). However, molecular modeling of these structural isomers showed that the hydroxide-bound complex species 153 was $12.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ more stable than the acetate-bound complex 152. Accordingly, whether 153 had a role was unclear.


Scheme 92: Molecular modeling of the pre-transmetallation intermediates 152 and 153.
However, HRMS also allowed detection of a post-transmetallation intermediate 154 (Figure 30 and Scheme 93). In agreement with Stahl, a molecular modeling comparison of transmetallation via a 4-membered transition state beginning from 153 and the 6-membered transition state beginning from 152 favoured the 4-membered transition state by $17.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. The production of the expected boron by-product, HO-BPin, could be observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (Figures 35 and 36).


Scheme 93: Comparison of transmetallation via a 4- or 6-membered transition state.


Figure 35: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of BPinOH.


Figure 36: ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR of BPInOH.

More holistically, a 4-membered oxo-metal transmetallation pathway was consistent with organoboron transmetallation in other transition metal-catalysed coupling
reactions. For example, within Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling using Pd and Ni, and Rhcatalysed conjugate addition. Accordingly, there was potentially an elegant symmetry and generality in the transmetallation of organoboron compounds to these metal species.

### 3.2.3. Reductive elimination: $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ vs. $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$

Based on Stahl's mechanism, following transmetallation, oxidation via disproportionation generates a Cu (III) intermediate that undergoes reductive elimination to give the product and a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ species. While not detected, a structure of this $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{III})$ intermediate 157 was proposed based on the structure of 154 , allowing investigation of the reductive elimination event (Scheme 94).


Scheme 94: Comparison of reductive elimination pathways.
As discussed above, phenol formation and subsequent etherification are problematic in Chan-Lam processes. It could be rationalised that reductive elimination from 157 could proceed via $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation to deliver the desired amine product 45 or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond formation to the undesired phenolic ester 158. Fensterbank has shown that reductive elimination of formally $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes with N - and O-ligands takes place with selective $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation. ${ }^{96}$ Computational modeling of reductive elimination from 157 also favours the C-N bond-forming event over the C-O bond forming event by 36.9 kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. Accordingly, we did not believe that formation of phenol side products happened from competitive reductive elimination of 157 but from other processes described in the following section.

### 3.2.4. Reoxidation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$

### 3.2.4.1. Completion of the catalytic cycle

Completion of the catalytic cycle requires reoxidation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$. Stahl has shown that this takes place using molecular oxygen and additional $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$, with the requirement of acid (HX) and $\mathrm{BX}_{3}$ (Scheme 84). As proposed above, $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{OAc}$ is the product of the reductive elimination event (Scheme 94). Evaluation of the oxidation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{OAc}$ to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}) \mathrm{X}_{2}$ in the presence of various reaction-relevant additives was informative, highlighting the fundamental effects of the amine components (Figures 32 and 33).

The requirement for HX is consistent with our observations of the oxidation process (Figure 32). In the presence of only $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, no oxidation takes place and in the presence of AcOH , oxidation is relatively rapid. With both AcOH and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, oxidation proceeds more slowly. When the same analysis is repeated in the presence of piperidine 145 and aniline 16 (Figure 33), the same trends are observed but, notably, the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ oxidation is more rapid in the presence of 145 than 16 , highlighting a second difference between the amine classes. Oxidation was comparably slow for both amines in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$.

These observations point to two fundamental roles for $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ :

- To sequester AcOH to avoid (re)formation of inactive paddlewheel complexes.
- The resulting salt $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{AcOH}\right)$ provides the necessary $\mathrm{H}^{+}$to promote $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ oxidation.

Similarly, the amine substrates have two essential roles beyond acting as the coupling partner:

- To induce dissociation of paddlewheel complexes
- To promote $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ oxidation. The fact that oxidation is more rapid in the presence of piperidine 145 vs. aniline 16 indicates that oxidation takes place
from an amine-ligated $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ complex. This, then, allows direct access to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ complex 150 (Scheme 95).


Scheme 95: Role of the amine and AcOH in the reoxidation step.

### 3.2.4.2. $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ and by-product generation

The reoxidation event of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ has important ramifications on amination reaction performance, specifically with respect to by-product generation. Oxidation to deliver 19 and protodeboronation to deliver $\mathbf{2 0}$ are problematic and both processes are known to be facilitated by $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ species more than $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$. Indeed, treatment of aryl boronic acid 146 with CuOAc delivers $40 \%$ oxidation and $56 \%$ protodeboronation while the corresponding reaction with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ gives 9\% and 63\%, respectively (Scheme 96). Accordingly, we believe that oxidation issues arise primarily through the side reactions of the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{X}$ species generated after reductive elimination prior to reoxidation to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$. Protodeboronation was equally problematic for both $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ in these control experiments. However, additional data that will be discussed in the next section suggests that protodeboronation takes place when the reaction is unable to take any alternative course (e.g., amination), such as in this control reaction. Consequently, we believe that a slow $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ to $\mathrm{Cu}(I I)$ oxidation will lead to increased levels of side-reactions.


Scheme 96: Facilitation of by-products formation by $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$.

### 3.2.5. Proposed mechanism for the Chan-Lam amination

Based on previous work by Stahl on the etherification process, and the isolated stoichiometric experiments detailed above, a complete mechanistic picture of the Chan-Lam amination can be proposed (Scheme 97).
$\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ undergoes denucleation by action of the amine to a mononuclear $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ complex 150, which exists in equilibrium with its dimer, 151, and tetramer, 149, derivatives. This initial amine-driven denucleation event is essential to catalysis and can be inhibited by action of $\mathrm{AcO}^{-}$and AcOH , both of which promote reformation of paddlewheel species. AcOH simultaneously inhibits denucleation by protonation of the amine, giving the hexa-acetate paddlewheel 148. Engagement of the organoboron component leads to transmetallation via 4-membered transition state 153 to deliver Cu (II) species 154. Oxidation to Cu (III) via disproportionation gives complex 157. A selective C-N reductive elimination liberates the desired amine product and a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{OAc}$ species 160. Completion of the catalytic cycle is achieved via oxidation to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ in the presence of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and HX and is promoted in the presence of the amine substrate. Side product generation is a function of this reoxidation event: a slow oxidation provides sufficient opportunity for $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-promoted oxidation and protodeboronation.

The origin of chemotype reactivity issues reactivity issues has also been identified:

- The reactivity issue of aryl amines compared to their alkyl counterparts is a function of the Lewis basicity - decomplexation of the paddlewheel dimer is more readily achieved with piperidine 145 vs. aniline 16.
- The reoxidation event from $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ is slower with aniline 16 vs. piperidine 145.

Finally, BPin substrates are problematic due to catalyst inhibition by pinacol generating 155 shown on page 110 as a by-product during the reaction.


Scheme 97: Proposed mechanism for the Chan-Lam amination

## 4. Development of a general Chan-Lam Amination

### 4.1. Observations

Based on the above discussion, there are three key events that must be controlled to resolve the substrate reactivity and side product issues associated with the Chan-Lam amination:

- Sequestration of $\mathrm{AcO}^{-}$and AcOH
- Removal of free pinacol
- Promotion of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ oxidation.

These are not intuitively/simply solved since AcOH has previously shown is both beneficial and inhibitory.

### 4.2. Straightforward solutions

### 4.2.1. Boric acid as a scavenger and oxidation promoter

$\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ reversibly forms borates with $\mathrm{AcO}^{-} / \mathrm{AcOH}$ and forms stable boric acid esters with diols, including pinacol (Scheme 98, Figures 37 to 39).


Scheme 98: Boric acid as a scavenger of AcOH, AcOK and pinacol.


Figure 37: ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR of $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$.


Figure $38:{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR of $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{OAc})^{-}$.


Figure 39: ${ }^{11}$ B NMR of BPInOH.
Lastly, control experiments demonstrated that $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ promotes reoxidation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ more effectively than piperidine 145 or aniline 16 in the presence of AcOH and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (Figure 40 vs. Figure 33).


Figure 40: $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ promotes reoxidation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$.

### 4.2.2. Reverse stoichiometry to help oxidation and denucleation

In addition, based on the findings above, $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ oxidation is dependent on the amine concentration. Increasing amine concentration would be expected to facilitate $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ oxidation and lower by-product generation. Increased amine concentration would also have a second beneficial effect by driving denucleation of paddlewheel complexes. This simple change in stoichiometry was found to be effective, leading to a significant improvement in reaction profile. Yield of desired product increased while overall byproduct generation was decreased (Scheme 99).


Scheme 99: Increasing amine 145 concentration to facilitate $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ reoxidation and lower by-product generation.

### 4.3. Improved reaction profile

Based on all of this, in the context of the Chan-Lam reaction using stoichiometric $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, upon replacing the conventional organic base $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ directly with $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ and inverting the organoboron:amine stoichiometry, the amination process can be improved considerably (Figure 41). In comparison with the previously described reaction profile (Scheme 76), a dramatic improvement in product distribution is observed and in a significantly improved timeframe ( $2 \mathrm{~h} v \mathrm{vs} .16 \mathrm{~h}$ ).



Figure 41: Reaction profile of the Chan-Lam amination between aryl boronic acid 146 and piperidine 145 using $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ and reversing stoichiometry.

### 4.4. Application to the Chan-Lam amination of aryl BPin esters

### 4.4.1. Optimisation

Based on the previous reaction profile, we replaced $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ with $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ in our developed stoichiometric reaction conditions for the coupling of aryl BPin esters with both alkyl
and aryl amines (Scheme 100 and 101). The desired products 45 and 18 were obtained in 68\% and 73\% yield, respectively.


Scheme 100: Replacement of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ with $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ in our developed stoichiometric reaction conditions for the coupling of aryl BPin ester 17 with piperidine 145.


Scheme 101: Replacement of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ with $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ in our developed stoichiometric reaction conditions for the coupling of aryl BPin ester 17 with aniline 16.

Pleasingly, effective Chan-Lam amination could also be obtained using catalytic amounts of copper (0.2 equiv) under oxygen atmosphere without impacting the yield of the reaction (Scheme 102). The amine products 45 and 18 were isolated in $69 \%$ and 71\% yield, respectively.


Scheme 102: Effective catalytic Chan-Lam amination between aryl BPin 17 and piperidine 145.


Scheme 103: Effective catalytic Chan-Lam amination between aryl BPin 17 and aniline 16.

Then, the optimisation of the reaction conditions for the coupling of aryl BPin ester 17 with aniline 16 was conducted using catalytic amounts of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 0.2 equiv) under oxygen atmosphere (Table 30). A quick solvent study highlighted that the desired product was obtained in good yield using MeCN (Table 30, entry 2). Other solvents including DMF, THF and toluene were detrimental to reaction efficiency (Table 30, entries 3 to 5). Concentration of the reaction mixture was again a key parameter to investigate (Table 30, entries 2, 6 and 7). The best conversion to the desired product 11 was obtained by lowering the concentration to 0.66 molar (Table 30, entry 6). Decreasing the temperature did not afford the amine product in good yields (Table 30, entries 8 and 9). A maximum of $79 \%$ yield was obtained for the desired product when using 2 equivalents of boric acid (Table 30, entries 6 and 10 to 12). Finally, a significant drop in the yield was observed when running the reaction under air and when decreasing the amount of copper to $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ (Table 30, entries 13 and 14).


| \# | reaction conditions | 18:19:20:21 <br> (HPLC \%) | Isolated <br> Yield <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | MeCN:EtOH (20:1, 1 M), | $71: 3: 3: 2$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Boric acid (2 equiv) |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | MeCN, $1 \mathrm{M}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Boric acid (2 equiv) | $61: 2: 6: 1$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathrm{THF}, 1 \mathrm{M}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Boric acid (2 equiv) | $26: 18: 6: 5$ |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Toluene, $1 \mathrm{M}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Boric acid (2 equiv) | $19: 2: 12: 3$ |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | MeCN $, 0.66 \mathrm{M}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Boric acid (2 equiv) | $2: 0: 1: 0$ |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Boric acid (2 equiv) | $82: 4: 6: 4$ | 79 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.66 \mathrm{M}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Boric acid (2 equiv) | $73: 2: 10: 2$ | 70 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.66 \mathrm{M}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Boric acid (2 equiv) | $46: 2: 3: 3$ |  |


| 10 | MeCN, $0.66 \mathrm{M}, 80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Boric acid (1 equiv) | 61:5:6:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | MeCN, $0.66 \mathrm{M}, 80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Boric acid ( 1.5 equiv) | 70:4:6:1 |
| 12 | MeCN, $0.66 \mathrm{M}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Boric acid (3 equiv) | 75:4:4:4 |
| $13^{\text {a }}$ | MeCN, $0.66 \mathrm{M}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Boric acid (2 equiv) | 56:2:5:2 |
| $14^{\text {b }}$ | MeCN, $0.66 \mathrm{M}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Boric acid (2 equiv) | 40:2:23:3 |

Table 30: Optimisation of the $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$-based reaction conditions ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Under air, ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ No molecular sieves). Based on the optimization parameters described above, the optimum catalytic reaction conditions for the reaction between aryl BPin ester 17 and aniline 16 afforded the desired amine product 18 in $79 \%$ isolated yield (Scheme 104). The exact same set of conditions afforded product 38, issued form the coupling of aryl BPin 17 with piperidine 145, in 70\% yield (Scheme 105).


Scheme 104: Optimised catalytic reaction conditions for the coupling of aryl BPin ester 17 with aniline 16 using $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$.


$\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 0.2 equiv)
$\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ (2 equiv)
MeCN ( 0.66 M )
$4 \AA$ M.S., $\mathrm{O}_{2}, 80{ }^{[ } \mathrm{C}$


70\%

Scheme 105: Optimised catalytic reaction conditions for the coupling of aryl BPin ester 17 with piperidine 145 using $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$.

### 4.4.2. Aryl amine scope

We applied the developed reaction conditions to a wide range of Aryl BPin ester and aniline substrates (Scheme 106). The catalytic procedure tolerated a broad range of functionalities on both the aryl BPin and aryl amine components. Similarly to the stoichiometric process, electron-rich (Scheme 106, 18 and 23), -neutral (Scheme 106, 33, 35, 38 and 41 to 43), and -withdrawing groups (Scheme 106, 24) were tolerated
on the aryl BPin ester coupling partner. Pleasingly, heterocyclic BPin ester coupling partners afforded good yields, highlighting the overall better performance of the boric acid-based conditions. In addition, the aryl amine was also generally tolerant of functionality and substitution (Scheme 106, 33, 35, 38 and 41 to 43 ). Finally, cross variations between challenging coupling partners were evaluated and confirmed the applicability of the new reaction conditions to access highly functionalised compounds (Scheme 106, 163 to 168).

Aryl BPin variation:


18: 82\%


30: 56\%


23: 83\%


161: 84\%


24: 73\%


162: 70\%

Aryl amine variation:


33: 90\%


41: 63\%


35: 80\%


42: 74\%


38: 74\%


43: 81\%

Cross variation:


163: 73\%


164: 59\%


167: 78\%


165: 67\%


168: 52\%

Scheme 106: Scope of the catalytic Chan-Lam reaction between aryl BPin esters and aryl amines.

### 4.2.3. Alkyl amine scope

The developed reaction conditions were also found to allow the effective coupling of alkyl amines (Scheme 107). Once more, a good range of BPin esters and amine compounds was tolerated. However, it should be noted that ortho-substitution on the aryl component generally results in poorer yields when using secondary or bulky primary amines (Scheme 107, 55).


Aryl BPin variation:
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52: 71\%


50: 90\%


55: 36\%


48: 82\%


56: 74\%

Alkyl amine variation:


65: 81\%


81: 72\%
77: 73\%



72: $81 \%$


82: 94\%
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Cross variation:



172: 75\%


173: 80\%


174: 44\%

Scheme 107: Scope of the catalytic Chan-Lam reaction between aryl BPin esters and alkyl amines.

### 4.2.4. Coupling of other nucleophiles

The same reaction conditions were also effective for etherification and thiolation reactions (Scheme 108, 175 to 180). In addition, other $N$-nucleophiles including sulfonamides, imidazoles, and pyrazoles were coupled in moderate to good yields (Scheme 108, 181 to 183).

$$
\operatorname{Ar}-\text { BPin } \quad \mathbf{R X H} \xrightarrow[\mathrm{MeCN}, 4 \text { Ä M.S., } 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, 24 \mathrm{~h}]{\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(2 \text { equiv })} \quad \mathrm{Ar}^{\mathrm{X}}{ }_{\mathbf{R}}
$$

O-nucleophiles:


175: 70\%


176: 53\%


177: 72\%
$S$-nucleophiles:


178: 74\%


179: 61\%


180: 63\%
$N$-nucleophiles:


181: 53\%


182: 71\%


183: 74\%

Scheme 108: Scope of the catalytic Chan-Lam reaction between aryl BPin esters and various nucleophiles.

### 4.2.5. Coupling of other boronic acid derivatives.

Comparable levels of efficiency were noted for BPin vs. boronic acid, and vs. $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{~K}$ as well as catalytic vs. stoichiometric $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, which denoted the generality of the developed conditions (Scheme 109).




Scheme 109: Catalytic Chan-Lam reaction between aryl boronic acid derivatives and aniline 9, and stoichiometric Chan-Lam reaction between aryl BPin ester 10 and aniline 9.

### 4.2.6. Application to the synthesis of Imatinib

To further support the applicability of our developed method on a biologically relevant molecule with increased functionality and higher molecular weight, we evaluated the developed reaction conditions within the synthesis of the tyrosine-kinase inhibitor Imatinib 184 (Gleevec®, Scheme 110). Formation of the required BPin compound was achieved over three steps via an acylation/alkylation sequence using dichloride 185 and amines 186 and 187. Subsequent Miyaura borylation of 188 gave aryl BPin ester 189. Application of the developed reaction conditions towards Chan-Lam amination of 190 gave access to 184 in $67 \%$ isolated yield on 0.5 g scale.


185
i)

ii)

$\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

$\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{Pin}_{2}, \mathrm{PdCl}_{2} \mathrm{dppf}$ ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) KOAc, DMSO, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

( 0.5 g scale)


190

Scheme 110: Application of the catalytic Chan-Lam reaction conditions for the synthesis of the tyrosine-kinase inhibitor Imatinib.

## 5. Conclusions and future directions

In summary, we firstly developed a straightforward stoichiometric set of reaction conditions that allows the efficient Chan-Lam coupling of aryl BPin and aryl amines. These conditions are also suitable for the coupling of alkyl amines. This provided the first general set of reaction conditions for the Chan-Lam reaction of aryl BPin reagents. Then, an investigation of the Chan-Lam amination has allowed a complete mechanistic description to be assembled. Spectroscopic analyses have provided insight into the course of the reaction with a formative identification of key reactive intermediates. This has allowed the origin of three specific issues: the BPin reactivity problem, the aryl amine problem, and side reactions (oxidation, protodeboronation) to be determined.

Finally, synergistic promotive effects of boric acid including sequestration of $\mathrm{AcO}^{-}$and AcOH , removal of free pinacol and promotion of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ oxidation. were identified. Then, they have have been leveraged to overcome these issues, providing the first generally efficient BPin Chan-Lam amination conditions proceeding under non-basic reaction conditions.

However, through the course of our optimisation and mechanistic investigation we observed limitations for a few NH-based substrates including: sulfonamides, amides, and dialkylamines. Allowing the cross-coupling of these useful substrates with aryl BPin would be of high interest, and would yield the Chan-Lam amination to be one of the most powerful C-N bond formation reactions.

Our ongoing work is therefore focusing on the coupling of sulfonamides with aryl boronic acid and aryl boronic acid pinacol esters. Our mechanistic understanding of the Chan-Lam amination allowed us to find suitable conditions to achieve this coupling at room temperature in high efficiency (Scheme 111).


ArBPin: base $=\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4} ; \mathbf{8 2 \%}$ yield, $99 \%$ purity

Scheme 111: Development of a practical, scalable, room temperature Chan-Lam $N$-arylation of $N$ -

## aryl sulfonamides

Finally, we recently found that reductive elimination from a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{III})$ intermediate can be controlled to promote $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation to a bound neutral nitrile ligand, generating reactive nitrilium ions. We investigated the mechanism and demonstrated synthetic utility in the development of an aryl Ritter reaction. In the broader context, reductive
elimination is a fundamental step in catalysis, often viewed as the terminal event. This work will introduce a concept for extending the utility of this key event beyond the product-forming step (Scheme 112).


Scheme 112: Control of the reductive elimination from a $\mathrm{Cu}(I I I)$ intermediate to promote $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation to a bound neutral nitrile ligand.

## Experimental

## 1. General information

All reagents and solvents were obtained from commercial suppliers and were used without further purification unless otherwise stated. All solvents used for dry reactions were obtained from capped bottles from commercial suppliers or from a PureSolv SPS-400-5 solvent purification system. These solvents were transferred to and stored in a septum-sealed oven-dried flask over previously activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves and purged with and stored under nitrogen. Cyclohexane, dichloromethane, diethyl ether, ethyl acetate, methanol, and petroleum ether $40-60^{\circ}$ for purification purposes were used as obtained from suppliers without further purification. Optimisation and scope reactions were carried out using capped 5 mL microwave. Glassware was oven-dried $\left(150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and purged with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ before use. Purging refers to a vacuum/nitrogen-refilling procedure. Room temperature was generally ca. $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Reactions were carried out at elevated temperatures using a temperature-regulated hotplate/stirrer. $3 \AA$ and $4 \AA$ molecular sieves were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Company in powdered form, and activated and stored in an oven at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until their use.

