

What are the Barriers to Implementing and Sustaining an Electronic Adverse Incident Recording and Reporting Management System in an Acute Healthcare Organisation?

KERRY J. WALSH

Thesis submitted for the Degree of PhD 2012

DEPARTMENT OF DESIGN MANUFACTURE AND ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT

UNIVERSITY OF STRATHCLYDE

GLASGOW, UK

Declaration of Authority and Author Rights

This thesis is the result of the author's original research. It has been composed by the author and has not been previously submitted for examination which has led to the award of degree.

This copyright of this thesis belongs to the author under the terms of the United Kingdom Copyrights Acts as qualified by the University of Strathclyde Regulation 3.50. Due acknowledgement must always be made of the use of any material contained in, or derived from, this thesis.

Date:

Dedication

To my darling wife Sue who, despite her stroke, has continued to encourage me in this endeavour and my two boys Daniel and Robert for their unconditional love and support.

Acknowledgements

I am indebted to an army of people who have helped and guided me through the course of the studies that form the foundation of this thesis.

Clinicians and managers, who work in NHS Ayrshire and Arran, have helped in the construction and application of the research methods. I am equally indebted to members of staff who have been interviewed and have taken the time from their busy schedules to share their experience and knowledge.

My gratitude and respect are also extended to my Director of Studies, Professor Jiju Antony. Without his direction, enthusiasm, guidance and support this would not have seen the light of day. I would also like thank personally Dr Calvin Burns, for his steadfast patience and identifying deficiencies and flaws in my arguments and drafts. I am grateful to Dr Stephen Greep and Mrs Fiona McQueen who had the insight to support me on this endeavour and having the understanding when I struggled with competing demands as a part-time student.

Finally I am grateful to Dr Carol Haraden, Vice President, Dr Robert Lloyd, Executive Director for Performance Improvement and Dr Rocky Perla, Fellow, all of the Institute for Healthcare Improvement (United States of America) for sharing their experience. To Dr Paul Barack, Professor and Director of the New South Wales Risk Management Research Centre (Australia) who challenged me in relation to the research findings and testing of the new proposed model for implementing and sustaining an Electronic Adverse Incident Recording and Reporting System in Healthcare based on the research findings.

I am eternally grateful to you all.

Abstract

Patient safety is an important topic for policy makers, health service clinicians and managers, and researchers. One way that many organisations are trying to improve patient safety is by incident reporting but they are meeting with limited success. The question this dissertation investigates is "What are the barriers to implementing and sustaining an Electronic Adverse Incident Reporting and Recording System in an acute healthcare environment?" As part of answering that question, this dissertation develops a socio-technical systems model of those barriers.

A mixed methods approach (questionnaire survey and semi-structured interviews) was used to investigate the research question. By triangulating the findings from the questionnaire and interview studies, one technical barrier and three socio-barriers were identified. The technical barrier was Information and Technology, and the three socio-barriers were: 1) Attitudes and Values, 2) Training, Staffing and Skills, and 3) Leadership and Feedback. A model was then proposed which posits that the three socio-barriers interact with each other as part of the socio sub-system and that the socio sub-system interacts with the technical sub-system to form a socio-technical system.

The overarching implication of the proposed model is that an NHS organization cannot just purchase a commercial Electronic Adverse Incident Reporting and Recording System and expect improvements in patient safety. The principle of joint optimization requires that changes to the socio- and / or technical sub-system be considered concurrently. The proposed model offers opportunities for future research such as investigating barriers to reporting in other national healthcare contexts and other high risk industries.

Table of Contents

		Page No
Decla	ration of Authority and Author Rights	i
Dedication		ii
Ackno	owledgements	iii
Abstr	act	iv v
Table	of Contents	
Appe	Appendices	
List of Tables		х
List o	f Figures	xii
Abbre	eviations	xiii
Chapt	ter 1: Introduction	
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Reporting Systems	1
1.3	Barriers to reporting	8
1.4	Electronic Reporting System	9
1.5	Research Question and Objective	10
1.6	Dissertation Structure	10
Chapt	ter 2: Adverse Incident Recording and Reporting Systems	
2.1	Introduction	12
2.2	Adopting new (electronic) technology for recording and reporting adverse incidents in healthcare	12
2.2.1	EAIRRS in different health specialities	14
2.3	Barriers to implementing and sustaining reporting systems in healthcare	15
2.3.1	Technology	15
2.3.2	Data analysis and data collection	17
2.3.3	Leadership and blame	17
2.3.4	User groups' attitudes to reporting	19
2.3.5	Lack of engagement	21
2.3.6	Accountability	23