## 2. High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)

Reverse phase HPLC data was obtained on an Agilent 1200 series HPLC using a Machery-Nagel Nucleodur C18 column. Analysis was performed using a gradient method, eluting with $5-80 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ over 16 minutes at a flow rate of $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Samples for HPLC analysis were prepared through the addition of 2 mL of caffeine standard to the reaction mixture. The resulting solution was then stirred before the removal of a $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquot. The aliquot was diluted to 1 mL with MeCN . A $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquot of the diluted solution was then filtered and further diluted with $800 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{MeCN}$ and $500 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for HPLC analysis against established conversion factors.

## 3. Thin layer chromatography

Thin layer chromatography was carried out using Merck silica plates coated with fluorescent indicator UV254. These were analysed under 254 nm UV light or developed using potassium permanganate solution.

## 4. Liquid Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (LCMS)

LC conditions: The UPLC analysis was conducted on an Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column ( $50 \mathrm{~mm} \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$, i.d. $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ packing diameter) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The UV detection was a summed signal from wavelength of 210 nm to 350 nm . MS conditions: Mass spectra were conducted on a Waters ZQ mass spectrometer, with an ionisation mode of alternate-scan positive and negative electrospray. The scan range was 100 to 1000 AMU, the scan time was 0.27 sec and the inter-scan delay was 0.10 sec .

## - Method A (formic acid modifier)

LC and MS conditions as reported above. The solvents employed were: $\mathrm{A}=0.1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ solution of formic acid in water; $B=0.1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ solution of formic acid in acetonitrile. The gradient (A:B) employed was from 97:3 to 3:97 over 2 min.

## - Method B (TFA modifier)

LC and MS conditions as reported above. The solvents employed were: $\mathrm{A}=0.1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ solution of trifluoroacetic acid in water; $\mathrm{B}=0.1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ solution of trifluoroacetic acid in acetonitrile. The gradient (A:B) employed was from 97:3 to $3: 97$ over 2 min.

- Method C (ammonium bicarbonate modifier)

LC and MS conditions as reported above. The solvents employed were: A = ammonium hydrogen carbonate in water adjusted to pH 10 with ammonia solution; $\mathrm{B}=$ acetonitrile. The gradient (A:B) employed was from 99:1 to 0:100 over 2 min .

## 5. Purification by flash chromatography

At the University of Strathclyde, flash chromatography was carried out using ZEOprep 60 HYD 40-63 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ silica gel.

At GSK, column chromatography was conducted on a Combiflash® Rf automated flash chromatography system, from Teledyne Isco using disposable, normal or reverse phase, SPE Redisep cartridges ( 4 g to 330 g ). The CombiFlash® Rf uses RFID (Radio Frequency Identification) technology to automate setting the parameters for purification runs and fraction collection. The system is equipped with a UV variable dualwavelength and a Foxy® fraction collector enabling automated peak cutting, collection, and tracking. Reverse phase chromatography employing modified water (high pH) used a pH 10 aqueous ammonium bicarbonate solution.

## 6. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectrometry

NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker DPX250, DPX400 or AV400 (with cyroprobe) referenced to tetramethylsilane. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were obtained at 400 MHz and 101 MHz , respectively. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra were obtained at $387 \mathrm{MHz} .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra were obtained at 128 MHz . Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) to the nearest $0.01 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR) or $0.1 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Coupling constants (J) are reported in Hz to the nearest 0.1 Hz . Spectra were recorded at room temperature unless otherwise stated with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ referenced at $7.27 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and 77.36 ppm $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ referenced at $2.50 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and $39.52 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$, and $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ referenced at $1.94 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and $1.32\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The following abbreviations were used to explain NMR peak multiplicities: $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{quartet} \mathrm{~m}=$, multiplet, br. = broad.

## 7. High Resolution Mass Spectrometry (HRMS)

ESI (+) high resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained on a Micromass Q-Tof 2 hybrid quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometer, equipped with a Z-spray interface,
over a mass range of $100-1100 \mathrm{Da}$, with a scan time of 0.9 s and an interscan delay of 0.1 s . Reserpine was used as the external mass calibrant $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=609.2812 \mathrm{Da}\right)$. The Q-Tof 2 mass spectrometer was operated in $W$ reflectron mode to give a resolution (FWHM) of 16000-20000. Ionisation was achieved with a spray voltage of 3.2 kV , a cone voltage of 50 V , with cone and desolvation gas flows of $10-20$ and $600 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{h}$, respectively. The source block and desolvation temperatures were maintained at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. The elemental composition was calculated using MassLynx v4.1 for the $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$and the mass error quoted as ppm .

An Agilent 1100 Liquid Chromatography equipped with a model G1367A autosampler, a model G1312A binary pump and a HP1100 model G1315B diode array detector was used. The method used was generic for all experiments. All separations were achieved using a Phenomenex Luna C18 (2) reversed phase column (100 $\times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}, 3$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ particle size). Gradient elution was carried out with the mobile phases as $(A)$ water containing $0.1 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ formic acid and (B) acetonitrile containing $0.1 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ formic acid. The conditions for the gradient elution were initially $5 \%$ B, increasing linearly to 100 \% $B$ over 6 minutes, remaining at 100 \% B for 2.5 min then decreasing linearly to $5 \% B$ over 1 min , followed by an equilibration period of 2.5 min prior to the next injection. The flow rate was $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature controlled at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with an injection volume of between 2 to $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. All samples were diluted with DMSO (99.9 \%) prior to LCMS analysis.

## 8. Infra-Red (IR) measurements

IR spectra were obtained on a PerkinElmer spectrum one FT-IR with peaks reported in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

## 9. Ultra-violet measurements

UV spectra were recorded using the Spectroquant Pharo 100 spectrometer at 672 nM (maximum wavelength absorbance of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and tetrameric copper complex 149). A blank analysis was performed before any measurements using MeCN.

| Model | Pharo 300 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Light source | Xenon |
| Optical design | Single beam |
| Wavelength range <br> $(\mathbf{n m})$ | $190-1100$ |
| Spectral bandwidth <br> (nm) | 4 |
| Wavelength <br> accuracy (nm) | $\pm 1$ |
| Photometric range | $\pm 3.3 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| Photometric <br> accuracy | 0.003 A at <0.600 A; 0.5\% of reading for $0.600 \leq \mathrm{A} \geq 2.000$ |
| Scan | 1 nm increments with selectable wavelength range |
| Measuring modes | Concentration, absorbance, transmission, multi-wavelengths, <br> scans + kinetics in A or T mode |
| Results storage | 1000 single measured values, 4 MB for scans and kinetics |
| Interfaces | RS232, USB-A, USB-B |

## 10. Electron Plasma Resonance (EPR)

X-band EPR spectra were recorded on a Bruker ELEXSYS E500 spectrometer, and simulations performed with Bruker's Xsophe software package. ${ }^{97}$ Samples were prepared with 50 mM concentration of copper acetate in MeCN and loaded to a height of 2 cm in a 2.8 mm o.d. quartz tube. Data were collected at ambient temperature and 150 K , wherein a glassing solvent (MeOH or THF) was added to the sample.

## 11. Melting points

Melting points were measured on a Stuart automatic melting point apparatus, SMP40. For compounds that decomposed over a wide temperature range, it was possible to watch a recorded video of the experiment to manually determine the melting point range.

## 12. Calculations

The program package ORCA was used for all calculations. ${ }^{98}$ The input geometry for all molecules were generated using ArgusLab. The geometries of all molecules were fully optimized by a spin-unrestricted DFT method employing the BP functional with acetonitrile as solvent. ${ }^{99,100}$ Triple- $\xi$-quality basis sets with one set of polarization functions (def2-TZVP) were used all atoms with enhanced in enhanced integration accuracy was used for copper (SPECIALGRIDINTACC 10). ${ }^{101,102} \mathrm{~A}$ scalar relativistic correction was applied using the zeroth-order regular approximation (ZORA) method. ${ }^{103-105}$ The RIJCOSX approximation combined with the appropriate Ahlrichs auxiliary basis set was used to speed up the calculations. ${ }^{106-108}$ The conductor like screening model (COSMO) was used for all calculations. ${ }^{109}$ The self-consistent field calculations were tightly converged $\left(1 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}}\right.$ in energy, $1 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}}$ in the density charge, and $1 \times 10^{-7}$ in the maximum element of the DIIS9 error vector). The geometry was converged with the following convergence criteria: change in energy $<10^{-5} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}}$, average force $<5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{Bohr}^{-1}$, and the maximum force $10^{-4} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{Bohr}^{-1}$. The geometry search for all complexes was carried out in redundant internal coordinates without imposing geometry constraints. The stability of all solutions was checked by performing frequency calculations: No negative frequencies were observed. Thermodynamic quantities were generated using the numerical frequency module to correct for zero-point and thermal energy, and entropy contributions at 298.15 K .

## 13. X-ray crystallographic data collection and structure refinement

Diffraction quality crystals of $\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{6}\right]\right.$ as green blocks and $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{4}\left(\mu^{3}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{6}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ as deep blue prisms were obtained by slow diffusion of pentane vapour into a dichloromethane solution of stoichiometric mixture of copper acetate dihydrate and piperidine. The crystals were coated with paratone oil and mounted on the end of a nylon loop attached to the end of the goniometer. Data were
collected with a Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer equipped with a Kryoflex attachment supplying a nitrogen stream at 150 K. X-ray diffraction data were collected using an Oxford Diffraction Gemini Ultra with an ATLAS CCD detector and graphite monochromated Mo-Ka radiation ( $\lambda=0.71074 \AA$ ) at 150 K . Data reduction was performed using the CrysAlis software package and structure solution and refinement were performed using SHELXS-97 and SHELXL-97 via WinGX. ${ }^{110-112}$ Corrections for incident and diffracted beam absorption effects were applied using analytical numeric absorption correction using a multifaceted crystal model. ${ }^{113}$

## 14. Chapter 1

### 14.1. General experimental procedures

### 14.1.1. General procedure A for the Chan-Evans-Lam amination of boronic acid pinacol (BPin) esters with aryl amines.

A solution of aryl BPin (1 equiv, $0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ ), aryl amine ( 2 equiv, 0.60 mmol ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (1 equiv, $0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 54 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2 equiv, $0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 61 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves in $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{EtOH}(20: 1$ ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was sealed into an oven dried round-bottomed 5 mL microwave vial under air and stirred at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (preheated sand bath, sand temperature) for 24 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was evaporated to give a residue that was purified by silica chromatography (EtOAc/petroleum ether with $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ modifier). Appropriate fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product.

### 14.1.2. General procedure B for the Chan-Evans-Lam amination of boronic acid pinacol (BPin) esters with alkyl amines.

A solution of aryl BPin (1 equiv, $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ ), alkyl amine (2 equiv, 0.40 mmol ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (1 equiv, $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 36 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2 equiv, $0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 41 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves in MeCN $(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was sealed in an oven dried round-bottomed 5 mL microwave vial under air and stirred at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (preheated sand bath, sand temperature) for 24 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was evaporated to give a residue that was purified by silica chromatography (EtOAc/petroleum ether with 1\% $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ modifier). Appropriate fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product.

### 14.2. Compound characterisation

N-Phenyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-amine, Compound 18. ${ }^{144}$



Prepared according to the general procedure A using 2-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), aniline ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 225 mg ) in MeCN-EtOH (20:1 ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-3 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ petroleum ether), fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as an orange solid ( $57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \%$ ).

Appearance: Orange solid.
M.pt.: $110-111^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz; DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.00(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-$ 7.27 (m, 3H), 7.30-7.47 (m, 2H), 7.48-7.66 (m, 4H), 8.30 (br. s., 1H).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 117.2,117.6,119.6,120.4,126.2,126.8,127.8$, 129.3, 129.7, 131.6, 140.5, 143.5.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.43 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 246.2$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 246.1277, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 246.1279$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): $3372,1596,1523,1505,1485,1323,846,759,745,693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## Diphenylamine, Compound 22. ${ }^{115}$



Prepared according to the general procedure A using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), aniline ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}$,
$0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 225 mg ) in MeCN-EtOH ( $20: 1$ ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-3 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ petroleum ether), fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 74 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M.pt.: $51-52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 6.81$ ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.05-7.07 (m, 4H), 7.20-7.24 (m, 4H), $8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 116.7,119.6,129.1,143.4$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.21 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$170.2 .
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 170.0964, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 170.0965$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): $3407,3383,3042,1595,1519,1494,1458,1418,1316,1242,1172,876$, $743,689 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 4-Methoxy-N-phenylaniline, Compound 23. ${ }^{116}$



Prepared according to the general procedure A using 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), aniline ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 225 mg ) in MeCN-EtOH (20:1 ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-3 \%\left(\mathrm{EtOAc}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a yellow solid ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%$ ).

Appearance: Yellow solid.
M.pt.: $104-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.71$ (s, 3H), $6.70(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.85-6.92 (m, 4H), 7.03-7.05 (m, 2H), 7.13-7.15 (m, 2H), 7.79 (s, 1H).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 55.2, 114.5, 114.8, 118.2, 120.3, 129.0, 136.1, 145.1, 153.8.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.17 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$200.3.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 200.1070, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 200.1073$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): $3388,1596,1501,1443,1316,1298,1249,1181,1033,812,750,695 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ 1.

## Methyl-4-(phenylamino)benzoate, Compound $24 .{ }^{117}$



Prepared according to the general procedure A using methyl 4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzoate ( $79 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), aniline ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 225 mg ) in MeCN-EtOH (20:1 ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-5 \%\left(\mathrm{EtOAc}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M.pt.: $108-109{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 3.78$ (s, 3H), 6.99 (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.05-7.07 (m, $2 H), 7.17-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): ס 51.4, 113.9, 119.0, 119.4, 121.9, 129.2, 131.0, 141.2, 148.5, 165.9.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.17 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 228.2$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 228.1019, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$228.1023.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): $3334,1687,1589,1532,1496,1433,1344,1281,1251,1172,1109,851$, 767, 747, $692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 4-Bromo-N-phenylaniline, Compound $25 .{ }^{118}$



Prepared according to the general procedure A using methyl 2-(4-bromophenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $85 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), aniline ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 225 mg ) in MeCN-EtOH (20:1 ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-3 \%\left(\mathrm{EtOAc}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M.pt.: $88-89{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 6.87$ ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.99-7.01 (m, 2H), 7.06-7.08 (m, 2H), 7.23-7.27 (m, 2H), 7.34-7.37 (m, 2H), 8.27 (s, 1H).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 110.0,117.4,118.0,120.3,129.2,131.7,142.6$, 143.0.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.34 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 250.1$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 248.0069, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$248.0076.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): $3401,1579,1500,1481,1312,1070,803,748,704,690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 4-Chloro-N-phenylaniline, Compound 26. ${ }^{118}$



Prepared according to the general procedure A using 2-(4-chlorophenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), aniline ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(225 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{EtOH}(20: 1$ ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-3 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% E t_{3} N\right) /$ petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a colourless solid ( $48 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ ).

Appearance: Colourless solid.
M.pt.: $65-66^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 6.86(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.27$ (m, 4 H$), 8.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 117.2,117.7,120.2,122.5,128.9,129.2,142.5$, 142.7.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.32 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 204.2$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{CIN}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 204.0575, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 204.0579$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3402, 1585, 1500, 1482, 1443, 1394, 1307, 1298, 1171, 1069, 874, 804, $749,710,690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 3-(Phenylamino)benzonitrile, Compound 27. ${ }^{119}$



Prepared according to the general procedure $A$ using 3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzonitrile ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), aniline ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(81 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 225 mg ) in MeCN-EtOH (20:1 ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-1 \%\left(\mathrm{EtOAc}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a colourless solid ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ ).

Appearance: Colourless solid.
M.pt.: $95-96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 6.95$ ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.12-7.14 (m, 3H), 7.30-7.32 (m, 4H), 7.33-7.39 (m, 1H), 8.52 (s, 1H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 111.9,117.6,118.3,119.1,120.2,121.4,122.2$, 129.3, 130.4, 141.7, 144.7.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.15 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$195.2.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 195.0917, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 195.0921$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 3338, 2233, 1592, 1533, 1495, 1482, 1416, 1338, 1303, 1153, 993, 962, $863,778,763,711,695,677 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
tert-Butyl-(3-(phenylamino)phenyl)carbamate, Compound 28.


Prepared according to the general procedure A using tert-butyl (3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate ( $96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), aniline ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60$ mmol ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(225 \mathrm{mg})$ in MeCN-EtOH (20:1 ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-5 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a colourless solid ( $57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 67\%).

Appearance: Colourless solid.
M.pt.: $87-88^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.47$ (s, 9H), 6.67 (t, J = $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.80 (m, 1H), $6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.18(\mathrm{~s}$, 1 H ).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 28.1,78.7,106.6,109.9,110.7,116.7,119.4,129.0$, 140.3, 143.4, 143.6, 152.7 (1 signal not observed).

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.29 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 285.2$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 285.1598, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$285.1604.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 3331, 1692, 1595, 1526, 1497, 1450, 1398, 1368, 1275, 1247, 1153, 1053, $859,761,696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## $N$-Phenyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)aniline, Compound 29. ${ }^{119}$



Prepared according to the general procedure A using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), aniline ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60$
$\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated 3 Å molecular sieves ( 225 mg ) in MeCN-EtOH (20:1 ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-5 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a colourless oil ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$, 74\%).

Appearance: Colourless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 6.93$ ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.12-7.14 (m, 3H), 7.19-7.23 (m, 4H), 7.40-7.42 (m, 1H), 8.51 (s, 1H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 111.5\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{C-F}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 115.0\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{C-F}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 118.2, $118.9\left(q, J_{C-F}=1 H z\right), 121.2,125.6\left(q, J_{C-F}=273 H z\right), 129.5,129.8,130.4\left(q, J_{C}\right.$ $F=35 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 142.0, 144.7.
${ }^{19}$ F-NMR (282 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta$-61.5 (s).
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.34 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 238.2$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 238.0838, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$238.0841.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): $3404,1658,1049,1023,999,824,761 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 3-Methyl-N-phenylaniline, Compound 30. ${ }^{119}$



Prepared according to the general procedure A using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(m-tolyl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), aniline ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 54 mg , $0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 225 mg ) in MeCN-EtOH (20:1 ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography,
$0-3 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a yellow oil ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 55 \%$ ).

Appearance: Yellow oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 2.19$ (s, 3H), $6.51(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.91$ (m, 3 H ), 7.10-7.19 (m, 5H), 7.33 (s, 1H).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) ठ 17.9, 116.0, 118.7, 119.7 121.8, 126.4, 128.9, 129.4, 130.8, 141.3, 145.0.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.29 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 184.2$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 184.1121, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$184.1123.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): $3407,3383,3042,1595,1519,1494,1458,1418,1318,1242,1172,1083$, 1023, 993, 876, $846 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 2-Fluoro-5-(phenylamino)benzonitrile, Compound 31.



Prepared according to the general procedure A using 2-fluoro-5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzonitrile ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), aniline ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 225 mg ) in MeCN-EtOH (20:1 ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-10 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a colourless solid ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 58 \%$ ). Appearance: Colourless oil.
M.pt.: 90-91 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 6.92$ ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.08-7.10 (m, 2H), 7.26-7.30 (m, 2H), 7.35-7.37 (m, 3H), 8.43 (s, 1H).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 100.1$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}_{C-F}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 114.2, 117.3 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=24$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 117.6,119.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=253 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.3,141.1,142.1,154.7$, 157.2.
${ }^{19}$ F-NMR (282 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta$-121.2 (s).
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.18 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 213.2$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{FN} 2\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 213.0828, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$213.0823.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 3345, 3039, 2243, 1595, 1533, 1493, 1402, 1348, 1241, 1226, 1171, 818, $755,718,693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
$N$-PhenyInaphthalen-2-amine, Compound $32 .{ }^{119}$


Prepared according to the general procedure A using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), aniline ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 225 mg ) in MeCN-EtOH (20:1 ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-3 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a colourless solid ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%$ ).

Appearance: Colourless solid.
M.pt.: $107-108^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta(6.90(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-$ $7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 108.9,117.3,119.9,120.1,122.7,126.1,126.2$, 127.3, 128.1, 128.7, 129.2, 134.3, 141.3, 143.0.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.35 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 220.2$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 220.1121, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 220.1127$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): $3401,1596,1496,1304,854,737,690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## $N$-Methyl- N -phenylaniline, Compound $33 .{ }^{120}$



Prepared according to the general procedure A using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), N -methylaniline ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 225 mg ) in MeCN-EtOH (20:1 ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-3 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a colourless solid ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 65 \%$ ).

Appearance: Colourless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 3.25$ (s, 3H), $6.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.98-7.00 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 120.0, 121.1, 129.1, 148.6 (one signal obscured by DMSO signals).

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.36 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 184.2$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 184.1121, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$184.1123. $v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): $3036,1584,1493,1340,1271,1251,1185,1156,1130,1091,1074,1023$, $991,864,747,722,690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## N-Isopropyl-N-phenylaniline, Compound $34 .{ }^{121}$



Prepared according to the general procedure A using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), N-isopropylaniline ( $81 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 225 mg ) in MeCN-EtOH (20:1 ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-3\% (EtOAc + 1\% $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a colourless solid ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 24 \%$ ).

Appearance: Colourless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.35$ (d, $J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.32 (sept, $J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.98(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 21.4,48.3,122.0,123.1,129.8,146.8$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.52 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$212.2.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 212.1434, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 212.1425$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 3042, 1586, 1498, 1340, 1242, 1183, 1132, 1092, 1088, 993, 880, 777, $710,690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## Methyl-4-(phenylamino)benzoate, Compound 35. ${ }^{116}$



Prepared according to the general procedure A using methyl 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), methyl 4 -aminobenzoate ( $91 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60$
$\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 225 mg ) in MeCN-EtOH (20:1 ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-5 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a white solid $(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$, 69\%).

Appearance: White solid.
M.pt.: $108-109{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 3.78$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.99 ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.05-7.07 (m, 2H), 7.17-7.20 (m, 2H), 7.30-7.32 (m, 2H), 7.79-7.81 (m, 2H), 8.74 (s, 1H).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 51.4, 113.9, 119.0, 119.4, 121.9, 129.2, 131.0, 141.2, 148.5, 165.9.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.17 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 228.2$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 228.1019, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$228.1023.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 3334, 1687,1589, 1532, 1496, 1433, 1344, 1281, 1251, 1172, 1109, 851, 767, 747, $692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

4-Methoxy-N-phenylaniline, Compound 36. ${ }^{117}$


Prepared according to the general procedure A using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-methoxyaniline ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 225 mg ) in MeCN-EtOH (20:1 ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica
chromatography, 0-3\% (EtOAc $\left.+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a yellow solid (52 mg, $0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 87 \%$ ).

Appearance: Yellow solid.
M.pt.: $104-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.92(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 55.2,114.5,114.8,118.2,120.3,129.0,136.1,145.1$, 153.8.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.17 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 200.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 200.1070, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$200.1073.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): $3388,1596,1501,1443,1316,1298,1249,1181,1033,812,750,695 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ 1.

## 4-Bromo- N -phenylaniline, Compound $37 .{ }^{118}$



Prepared according to the general procedure A using methyl 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-bromoaniline ( $103.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves (225 mg) in MeCN-EtOH (20:1 ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-3 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% E t_{3} N\right) /$ petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 71 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M.pt.: 88-89 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.23-7.27 (m, 2H), 7.34-7.37 (m, 2H), 8.27 (s, 1H).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 110.0,117.4,118.0,120.3,129.2,131.7,142.6$, 143.0.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.34 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 250.1$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 248.0069, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$248.0076.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): $3401,1579,1500,1481,1312,1070,803,748,704,690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 3-(Phenylamino)benzoic acid, Compound 38. ${ }^{122}$



Prepared according to the general procedure A using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-aminobenzoic acid ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 225 mg ) in MeCN-EtOH (20:1 ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-3 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M.pt.: $140-141^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 6.88$ (t, J = $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.09-7.11 (m, 2H), 7.25-7.37 (m, 5H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 8.33 (s, 1H), 12.81 (s, 1H).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 116.5,117.5,120.1,120.2,120.4,129.2,129.3$, 131.7, 142.7, 143.9, 167.4.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.01 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$214.2.

HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 214.0863, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 214.0865$. $v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 3407, 2989, 1686, 1593, 1512, 1463, 1427, 1296, 1279, 996, 934, 882, $786,749,698,681,664 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 4-Chloro-2-nitro-N-phenylaniline, Compound 39.



Prepared according to the general procedure A using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-chloro-2-nitroaniline ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 225 mg ) in MeCN-EtOH (20:1 ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-15 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a red solid ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 52 \%$ ).

Appearance: Red solid.
M.pt.: $59-61^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.16$ ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.19-7.23 (m, 1H), 7.31-7.33 (m, 2H), 7.41-7.43 (m, 2H), 7.45-7.52 (m, 1H), $8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 118.7,120.8,124.0,125.0,125.2,129.5,133.4$, 135.6, 138.9, 141.0.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.38 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 249.1$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 249.0425, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 249.0432$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 3338, 1618, 1593, 1566, 1526, 1498, 1456, 1407, 1345, 1246, 1213, 1148, $1074,902,885,849,819,766,759,725,694,667 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 2-(Phenylamino)-5-(trifluoromethyl)benzoic acid, Compound 40.



Prepared according to the general procedure A using methyl 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-amino-5-(trifluoromethyl)benzoic acid ( $123 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(225 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{EtOH}(20: 1$ ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-10 \%$ (EtOAc $+1 \% \mathrm{Et} 3 \mathrm{~N}$ )/petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13$ mmol, 43\%).

Appearance: White solid.
M.pt.: $77-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 7.23-7.25 (m, 2H), 7.31-7.33 (m, 2H), 7.41-7.45 (m, 2H), 7.63-7.65 (m, 1H), 8.14 (s, 1H), 9.97 (s, 1H), 13.57 (s, 1H).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 111.5,113.7,116.7\left(q, J_{C-F}=24 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.1,124.7$, 125.7, 129.6, 130.3 (dt, $J_{C-F}=168 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 139.0, 150.1, 168.9.
${ }^{19}$ F-NMR ( 282 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta$-60.1 (s).
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.41 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 282$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 282.0736, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$282.0739.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 3330, 2901, 1666, 1592, 1577, 1524, 1499, 1435, 1417, 1321, 1243, 1139, $1068,904,823,792,758,745,682,662 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## N-Phenylbenzo[b]thiophen-5-amine, Compound 41.



Prepared according to the general procedure A using methyl 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzo[b]thiophen-5-amine ( 90 mg , $0.60 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 225 mg ) in MeCN-EtOH (20:1 ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr, the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-10\% (EtOAc $\left.+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a grey solid ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15$ mmol, 51\%).

Appearance: Grey solid.
M.pt.: $120-121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 6.81(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.23$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81$ (d, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 110.1,116.3,117.1,119.4,122.9,123.6,127.7$, 129.1, 130.9, 140.5, 143.9.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.33 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 226.1$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NS}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 226.0685, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 226.0686$. $v_{\max }$ (neat): $3376,3053,1667,1596,1512,1497,1433,1344,1298,1267,1246,1201$, $1153,1086,1049,1028,892,861,825,802,745,712,670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## N-Phenylpyridin-3-amine, Compound 42. ${ }^{123}$



Prepared according to the general procedure A using methyl 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pyridin-3-amine ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 225 mg ) in MeCN-EtOH (20:1 ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-3 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 40 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M.pt.: $140-141^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 6.71$ ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.72-6.87 (m, 2H), 7.23-7.27 (m, 2H), $7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 110.6,114.1,117.9,120.2,128.5,137.1,141.7$, 147.1, 155.8.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.36 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 171.2$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 171.0917, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 171.0915$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 3008, 1667, 1588, 1574, 1530, 1493, 1464, 1442, 1430, 1327, 1253, 1159, $1148,1159,992,768,747,695 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## N-Phenylpyridin-2-amine, Compound 43. ${ }^{124}$



Prepared according to the general procedure A using methyl 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pyridin-2-amine ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 225 mg ) in MeCN-EtOH (20:1 ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-3 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 34 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M.pt.: $140-141^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 6.73$ ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.81-6.87 (m, 2H), 7.23-7.27 (m, 2H), $7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 110.6,114.1,117.9,120.2,128.5,137.1,141.7$, 147.1, 155.8.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.36 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 171.2$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 171.0917, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 171.0915$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): $3088,1589,1537,1494,1464,1444,1327,992,770,748,696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 4-(Indolin-1-yl)benzoic acid, Compound 44.



Prepared according to the general procedure A using methyl 4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzoic acid ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), indoline ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(225 \mathrm{mg})$ in MeCN-EtOH $(20: 1$ ratio, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-3 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ petroleum ether) fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a grey solid ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 31 \%$ ).

Appearance: Grey solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathbf{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 3.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71-$ $6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.85-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 27.4,51.4,108.1,115.3,118.8,125.0,126.9,130.2$, 131.4, 144.4, 146.0, 169.1, 178.8.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.15 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 240.1$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 240.1019, found [M] ${ }^{+} 240.1007$
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3341, 2861, 1684, 1594, 1568, 1529, 1511, 1482, 1457, 1402, 1320, 1295, $1187,1170,928,790,740,708 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 1-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)piperidine, Compound 45. ${ }^{125}$



Prepared according to the general procedure $B$ using 2-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), piperidine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-1\% (EtOAc + 1\% $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a colourless oil ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 74 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.55-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H) 7.53-7.57 (m, 2H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 24.4,25.8,50.4,116.4,126.3,126.5,127.6,128.7$, 131.6, 141.1, 151.4.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.89 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 238.3$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 2934, 2814, 1604, 1525, 1488, 1448, 1384, 1337, 1236, 1125, 917, 820, $760,718,691 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 1-Phenylpiperidine, Compound 46. ${ }^{126}$



Prepared according to the general procedure B using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), piperidine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36$
$\mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 150 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-1\% (EtOAc + $\left.1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a colourless oil ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 71 \%$ ).

Appearance: Colourless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.53-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 4 H ), 6.78-6.84 (m, 1H), 6.93 (dd, J = 8.7, $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.20-7.27 (m, 2H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): ठ 24.4, 25.9, $50.7,116.5,119.1,129.0,152.3$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.29 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 162.3$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 2932, 2853, 2805, 1597, 1493, 1450, 1384, 1334, 1235, 1220, 1131, 1025, $993,916,859,753,690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)piperidine, Compound $47 .{ }^{127}$



Prepared according to the general procedure B using 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), piperidine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-1.5\% (EtOAc + 1\% $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as an off-white gum ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.157 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ ).

Appearance: Off-white gum.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.50-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 4H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 6.82 (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.91 (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 24.2,26.2,52.3,55.6,114.4,118.7,147.0,153.6$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.21 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 121.3$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): $2934,2802,1510,1453,1243,1182,1121,1041,920,823 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## Methyl 4-(piperidin-1-yl)benzoate $48 .{ }^{128}$



Prepared according to the general procedure B using methyl 4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzoate ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), piperidine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $\left.0-1.5 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) / c y c l o h e x a n e\right)$, fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.146 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.59-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}{ }^{3}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 24.4,25.4,48.8,51.5,113.6,118.7,131.2,154.5,167.2$. LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.28 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 220.3$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 2847, 2843, 1704, 1606, 1516, 1436, 1287, 1247, 1191, 1110, 964, 916, 827, 771, $694 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 1-(4-Bromophenyl)piperidine, Compound 49. ${ }^{129}$



Prepared according to the general procedure $B$ using 2-(4-bromophenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), piperidine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 150 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-1 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane $)$, fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.171 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.54-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): ठ 24.2, 25.7, 50.4, 111.1, 118.0, 131.7, 151.2.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.46 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 240.2$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 2941, 2858, 2817, 1588, 1494, 1450, 1387, 1340, 1280, 1243, 1223, 1127, $992,917,860,808 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 1-(4-Chlorophenyl)piperidine, Compound $50 .{ }^{130}$



Prepared according to the general procedure B using 2-(4-chlorophenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), piperidine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$
molecular sieves ( 150 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-1.5\% (EtOAc + 1\% Et N )/cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.169 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M.pt.: $67-69^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): ठ 1.51-1.61 (m, 2H), 1.65-1.74 (m, 4H), $3.11(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 H), ~ 6.81-6.87(m, 2 H), ~ 7.15-7.20(m, 2 H)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): ठ 24.2, 25.7, $50.6,117.6,123.9,128.8,150.8$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.43 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 196.3$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 2940, 2810, 1596, 1492, 1441, 1383, 1338, 1242, 1223, 1127, 993, 916, $858,808,747 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 1-(3-Cyanophenyl)piperidine, Compound 51. ${ }^{26}$



Prepared according to the general procedure B using 3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzonitrile ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), piperidine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-2.5\% (EtOAc + 1\% Et N )/cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as an off-white gum ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.129 \mathrm{mmol}, 64 \%$ ).

Appearance: Off-white gum.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.58-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.07-7.12 (m, 2H), 7.25-7.31 (m, 1H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 24.1,25.4,49.7,112.9,118.6,119.5,120.1,121.7$, 129.7, 151.9.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.25 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 187.3$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 2937, 2861, 2216, 1595, 1572, 1493, 1438, 1383, 1247, 1123, 996, 955, $784,688 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 1-(4-(Trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)piperidine, Compound 52.



Prepared according to the general procedure B using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $58 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), piperidine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.40 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $\left.0-1 \%\left(E t O A c+2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) / c y c l o h e x a n e\right)$, fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a colourless oil ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.143 \mathrm{mmol}, 71 \%$ ).

Appearance: Colourless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.52-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 6.88$ (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.08 (dd, $J=9.2,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 24.2,25.8,50.7,116.9,120.7\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=255.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), 121.8, $141.6\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 151.0.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $\mathbf{3 7 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-58.32$ (s).
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.48 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 246.3$.

HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 246.1100, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 246.1101$. $v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 2938, 1509, 1260, 1232, 1206, 1155, 1131, 1026, $920,835,807 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## N-Methyl-3-(piperidin-1-yl)benzamide, Compound 53.



Prepared according to the general procedure B using $N$-methyl-3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzamide ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), piperidine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-35 \%$ ( $\mathrm{EtOAc}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated in vacuo. The product was further purified by ion exchange chromatography (1 g Biotage sulphonic acid (SCX) cartridge, using sequential solvents methanol, 2 M ammonia/methanol). Fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as an off-white solid ( 27 mg , $0.124 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \%)$.

Appearance: Off-white solid.
M.pt.: $96-98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.53-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3H), 3.19 (t, $J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.27 (br. s., 1H), 7.02 (ddd, $J=8.3,2.7,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-$ $7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 24.2,25.7,26.8,50.3,114.9,116.5,119.0,129.0,135.6$, 152.3, 168.8.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.91 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 219.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 219.1492, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$219.1492.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): $3315,2934,2854,2806,1636,1598,1576,1543,1489,1443,1365,1343$, $1239,1127,940,755,693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## N,N-Dimethyl-3-(piperidin-1-yl)benzamide, Compound 54.



Prepared according to the general procedure $B$ using $N, N$-dimethyl-3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzamide ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), piperidine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.40 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 150 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-30 \%\left(\mathrm{EtOAc}^{+1 \%} \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated in vacuo. The product was further purified by ion exchange chromatography ( 1 g Biotage sulphonic acid (SCX) cartridge, using sequential solvents methanol, 2 M ammonia/methanol). Fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as an offwhite gum ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.164 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$ ).

Appearance: Off-white gum.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.51-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.97$ (br. s., 3 H ), 3.09 (br. s., 3H), 3.17 (t, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.79 (dd, $J=7.5,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.91-6.97 (m, 2H), 7.20-7.25 (m, 1H).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCI $_{3}$ ): $\delta 24.3,25.7,35.2,39.5,50.3,114.7,117.2,117.2,128.9$, 137.2, 152.1, 172.2.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.00 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 233.4$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 233.1648, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$233.1648.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 2932, 2854, 1635, 1599, 1575, 1485, 1444, 1389, 1267, 1244, 1096, 994, $911,749 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

1-(o-Tolyl)piperidine, Compound 55. ${ }^{131}$


Prepared according to the general procedure B using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(o-tolyl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), piperidine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 150 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-0.5\% (EtOAc + $\left.1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as an off-white gum ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.080 \mathrm{mmol}, 40 \%$ ).

Appearance: Off-white gum.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.54-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.83$ (t, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.94$ (ddd, $J=7.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.18$ (m, 2H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 17.8,24.5,26.6,53.4,119.0,122.6,126.4,130.9,132.7$, 153.0.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.52 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 176.3$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 2933, 2852, 2801, 1599, 1491, 1451, 1442, 1379, 1326, 1227, 1124, 1106, $1028,923,759,723 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 3-(Piperidin-1-yl)pyridine, Compound 56. ${ }^{132}$



Prepared according to the general procedure B using 3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)pyridine ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), piperidine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-20\% 3:1 EtOAc:EtOH/cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated in vacuo. The product was further purified by ion exchange chromatography ( 500 mg Biotage sulphonic acid (SCX) cartridge, using sequential solvents methanol, 2 M ammonia/methanol). Fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a brown gum ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.043$ mmol, 22\%).

Appearance: Brown gum.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.55-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.13$ (ddd, $J=8.6,4.6,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18$ (ddd, $J=8.3,2.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05$ (dd, $J=4.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 24.1,25.6,49.9,122.6,123.3,139.0,140.0,147.7$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.94 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$163.3.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 2935, 2854, 2810, 1582, 1488, 1451, 1424, 1384, 1346, 1245, 1131, 919 , 859, $797,707 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## tert-Butyl (3-(piperidin-1-yl)phenyl)carbamate, Compound 57.



Prepared according to the general procedure B using tert-butyl (3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), piperidine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 150 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-5 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane $)$, fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.152 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M.pt.: $94-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.51$ (s, 9 H ), 1.53-1.60 (m, 2H), 1.68 (dt, $J=11.2,5.6$ Hz, 4H), 3.14 (t, J = $5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.44 (br. s., 1H), 6.60 (dd, $J=8.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68$ (dd, $J=7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.08 (br. s., 1H), 7.09-7.14 (m, 1H).
${ }^{13}{ }^{3}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl $_{3}$ ): $\delta 24.4,25.8,28.4,50.5,80.2,106.6,109.3,111.3,129.3$, 139.2, 152.7, 153.0.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.36 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 277.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 277.1911, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 277.1914$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): $3328,2933,1698,1607,1532,1497,1443,1366,1236,1155,1053,1026$, 979, 954, 901, 861, 768, $692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 4-(4-(Piperidin-1-yl)benzyl)morpholine, Compound 58.



Prepared according to the general procedure B using 4-(4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzyl)morpholine ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), piperidine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 150 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-45 \%$ ( $\mathrm{EtOAc}+2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated in vacuo. The product was further purified by ion exchange chromatography ( 500 mg Biotage sulphonic acid (SCX) cartridge, using sequential solvents methanol, 2 M ammonia/methanol). Fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a colourless oil ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.146 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%$ ).

Appearance: Colourless oil
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): ס 1.53-1.61 (m, 2H), 1.66-1.75 (m, 4H), $2.42(\mathrm{t}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{t}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 7.17 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13}{ }^{3}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 24.3,25.9,50.7,53.6,63.0,67.1,116.2,128.0,130.0$, 151.4.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.17 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 261.4$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 261.1961, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$261.1961. $v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 2932, 2853, 2804, 1613, 1515, 1453, 1237, 1118, 1006, 915, $866 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
tert-Butyl 5-(piperidin-1-yl)indoline-1-carboxylate, Compound 59.


Prepared according to the general procedure B using tert-butyl 5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)indoline-1-carboxylate ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), piperidine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.40 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-7\% (EtOAc + 1\% $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as an off-white solid ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.129 \mathrm{mmol}, 65 \%$ ).

Appearance: Off-white solid.
M.pt.: $88-91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.51-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-$ 3.08 (m, 6H), 3.94 (br. s., 2H), 6.75 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80$ (s, 1H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.0 Hz , 0.5 H ), $7.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{2}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): ठ 24.2, 26.0, 27.3, 27.8, 28.5, 47.5, 47.7, 52.2, 52.3, 80.0, 81.1, 114.7, 114.8, 114.9, 116.0, 116.4, 131.7, 132.7, 135.3, 136.4, 148.3, 152.5, 152.8. LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.50 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 303.3$.

HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 303.2037, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 303.2069$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 2932, 2855, 2793, 1692, 1494, 1453, 1380, 1366, 1331, 1244, 1174, 1140, $1127,1018,950,862,813,762 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-Dibutylaniline, Compound 60. ${ }^{133}$



Prepared according to the general procedure B using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dibutylamine ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 150 mg ) in MeCN ( $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-1\% (EtOAc + 1\% $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a colourless oil ( $4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \%$ ).

Appearance: Brown oil (4 mg, 10\% yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.95(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.62(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H})$, 3.25-3.29 (m, 4H), 6.61-6.67 (m, 3H), 7.18-7.22 (m, 2H).

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.64 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 206.4$.

## N-IsopropyInaphthalen-2-amine, Compound 61. ${ }^{134}$



Prepared according to the general procedure $B$ using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), isopropylamine ( $34 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-1\% (EtOAc + 1\% Et $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated in vacuo. The product was further purified by ion exchange chromatography (1 g Biotage sulphonic acid (SCX) cartridge, using sequential solvents methanol, 2 M
ammonia/methanol). Fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as an offwhite oil ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.146 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%$ ).

Appearance: Brown oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.26$ (d, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.58 (br. s., 1H), 3.75 (sept, $J=$ $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.78 (d, $J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.1$, $6.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33$ (ddd, $J=8.3,6.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): б 22.9, 44.3, 105.0, 118.3, 121.8, 125.8, 126.2, 127.3, 127.6, 128.9, 135.3, 145.1.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.31 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 186.3$.
$\boldsymbol{v}_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 3403, 2966, 1627, 1603, 1522, 1485, 1398, 1361, 1225, 1191, 828, 807, $744 \mathrm{~cm}^{1}$.

## 3-(Phenethylamino)benzonitrile, Compound 62.



Prepared according to the general procedure B using 3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzonitrile ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-phenylethanamine ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-3 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane $)$, fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as an off-white solid ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.112 \mathrm{mmol}, 56 \%$ ).