2.3.7	Communication and feedback	24
2.4	A Socio-Technical Systems Approach	26
2.5	Heeks's ITPOSMO Model	28
2.6	Justification for adopting Heeks's Model	31
2.7	Chapter Summary	31
Chapte	er 3: Research Methodology	
3.1	Introduction	32
3.2	Research Philosophy	32
3.3	Research Design and Methods	34
3.3.1	Research Design	34
3.3.2	Methods	35
3.3.3	Questionnaire Survey	36
3.3.4	Semi-structured interviews	36
3.4	Organisational Context: NHS Ayrshire & Arran Profile	37
3.4.1	Justification for context	38
3.4.2	Datix electronic software system	42
3.5	Ethics	44
3.6	Limitations	45
3.6.1	Hawthorn effect	45
3.6.2	Gaining access	45
3.7	Chapter Summary	46
Chapte	er 4: Questionnaire Survey Development & Findings	
4.1	Introduction	47
4.2	Developing the Questionnaire	47
4.2.1	Questionnaire Content and Format	47
4.2.2	Pilot testing the Questionnaire	48
4.3	Method	48
4.3.1	Participants	48
4.3.2	Procedure	49
4.4	Results	50
4.4.1	Objectives and Values about reporting	50

4.4.2	Design and information	51
4.4.3	Attitudes toward management of Datix	53
4.4.4	Attitudes toward Training	54
4.4.5	Reporting behaviour	55
4.5	Chapter Summary	56
Chapte	r 5: Semi-Structured Interviews & Findings	
5.1	Introduction	58
5.2	Developing the Semi-structured interviews	58
5.2.1	Interview Schedule	58
5.2.2	Pilot Testing the Interview Schedule	61
5.3	Method	61
5.3.1	Participants	61
5.3.2	Procedure	62
5.4	Results and Analysis	63
5.4.1	Leadership and Engagement	65
5.4.2	Training, Staffing and Skills	67
5.4.3	Information and Technology	71
5.4.3.1	Security	72
5.4.3.2	Paper or electronic	72
5.4.4	Work pressures and time	73
5.4.5	Feedback	74
5.4.6	Under reporting	75
5.4.7	Data analysis	76
5.5	Limitations and Reflections on the semi-structured interviews	76
5.6	Chapter Summary	77
•	r 6: A New Framework for Electronic Adverse Incident ing and Reporting Systems	
6.1	Introduction	78
6.2	What constitutes a good model in quality management?	78
6.3	Main Research Findings	79
6.4	Proposed Model	85

6.4.1	Information and Technology	85
6.4.2	Attitudes and Values	86
6.4.3	Training , Staffing and Skills	87
6.4.4	Leadership and Feedback	88
6.4.5	Summary of Proposed Model	91
6.5	Limitations of the Proposed Model	91
6.6	Chapter Summary	92
Chapte	er 7: Conclusion	
7.1	Introduction	93
7.2	Practical Implications of the model	93
7.2.1	Information	94
7.2.2	Technology and Data Analysis	94
7.2.3	Attitudes and Values	95
7.2.4	Training, Staffing and Skills	95
7.2.5	Leadership and Feedback	95
7.3	Limitations	96
7.4	Questions for further research	97
7.5	Conclusion	98
Refere	ences	99
Appen	dices	
1	Questionnaire	109
2	Information sheet	124
3	Consent form	125
4	List of publications from doctoral research	127
5	Salacted nublications	131

List of Tables

		Page No
Chapte	r 1: Introduction	
1.1	WHO (2005) Characteristics of successful Reporting Systems	3
1.2	Recommendations to WHO (2005) member states	5
Chapte	r 3: Chapter 3: Research Methodology	
3.1	Overview of the EAIRRS process	43
Chapte	r 4: Questionnaire Survey Development & Findings	
4.1	Demographics of the sample by main occupational groups	49
4.2	Hospital staff's views on Objectives and Values	51
4.3	Hospitals staff's views on Design and information collected by Datix	53
4.4	Hospitals staff's attitude towards Management	54
4.5	Hospitals staff's attitude towards Training	55
•	r 5: Semi-Structured Interviews Development & Findings	64
5.1	Number of times a participant mentioned a theme	64
5.2	Training by profession and non-clinical for entering an adverse incident on to the system	68
5.3	Training by profession and non-clinical for undertaking an investigation into reported adverse incident on to the system	69
5.4	Training by profession and non-clinical for undertaking data base tuition	70
•	r 6: A new framework for the implementing and sustaining in healthcare	
6.1	Barriers from Questionnaire Survey and Semi-structured interviews	81

List of Figures

		Page No.
Chapter	2: Adverse Incident Recording and Reporting Systems	
2.1	The ITPOSMO Model Dimensions of change healthcare information system proposals	30
Chapter	3: Research Methodology	
3.1	Heeks et al (1999) Model of healthcare information system	34
3.2	NHS Health Boards in Scotland and site of research	38
3.3	Adverse incidents across four hospitals from 2005-2009	41
•	6: A new framework for the implementing and sustaining	
6.1	in healthcare Proposed Model	90

Abbreviations

AIMS Advanced Incident Management System

DOH Department of Health

EAIRRS Electronic Adverse Incident Reporting and Recording System

IOM Institution of Medicine

IRAMS Information Reporting and Management Systems

ITPOSMO Information, Technology, Processes, Objectives and values, Staffing

and skills, Management Systems and Structures; and other resources

time and money

NAO National Audit Office

NHS National Health Service

SPC Statistical Process Control

SPSS Statistical package for the social sciences

WHO World Health Organisation