Appearance: Off-white solid.
M.pt.: $61-63^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.92(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88$ (br. s., 1H), 6.73-6.81 (m, 2H), 6.95 (dt, $J=7.5,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.36(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 35.2,44.6,113.0,115.0,117.3,119.4,120.8,126.7$, 128.7, 128.8, 129.9, 138.6, 148.2.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.26 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 223.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 223.1230, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$223.1231.
$\boldsymbol{v}_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 3392, 3028, 2930, 2857, 2227, 1602, 1583, 1514, 1493, 1428, 1335, 1303, $780,751,700,682 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 2-Methyl-N-phenethylaniline, Compound 63.



Prepared according to the general procedure B using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(o-tolyl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-phenylethanamine ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $\left.0-1.5 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) / c y c l o h e x a n e\right)$, fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a yellow gum ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}, 71 \%$ ).

Appearance: Yellow gum.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 3.51 (br. s., 1H), 6.62-6.70 (m, 2H), 7.03 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.09-7.16 (m, 1H), 7.20-7.27 (m, 3H), 7.28-7.35 (m, 2H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 17.3,35.5,45.0,109.9,117.0,122.1,126.4,127.1$, 128.6, 128.8, 130.1, 139.4, 145.9.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.39 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 212.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 212.1434, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 212.1435$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 3419, 3023, 2920, 2853, 1606, 1587, 1514, 1473, 1453, 1317, 1263, 1130, $1052,746,700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## Methyl 1-phenylpiperidine-4-carboxylate, Compound 64.



Prepared according to the general procedure B using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), methyl piperidine-4-carboxylate ( $57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-1\% (EtOAc + $\left.1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as an off-white oil ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.123 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \%$ ).

Appearance: Light yellow oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.81-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=11.1$, $4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{td}, J=11.9,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.7,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $6.84(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 28.1,41.0,49.3,51.7,116.7,119.7,129.1,151.6,175.3$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.15 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 220.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 220.1332, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$220.1338.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 2951, 2811, 1733, 1598, 1496, 1448, 1388, 1314, 1251, 1194, 1168, 1043, $922,757,694 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## N,N-Dimethyl-1-phenylpiperidin-4-amine, Compound 65.



Prepared according to the general procedure $B$ using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester (41 mg, 0.20 mmol ), $N, N$-dimethylpiperidin-4-amine ( $56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-7\% (2 $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}) / \mathrm{DCM})$, fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a yellow solid (28 mg, $0.137 \mathrm{mmol}, 69 \%$ ).

Appearance: Yellow solid.
M.pt.: $45-48{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.64(\mathrm{qd}, J=12.1,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-$ $2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{td}, J=12.3,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 28.3,41.7,49.2,62.2,116.5,119.4,129.0,151.5$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.97 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 205.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 205.1699, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$205.1701.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 2945, 2770, 1600, 1499, 1378, 1220, 1190, 1153, 1065, 1040, 994, 918, $757,692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 4-Phenylthiomorpholine 1,1-dioxide, Compound 66.



Prepared according to the general procedure $B$ using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), thiomorpholine 1,1-dioxide ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 150 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-35\% (EtOAc + $\left.1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane). Fractions were evaporated in vacuo and the solid was triturated with cyclohexane and dried under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.109 \mathrm{mmol}, 54 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M.pt.: $123-124^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.05-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.26-7.34 (m, 2H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 47.7,50.6,116.4,120.9,129.8,147.7$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.78 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 212.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 212.0740, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 212.0737$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 2930, 1598, 1498, 1309, 1384, 1276, 1227, 1176, 1121, 975, 858, 756, $694 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

4-Phenylmorpholine, Compound 67. ${ }^{135}$


Prepared according to the general procedure B using phenyl boronic acid pinacol ester ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), morpholine ( $35 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$,
$\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 150 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-1.2\% (EtOAc + 1\% $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.141 \mathrm{mmol}, 71 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M.pt.: $54-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.16(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-$ 6.94 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.26-7.31 (m, 2H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): ס 49.4, 67.0, 115.7, 120.0, 129.2, 151.3.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.92 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 164.3$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 2953, 2844, 1603, 1501, 1453, 1331, 1263, 1239, 1123, 1067, 925, 758, $695 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## $N$-Butyl- $N$-methylaniline, Compound $68 .{ }^{133}$



Prepared according to the general procedure $B$ using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), N -methylbutan-1-amine ( $47 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 150 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-1\% (EtOAc + $\left.1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ heptane $)$, fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as an offwhite gum ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.086 \mathrm{mmol}, 43 \%$ ).

Appearance: Off-white gum.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.94(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.60(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 2.91 (s, 3H), 3.30 (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.63-6.72 (m, 3H), 7.18-7.26 (m, 2H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 14.0,20.4,28.9,38.3,52.5,112.1,115.8,129.2,149.4$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.42 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 164.3$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 2958, 2869, 1600, 1507, 1366, 1207, 746, $691 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## N-Methyl-N-(2-(methylsulfonyl)ethyl)aniline, Compound 69.



Prepared according to the general procedure $B$ using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $N$-methyl-2-(methylsulfonyl)ethanamine ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-36 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ heptane $)$, fractions were evaporated in vacuo. The product was further purified by ion exchange chromatography ( 1 g Biotage sulphonic acid (SCX) cartridge, using sequential solvents methanol, 2 M ammonia/methanol). Fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a colourless oil ( 18 mg , 0.084 mmol, 42\%).

Appearance: Colourless oil ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCI $)$ : $\delta 2.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.76-6.84 (m, 3H), 7.25-7.31 (m, 2H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCI}_{3}$ ): $\delta 38.8,42.1,46.7,51.4,113.2,118.2,129.6,148.1$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.82 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 214.2$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right.$requires 214.0896, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 214.0899$. $v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 2927, 1600, 1505, 1362, 1362, 1301, 1134, 955, 752, $695 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## $N$-Butylaniline, Compound 70. ${ }^{136}$



Prepared according to the general procedure $B$ using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-butylamine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 150 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-1 \%$ (EtOAc $+1 \%$ $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a colourless oil ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.141 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%$ ).

Appearance: Colourless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.95(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.37-1.48 (m, 2H), 1.55-1.64 (m, 2 H ), 3.10 (t, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.53 (br. s., 1H), 6.59 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.67 ( $\mathrm{t}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): ס 13.9, 20.3, 31.7, 43.7, 112.7, 117.1, 129.2, 148.6.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.26 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 150.3$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): $3414,2958,2869,1603,1506,1320,1262,1179,747,692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## $N$-Isopropylaniline, Compound 71. ${ }^{137}$



Prepared according to the general procedure $B$ using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester $(41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$, isopropylamine ( $34 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 150 mg ) in MeCN ( $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification
outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-1\% (EtOAc + 1\% $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ /cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as an offwhite oil ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.104 \mathrm{mmol}, 52 \%$ ).

Appearance: Yellow oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.20$ (d, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.37 (br. s., 1H), 3.62 (sept, $J=$ $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 23.0,44.2,113.3,117.0,129.3,147.5$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.12 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 136.2$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): $3397,2696,1603,1506,1317,1256,1179,748,693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
tert-Butyl (2-(phenylamino)ethyl)carbamate, Compound 72.


Prepared according to the general procedure B using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-butyl 4-(2-aminoethyl)piperidine-1-carboxylate ( $91 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-12 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ heptane $)$, fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as an off-white solid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.164 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$ ).

Appearance: Off-white solid.
M.pt.: $92-94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.06-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.69$ (d, $J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.69(\mathrm{t}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.14(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.53 (br. s., 1H), 4.01-4.16 (m, 2H), 6.59 (dd, $J=8.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.4,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 28.5,32.2,33.9,36.3,41.3,44.0,79.3,112.7,117.3$, 129.3, 148.4, 154.9.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.39 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 305.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 305.2224 , found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 305.2231$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): $3375,2922,2850,1675,1602,1507,1423,1365,1278,1244,1166,1143$, 1090, $968,866,747,693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## $N$-Cyclopentylaniline, Compound 73. ${ }^{138}$



Prepared according to the general procedure $B$ using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), cyclopentylamine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves (150 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-1\% (EtOAc + $\left.1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as an off-white gum ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.149 \mathrm{mmol}, 74 \%$ ).

Appearance: Off-white gum.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.40-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.61 (br. s., 1H), 3.73-3.82 (m, 1H), 6.59 (dd, $J=8.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.66$ (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 7.10-7.20 (m, 2H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 24.1,33.6,54.7,113.2,116.9,129.2,148.1$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.27 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 162.3$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): $3397,2955,2868,1602,1504,1429,1313,1180,747,692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
N -((Tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)methyl)aniline, Compound 74. ${ }^{139}$


Prepared according to the general procedure $B$ using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester $(41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$, (tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)methanamine ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, $0-9 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ heptane $)$, fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as an off-white oil (33 mg, $0.173 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \%$ ).

Appearance: Yellow oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.30-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{td}, J=11.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s} ., 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-4.03(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 H), 6.60(d d, J=8.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 H), 6.66-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 31.1,34.9,50.0,67.8,112.7,117.3,129.3,148.3$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.02 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$192.3.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3372, 2929, 2843, 1603, 1508, 1327, 1264, 1141, 1091, 1014, 984, 853, $749,693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## $N$-Phenethylaniline, Compound 75. ${ }^{140}$



Prepared according to the general procedure B using phenyl boronic acid pinacol ester $(41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), 2-$ phenylethanamine $(50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves (150
mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-1.2\% (EtOAc + $\left.1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as an off-white gum ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.172 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \%$ ).

Appearance: Off-white gum.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.91(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66$ (br. s., 1H), 6.61 (dd, $J=8.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{tt}, J=7.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 7.28-7.34 (m, 2H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 35.6,45.1,113.0,117.5,126.4,128.6,128.8,129.3$, 139.3, 148.0.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.31 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 198.3$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): 3409, 3025, 2928, 2861, 1602, 1506, 1454, 1319, 1262, 1180, 748, 693 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

## $N$-(4-Methoxybenzyl)aniline, Compound 76. ${ }^{140}$



Prepared according to the general procedure $B$ using phenyl boronic acid pinacol ester (41 mg, 0.20 mmol ), 4-methoxybenzylamine ( $52 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves (150 mg) in MeCN (400 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-2\% (EtOAc + $\left.1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as an off-white oil ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.169 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ ).

Appearance: Yellow oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.80$ (s, 3H), 3.92 (br. s., 1H), 4.25 (s, 2H), 6.63 (d, J = $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28$ (d, J = $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 47.8,55.3,112.9,114.1,117.5,128.8,129.2,131.4$, 148.2, 158.9.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.22 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$212.2.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 3416, 3011, 2927, 2835, 1603, 1509, 1465, 1431, 1302, 1246, 1177, 1033, $824,750,693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## $N$-(4,4,4-Trifluorobutyl)aniline, Compound 77. ${ }^{139}$



Prepared according to the general procedure B using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester $(41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), 4,4,4$-trifluorobutan-1-amine ( $51 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-1\% (EtOAc + $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/heptane), fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as an offwhite oil ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.138 \mathrm{mmol}, 69 \%$ ).

Appearance: Yellow oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.81-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 3.59 (br. s., 1H), 6.60 (dd, $J=8.7,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.68-6.76 (m, 1H), 7.13-7.22 (m, 2 H ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 22.2\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{C-F}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 31.5\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{C-F}=28.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 42.7$, 112.8, 117.8, $127.1\left(q, J_{C-F}=275.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.4,147.8$.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR (376 MHz, CDCI $)_{3}$ : $\delta-66.14(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{~F})$.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.21 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 204.3$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): $3414,2948,1604,1508,1391,1316,1295,1250,1225,1144,1028,750$, $693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 4-((Phenylamino)methyl)pyrrolidin-2-one, Compound 78.



Prepared according to the general procedure B using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-(aminomethyl)pyrrolidin-2-one ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ $(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 10-100\% (EtOAc $\left.+10 \% \mathrm{EtOH}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane). Fractions were evaporated in vacuo and the solid was triturated with cyclohexane and dried under vacuum to afford the desired product as an off-white solid ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.063 \mathrm{mmol}, 32 \%$ ).

Appearance: Off-white solid.
M.pt.: $95-98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCI $)_{3}$ : $\delta 2.16$ (dd, $J=17.1,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.9,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 2.72-2.85 (m, 1H), 3.15-3.26 (m, 3H), $3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.77 (br. s., 1H), 6.28 (br. s., 1H), 6.61 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.73 (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.18 (t, $J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 34.3,34.6,45.9,47.7,112.8,117.9,129.4,147.7,177.6$. LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.71 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 191.3$.

HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 191.1179, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$191.1176.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): $3335,2924,1682,1602,1499,1321,1262,750,694 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## $N$-(2-Methoxyethyl)aniline, Compound 79. ${ }^{137}$



Prepared according to the general procedure $B$ using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-methoxyethanamine ( $35 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 150 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-6\% (EtOAc + $\left.1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ heptane), fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as an offwhite oil ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.152 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%$ ).

Appearance: Yellow oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.28$ (t, $J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.38 (s, 3H), $3.60(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 4.00 (br. s., 1H), 6.60-6.66 (m, 2H), 6.71 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.13-7.20 (m, 2H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 43.5,58.7,71.1,113.1,117.6,129.2,148.2$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.90 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 152.3$.
$v_{\max }$ (neat): $3401,2891,1603,1507,1459,1320,1277,1193,1117,749,693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
tert-Butyl 4-(2-(phenylamino)ethyl)piperidine-1-carboxylate, Compound 80.


Prepared according to the general procedure $B$ using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-butyl (2-aminoethyl)carbamate ( $64 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 150 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-10\% (EtOAc $\left.+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ heptane), fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as an off-white solid ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.140 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%$ ).

Appearance: Off-white solid ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ yield).
M.pt.: 83-85 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94$ (br. s., 1H), 4.77 (br. s., 1 H ), $6.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.21$ (m, 2H)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 28.4,40.2,44.4,79.6,112.8,117.6,129.3,148.0,156.4$. LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.11 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 237.4$.

HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 237.1598, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$237.1602. $v_{\max }$ (neat): $3380,2977,1691,1604,1509,1366,1253,1169,989,749,693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## N-(2-(1,3-Dioxolan-2-yl)ethyl)aniline, Compound 81.



Prepared according to the general procedure B using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester (41 mg, 0.20 mmol ), 2-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)ethanamine (45 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-6\% (EtOAc + $\left.1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as an off-white oil (30 mg, $0.155 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ ).

Appearance: Light brown oil.
M.pt.: $95-98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.1,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.9,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.72-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77$ (br. s., 1H), 6.28 (br. s., 1H), 6.61 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8$ Hz, 2H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 34.3,34.6,45.9,47.7,112.8,117.9,129.4,147.7,177.6$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.71 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 191.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 191.1179, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$191.1176.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): $3335,2924,1682,1602,1499,1321,1262,750,694 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## N-((1,3,5-Trimethyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)methyl)aniline, Compound 82. ${ }^{137}$



Prepared according to the general procedure B using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ( $1,3,5-$ trimethyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)methanamine ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the general procedure (silica chromatography, 0-100\% (EtOAc + 1\% $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane), fractions were evaporated in vacuo. The product was further purified by ion exchange chromatography ( 1 g Biotage sulphonic acid (SCX) cartridge, using sequential solvents methanol, 2 M ammonia/methanol). Fractions were evaporated to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.181$ mmol, $91 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M.pt.: $106-107^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.21$ (s, 3H), 2.21 (s, 3H), 3.41 (br. s., 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.99 (s, 2H), 6.65 (dd, $J=8.4,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.69-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}{ }^{3}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 9.6,11.7,35.8,38.1,112.7,113.5,117.4,129.3,137.6$, 146.3, 148.4.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.98 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 216.3$.
$v_{\text {max }}$ (neat): 3300, 2925, 2823, 1601, 1511, 1470, 1436, 1384, 1317, 1256, 1177, 1150, 1098, 1069, 990, 870, $750,697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Unsuccessful compounds.
Aryl boronic pinacol esters 76, 77, 78, 79, 80 and 81 were tested following general procedure B using piperidine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 150 mg ) in MeCN $(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to LCMS analysis. No corresponding desired product was observed.

Amines 82, 83, 84, 85, 86 and 87 were tested following general procedure $B$ using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 150 mg ) in MeCN $(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to LCMS analysis. No desired product was observed for amines 82, 83 and 84 . Cyclisation of amine 85 to the corresponding lactam was observed (Method $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{t}=0.43 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=72.1,63 \%$ ) and only trace amount of desired product was observed (Method A, $\mathrm{t}=1.08 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$ 180.2,. $<5 \%$ ). Amide 86 afforded the desired product in really low yield (Method A, $\mathrm{t}=$ $\left.1.16 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=198.3, .<10 \%\right)$. Similarly, sulfonamide 87 afforded low conversion to the desired product (Method A, $\mathrm{t}=1.18 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=234.1, .<20 \%$ ).

## 15. Chapter 2

### 15.1. General experimental procedures.

### 15.1.1. General Procedure A for the Chan-Lam amination of boronic acid using $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}$.

Aryl boronic acid (2 equiv, $0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ), amine (1 equiv, 0.20 mmol ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (1 equiv, $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 36 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2 equiv, $0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 41 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(200 \mathrm{mg})$ in DCM $(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ were sealed in an oven dried 5 mL microwave vial under air and stirred at rt for 16 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated under vacuum to give a residue that was purified by silica chromatography (EtOAc/cyclohexane with $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ modifier). Appropriate fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product.

### 15.1.2. General Procedure B for the Chan-Lam amination of boronic acid pinacol (BPin) esters using $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathbf{N}$.

Aryl BPin (2 equiv, $0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ), amine (1 equiv, 0.20 mmol ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (1 equiv, $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 36 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2 equiv, $0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 41 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(200 \mathrm{mg})$ in DCM $(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ were sealed in an oven dried 5 mL microwave vial under air and stirred at rt for 16 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated under vacuum to give a residue that was purified by silica chromatography (EtOAc/cyclohexane with $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ modifier). Appropriate fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product.

### 15.1.3. General Procedure C for the Chan-Lam amination of boronic acid using $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$.

Aryl boronic acid (1 equiv, 0.20 mmol ), amine (2 equiv, $0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (1 equiv, $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 36 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ (2 equiv, $0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 24 \mathrm{mg}$ ), and powdered
activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(200 \mathrm{mg})$ in DCM $(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ were sealed in an oven dried 5 mL microwave vial under air and stirred at rt for 16 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated under vacuum to give a residue that was purified by silica chromatography (EtOAc/cyclohexane with $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ modifier). Appropriate fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product.

### 15.1.4. General Procedure D for the Chan-Lam amination of boronic acid pinacol (BPin) esters using $B(O H)_{3}$.

Aryl BPin (1 equiv, $0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.66 \mathrm{M}$ ), alkyl amine (2 equiv, 0.60 mmol ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 0.2 equiv, $0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 11 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ (2 equiv, $0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 36 \mathrm{mg}$ ), and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(100 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ were sealed in an oven dried 5 mL microwave vial under $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ atmosphere and stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (preheated sand bath, sand temperature) for 24 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool down to room temperature, filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated under vacuum to give a residue that was purified by silica chromatography (EtOAc/cyclohexane with $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ modifier). Appropriate fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product.

### 15.2. Results

### 15.2.1. Identification of products and by-products

1-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)piperidine, Compound 45.


Prepared according to General Procedure A using [1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid (80 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), piperidine ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$
molecular sieves ( 200 mg ) in DCM ( $0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, $0-10 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane $)$, fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ).

Prepared according to General Procedure B using 2-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $112 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv), piperidine ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20$ mmol, 1 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(200 \mathrm{mg})$ in DCM ( $0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-10\% (EtOAc + 1\% $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane), fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$ ).

Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## N-Phenyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-amine, Compound 18.



Prepared according to General Procedure A using [1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid (80 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv), aniline ( $18 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20$ mmol, 1 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 200 mg ) in DCM ( $0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, $0-10 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane $)$, fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ).

Prepared according to General Procedure B using 2-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (112 mg, 0.40 mmol , 2 equiv), aniline ( $18 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20$ mmol, 1 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv $), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 200 mg ) in DCM ( $0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-10\% (EtOAc + 1\% $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane), fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid (8 mg, 16\%).

Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## [1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-ol, Compound 19. ${ }^{141}$



Prepared according to General Procedure A using [1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid (80 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), piperidine ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv $)$ and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(200 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M})$. After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, $0-10 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane $)$, fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid (2 mg, 6\%).

Appearance: White solid.
M.pt.: $164-165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): ~ \delta 6.84-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.42(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): ~ \delta 115.7,125.9,126.3,127.7,128.7,130.9,140.2$.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.89 \mathrm{~min}$.

## 1,1'-Biphenyl, Compound 20. ${ }^{142}$



Prepared according to General Procedure A using [1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid (40 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), piperidine ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 200 mg ) in DCM ( $0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, $0-10 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane $)$, fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M.pt.: $69-71^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): ठ 7.36-7.39 (m, 2H), 7.45-7.49 (m, 4H), 7.62-7.64 (m, 4H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 127.1,127.2,128.7,141.2$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.31 \mathrm{~min}$.

## 4,4"-Oxydi-1,1'-biphenyl, Compound 21. ${ }^{143}$



Prepared according to General Procedure A using [1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid (40 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), piperidine ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(200 \mathrm{mg})$ in DCM ( $0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ). After 24 hr , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-10\% (EtOAc + 1\% $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane), fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 16 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M.pt.: $191-194^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 7.12-7.16 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.33-7.37 (m, 2H), 7.43-7.47 (m, 4H), 7.58-7.81 (m, 8H)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 119.2,126.9,127.1,128.5,128.8,136.5,140.5,156.8$. LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.67 \mathrm{~min}$.

## 1,1':4',1":4",1"-Quaterphenyl, Compound 147. ${ }^{144}$



To a flame dried 5 mL microwave vial were added [1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid (40 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), distilled piperidine ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), distilled $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(200 \mathrm{mg})$ in DCM ( $0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ). After 24 hr at rt , the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated under vacuum to give a residue that was purified by silica chromatography (0-10\% (EtOAc + $\left.1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane). Appropriate fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 6 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M.pt.: $318-320^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz; $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 7.36-7.40 (m, 2H), 7.43-7.49 (m, 8H), 7.55-7.59 (m, 8H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 121.5,126.9,127.6,128.7,128.9,131.9,140.0,140.1$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.67 \mathrm{~min}$.

### 15.2.2. Reaction profile of the Chan-Lam amination of 146 with piperidine

145. 


[1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv), piperidine ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20$ mmol, 1 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 200 mg ) in DCM ( $0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ) were sealed in an oven dried 5 mL microwave vial under air and stirred at rt . The reaction profile was monitored by aliquoting the reaction mixture over time intervals of 5 , 10, 15, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, 360, 480, and 960 minutes. The aliquots were analysed by HPLC against an internal standard.

| Time (min) | $\mathbf{4 5}(\%)$ | $\mathbf{1 9}(\%)$ | $\mathbf{2 0}(\%)$ | $\mathbf{2 1}(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 5 | 40 | 27 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 13 | 45 | 39 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 14 | 51 | 53 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 17 | 55 | 58 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | 10 | 58 | 65 | 10 |
| $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ | 8 | 63 | 72 | 12 |
| $\mathbf{4 8 0}$ | 6 | 68 | 80 | 15 |
| $\mathbf{9 6 0}$ | 5 | 70 | 84 | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |

15.2.3. Reaction profile of the Chan-Lam amination of 146 with aniline 16.

[1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), aniline ( $14 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(200 \mathrm{mg})$ in DCM $(0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M})$ were sealed in an oven dried 5 mL microwave vial under air and stirred at rt . The reaction profile was monitored by aliquoting the reaction mixture over time intervals of $5,10,15$, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, 360, 480, and 960 minutes. The aliquots were analysed by HPLC against an internal standard.

| Time (min) | $\mathbf{1 8}(\%)$ | $\mathbf{1 9}(\%)$ | $\mathbf{2 0}(\%)$ | $\mathbf{2 1}(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 3 | 45 | 13 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 10 | 50 | 24 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 11 | 54 | 35 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 11 | 59 | 43 | 4 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | 9 | 65 | 51 | 7 |
| $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ | 7 | 68 | 60 | 9 |
| $\mathbf{4 8 0}$ | 5 | 72 | 75 | 12 |
| $\mathbf{9 6 0}$ | 3 | 73 | 92 | 12 |

### 15.2.4. Assessment of Inhibitors

### 15.2.4.1. Effect of AcOH using piperidine 145.

[1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), piperidine ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20$ mmol, 1 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv $), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), AcOH (x equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 200 mg ) in DCM ( $0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ) were sealed in an oven dried 5 mL microwave vial under air and stirred at rt. After 16 h , an aliquot of the reaction mixture was analysed by HPLC against an internal standard.

| Experiment number | Equivalents of AcOH | HPLC yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 1 | $66 \%$ |
| 3 | 2 | $48 \%$ |

### 15.2.4.1. Effect of AcOH using aniline 16.

[1, 1'-Biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv), aniline ( $18 \mu \mathrm{LL}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), AcOH (x equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(200 \mathrm{mg})$ in DCM $(0.8$ $\mathrm{mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ) were sealed in an oven dried 5 mL microwave vial under air and stirred at rt. After 16 h , an aliquot of the reaction mixture was analysed by HPLC against an internal standard.

| Experiment number | Equivalents of AcOH | HPLC yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 1 | $58 \%$ |
| 3 | 2 | $34 \%$ |

### 15.2.4.3. Effect of AcOK using piperidine 145.

[1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), piperidine ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20$ mmol, 1 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), AcOK (x equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ Å molecular sieves ( 200 mg ) in DCM
( $0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ) were sealed in an oven dried 5 mL microwave vial under air and stirred at rt. After 16 h , an aliquot of the reaction mixture was analysed by HPLC against an internal standard.

| Experiment number | Equivalents of AcOK | HPLC yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 1 | $92 \%$ |
| 3 | 2 | $100 \%$ |

### 15.4.2.4. Effect of AcOK using aniline 16.

[1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv), aniline ( $18 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), AcOK (x equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 200 mg ) in DCM (0.8 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ) were sealed in an oven dried 5 mL microwave vial under air and stirred at rt. After 16 h , an aliquot of the reaction mixture was analysed by HPLC against an internal standard.

| Experiment number | Equivalents of AcOK | HPLC yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 1 | $63 \%$ |
| 3 | 2 | $40 \%$ |

### 15.4.2.5. Effect of pinacol using piperidine 145.

[1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), piperidine ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20$ mmol, 1 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), pinacol (x equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 200 mg ) in DCM ( $0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ) were sealed in an oven dried 5 mL microwave vial under air and stirred at rt. After 16 h , an aliquot of the reaction mixture was analysed by HPLC against an internal standard.

| Experiment number | Equivalents of pinacol | HPLC yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.1 | $85 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.25 | $82 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.5 | $75 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.75 | $72 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 1 | $67 \%$ |

### 15.2.4.6. Effect of pinacol using aniline 16.

[1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv), aniline ( $18 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), pinacol (x equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 200 mg ) in DCM (0.8 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ) were sealed in an oven dried 5 mL microwave vial under air and stirred at rt. After 16 h , an aliquot of the reaction mixture was analysed by HPLC against an internal standard.

| Experiment number | Equivalents of pinacol | HPLC yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.1 | $76 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.25 | $64 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.5 | $50 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.75 | $29 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 1 | $9 \%$ |

### 15.2.5. Identification and analysis of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes.

### 15.2.5.1. EPR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$.

X-band EPR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right.$ ] recorded in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ solution at 150 K (experimental conditions: frequency, 9.4278 GHz ; power, 2.0 mW ; modulation, 0.8 mT ). Experimental data are represented by the black line; simulation is depicted by the red trace which is composed of two subspectra. Asterisk denotes mathematical artifact from the simulation.

Subspectrum 1 (93\%): $g=(2.46,2.12,2.12)$

$$
D=0.357 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}
$$

$$
E / D=0
$$

Subspectrum 2 ( $7 \%$ ): $\quad g=(2.359,2.089,2.053$ )

$$
A\left\{^{63,65} \mathrm{Cu}\right\}=(143,8,0) \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}
$$

### 15.2.5.2. EPR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ with aniline 16.



X-band EPR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ with 2 equiv. 4 in MeCN solution at 293 K (experimental conditions: frequency, 9.8475 GHz ; power, 6.3 mW ; modulation, 0.8 mT ). Experimental data are represented by the black line; simulation is depicted by the red trace: $\left.g_{\text {iso }}=2.131, A_{\text {iso }}{ }^{63,65} \mathrm{Cu}\right\}=61 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

### 15.2.5.3. EPR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ with piperidine 145.



X-band EPR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ with 2 equiv. 2 in MeCN solution at 293 K (experimental conditions: frequency, 9.8483 GHz ; power, 6.3 mW ; modulation, 0.8 mT ).

Experimental data are represented by the black line; simulation is depicted by the red trace: $g_{\text {iso }}=2.124, A_{\text {iso }}\left\{\left\{^{63,65} \mathrm{Cu}\right\}=70.5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$.

### 15.2.5.4. EPR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ with aryl BPin 17.



Comparison of the X-band EPR spectra of (a) $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (experimental conditions: frequency, 9.8483 GHz ; power, 6.3 mW ; modulation, 0.8 mT ), and (b) $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ with 1 equiv. 10 (experimental conditions: frequency, 9.8492 GHz ; power, 6.3 mW ; modulation, 0.8 mT ) in MeCN solution at 293 K .
15.2.5.5. Structural characterisation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes.

| compound | $\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{6}\right]\right.$ | $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{4}\left(\mu^{3}-\right.\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| solvent | none | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ |
| formula | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{14} \mathrm{Cu}_{4}$ |
| $\mathbf{f w}$ | 653.66 | 1065.14 |
| $\boldsymbol{T}, \mathbf{K}$ | 150 | 150 |
| $\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{A}$ | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| 2 $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ range, deg | $4.62-46.11$ | $7.67-58.27$ |
| crystal system | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| space group | $12 / a$ | $P 2_{1} / n$ |
| a, $\boldsymbol{A}$ | $16.850(10)$ | $10.5862(4)$ |
| $\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{A}$ | $9.878(8)$ | $15.0155(6)$ |
| $\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{A}$ | $18.290(11)$ | $15.7337(6)$ |


| $\alpha$, deg | 90 | 90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta$, deg | 105.79(6) | 109.653(5) |
| $\gamma$, deg | 90 | 90 |
| $V, A^{3}$ | 2929(3) | 2355.3(2) |
| Z | 4 | 2 |
| $\rho, \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 1.482 | 1.502 |
| $\mu, \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.511 | 1.847 |
| crystal size | $0.05 \times 0.05 \times 0.05$ | $0.22 \times 0.28 \times 0.32$ |
| color, habit | green block | blue prism |
| limiting indices, $h$ | $-21<h<21$ | $-13<h<14$ |
| limiting indices, $\boldsymbol{k}$ | $-12<k<12$ | $-14<k<20$ |
| limiting indices, $I$ | $-22<1<22$ | $-19<1<20$ |
| reflections collected | 13606 | 11497 |
| independent data | 3008 | 5377 |
| restraints | 0 | 0 |
| parameters refined | 175 | 275 |
| GoF ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.036 | 1.043 |
| R1 ${ }^{\text {b,c }}$ WR2 ${ }^{\text {d,c }}$ | 0.0483, 0.1045 | 0.0342, 0.0724 |
| R1, ${ }^{\text {b,e }}$ wR2 ${ }^{\text {d,e }}$ | 0.0831, 0.1226 | 0.0474, 0.0790 |
| largest diff. peak, e | 0.567 | 0.430 |
| largest diff. hole, e | -0.650 | -0.507 |

${ }^{a}$ GoF $=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}-F_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] /(n-p)\right\}^{1 / 2}$, where $n=$ number of reflections and $p$ is the total number of parameters refined. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{R} 1=\Sigma| | F_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| \Sigma\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right| .{ }^{c} \mathrm{R}$ indices for data cut off at $I$ $>2 \sigma(I) .{ }^{d} w R 2=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{0}^{2}-F_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[w\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}$, where $w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(a P)^{2}+b P\right], P=$ $\left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 .{ }^{e} R$ indices for all data.


Molecular structure of $\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{6}\right]\right.$ (only one piperidinium cation is shown) (Atom colours: $\mathrm{Cu}=$ cyan, $\mathrm{O}=$ red, $\mathrm{N}=$ blue, $\mathrm{C}=$ black, $\mathrm{H}=$ grey).


Structure of the neutral complex in crystals of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{4}\left(\mu^{3}-\mathrm{OH}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{6}\left(\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (Atom colours: $\mathrm{Cu}=$ cyan, $\mathrm{O}=$ red, $\mathrm{N}=$ blue, $\mathrm{C}=$ black, $\mathrm{H}=$ grey).
15.2.5.6. HRMS identification of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes in solution.

Complex 150.


HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{CuN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 266.0686, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 266.0683$.
Complex 151.


HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right.$requires 431.0663, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 431.0668$.
Complex 153.


HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{BCuN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 546.2321, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 546.2332$.
Complex 154.


HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{CuN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 402.1363, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 402.1351$.

### 15.2.6. Transmetallation step.

### 15.2.6.1. Detection of BPinOH.



To a solution of 2-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( 28 mg , $0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added aniline ( $18 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(28 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ). The reaction mixture was stirred at RT and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR were recorded at $\mathrm{t}=0,120$, and 360 minutes. $A{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR was recorded at 360 min.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 2-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 1.33$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR BPinOH ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): ठ 1.23.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR pinacol ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 1.13$.
${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR BPin-OH (128 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta$ 22.4.



### 15.2.6.2. Molecular modeling of the transmetallation and reductive eliminations

## steps

Note: cis/trans isomerism was also evaluated in molecular modeling; however, these were isoenergic within the experimental error limits of the program ( $<1.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ difference).

## Geometry Optimized Coordinates for a model of 150.



| Cu | -0.27621755818233 | 12.04823613751653 | 0.66262214737683 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O | 1.12545840135707 | 11.25908850270950 | 3.53097114514547 |
| O | -0.01286559725544 | 11.55514001343177 | -1.13728913507343 |
| N | -0.52674477388380 | 10.20312703654218 | 1.52166965223370 |
| C | -2.07512660324267 | 14.58576624880768 | -0.15703810425941 |
| C | -2.94925647699254 | 15.67971926302897 | -0.51866926821912 |
| C | -1.74443843687534 | 7.57677658725053 | 2.05055222484074 |
| C | -0.12123443443627 | 9.00817540376488 | 0.74278153742478 |
| C | -1.92136519247086 | 10.09960965824866 | 2.02992459668367 |


| C | -0.30556771170103 | 7.70827939937808 | 1.53694627304076 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -2.14271297578006 | 8.82196516646095 | 2.85143888793059 |
| C | 0.91932030906679 | 12.48058117275149 | 3.33195026372546 |
| C | 1.43578302106170 | 13.51482193993500 | 4.32050431057310 |
| O | 0.28189041367982 | 12.97762999243163 | 2.31800302704505 |
| N | -1.37456156947763 | 13.70733993073715 | 0.12938208461863 |
| H | 0.12123115863216 | 10.35113097598024 | 2.32935252634749 |
| H | -2.53492256958690 | 16.21298175659842 | -1.38511912664748 |
| H | -3.04227652987531 | 16.37644758489299 | 0.32574517753460 |
| H | -3.94272218104393 | 15.28926003388192 | -0.77698719915634 |
| H | -1.84912292801910 | 6.67283419728154 | 2.67000324813434 |
| H | -2.42874963990851 | 7.45864908057334 | 1.19262308251406 |
| H | 0.92846460750436 | 9.13656517224971 | 0.44373398827532 |
| H | -0.73521326585010 | 8.97854399184394 | -0.17079787859455 |
| H | -2.59978089242845 | 10.11551401497675 | 1.16202187263021 |
| H | -2.12747702530829 | 10.99407465869764 | 2.63452083668288 |
| H | -0.03892955526657 | 6.86018341063762 | 0.88707271248504 |
| H | 0.39877463407139 | 7.69560663559206 | 2.38594664851457 |
| H | -1.54481716131739 | 8.87983860200631 | 3.77627381341812 |
| H | -3.19997099432117 | 8.77785672741837 | 3.15487925640031 |
| H | 0.61582632135700 | 14.17228601768069 | 4.64105281688206 |
| H | 2.18776563208377 | 14.14793373403758 | 3.82639931287736 |
| H | 1.88676260083415 | 13.03019343666229 | 5.19364944276648 |
| H | 0.33543238057547 | 10.64758788199355 | -1.18240674215127 |

Total energy $=-2330.13172513 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}}$
Total Gibbs enthalpy $=-2329.91503204 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}}$

## Geometry Optimized Coordinates for a model of 156.



Cu -0.88401223951011
12.58743029700788
0.15805763543864

O -0.67758111163715
11.42441557094577 3.23130614847945
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { O } & -2.52871278738815 & 11.40078056524072 & 1.37958418705716\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -2.08706239837562 & 15.41086485278061 & 0.55591038620001\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -2.64947091478890 & 16.72920419338261 & 0.74790002489256\end{array}$
C $\quad 0.35863105909495 \quad 12.03091769372193 \quad 2.82406772499909$
C $1.54652110468076 \quad 12.11659117944145 \quad 3.77228377589007$
$\begin{array}{llll}O & 0.49565434679650 & 12.56962516349498 & 1.67139030846210\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}N & -1.63359102566259 & 14.35287473571477 & 0.40058842569675\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & -3.20739435341764 & 12.05059746894449 & 1.63393484718229\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { H } & -2.12614891114416 & 17.45267579579261 & 0.10813585337402\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & -2.54094096380315 & 17.03190868595468 & 1.79862780375556\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}H & -3.71577174475231 & 16.72033942680211 & 0.48276694598469\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { H } & 1.21396095599769 & 12.48208949266027 & 4.75341130863743\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}H & 2.33492802328135 & 12.76885064581552 & 3.37973072408741\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}H & 1.95694908515359 & 11.10711831944873 & 3.92322781608164\end{array}$
C $\quad 0.4606818147280311 .68745965992708$-2.30741962459826
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -0.10461841375814 & 11.86181640071726 & -3.73483186063034\end{array}$
C $-1.0019138371717710 .67747628691198 \quad-4.11002972647597$
C $-2.0656227286448910 .44427087446859 \quad-3.03209669953755$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -1.40921542787999 & 10.32438274145503 & -1.63846374487421\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}N & -0.60041278903605 & 11.49315564763929 & -1.33173401815639\end{array}$

| H | 1.06596705144804 | 12.55919650665590 | -2.02326232277135 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 1.12628362132826 | 10.79814234820556 | -2.30531719052784 |
| H | 0.73557099118686 | 11.96639346738698 | -4.43861938343885 |
| H | -0.68266721354638 | 12.80020922754244 | -3.77368913613238 |
| H | -1.47685331080514 | 10.85178986003144 | -5.08839166120180 |
| H | -0.38248614205057 | 9.76908016952347 | -4.21206356748068 |
| H | -2.64429180661623 | 9.52909026711253 | -3.23354215144178 |
| H | -2.77868421936607 | 11.28591747973677 | -3.01728638385473 |
| H | -2.16772680389926 | 10.19831812350010 | -0.85524658391641 |
| H | -0.76104027432060 | 9.42178808616407 | -1.63960652635855 |
| H | -1.85891949612116 | 11.39901901987241 | 2.15104240417823 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Total energy }=-2330.13294743 E_{h} \\
& \text { Total Gibbs enthalpy }=-2329.91798942 E_{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Geometry Optimized Coordinates for a model of 152.



| Cu | -0.05531969944551 | 11.99415017439532 | 1.27136727766436 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O | 0.41272631275963 | 10.61059403298983 | 4.32674332232371 |
| O | 0.07827222010807 | 11.57344386020974 | -0.57275290258747 |
| N | -0.68781884503190 | 10.09113527974435 | 1.69248581183611 |
| C | -0.26749258816899 | 15.10195043791924 | 0.65780851574351 |
| C | -0.37518654795757 | 16.51149461635258 | 0.36018735978283 |


| C | -2.09971546182587 | 7.58677955554857 | 1.04982220959884 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -0.02000866924940 | 9.02250514378936 | 0.90633015387953 |
| C | -2.16869589688974 | 10.06295655462571 | 1.54300495274551 |
| C | -0.57840114414489 | 7.63203655460856 | 1.23273929424346 |
| C | -2.76727943508997 | 8.69131760363080 | 1.87618254012942 |
| C | 0.55936052493760 | 11.88499862929404 | 4.24997293466773 |
| C | 0.95007181905034 | 12.68875177083166 | 5.46124861053218 |
| O | 0.37461243032431 | 12.51682283939871 | 3.16269861436643 |
| N | -0.17949722611158 | 13.97114745852158 | 0.89689912252191 |
| B | 0.66220440340535 | 9.59829311671039 | 5.68930725574281 |
| O | 0.69154285010897 | 8.29562065436308 | 5.05776959782362 |
| O | 1.96276790343246 | 9.84812613183718 | 6.25200574071410 |
| C | 2.89043550065557 | 8.88237018197623 | 5.69986100385525 |
| C | 1.94628794155432 | 7.65747466722448 | 5.38899097506156 |
| C | 1.71467827980334 | 6.76594346570193 | 6.62108405754313 |
| C | 2.39273425824121 | 6.79082109122185 | 4.21108430895843 |
| H | 1.05674658279178 | 9.07557568212266 | 1.11946607279446 |
| H | -0.16225172115781 | 9.26723420589103 | -0.15579514586039 |
| H | -2.49188393149373 | 6.60112505273988 | 1.34383809974581 |
| H | -0.53570943420956 | 9.47594481169082 | 4.43747998911045 |
| C | -2.77612948569413 | 10.37918367268590 | 8.41759025622025 |
| C | -1.46311644289434 | 10.58115451054101 | 8.85619943684961 |
| C | -0.38983290711756 | 10.34790426329802 | 7.98702340625395 |
| C | -3.00028452867850 | 9.94121593952828 | 7.10621469003355 |
| C | -1.91763219570712 | 9.71608829763225 | 6.24915617135584 |
| C | -0.58493878921599 | 9.91318098544270 | 6.66230864774456 |
| H | -0.42446321188990 | 9.99321269570667 | 2.68877696852448 |
| H |  |  |  |


| H | $-2.39125966602481$ | 10.32987170058887 | 0.49865180635201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -2.59167088406699 | 10.84594227400532 | 2.18835396385249 |
| H | -0.08068552618784 | 6.89363395104381 | 0.58576442510041 |
| H | -0.32208066776224 | 7.37496998437937 | 2.27414022506900 |
| H | -2.63185712106595 | 8.48334208484505 | 2.95156418480491 |
| H | -3.85232956056732 | 8.72721684749692 | 1.69190914683592 |
| H | 1.81146423730337 | 12.21755950667510 | 5.95174694900639 |
| H | 0.11993717994441 | 12.66707811543625 | 6.18387186390228 |
| H | 1.16771083452443 | 13.72422821098232 | 5.18243786916566 |
| H | 1.41306394001565 | 7.36637107351313 | 7.49077069388822 |
| H | 2.61231198124204 | 6.18761431906076 | 6.88669795889850 |
| H | 0.90537567599243 | 6.05729462127941 | 6.39485916683833 |
| H | 3.38240391310773 | 6.34802477337512 | 4.40028014784658 |
| H | 2.44042984422987 | 7.36870489662320 | 3.27948054308223 |
| H | 1.67808893372439 | 5.96764766006769 | 4.06604761701250 |
| H | 3.53223055352952 | 8.31562062601938 | 7.70516176306040 |
| H | 4.57643763971034 | 9.51245022261478 | 6.89968648146380 |
| H | 4.64644992299794 | 7.80368388331514 | 6.40895008750445 |
| H | 4.00066579015836 | 10.43814428772829 | 4.69770360969971 |
| H | 2.78602999715248 | 9.65616658189331 | 3.65584321538645 |
| H | 4.31886626851364 | 8.81753906344311 | 4.03322229913248 |
| H | -3.61751090390152 | 10.55954198980252 | 9.08998872738996 |
| H | -1.27545901095184 | 10.92164408027552 | 9.87735884518576 |
| H | 0.63158917800732 | 10.50193187407506 | 8.34353403982795 |
| H | -4.02129919121242 | 9.77452592599470 | 6.75417063675678 |
| H | -2.11592960835108 | 9.37092060685615 | 5.23058105334667 |
| H | 0.10149408624233 | 12.42332914428348 | -1.04975222961721 |

[^0]Geometry Optimized Coordinates for a model of 153.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cu | $-0.26163872828714$ | 11.63847221303279 | 3.57402824947036 |
| 0 | 0.67341253167243 | 13.05960163828041 | 4.79409749828802 |
| 0 | 1.09153794502957 | 10.16814120807488 | 3.64967260436188 |
| N | -1.69095506239919 | 10.38504478007787 | 2.82697923888791 |
| C | 0.95426211791962 | 13.29985554715827 | 0.87243529235477 |
| C | 1.52179871413928 | 14.14032852694100 | -0.16126248798588 |
| C | $-3.75822563154850$ | 9.34287475991717 | 1.00266127477182 |
| C | $-1.32497557211798$ | 9.82068109538222 | 1.49799913711401 |
| C | -3.06453200459278 | 10.95900460777776 | 2.82443526373641 |
| C | -2.33490197254942 | 8.77106836240420 | 1.02073368245581 |
| C | -4.11392061897389 | 9.93661903927573 | 2.37127265631871 |
| C | -0.43612770868019 | 13.68814411118811 | 4.85951978304123 |
| C | -0.57370252477178 | 14.96022041480758 | 5.64423749103496 |
| 0 | -1.44574183867659 | 13.19529758248406 | 4.23905930927421 |
| N | 0.49784372998548 | 12.62542100905583 | 1.70012779411464 |
| B | 1.27499168234411 | 9.13723546595534 | 4.81863530055188 |
| 0 | 1.87433166735976 | 7.92937906171847 | 4.21968672144846 |
| O | 2.26630726294942 | 9.62832542957593 | 5.78922489386649 |
| C | 3.50055699904029 | 8.90763354570620 | 5.60462405968930 |
| C | 3.00150526608701 | 7.53076898959094 | 5.02278930302588 |
| C | 2.50606418586695 | 6.58027050791741 | 6.12721707105725 |
| C | 4.01147405139940 | 6.80292584313144 | 4.13538460396223 |
| C | 4.22044464780896 | 8.81079114241970 | 6.95106346611723 |
| C | 4.39333974688690 | 9.67483948641450 | 4.61081144089148 |


| C | -2.79633265031873 | 8.57793162118429 | 6.59177253400291 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -2.00225138555190 | 9.66791425005362 | 6.96924084017226 |
| C | -0.73148655640702 | 9.83802943748045 | 6.40763066142557 |
| C | -2.30239933844576 | 7.66319513061598 | 5.65574515602112 |
| C | -1.02470633552068 | 7.84287488331468 | 5.10633508204256 |
| C | -0.20559741432222 | 8.93330744034845 | 5.46239479633641 |
| H | -1.65384657456711 | 9.62000405582768 | 3.51965880426024 |
| H | 1.73862092130260 | 13.53813938004228 | -1.05384150665150 |
| H | 2.45301792796140 | 14.59642658162332 | 0.20053822635442 |
| H | 0.8104169312584 | 14.9343539940431 | -0.42573693920839 |
| H | -4.48292850078912 | 8.56310165452649 | 0.72331484300003 |
| H | -3.82370438232425 | 10.13197319325882 | 0.23287761902480 |
| H | -0.31408066589919 | 9.40205334664768 | 1.58349123735823 |
| H | -1.2845569583319 | 10.66257229513658 | 0.79039118857154 |
| H | -3.05469558578850 | 11.82554149436559 | 2.14556465670974 |
| H | -3.27818033589096 | 11.33284898016630 | 3.83304917846120 |
| H | -2.03504505702959 | 8.42409652547616 | 0.02010868729286 |
| H | -2.29048863928675 | 7.89395014161449 | 1.68923343063760 |
| H | -4.18013803387819 | 9.12901706887429 | 3.12049119459316 |
| H | -5.09794554611299 | 10.42974893120078 | 2.34560866458130 |
| H | 0.40301339131110 | 15.31867351774862 | 5.98656356255000 |
| H | -1.21780599620491 | 14.77813052074004 | 6.51732121074402 |
| H | -1.06222062687296 | 15.7288627542765 | 5.0297194678295 |
| H | 1.80033168730411 | 7.09424086091165 | 6.79423093146639 |
| H | 3.33507152109981 | 6.17977936597722 | 6.72853280613645 |
| H | 1.98225388696526 | 5.73426715936109 | 5.65881015191042 |
| H | 4.92139457312014 | 6.54448980625426 | 4.69772189253634 |
| H | 4.29848237860640 | 7.41125222320285 | 3.26782295960584 |
| H | 3.57082184576696 | 5.86545823313250 | 3.76482024663095 |
| H | 3.56469436408724 | 8.38936322147831 | 7.72358644240424 |
| H | 4.53544179965197 | 9.81328513601787 | 7.27716556589638 |
| H | 5.12256723964972 | 8.18475697004479 | 6.8735672032576 |


| H | 4.50240886811290 | 10.71204940411118 | 4.95943588667305 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 3.95474969476486 | 9.68991091682024 | 3.60235423943846 |
| H | 5.39767784829032 | 9.23241077582339 | 4.53507488705567 |
| H | -3.78879242483987 | 8.44224627924465 | 7.02582625254366 |
| H | -2.37663839792843 | 10.38591892718249 | 7.70333083591537 |
| H | -0.12401175108005 | 10.69175093412392 | 6.72114556737826 |
| H | -2.91100953130246 | 6.80588375450325 | 5.35767001120709 |
| H | -0.64196233573084 | 7.10920535639073 | 4.39141031649309 |
| H | 1.96594838227949 | 10.56014068356554 | 3.46781802149194 |

Total energy =-2973.29900247 $E_{h}$ Total Gibbs enthalpy $=-2972.83271203 E_{h}$

## Geometry Optimized Coordinates for [Cu(II)(OH)(Ph)(NHC $\left.\left.{ }_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)\left(\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right)\right]$.



| Cu | -1.00115039785686 | 9.54554833986654 | 1.77942863582781 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O | 0.32010580274737 | 8.92049370367751 | 0.59245230877241 |
| N | -3.05989194735637 | 9.65562304502604 | 1.79580631425849 |
| C | 0.06318064267275 | 12.74753098081167 | 0.97001885733354 |
| C | 0.95740112844695 | 13.83655203925613 | 0.62915823042489 |
| C | -5.73981454770516 | 10.70315800073101 | 1.12552787876093 |
| C | -3.60344029395812 | 9.55612045260959 | 0.40943715757266 |
| C | -3.63367895018336 | 10.82231844499610 | 2.52233162829111 |


| C | -5.13461452246837 | 9.50553165699608 | 0.38265420654131 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -5.16471722249749 | 10.80377687139865 | 2.54434106955637 |
| N | -0.64995149740591 | 11.86964531674782 | 1.24383182212659 |
| C | -0.16306205677954 | 8.81629497659410 | 6.45652372243401 |
| C | -0.16517683355849 | 10.11442419134778 | 5.93347245517644 |
| C | -0.41795195703527 | 10.32419368931215 | 4.56891403572705 |
| C | -0.41439026429802 | 7.73386845421247 | 5.60611207590835 |
| C | -0.66239755964641 | 7.95058558987680 | 4.24147869531279 |
| C | -0.66962409705397 | 9.24831872612141 | 3.69882851535694 |
| H | -3.33740928898074 | 8.80681227575847 | 2.30325679484550 |
| H | 1.94259795876964 | 13.43889573632915 | 0.35051144953518 |
| H | 1.07278891454305 | 14.50884049885964 | 1.49047117922903 |
| H | 0.54826708690657 | 14.40448363215407 | -0.21754894609857 |
| H | -6.83672809174274 | 10.61944079179674 | 1.16077240577486 |
| H | -5.50886419181679 | 11.63015465580626 | 0.57054692416948 |
| H | -3.16841116396740 | 8.66294402707556 | -0.06029000834493 |
| H | -3.23961699618620 | 10.43723680205037 | -0.14249611710716 |
| H | -0.84857288451837 | 7.08269331920989 | 3.60189588920735 |
| H | -0.26584243431708 | 11.72561885969355 | 2.01315349445391 |
| H | -3.21705507967867 | 10.81902962691931 | 3.53807657838268 |
| H | -5.47313889873717 | 9.47728044929810 | -0.66455644358828 |
| H | -5.46980196828815 | 8.56540360730332 | 0.85420037041515 |
| H | -5.50939297635697 | 9.94585482075903 | 3.14815484994697 |
| H | -5.52548434517486 | 11.71325071430444 | 3.05019239390790 |
| H | 0.03533452055281 | 8.64901091158864 | 7.51734950465873 |
| H | 0.03231310462402 | 10.96848421565205 | 6.58669906776593 |
| H |  |  |  |

[^1]
## Geometry Optimized Coordinates for a model of 154.



| Cu | -0.87022558858361 | 10.86965389222706 | 3.07883199976379 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O | 2.08256323445738 | 11.59768963023686 | 3.60344887470228 |
| N | -2.89897073866025 | 10.52491927037773 | 2.53020898966148 |
| C | 0.03198744736197 | 12.39215765440860 | 0.44806785664253 |
| C | 0.55743401675130 | 13.07385972757723 | -0.71368476374936 |
| C | -5.46866249788601 | 11.04719085037868 | 1.17365746988456 |
| C | -3.14742709980156 | 10.04405950731545 | 1.14524704686492 |
| C | -3.69933217709943 | 11.74154384074526 | 2.84271191942542 |
| C | -4.63399228513650 | 9.79671105390013 | 0.86738213136699 |
| C | -5.19948528137281 | 11.53766024681907 | 2.60223097348593 |
| C | 1.31289492102852 | 12.32755193428105 | 4.26242306518595 |
| C | 1.88655314561371 | 13.41612606686374 | 5.17070612827188 |
| O | 0.02206018770148 | 12.23441822021012 | 4.27789066648447 |
| N | -0.38667552756530 | 11.84484464319477 | 1.38145077556881 |
| C | -0.58119134627131 | 6.98478182297100 | 5.88891531555833 |
| C | -0.32163176433367 | 8.25359970775677 | 6.42046918813571 |
| C | -0.41403845281007 | 9.39203395824107 | 5.60670040865808 |
| C | -0.93921224922838 | 6.86415854241635 | 4.54241958651406 |
| C | -1.03992223692823 | 8.00959163937833 | 3.73622191297298 |
| C | -0.76970351821202 | 9.29087200026848 | 4.25115787227707 |


| H | -3.17323325013393 | 9.78362341696661 | 3.18443646924229 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| H | 1.65598049135420 | 13.04970515223402 | -0.69237043359545 |
| H | 0.21982158501414 | 14.11911445618087 | -0.71392551639483 |
| H | 0.20237342206980 | 12.58006586077801 | -1.62819633897976 |
| H | -6.54037966271689 | 10.83625464861609 | 1.03664155042714 |
| H | -5.20603697355023 | 11.84489502225684 | 0.45710047237192 |
| H | -2.55699033911242 | 9.13009545798065 | 0.99062519464909 |
| H | -2.75552299600332 | 10.81148854420981 | 0.46108226763357 |
| H | -3.31739539627062 | 12.55306170010632 | 2.20328927701705 |
| H | -3.50080778087039 | 12.01827442893407 | 3.88737120434769 |
| H | -4.75429071236451 | 9.49126119677131 | -0.18359032388260 |
| H | -4.98434955391796 | 8.95283809789166 | 1.48678471995607 |
| H | -5.58353827408745 | 10.80108678050053 | 3.32878402904995 |
| H | -5.72399608921386 | 12.48553257167781 | 2.79964857154715 |
| H | 2.86640296186238 | 13.74547673792392 | 4.80475162037668 |
| H | 2.02230499368482 | 12.99662798983038 | 6.17959801879332 |
| H | 1.20655045894667 | 14.27352082854186 | 5.25371287873392 |
| H | -0.50655268572261 | 6.09660002406795 | 6.51977249460645 |
| H | -0.04104889344053 | 8.35874345379689 | 7.47168374014973 |
| H | -0.19779983979956 | 10.37246084300533 | 6.03686774189522 |
| H | -1.14424155952525 | 5.87946144283347 | 4.11467154251882 |
| H | -1.32711014622768 | 7.87809168432790 | 2.68829709786068 |

Total energy $=-2485.96385986 E_{h}$
Total Gibbs enthalpy $=-2485.67663842 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}}$

## Geometry Optimized Coordinates for a model of phenyl BPin.



| B | 6.60363416270880 | 8.64755306932872 | 3.00372100870356 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 5.43312412418123 | 6.68844984848478 | 4.22035341388033 |
| C | 5.87633360806448 | 7.26723351209484 | 3.01315871078709 |
| C | 5.64248516856343 | 6.55964753867987 | 1.81583685876664 |
| C | 4.99225347577267 | 5.32260797139710 | 1.82272713962315 |
| C | 4.56009592989898 | 4.76667934263743 | 3.03265628471808 |
| C | 4.78119104017694 | 5.45231259730466 | 4.23303327502435 |
| O | 6.77944345551480 | 9.42182144242192 | 4.13523006887961 |
| O | 7.13882715689758 | 9.21852768246630 | 1.86433225459997 |
| C | 7.70356282358175 | 10.51515714582607 | 3.77569516159533 |
| C | 7.52836175472866 | 10.60010305581201 | 2.20988523769154 |
| C | 7.29405003836464 | 11.76851979284768 | 4.53911118571871 |
| H | 9.10240655608231 | 10.06099359838908 | 4.20459874865132 |
| H | 9.41297908368093 | 9.15331180921073 | 3.66838911730568 |
| H | 8.79581135487675 | 10.95245131891827 | 1.44199397041627 |
| C | 6.36953634184510 | 11.50079800250706 | 1.77520966384632 |
| H | 5.97386901782136 | 6.98687251234354 | 0.86714781894015 |
| H | 4.82118944313518 | 4.79006908564000 | 0.88532598767241 |
| H | 4.05299192719565 | 3.80025452623510 | 3.04015555480680 |
| H | 4.44612715325389 | 5.02113162761088 | 5.17802270950032 |
| H | 7.42880345754222 | 11.60492423253680 | 5.61799602552682 |
| H |  | 12.61907083651320 | 4.24605566750096 |
| H |  |  |  |


| H | 9.09141748698104 | 9.83965753226699 | 5.28072156926966 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 9.15842680935647 | 11.94753156329752 | 1.73826339580988 |
| H | 8.58131142518516 | 10.97814852212751 | 0.36414236649059 |
| H | 9.59552355846597 | 10.22288506456994 | 1.61996627534981 |
| H | 6.18939818882991 | 11.35768175152708 | 0.70048147543507 |
| H | 6.60420006695402 | 12.56098685942821 | 1.94442490231648 |
| H | 5.44444342091726 | 11.25705967031392 | 2.31607056689026 |
| H | 5.60443762302318 | 7.21446382570648 | 5.16206847663090 |

> Total energy $=-643.16928925 E_{h}$
> Total Gibbs enthalpy $=-642.94611554 E_{h}$

## Geometry Optimized Coordinates for AcO-BPin.



| O | 1.91486506250150 | 11.55977647046731 | 5.66384385206149 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 1.20552607430925 | 11.80504113906536 | 4.51086952725996 |
| C | 0.45389905018757 | 13.10044897794529 | 4.61292155243375 |
| O | 1.20965749606312 | 11.06035847160843 | 3.55215029574908 |
| B | 2.69795319651063 | 10.42996192224542 | 5.92630639708521 |
| O | 2.91353620025358 | 9.35184572540067 | 5.10801708959638 |
| O | 3.32809282624060 | 10.37373657729620 | 7.14839072147742 |
| C | 4.24402541312496 | 9.21253369810230 | 7.08712096180946 |
| C | 3.59203126572405 | 8.33365909935151 | 5.94760532478457 |
| C | 2.49531467021943 | 7.39850065877513 | 6.45865059566048 |
| C | 4.58145841240536 | 7.57848072731459 | 5.07095151946212 |
| C | 4.27875484123683 | 8.55582048313857 | 8.46118620748591 |


| C | 5.62131012668460 | 9.76601760793045 | 6.71722474186713 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | -0.19068059733766 | 13.09105286159143 | 5.50318137725046 |
| H | -0.14674037810085 | 13.25959414833078 | 3.71203731988812 |
| H | 1.16684047487901 | 13.92835574455859 | 4.73745723384157 |
| H | 1.76849470035815 | 7.93471148155491 | 7.08508009318837 |
| H | 2.91972475607758 | 6.57366245969057 | 7.04729762099965 |
| H | 1.96215422777209 | 6.96887435793283 | 5.59995051184434 |
| H | 5.15042737163668 | 6.85369742413777 | 5.67071764861699 |
| H | 5.28953732867776 | 8.25497107503202 | 4.57685533184545 |
| H | 4.03685724150664 | 7.02112426502258 | 4.29627348120313 |
| H | 3.27440851912288 | 8.28717442137606 | 8.81018488290657 |
| H | 4.72596338774412 | 9.24734523189190 | 9.18905340419202 |
| H | 4.89462769583862 | 7.64598199476533 | 8.43513562215951 |
| H | 5.92055971829170 | 10.51182336209365 | 7.46629778990578 |
| H | 5.61035608314927 | 10.25134318576454 | 5.73123758813708 |
| H | 6.37767657292249 | 8.96882730361574 | 6.70565055128797 |

> Total energy $=-640.06245000 E_{h}$ Total Gibbs enthalpy $=-639.87606552 E_{h}$

## Geometry Optimized Coordinates for HO-BPin.



| O | 0.84234676885992 | 9.01208440198255 | 3.28349243392667 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B | 1.82722172483078 | 8.87271815737435 | 4.22781886995772 |
| O | 2.52267996286671 | 7.70383189359714 | 4.48644601096889 |
| O | 2.20781446125727 | 9.94457160116727 | 5.01437048374095 |
| C | 3.43171699722908 | 9.52479083053347 | 5.72248718837129 |


| C | 3.29895402206378 | 7.94894875111616 | 5.71927378381248 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 2.45721963973751 | 7.41318089366796 | 6.87971776631262 |
| C | 4.61814610911491 | 7.19436077371493 | 5.61820704161470 |
| C | 3.43240123513801 | 10.16550232433358 | 7.10436197708523 |
| C | 4.61427564614867 | 10.03087188427633 | 4.89237444611456 |
| H | 1.50381585481947 | 7.95251587745604 | 6.96376032158131 |
| H | 2.99366932666987 | 7.49864482475336 | 7.83467006924039 |
| H | 2.23880069223386 | 6.35079440634308 | 6.70365942111120 |
| H | 5.25430588688704 | 7.42014139757921 | 6.48655004250555 |
| H | 5.16971687236757 | 7.45429903333536 | 4.70543968744534 |
| H | 4.42619042824478 | 6.11192597718191 | 5.61122274151399 |
| H | 2.52112463165084 | 9.92647281051126 | 7.66775772178290 |
| H | 3.49941136961684 | 11.25803966168333 | 7.00201692706760 |
| H | 4.30281864177845 | 9.82798528547966 | 7.68626117026096 |
| H | 4.53114079454622 | 11.12064136738350 | 4.77809299915556 |
| H | 4.62220249197757 | 9.57871513723404 | 3.89113540554350 |
| H | 5.57169467266499 | 9.80983275915029 | 5.38423789958116 |
| H | 0.62327552229583 | 8.17283570414517 | 2.84204769530540 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Total energy }=-487.34829355 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}} \\
& \text { Total Gibbs enthalpy }=-487.19287930 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Geometry Optimized Coordinates for a model of 157.



Cu -0.80909131534126 $10.89895425775365 \quad 2.91727917495828$

| O | 1.06436030917033 | 11.19484194610681 | 3.45890985999577 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N | -2.66081190988641 | 10.42413866732304 | 2.59873147112355 |
| C | -0.31221236591054 | 12.87732217678100 | 0.52627835821240 |
| C | 0.00685521830031 | 13.77783501875164 | -0.55687692152559 |
| C | -5.15498423060230 | 10.61461427278972 | 1.15603557659875 |
| C | -2.77523746351567 | 9.77603778034769 | 1.29630640847575 |
| C | -3.41308219595945 | 11.67711509027717 | 2.64604819693574 |
| C | -4.24987751645283 | 9.38209670572558 | 1.06343774763217 |
| C | -4.91515492240448 | 11.36218663449766 | 2.47238583668218 |
| C | 1.05354692110896 | 12.22753669073362 | 4.25030037344876 |
| C | 2.40381772481661 | 12.63263642478508 | 4.81630317218373 |
| O | 0.00936419321441 | 12.84256314015899 | 4.55658542810767 |
| N | -0.57119602364375 | 12.15706198535103 | 1.39560737740481 |
| C | -1.00221329456231 | 7.44697558331987 | 6.09591642029558 |
| C | -1.42925948131085 | 8.73391862393138 | 6.44377989212912 |
| C | -1.41990942960393 | 9.76581821210068 | 5.49425996966302 |
| H | -5.25046773215883 | 10.74695674854567 | 3.32316771085650 |
| H | -0.55798080863894612 | 12.30774361735480 | 2.50063861432174 |
| H | -4.33878606498873 | 8.89587727643517 | 0.07804469939925 |
| H | -0.53960119970450 | -3.21996168400596 | 3.83790045118001 |
| C | -0.96915425962874 | 9.49545918872128 | 4.20327403301288 |
| H | 1.08029132688846 | 13.71873611338821 | -0.78265502631555 |
| H | -0.24624542139050 | 14.80695062911863 | -0.26634959099097 |
| H | -0.56904118669020 | 13.50202829912352 | -1.45039482566766 |
| H | -6.21427027172824 | 10.32563173116525 | 1.06289821131587 |
| H | -4.93343505138338 | 11.28963495951307 | 0.31038578936464 |
| H | -2.14634318318403 | 8.87204015189593 | 1.28473026182690 |
| H |  |  |  |


| H | 3.22182569517734 | 12.37443284228451 | 4.13252117816104 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 2.56592844288806 | 12.08956890306683 | 5.75973085566770 |
| H | 2.41607201908815 | 13.70714123286469 | 5.03527079257778 |
| H | -1.01617842570470 | 6.64550052305462 | 6.83693586124081 |
| H | -1.77821917029137 | 8.94263639612089 | 7.45752263963039 |
| H | -1.76037804225870 | 10.76348077951746 | 5.77441537955274 |
| H | -0.21621697710898 | 6.19379405447291 | 4.51416031396581 |
| H | -0.18202141172728 | 8.00418515346073 | 2.82895424770140 |

Total energy $=-2485.32860819 E_{h}$
Total Gibbs enthalpy $=-2485.05529994 E_{h}$

## Geometry Optimized Coordinates for $\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{\prime}(\mathrm{OAc})\left(\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$.

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cu | 0.47167197087089 | 12.37781235954337 | 0.40087382560030 |
| N | 1.17984627993405 | 13.33379850764532 | 1.86643533104148 |
| O | 0.88868993930062 | 10.18941358710205 | 0.58006424589750 |
| C | 1.62265337599396 | 13.90984218853368 | 2.77498813999713 |
| C | 2.17303698919925 | 14.62976961848236 | 3.90190215262314 |
| N | 0.25507525881468 | 12.88521425156286 | -1.40438230608636 |
| C | 0.10545122486664 | 13.18549549275498 | -2.51830135671851 |
| C | -0.07921897092908 | 13.56150013822801 | -3.90249003443921 |
| C | -0.31973215927040 | 10.00810000587913 | 0.94575760179650 |
| O | -1.14132905111599 | 10.97753981337067 | 1.04808023340504 |
| H | 2.94278553408301 | 15.33436940067912 | 3.55695629444587 |
|  |  | 8.59470507169707 | 1.25079175547934 |
|  |  |  |  |


| H | 2.62343299173486 | 13.92457958213256 | 4.61438404365334 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 0.83984331515792 | 14.02355770505412 | -4.28945121640773 |
| H | -0.90460439557270 | 14.28276378135349 | -3.98467099555201 |
| H | -0.31628127081478 | 12.67393464541562 | -4.50524028715751 |
| H | -0.79355336210211 | 8.00826364540951 | 0.31970969343939 |
| H | -0.07955420078678 | 8.10551830318740 | 1.93734505798145 |
| H | -1.79096183700958 | 8.58704544715900 | 1.68573394455694 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Total energy }=-2135.1033690 E_{h} \\
& \text { Total Gibbs enthalpy }=-2135.00455040 E_{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Geometry Optimized Coordinates for $\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)\left(\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$.



| Cu | 0.24437372725844 | 11.76556920889498 | 0.94711541336888 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 1.04254673159885 | 12.87092819485625 | 2.31385754256438 |
| N | -1.43209141534297 | 10.90844878812642 | 1.13355424902201 |
| C | -1.94740053913706 | 8.21495690182907 | 2.23432127044727 |
| C | -1.80851465946076 | 9.79803963658696 | 0.26935966454219 |
| C | -2.03850981867191 | 10.73258901403002 | 2.44579227637505 |
| C | -1.38203633862517 | 8.42183380533894 | 0.82078743406446 |
| C | -1.63073588775801 | 9.41606965490574 | 3.13925692765375 |
| C | 1.50938757655313 | 13.46781193054959 | 3.19915409460266 |
| C | 2.08449556952170 | 14.20543194049161 | 4.30456633726234 |
| H | -1.56759050786069 | 7.27864126128333 | 2.67599746205924 |
| H | -3.04544913747515 | 8.10397188493343 | 2.16386800877978 |


| H | -1.37684609227518 | 9.94848811338641 | -0.73382633431398 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -2.92087703490562 | 9.76464081407255 | 0.13694721362977 |
| H | -3.15596072894831 | 10.72539575949107 | 2.36111801632861 |
| H | -1.77589587216737 | 11.58775808475239 | 3.09034124923312 |
| H | -1.71837180754744 | 7.61105983310515 | 0.14902282462602 |
| H | -0.27816290003078 | 8.39048673458219 | 0.85174900325877 |
| H | -0.54574528971290 | 9.45553859627285 | 3.34076048703483 |
| H | $-2.14538520638444$ | 9.31217177346232 | 4.11112383167725 |
| H | 1.52182309163852 | 15.13539413522316 | 4.46491212179268 |
| H | 3.13220300944136 | 14.45581335790139 | 4.08806442367367 |
| H | 2.04229253696269 | 13.60117797633716 | 5.22197546087247 |
| N | 1.36912930909316 | 11.71826667002506 | -0.61170994729664 |
| C | 2.04778791497891 | 11.62282334600583 | -1.55424398475599 |
| C | 2.88656508863020 | 11.50050218771808 | -2.72791779873081 |
| H | 3.43338841620291 | 10.54746835267781 | -2.69885364670373 |
| H | 3.61090226300960 | 12.32659450978998 | -2.76402747988505 |
| H | 2.26754283641428 | 11.52742676537021 | -3.63539680818298 |

Total energy $=-2157.85152169 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}}$
Total Gibbs enthalpy $=-2330.13172599 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}}$

## Geometry Optimized Coordinates for a model of 38.



| N | -1.89751547957774 | 10.73386177120918 | 0.96483875806540 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -1.90298828973498 | 8.14950778315242 | 2.34214408790306 |
| C | -1.86607188305117 | 9.48989696602491 | 0.18823085005351 |
| C | -2.56385974363566 | 10.57978242676131 | 2.27199578868050 |


| C | -1.22279277631937 | 8.35038532523279 | 0.98526084204520 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -1.92428384211333 | 9.47392595741198 | 3.11118228231922 |
| C | -2.32192520153316 | 14.42825021706146 | -1.10258545015223 |
| C | -2.13588098560711 | 14.39326150687749 | 0.28613260779655 |
| C | -2.01587783274823 | 13.18220228541010 | 0.96470026044354 |
| C | -2.38929841551982 | 13.21679422591485 | -1.79450034470706 |
| C | -2.27834978406756 | 11.99403324751075 | -1.12429643097953 |
| C | -2.07724892268149 | 11.94400614868145 | 0.27631517859852 |
| H | -1.38615320739656 | 7.36923976453897 | 2.92145921270148 |
| H | -2.93925584618250 | 7.79996519703599 | 2.18716263808430 |
| H | -1.28057154196495 | 9.66330413230138 | -0.72422104124671 |
| H | -2.89014120498058 | 9.19510086866680 | -0.12707166609767 |
| H | -3.63783372475880 | 10.34318489477654 | 2.11061577926964 |
| H | -2.52122215111778 | 11.53100948472661 | 2.81178763815860 |
| H | -1.27049317276864 | 7.43103072113451 | 0.38094762708496 |
| H | -0.15541660563202 | 8.58340850839755 | 1.13867477905992 |
| H | -0.89505416098069 | 9.76939681631187 | 3.37450843294929 |
| H | -2.48700461283783 | 9.37549503792488 | 4.05223896245717 |
| H | -2.41393910066044 | 15.37905618558685 | -1.62936556563009 |
| H | -2.07245958818136 | 15.32486066396520 | 0.85281099871293 |
| H | -1.84033048255171 | 13.19974419158398 | 2.04050819222295 |
| H | -2.54723333867999 | 13.21223618161349 | -2.87552968349450 |
| H | -2.36657769471649 | 11.07476588018667 | -1.70075540629897 |

[^2]
## Geometry Optimized Coordinates for a model of 160.



| O | -2.05086660456893 | 11.36085245151214 | 1.42663588526088 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -2.79005404336886 | 14.30237407360614 | -1.45924234832784 |
| C | -2.07722399455484 | 14.62325278835815 | -0.29846612013562 |
| C | -1.80282612153066 | 13.63782836959433 | 0.65589038980283 |
| C | -3.23129724214377 | 12.99087846836979 | -1.66764586528142 |
| C | -2.96248314195989 | 11.99676206748558 | -0.72092312524372 |
| C | -2.24994158083768 | 12.33734698962846 | 0.42783221535006 |
| C | -0.90643775380398 | 10.59904034851882 | 1.36033136479263 |
| H | -3.00531847192005 | 15.07467481854226 | -2.19927285466915 |
| H | -1.73283126106615 | 15.64467863151483 | -0.13017387701974 |
| H | -1.25543642805970 | 13.87334633624968 | 1.56920160090384 |
| H | -3.78977336045146 | 12.73662995113785 | -2.56978328435183 |
| H | -3.30512652070560 | 10.97160054317327 | -0.86496006386980 |
| O | -0.06521307515227 | 10.74277147029932 | 0.49446235897570 |
| C | -0.87685839000756 | 9.59014826603822 | 2.47112588501753 |
| H | -1.19500502649896 | 10.04098978753600 | 3.41936476340121 |
| H | 0.13019330532931 | 9.17209623649767 | 2.56276684860571 |
| H | -1.58283972169895 | 8.77960176293748 | 2.23544163178875 |

[^3]
## Geometry Optimized Coordinates for a model of 11.



| O | -1.70193624236275 | 10.92634495960853 | 1.16450989189038 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -2.45366040365055 | 14.33720787109316 | -1.12627535082356 |
| C | -1.44416051989734 | 14.36554898433021 | -0.15818998436821 |
| C | -1.17289547641822 | 13.23643201522168 | 0.62109096276527 |
| C | -3.19374342024273 | 13.16235063350352 | -1.30964147362490 |
| C | -2.93390207379739 | 12.02697855232019 | -0.53851017664491 |
| C | -1.92030345836816 | 12.06521364194060 | 0.42968785696225 |
| H | -0.97398873373533 | 11.08649080880665 | 1.79448204636967 |
| H | -2.66265759404312 | 15.22074339227980 | -1.73082643681208 |
| H | -0.85905064508746 | 15.27394850207841 | -0.00267810005760 |
| H | -0.38499482574526 | 13.26134776772783 | 1.37860980889789 |
| H | -3.98524633345833 | 13.12623264553832 | -2.06100638881492 |
| H | -3.50853584519336 | 11.10943671355109 | -0.67641507473930 |

[^4]
### 15.2.7. Oxidation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ in the presence of relevant additives.

### 15.2.7.1. $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})$ oxidation in presence of AcOH and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$.

To a 5 mL cuvette was added 4 mL of a CuOAc solution in $\mathrm{MeCN}(2.5 \mathrm{mM})$. Depending on the experiment, were added different additives $\mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, or the buffer $\mathrm{AcOH}-$ $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2 equiv). The oxidation was monitored at 672 nm for 1000 s , with an interval of 10 seconds between all acquisition time points.

15.2.7.2. $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})$ oxidation in presence of aniline 9 , piperidine $145, \mathrm{AcOH}$, and

## $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$.

To a 5 mL cuvette was added 4 mL of a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})$ solution in $\mathrm{MeCN}(2.5 \mathrm{mM})$ followed by the addition of piperidine or aniline (2 equiv). Depending on the experiment, were added different additives $\mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, or the buffer $\mathrm{AcOH} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2 equiv). The oxidation was monitored at 672 nm for 1000s, with an interval of 10 seconds between all acquisition time points.



Oxidation of CuOAc in the presence of piperidine 145 using relevant additives

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 mmol Cu(OAc) in 0.2 mL MeCN |  | $\begin{gathered} -1 \\ = \\ = \end{gathered}$ |  | $=$ | 3 5 |
| $0.1 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})$ in 1 mL MeCN <br> + additives <br> No aniline |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc}) \text { in } 0.2 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{MeCN} \\ \quad+\text { additives }+0.2 \mathrm{mmol} \text { aniline } \\ \mathrm{t}=10 \mathrm{~min} \end{gathered}$ | $=$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc}) \text { in } 0.2 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{MeCN} \\ & \text { + additives }+0.2 \mathrm{mmol} \text { aniline } \\ & \quad \mathrm{t}=120 \mathrm{~min} \end{aligned}$ | - | - |  |  | $\underline{1}$ |

### 15.2.8. Entry to catalysis.

### 15.2.8.1. Reaction inhibition by pinacol.

Formation of complex 155 by EPR analysis.
To a solution of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.5 \mathrm{M})$ was added pinacol ((a) $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ or (b, and $\mathbf{c}) 24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}((\mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}) 56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred an hour for at rt. An EPR spectra was then recorded.


Formation of complex 155 by NMR analysis.
To a solution of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.25$ to 2 M$)$ was added pinacol ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred for an hour at rt. A ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}$ was then recorded.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR pinacol ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): ठ 1.12.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR by-product 27 ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): ठ 1.17.


Formation of complex 155 by HRMS analysis.


To a solution of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(1 \mathrm{M})$ was added pinacol $(60 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for an hour at rt. An HRMS was then recorded.

HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{CuO}_{4}\right)[\mathrm{M}]^{2-}$ requires 295.1054, found $[M]^{2-} 295.1051$.
Inhibition of the Chan-Lam reaction by pinacol.

[1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), aniline ( $18 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 200 mg ) in DCM ( $0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ) were sealed in an oven dried 5 mL microwave vial under air and stirred at rt . The reaction
was monitored by aliquoting the reaction mixture at 6 h , and 16 h . The aliquots were analysed by HPLC against an internal standard.
[1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv), aniline ( $18 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(200 \mathrm{mg})$ in DCM $(0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M})$ were sealed in an oven dried 5 mL microwave vial under air and stirred at rt for 6 h . Then, pinacol ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was added and the reaction was stirred for another 12 h . The reaction was monitored by aliquoting the reaction mixture at 16 h . The aliquots were analysed by HPLC against an internal standard.

### 15.3. Development of a general Chan-Lam amination.

### 15.3.1. Synergistic roles of $B(O H)_{3}$.

15.3.1.1. Reversible borate formation using $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ and $\mathrm{AcOH} / \mathrm{KOAc}$.

## Boric acid.


${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (128 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta$ 19.9.


## $\mathrm{AcOB}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}{ }^{-}$.



To a solution of $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M})$ was added AcOH ( 6 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) or AcOK ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at rt . $A n{ }^{11} B$ NMR spectra was then recorded.
${ }^{11}$ B NMR ( $128 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): ठ 1.8.

$\underline{B(O H})_{3}$ promotes speciation equilibria with 17.


32\%
2-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ were sealed in an oven dried 5 mL microwave vial under air and stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to rt, filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated under vacuum to give a residue that was analysed by HPLC using an internal standard. 32\% of the starting material BPin was hydrolyzed to the corresponding boronic acid.

### 15.3.1.2. $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ promotes $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ oxidation.

To a 5 mL cuvette was added 4 mL of a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})$ solution in $\mathrm{MeCN}(2.5 \mathrm{mM})$ followed by the addition of $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ (2 equiv). Depending on the experiment, were added piperidine or aniline (2 equiv). The oxidation was monitored at 672 nm for 1000 s , with an interval of 10 seconds between all acquisition time points.

15.3.2. Impact of amine stoichiometry on side product formation.
15.3.2.1. Chan-Lam amination using a 1:1 ratio and 1:2 of 10 and piperidine 145.


Chan-Lam amination using a 1:1 ratio of 146 and piperidine 145.
[1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), piperidine ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20$ mmol, 1 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1\right.$ equiv), $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 200 mg ) in DCM ( $0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ )
were sealed in an oven dried 5 mL microwave vial under air and stirred at tt for 16 h . The reaction was analysed by HPLC against an internal standard.

## Chan-Lam amination using a 1:2 ratio of 146 and piperidine 145.

[1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), piperidine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40$ mmol, 2 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 200 mg ) in DCM ( $0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ) were sealed in an oven dried 5 mL microwave vial under air and stirred at rt for 16 h . The reaction was analysed by HPLC against an internal standard.

### 14.3.3. Reaction profile for the $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ promoted Chan-Lam amination.


[1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), piperidine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40$ mmol, 2 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 200 mg ) in DCM ( $0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ) were sealed in an oven dried 5 mL microwave vial under air and stirred at rt . The reaction profile was monitored by aliquoting the reaction mixture over time intervals of 5 , 10, 15, 30, 60, 120 minutes. The aliquots were analysed by HPLC against an internal standard.

| Time (minutes) | $\mathbf{3 8}(\%)$ | $\mathbf{1 2 ~ ( \% )}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}(\%)$ | $\mathbf{1 4} \mathbf{( \% )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 2 | 0 | 38 | 0 |
| 10 | 3 | 1 | 51 | 1 |
| 30 | 3 | 2 | 67 | 1 |
| 60 | 4 | 2 | 78 | 1 |
| 120 | 5 | 3 | 90 | 2 |

### 15.4. Compound Characterisation.

## N-Phenyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-amine, Compound 18.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 2-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), aniline ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60$ mmol, 2 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ Å molecular sieves (100 mg) in MeCN ( $450 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-3\% (EtOAc + 1\% Et $\mathrm{E}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane), fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as an orange solid (61 $\mathrm{mg}, 82 \%)$.

Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## Methyl 4-(phenylamino)benzoate, Compound 24.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using methyl 4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzoate ( $79 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), aniline ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$
equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ Å molecular sieves $(100 \mathrm{mg})$ in MeCN $(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, $0-5 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $57 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ). Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## 4-Methoxy-N-phenylaniline, Compound 23.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), aniline ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60$ mmol, 2 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ Å molecular sieves (100 mg) in MeCN (450 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ). After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-3\% (EtOAc + 1\% $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a yellow solid (44 mg, 73\%).

Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## 2-Methyl-N-phenylaniline, Compound 30.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(m-tolyl)-1,3,2dioxaborolane ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), aniline ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv),
$\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ Á molecular sieves (100 mg) in MeCN (450 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ). After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, $0-5 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a yellow oil (31 mg, 56\%). Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## N-Phenylpyridin-3-amine, Compound 161.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)pyridine ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), aniline ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(100 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, $0-25 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid (43 mg, 84\%). Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## N-Phenylpyridin-2-amine, Compound 162.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)pyridine ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), aniline ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv $)$
and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-30\% (EtOAc + 1\% Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ). Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## $N$-Methyl- $N$-phenylaniline, Compound 33.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2dioxaborolane ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), $N$-methylaniline ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ A molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, $0-3 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a colourless oil ( 51 mg , 90\%).

Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## Methyl 4-(phenylamino)benzoate, Compound 35.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using methyl 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 4 -aminobenzoate ( 91 mg ,
$0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60$ mmol, 2 equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in MeCN ( 450 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ). After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-5\% (EtOAc + 1\% Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid (59 mg, 80\%).

Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## 3-(Phenylamino)benzoic acid, Compound 38.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2dioxaborolane ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), 3 -aminobenzoic acid ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmo}, 2$ equivl) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in MeCN ( $450 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, $0-3 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $48 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ). Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## N-Phenylbenzo[b]thiophen-5-amine, Compound 41.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using methyl 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), benzo[b]thiophen-5-amine ( 90 mg ,
$0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60$ mmol, 2 equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in MeCN ( 450 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ). After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-10\% (EtOAc + 1\% Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as an off-white solid ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ ).

Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## N-Phenylpyridin-3-amine, Compound 42.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using methyl 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), pyridin-3-amine ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in MeCN $(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, $0-25 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) / \mathrm{cyclohexane}^{2}$ ) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ). Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## N-Phenylpyridin-2-amine, Compound 43.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using methyl 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), pyridin-2-amine ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$,

2 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv $)$ and powdered activated $4 \AA$ Å molecular sieves $(100 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, $0-30 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% E t_{3} N\right) /$ cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid (41 mg, 81\%). Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## N-(4-(Morpholinomethyl)phenyl)pyridin-3-amine, Compound 163.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 4-(4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzyl)morpholine ( $91 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), pyridin-3-amine (56 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv $), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60$ mmol, 2 equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in MeCN (450 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ). After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-45\% (EtOAc + 1\% $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid (59 mg, 73\%).

Appearance: White solid.
M. pt.: $119-122^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 2.33-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7,45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. 2 aromatic signals were not detected/coincident.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.79 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 270.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 270.1601, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 270.1600$.

NB. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR not reported for this compound. Seven different methods of acquisition were attempted but no peaks could be viewed due to apparent relaxation problems.

## $N$-(Pyridin-4-yl)pyridin-3-amine, Compound 164.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)pyridine ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), pyridin-4-amine ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-35\% (EtOAc + 1\% $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( 30 mg , 59\%).

Appearance: White solid.
M. pt.: $154-155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7,57-7.60 (m, 1H), 8.33-8.39 (m, 3H), $8.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 109.7,119.6,123.9,128.1,136.5,143.5,145.1,150.0$, 150.6.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.15 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 172.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 172.0869, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 172.0864$.

## N-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-nitropyridin-4-amine, Compound 165.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 2-(4-chlorophenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), 3-nitropyridin-4-amine ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in MeCN $(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-25\% (EtOAc $+1 \%$ $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M. pt.: $162-164{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 6.90$ (d, $\left.J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.39-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.54$ (m, 2H), 8.26 (d, J = $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $9.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ : $\delta 109.7,119.1,127.3,129.5,130.5,130.6,146.5$, 148.1, 153.1.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.80 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 250.2$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{CIN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 250.0378 , found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 250.0374$.

N-PhenyIquinolin-4-amine, Compound 166.


Prepared according to General Procedure D using 4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)quinoline ( $77 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), aniline ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(100 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, $0-50 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ). Appearance: White solid.
M. pt.: $230-232^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.52$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 99.8,117.1,120.1,123.9,125.5,126.9,127.4,129.9$, 133.8, 137.2, 138.2, 142.5, 155.0

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.50 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 221.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 221.1073, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 221.1066$.

## Methyl 4-(pyridin-3-ylamino)benzoate, Compound 167.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)pyridine ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 4-aminobenzoate (91 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv $), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60$ mmol, 2 equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in MeCN (450 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ). After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the

General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-55\% (EtOAc + 1\% Et ${ }_{3}$ )/cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M. pt.: $141-143{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.90$ (s, 1H), 6.11 (s, 1H). 7.02 (d, J = $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.277.29 (m, 7.55 (d, J = $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.96 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.32-8.48 (br. m, 2H)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 51.8,115.1,119.6,122.4,126.5,131.6,142.3,143.9$, 147.0, 166.7. One aromatic signal missing.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.49 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 229.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 229.0972, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 229.0966$.

## 4-(Pyridin-3-yl)-N-(o-tolyl)pyrimidin-2-amine, Compound 168.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-( $m$-tolyl)-1,3,2dioxaborolane ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), 4-(pyridin-3-yl)pyrimidin-2-amine ( 103 mg , $0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60$ mmol, 2 equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in MeCN ( 450 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ). After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-20\% (EtOAc + 1\% Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a yellow solid (39 mg, 52\%).

Appearance: Yellow solid.
M. pt.: $222-224^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.25(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.38-8.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 8.69 (d, J=7.4 Hz, 1H), 8.91 (s, 1H), 9.24 (s, 1H)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, (CD $)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ ): ס 29.0, 106.0, 123.7, 125.3, 128.1, 128.8, 132.5, 134.1, 137.3, 147.9, 151.1, 159.3, 161.5, 163.8.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.02 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 263.3$.

## 1-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)piperidine, Compound 45.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 2-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), piperidine ( $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60$ mmol, 2 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in MeCN ( $450 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-1\% (EtOAc $+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane), fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( 50 mg , 70\%).

Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

1-(4-Chlorophenyl)piperidine, Compound 50.


Prepared according to General Procedure $C$ using 2-(4-chlorophenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), piperidine ( $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60$ mmol, 2 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-1.5\% (EtOAc + 1\% $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane), fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( 53 mg , 90\%).

Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## Methyl 4-(piperidin-1-yl)benzoate, Compound 48.



Prepared according to General Procedure C using methyl 4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzoate ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), piperidine ( $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in MeCN ( $450 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-1.5\% (EtOAc + 1\% $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane), fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ). Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## 1-(3-Cyanophenyl)piperidine, Compound 51.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzonitrile ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), piperidine ( $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60$ mmol, 2 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ A molecular sieves $(100 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-2.5\% (EtOAc + 1\% $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane), fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid (40 mg, 71\%).

Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## 1-(o-Tolyl)piperidine, Compound 55.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(o-tolyl)-1,3,2dioxaborolane ( $66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), piperidine ( $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ Å molecular sieves $(100 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, $0-1 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane), fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid (19 mg, 36\%). Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## 3-(Piperidin-1-yl)pyridine, Compound 56.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)pyridine ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), piperidine ( $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was purified by ion exchange chromatography ( 500 mg Biotage sulphonic acid (SCX) cartridge, using sequential solvents methanol, 2 M ammonia/methanol). Fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a brown solid ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ).

Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## N,N-Dimethyl-1-phenylpiperidin-4-amine, Compound 65.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester (62 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylpiperidin-4-amine ( $84 \mathrm{LL}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, $0-10 \%\left(2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right.$ in MeOH$\left.) / \mathrm{DCM}\right)$, fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a yellow solid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ).

Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## 4-Phenylthiomorpholine 1,1-dioxide, Compound 66.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester (62 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), thiomorpholine 1,1-dioxide ( $81 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in MeCN ( $450 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, $0-35 \%$ (EtOAc $+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane). Fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ). Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.
tert-Butyl 4-(2-(phenylamino)ethyl)piperidine-1-carboxylate, Compound 72.


Prepared according to General Procedure D using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester (62 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), tert-butyl 4-(2-aminoethyl)piperidine-1-carboxylate ( 135 mg , $0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60$ mmol, 2 equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in MeCN ( 450 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ). After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-15\% (EtOAc + 1\% $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane), fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid (74 mg, 81\%).

Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## N-(2-(1,3-Dioxolan-2-yl)ethyl)aniline, Compound 81.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester (62 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 2-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)ethanamine ( $68 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, $0-10 \%$ (EtOAc $\left.+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane), fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a brown oil ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ). Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## N-(4,4,4-Trifluorobutyl)aniline, Compound 77.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester (62 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 4,4,4-trifluorobutan-1-amine ( $76 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(100 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, $0-1 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ heptane), fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a yellow oil ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ). Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## N-((1,3,5-Trimethyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)methyl)aniline, Compound 82.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using phenylboronic acid pinacol ester (62 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), (1,3,5-trimethyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)methanamine ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60$ mmol, 2 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ Å molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was purified by ion exchange chromatography ( 1 g Biotage sulphonic acid (SCX) cartridge, using sequential solvents methanol, 2 M ammonia/methanol). Fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ).

Spectral data were previously described in Section 13. Chapter 1 of Experimental Part.

## 3-(4-(Dimethylamino)piperidin-1-yl)benzonitrile, Compound 169.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzonitrile ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $N, N$-dimethylpiperidin-4amine ( $84 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in MeCN ( $450 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, $0-10 \%(2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH} 3$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}) / \mathrm{DCM}$ ), fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M. pt.: $101-103^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ : $\delta 1.42(\mathrm{qd}, \mathrm{J}=12.4,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=12.4,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10$ (dt, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.24-7.27 (m, 1H), 7.29-7.30 (m, 1H), 7.35 (t, J = 8.7, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ : $\delta 27.4,41.4,46.9,61.1,111.9,117.6,119.3,119.7$, 121.0, 130.1, 150.9.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.42 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 230.4$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 230.1652, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 230.1647$.

N-Cyclopentyl-5-methoxypyridin-3-amine, Compound 170.


Prepared according to General Procedure D using 3-methoxy-5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)pyridine ( $71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), cyclopentylamine ( $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60$ mmol, 2 equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in MeCN (450 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ). After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 25-75\% (EtOAc + 1\% Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane), fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M. pt.: $97-99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ : $\delta 1.41-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.65-1.69 (m 2H), 1.88-1.96 (m, 2H), 3.34 (s, 1H), 3.69-3.71 (m, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), $5.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44$ (s, 1H), 7.25-7.75 (br. m, 2H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 23.1,33.4,54.4,55.5,101.6 .119 .6,124.7,129.3$. One aromatic signal not observed/coincident.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.52 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 193.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 193.1335, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$193.1352.

## N,N-Dimethyl-3-(((1,3,5-trimethyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)methyl)amino)benzamide, Compound 171.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using N,N-dimethyl-3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzamide ( $83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), (1,3,5-trimethyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)methanamine ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$, $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(100 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was purified by ion exchange chromatography (1 g Biotage sulphonic acid (SCX) cartridge, using sequential solvents methanol, 2 M ammonia/methanol). Fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M. pt.: $105-107^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ ): $\delta 2.08$ (s, 3H), 2.18 (s, 3 H ), 2.91 (br. s., 6H), 3.62 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49-6.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57-6.58(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64-6.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 9.2,11.6,35.5,36.8,110.2,112.7,112.8,113.7$, 114.3, 128.5, 137.0, 137.1, 144.7, 148.7, 170.8.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.60 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 287.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 287.1866, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 287.1865$.

## 2-Methyl-N-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)methyl)aniline, Compound 172.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(o-tolyl)-1,3,2dioxaborolane ( $66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), (tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)methanamine ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.06 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in MeCN $(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-10\% (EtOAc $+1 \%$ $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane), fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a colourless oil ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ).

Appearance: Colourless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.29-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{td}, J=11.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.62-6.69 (m, 2H), 7.07 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.14(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ not observed.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 17.4,31.1,34.7,50.0,67.8,109.6,116.8,121.8,127.1$, 130.1, 146.0.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.96 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 206.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 206.1539, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$206.1560.

## N-((Tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)methyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)aniline, Compound 173.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $81 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), (tetrahydro$2 H$-pyran-4-yl)methanamine ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.06 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(100 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, $\left.0-25 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) / c y c l o h e x a n e\right)$, fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M. pt.: $100-103{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.38-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.08 (d, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.44 (td, $J=11.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.00 (br. s., 1H), 4.01-4.05 (m, 2H), 6.74-6.81 (m, 2H), 6.94 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 31.0,34.9,49.8,67.7,108.7$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 113.6 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}$ $=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 115.6,124.4(\mathrm{q}, J=271.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.6,131.6(\mathrm{q}, J=28.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 148.4$
${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCI}_{3}$ ): $\delta-61.5$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.20 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 260.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 260.1257, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 260.1279$.

## N-(4-(4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)butyl)quinolin-8-amine, Compound 174.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 8-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)quinoline (78 mg, $0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 4-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)butan-1-amine (103 mg, $0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20$ mol\%), $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv $)$ and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(100 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\operatorname{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was purified by ion exchange chromatography (1 g Biotage sulphonic acid (SCX) cartridge, using sequential solvents methanol, 2 M ammonia/methanol). Fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a red solid (39 mg, 44\%).

Appearance: Red solid.
M. pt.: $135-137^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 1.94-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.40-3.42 (m, 2H), 3.51-3.54 (m. 2H), 4.82 (br. s, 8 H ), $7.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.02(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ),

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.41 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 299.4$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 299.2230, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 299.2225$.
NB. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR not reported for this compound. Seven different methods of acquisition were attempted but no peaks could be viewed due to apparent relaxation problems.

## Oxydibenzene, Compound $175 .{ }^{145}$



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2dioxaborolane ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), phenol ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, $0-10 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M. pt.: $31-33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ : $\delta 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.32-7.38 (m, 4H)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, ( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ : $\delta 118.9,123.2,129.7,157.3$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.29 \mathrm{~min}$.

## 4-Phenoxypyridine, Compound 176.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2dioxaborolane ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), pyridin- $4-\mathrm{ol}$ ( $86 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in MeCN ( $450 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure
(silica chromatography, $\left.0-40 \%\left(E t O A c+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) / c y c l o h e x a n e\right)$ fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ). Appearance: White solid.
M. pt.: $45-47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, (CD $)_{2}$ 2SO): $\delta 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28$ ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.47-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 112.0,120.6,125.5,130.4,151.5,153.6,164.0$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.40 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 172.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NO}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 172.0757 , found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 172.0753$.

## 3-Methoxypyridine, Compound 177.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)pyridine ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methanol ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(100 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 50-100\% (EtOAc + 1\% Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ). Appearance: White solid.
M. pt.: $203-205{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ : $\delta 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95-7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15-8.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ : $\delta 57.0,127.6,129.1,130.6,134.4,157.5$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.56 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 110.1$.

HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NO}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 110.0600, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 110.0604$.

## 3-(p-Tolylthio)pyridine, Compound 178.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)pyridine ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 4-methylbenzenethiol ( 74 mg , $0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60$ mmol, 2 equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves (100 mg) in MeCN (450 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ). After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-30\% (EtOAc + 1\% $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid (44 mg, 74\%).

Appearance: White solid.
M. pt.: $159-161^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.61-7.6 (m, 1H), 8.43-8.45 (m, 2H)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ : $\delta 20.4,124.4,129.2,130.5,132.2,133.5,137.1,138.1$, 147.7, 149.6.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.04 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 202.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NS}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 202.0685, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 202.0678$.

## 4-(Naphthalen-2-ylthio)pyridine, Compound 179.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), pyridine-4-thiol ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90$ mmol, 3 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ Å molecular sieves (100 mg) in MeCN (450 $\mu \mathrm{L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-40\% (EtOAc + 1\% Et $\mathrm{t}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( 43 mg , 61\%).

Appearance: White solid.
M. pt.: $117-119{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.99-8.07$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 120.7,126.0,127.1,127.6,127.8,127.9,129.9$, $130.8,132.9,133.5,134.6,148.9,149.6$.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.76 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 238.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NS}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 238.0685, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 238.0679$.

## (4-Methoxyphenyl)(methyl)sulfane, Compound 180.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methanethiol ( 29 mg , $0.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60$
mmol, 2 equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in MeCN ( 450 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ). After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-25\% (EtOAc + 1\% Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a brown solid ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ ).

Appearance: Brown solid.
M. pt.: $29-31^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ : $\delta 2.41$ (s, 3H), 3.73 (s, 3H), $6.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.25 (d, J = $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ )
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): ~ \delta 16.6,55.1,114.7,128.2,129.1,157.5$.
LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.09 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$not detected.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{OS}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 155.0525, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 155.0524$.

## $N$-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)benzenesulfonamide, Compound 181.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 2-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), benzenesulfonamide ( 61 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.60 mmol , 2 equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in MeCN $(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-25\% (EtOAc $+1 \%$ $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M. pt.: $147-148^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ ): $\delta 6.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 7.40-7.58 (m, 9H), 7.85 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ ): $\delta 121.9,126.8,127.2,127.3,127.9,128.7,129.0$, 133.0, 135.5, 138.5, 139.1, 140.0.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.21 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 309.8$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 310.0896 , found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 310.0887$.

## 1-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)-1H-pyrazole, Compound 182.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 2-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 1 H-pyrazole ( 27 mg , $0.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60$ mmol, 2 equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in MeCN ( 450 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ). After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-20\% (EtOAc + 1\% Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M. pt.: $135-137^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ : $\delta 6.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.72 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.78-7.81 (m, 3H), 7.93-7.95 (m, 2H), 8.55 (s, 1H)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ : $\delta 107.9,118.7,126.5,127.5,127.6,128.9,137.8$, 139.0, 139.1, 141.0.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.24 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 221.1$.

HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 221.1073, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 221.1066$.

## 1-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)-1H-imidazole, Compound 183.



Prepared according to General Procedure D using 2-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 1 H -imidazole ( 27 mg , $0.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60$ mmol, 2 equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 100 mg ) in MeCN ( 450 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ). After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-30\% (EtOAc + 1\% Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M. pt.: $150-152^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ ): $\delta 7.25$ (s, 1 H ), 7.33 (s, 1 H ), 7.38-7.42 (m, 1H), 7.46$7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ : $\delta 118.4,122.1,127.3,128.1,128.8,129.2,130.8$, 135.8, 136.8, 140.0, 140.8.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.10 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 221.1$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 221.1073, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 221.1066$.

## N-(3-Bromo-4-methylphenyl)-4-((4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)benzamide, Compound 185.



To a round-bottom flask was added 4-(chloromethyl)benzoyl chloride 35 ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in dry DMF ( 50 mL ) under argon. DIPEA ( $2.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) and 3-bromo-4-methylaniline 36 ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 5.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) were subsequently added and the reaction was stirred at RT for 3 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc $(4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The collected organic layers were combined and washed with $\mathrm{LiCl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under vacuum. The crude product was added in dry DMF $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ to a round-bottom flask. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.2 \mathrm{~g}$, $15.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) and 1-methylpiperazine 37 ( $0.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) were subsequently added and the reaction was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc ( $4 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The collected organic layers were combined and washed with $\mathrm{LiCl}\left(25 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under vacuum. The crude product was purified using flash chromatography (0-30\% (EtOAc/Cyclohexane), fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a yellow solid ( $1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ).

Appearance: White solid.
M. pt.: $148-149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ : б $2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32$ (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.44 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.67 (dd, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $J=1.9$, 1H), 7.91 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.13 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 21.7,45.5,52.4,54.5,61.5,119.4,123.3,123.5$, 127.6, 128.6, 130.8, 131.9, 133.2, 138.4, 142.3, 165.3.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.68 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 404.2$.

## N-(4-Methyl-3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)phenyl)-4-((4-

 methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)benzamide, Compound 188.

N -(3-Bromo-4-methylphenyl)-4-((4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)benzamide (1.9 g, 4.7 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in DMSO ( 20 mL ) and treated with bis(pinacolato)diboron $(1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 7.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocenedichloro palladium(II) dichloromethane complex ( $0.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) followed by KOAc $\left(2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 23.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 5\right.$ equiv) at RT. The reaction was then heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 2 h . The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to provide a dark brown solid residue that was purified using flash chromatography (0-30\% (EtOAc/Cyclohexane), fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as a white solid (1.9 g, 89\%).

Appearance: White solid.
M. pt.: $140-142{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ ): $\delta 1.31$ (s, 12H), 2.33 (s, 3 H ), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2.40-2.47 (m, 4H), 2.50-2.58 (m, 4H), $3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.85 (dd, $J=8.6,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91-7.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ ): $\delta 21.2,24.4,24.7,44.6,51.5,54.0,61.2,83.3,119.1$, 123.1, 127.5, 128.6, 129.8, 133.5, 136.1, 139.2, 164.9.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.80 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 450.4$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{BN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 450.2923 , found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 450.2911$.

N-(4-Methyl-3-((4-(pyridin-3-yl)pyrimidin-2-yl)amino)phenyl)-4-((4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)benzamide, Compound 184.


Prepared according to General Procedure D using N-(4-methyl-3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)phenyl)-4-((4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)benzamide ( 500 mg , $1.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 4-(pyridin-3-yl)pyrimidin-2-amine ( $379 \mathrm{mg}, 2.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(132 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and powdered activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(450 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{tBuOH}(3: 1$ ratio, 1.7 mL$)$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was subjected to the purification outlined in the General Procedure (silica chromatography, 0-50\% (EtOAc + 1\% $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ )/Cyclohexane) fractions were concentrated under vacuum to afford the desired product as an orange solid (367 $\mathrm{mg}, 67 \%)$.

Appearance: Orange solid.
M. pt.: 207-209 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 7.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-$ $7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 17.6,42.1,48.9,52.0,59.9,107.6,116.8,117.3$, 124.1, 127.7, 129.2, 130.0, 132.5, 134.4, 135.3, 137.1, 137.7, 147.4, 150.5, 158.2, 158.5, 159.5, 161.1, 161.3, 164.9.

LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=0.96 \mathrm{~min},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 494.4$.
HRMS (ESI): $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$requires 494.2663, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 494.2661$.
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[^0]:    Total energy $=-2973.28049414 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}}$
    Total Gibbs enthalpy $=-2972.81298692 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}}$

[^1]:    Total energy $=-2333.21660047 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}}$

[^2]:    Total energy = -483.12599033 $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}}$ Total Gibbs enthalpy $=-482.92935627 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}}$

[^3]:    Total energy $=-460.31904239 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}}$
    Total Gibbs enthalpy $=-460.21468106 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}}$

[^4]:    Total energy $=-307.60024997 E_{h}$
    Total Gibbs enthalpy $=-307.52698935 E_{h}$

